

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Dinosaur Provincial Park

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Canada

Type of Property

natural

Identification Number

71

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1979

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Dinosaur Provincial Park	50.768 / -111.492	7493	0	7493	1979
Total (ha)		7493	0	7493	

Comment

PLEASE REVISE TO 7825 HA. 7825 ha is the size of the property as amended in 1992. This figure is determined by GIS based on the map submitted and accepted in 1992. 7493 ha was determined prior to availability of GIS. Due to the property boundary following break-of-slope in many locations, the area calculated in 1992 when the site was expanded was incorrect.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Dinosaur Provincial Park. A World Heritage site.	01/01/2007	

Comment

The official boundary of the site is the one depicted on the 1992 map submitted to and accepted by the World Heritage Committee. Consequently, the updated map submitted to the World Heritage Centre in 2007 needs to be withdrawn and the original 1992 map needs to be "reinstated" as the official map showing the boundary of the property. Canada will address this in the context of the upcoming Retrospective Inventory project.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Rebecca Kennedy
International Programs, Parks Canada
Program Specialist

Comment

Please replace with: Vice President, Heritage Conservation and Commemoration Directorate Parks Canada Agency 25, rue Eddy, étage/floor 5 K1A 0M5 Gatineau Canada Telephone: +1(819) 994-1808 Fax: +1 (819) 934-1115

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Philipp Hofer
South Region, Parks Division

Site Manager, Dinosaur District
Alberta Tourism Parks and Recreation

Comment

please correct Dinosaur District to Dinosaur District.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Dinosaur Provincial Park \(Alberta Community Development\)](#)
3. [World Heritage in Canada \(Parks Canada\)](#)
4. [Report on the State of Conservation \(Parks Canada\)](#)
5. [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)
6. [Dinosaur Provincial Park \(Parks Canada\)](#)
7. [Tyrell Museum](#)

Comment

Please note the following: - Change item #2 to "Dinosaur Provincial Park (Alberta Tourism, Parks, and Recreation)" --> URL: www.dinosaurpark.ca - Update URL for item # 5 to: http://www.unep-wcmc.org/medialibrary/2011/06/23/0bff5932/Dinosaur%20Provincial%20Park.pdf - Change item # 7 to "Royal Tyrrell Museum" --> URL: http://www.tyrrellmuseum.com/ Please remove: #1. Our Place photos; 4. Report on State of Conservation.

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Significance

Dinosaur Provincial Park contains some of the most important fossil specimens discovered from the "Age of Dinosaurs" period of Earth's history. The property is unmatched in terms of the number and variety of high quality specimens, over 60 of which represent more than 45 genera and 14 families of dinosaurs, which date back 75-77 million years. The park contains exceptional riparian habitat features as well as "badlands" of outstanding aesthetic value.

Criteria

(vii) Dinosaur Provincial Park is an outstanding example of major geological processes and fluvial erosion patterns in semi-arid steppes. These "badlands" stretch along 24 kilometers of high quality and virtually undisturbed riparian habitat, presenting a landscape of stark, but exceptional natural beauty.

(viii) The property is outstanding in the number and variety of high quality specimens representing every known group of Cretaceous dinosaurs. The diversity affords excellent opportunities for paleontology that is both comparative and chronological. Over 300 specimens from the Oldman Formation in the park including more than 150 complete skeletons now reside in more than 30 major museums.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(vii)(viii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (vii) - superlative natural phenomena or areas of exceptional natural beauty and aesthetic importance: largest expanse of badlands in Canada with wholly intact natural geological processes along with exceptional riparian areas present a landscape of outstanding aesthetic value. Criterion (viii) - major stages of earth's history: highest known diversity of high quality dinosaur fossils from the late Cretaceous period.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Canada is currently finalizing Retrospective Statements of Outstanding Universal Value for 13 of its World Heritage sites. Pending Committee approval, these will be used for the next Periodic Reporting cycle.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development		
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities		
3.5	Biological resource use/modification		
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals		
3.6	Physical resource extraction		
3.6.3	Oil and gas		
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage		
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation		
3.9	Other human activities		
3.9.1	Illegal activities		
3.13	Management and institutional factors		
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities		
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities		
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative
	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	extensive	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.3	Oil and gas	restricted	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	widespread	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	static
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Illegal activities described in 3.9.1 refer to the illegal removal of paleontological resources from the site.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is **no buffer zone**, and it is not needed

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

No comment.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The entire World Heritage Site is under the authority of the Historical Resources Act which prohibits the unauthorized excavation and collection of fossils. Those portions of the World Heritage Site that are within Dinosaur Provincial Park are also under the authority of the Provincial Parks Act. Provincial parks are developed and maintained a) for the conservation and management of flora and fauna, b) for the preservation of specified areas and objects therein that are of geological, cultural, ecological or other scientific interest, and c) to facilitate their use and enjoyment for outdoor recreation. Agreements with Special Areas Board and the Eastern Irrigation District (EID) are the basis of management of those portions of the

World Heritage Site that are outside the boundaries of Dinosaur Provincial Park. Special Areas is a form of local government established under the Alberta Municipal Government Act. The Special Areas Board manages large tracts of Crown owned native grasslands for domestic grazing. EID owns large tracts of native grassland south of Dinosaur Provincial Park, which it manages for domestic grazing. Lands owned by both of these entities are included within the park and World Heritage Site on the basis of written agreements with the park. The Special Areas Board and EID are both fully committed to the preservation of the World Heritage Site and the surrounding native grasslands.

Provincial Parks Act and associated regulations and the Historical Resources Act apply to World Heritage Site lands that are within Dinosaur Provincial Park. World Heritage Site lands outside of the park are protected under the Historical Resources Act. The Provincial Parks Act does not apply to these lands.

Comment

See addendum.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

No comment.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Overall day-to-day management and administration of the park and World Heritage Site is the responsibility of the Parks and Protected Areas Division of Alberta Community Development. The Cultural Facilities and Historical Resources


Division of Alberta Community Development conducts most of the fossil research in the park and manages the research component of the Dinosaur Field Station. Written agreements with the Special Areas Board and the EID are the basis for managing World Heritage Site lands owned by these two entities and cattle grazing. The TA glyphstone or ribstone (a large stone with hand carved figures), a rare native cultural artifact was moved to the park to afford proper protection once its place of origin was converted to intensive agriculture. After consultation with the Siksika Nation, the glyphstone has been protected by a steel structure on the Prairie Trail. The wish of the native community is that the stone be properly interpreted so it can contribute to the public's understanding of native culture and history.

The management plan for Dinosaur Provincial Park World Heritage Site provides a long-term vision and day-to-day guidance for stewardship of the park. Department staff prepared the plan within the context of existing legislation and regulations and the intent and spirit of the World Heritage Convention. It outlines the type and extent of outdoor recreation and tourism opportunities, facilities and services. The plan provides Page 5 of 13 direction regarding the delivery of heritage appreciation programs that assist Albertans and visitors to understand and appreciate the natural and cultural heritage of the park and World Heritage Site while ensuring its ongoing preservation. The management plan was developed with public input and is intended to provide for periodic review and revision to reflect the current thinking of Albertans on how the natural and cultural heritage will be preserved for present and future generations. There has been ongoing implementation of the management plan since the earliest interim drafts. Annual operating plans outline the details of annual implementation and progress toward implementing the management plan.

Comment

See addendum.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Dinosaur Provincial Park & World Heritage Site. Interim Management Plan.	N/A	Available	01/01/2004	

Comment

Link does not work.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comment.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No comment.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	100%

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment
not applicable.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

No comment.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	80%
Part-time	20%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	25%
Seasonal	75%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	98%
Volunteer	2%

Section II-Dinosaur Provincial Park

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

In reference to 4.4.15: No capacity development plan is in place as there is no shortage of local expertise. The challenge is how to attract that local expertise in a very competitive labour market.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs

and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

No comment.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

No comment.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following

visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Excellent

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

No comment.

4.7. Visitor Management


4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Title	Date	Link to source
Visitor Management Plan	01/01/2004	

Comment

There is no current document titled "Visitor Management Plan" - PLEASE REMOVE REFERENCE The most current document addressing visitor management is the Interim Park Management Plan - 2003 (Under Revision)

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

No comment.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

No comment.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

No comment.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1 Buildings and Development							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	vii - riparian habitat, badlands, associated aesthetic values viii - fossil resources	within site: development restricted to facility zone comprising a small fraction of the property; all developmen subject to environmental and historical resource reviews outside: development regulated by local authorities	- ongoing monitoring conducted by park staff	- ongoing	Alberta Tourism Parks and Recreation - Parks Division; Alberta Culture - Historic Resource Management Branch; Special Areas Board	n/a
3.5 Biological resource use/modification							
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	vii - riparian habitat	- efforts to identify and quantify impacts underway - working towards spatial and temporal restrictions to cattle access to highly sensitive riparian areas	- ongoing	short to medium term (1 to 5 years)	Alberta Tourism, Parks and Recreation - Parks Division	n/a
3.6 Physical resource extraction							
3.6.3	Oil and gas	vii - riparian habitat, badlands, associated aesthetic values viii - fossil resources	see attached Addendum	ongoing monitoring of existing facilities within the site; enhanced monitoring of maintenance activities of existing facilities; ongoing monitoring of activities related to sites in close proximity to WHS	ongoing	Alberta Tourism Parks, and Recreation - Parks Division; Alberta Energy; Alberta Energy Regulator; Alberta Culture - Historic Resources Management Branch; Special Areas Board	n/a
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	vii - riparian habitat, badlands, associated aesthetic values viii - fossil resources	- access restrictions to most sensitive parts of the park - provision of guided activities - visitor education - enforcement	- ongoing monitoring by park staff	- ongoing	- Alberta Tourism, Parks, and Recreation - Parks Division	n/a
3.9 Other human activities							
3.9.1	Illegal activities	viii - fossil resources	Preventative: public education, information services, signage, web and print publications, public access restrictions to highly sensitive areas, proactive enforcement patrols, etc Enforcement: intelligence gathering, investigation, prosecution	- ongoing	- ongoing	Alberta Tourism, Parks and Recreation - Parks Division	n/a
3.13 Management and institutional factors							
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities	viii - fossil resources	All paleontological research activity is carried out under permit subject to stringent conditions.	research activity is monitored to ensure compliance with permit conditions; removal of fossil material is closely tracked; all quarry locations are inventoried	- ongoing	Alberta Culture - Royal Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology and Alberta Tourism Parks and Recreation - Parks Division	n/a

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	Evaluate feasibility of including Wolfe & Jackson Coulees (acquired in 2004) in WHS	long term (5+ years)	Alberta Tourism Parks and Recreation - Parks Division	Decision to move forward will likely be impacted by cost-benefit analysis looking at benefit of including additional lands vs cost, effort, and risk associated with process required for major boundary adjustments.
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.15	No capacity development plan or programme is in place	Currently there is no identified need for a capacity development plan.	not currently planned	n/a	There is an adequate supply of qualified personnel available locally/regionally. Recruitment challenges that do exist are due to competition for qualified personnel from other sectors that offer better compensation than public sector.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

Not applicable (for sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

No comment.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No comment.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No comment.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: PLEASE REVISE TO 7825 HA. 7825 ha is the size of the property as amended in 1992. This figure is determined by GIS based on the map submitted and accepted in 1992. 7493 ha was determined prior to availability of GIS. Due to the property boundary following break-of-slope in many locations, the area calculated in 1992 when the site was expanded was incorrect.

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The official boundary of the site is the one depicted on the 1992 map submitted to and accepted by the World Heritage Committee. Consequently, the updated map submitted to the World Heritage Centre in 2007 needs to be withdrawn and the original 1992 map needs to be "reinstated" as the official map showing the boundary of the property. Canada will address this in the context of the upcoming Retrospective Inventory project.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No comment.