

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

City of Graz – Historic Centre and Schloss Eggenberg

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Austria

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

931bis

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List


1999, 2010

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
City of Graz - Historic Centre –	47.073 / 15.439	71.97	17.127	89.097	1999
City of Graz – Historic Centre and Schloss Eggenberg	47.074 / 15.392	19.124	66.142	85.266	2010
Total (ha)		91.094	83.269	174.363	

Comment

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map of Inscribed Property 2010	28/01/2010	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Bruno Maldoner
Federal Ministry for Education, Arts and Culture

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Bertram Werle
Stadtbaudirektion Graz
Director, Mag. DI

Comment

Stadtbaudirektion Graz Bertram Werle Mag. DI Director and World Heritage Property Manager Europaplatz 20 / 5. Stock 8011 Graz, Austria Email: weltkulturerbe@stadt.graz.at World Heritage Coordination Bureau Daniela Freitag Mag. Telephone: +43 0316-872-3501 Email: daniela.freitag@stadt.graz.at

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. www.VRGratz.at - Hotel and event review
3. [Graz OnLine \(german only\)](#)

Comment

www.graz.at www.museum-joanneum.at/en/schloss_eggenberg

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The City of Graz – Historic Centre and Schloss Eggenberg bear witness to an exemplary model of the living heritage of a central European urban complex influenced by the secular presence of the Habsburgs and the cultural and artistic role played by the main aristocratic families. They are a harmonious blend of the architectural styles and artistic movements that have succeeded each other from the Middle Ages until the 18th century, in the many neighbouring regions of Central and Mediterranean Europe. They embody a diversified and highly comprehensive ensemble of architectural, decorative and landscape examples of these interchanges of influence.

Criterion (ii): The City of Graz - Historic Centre and Schloss Eggenberg reflects artistic and architectural movements originating from the Germanic region, the Balkans and the Mediterranean, for which it served as a crossroads for centuries. The greatest architects and artists of these different regions expressed themselves forcefully here and thus created a brilliant syntheses.

Criterion (iv): The urban complex forming the City of Graz – Historic Centre and Schloss Eggenberg is an exceptional example of a harmonious integration of architectural styles from successive periods. Each age is represented by typical buildings, which are often masterpieces. The physiognomy of the city and of the castle faithfully tells the story of their common historic and cultural development.

Integrity and authenticity

The extension of the City of Graz – Historic Centre property to include Schloss Eggenberg significantly strengthens the integrity of the property. The extension gives rise to the new enlarged buffer zone which is continuous, and includes the ancient road. Furthermore, the castle and its gardens have conserved satisfactory architectural and structural integrity. The external authenticity of the castle is good, and that of the baroque interior on the first floor is excellent. The authenticity of the ground floor, which has been converted into a museum, and that of the garden, which has been partly redesigned and restored, are of a lower level which however remains acceptable.

Protection and management requirements

Schloss Eggenberg is protected under the Austrian Monument Protection Act (533/1923 and amendments). The Management Plan has been in place since 2007 and brings together the town plan of 2009 and all protection and conservation decisions related to the extended property and the buffer zone, which was enlarged to include the road leading from the historic centre of the city of Graz to Schloss Eggenberg. The Coordination Bureau for the extended property has been in place since 2009, and has been granted strengthened and effective overarching powers. However, particular care needs to be taken with regard to urban development pressures inside the property and its buffer zone, in order to maintain the outstanding universal value of the property and ensure that it is fully expressed.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

n/a

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.2	Commercial development					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1	Water infrastructure					
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities					
3.3.4	Localised utilities					
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	extensive	frequent	insignificant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

n/a

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Current efforts are going on to bring in accordance the zoning of the WH property with the zoning of the Law on the Preservation of the Old Town Centre of Graz. In addition we wish to refer to the expert board on building culture.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The WH is regulated in the Austrian legal system through the "Convention for the conservation of world cultural and natural heritage"¹⁴. As a signatory, Austria has committed itself to survey, protect and conserve all cultural and natural heritage in its sovereign territory. Furthermore, measures are to be refrained from which directly or indirectly damage the WH. Separate legal determination dealing directly with WH does not exist; however, there exist several overlapping conservation levels which indirectly preserve WH.

The Regional Planning Law is a "cross-sectional matter". According to the general clause of article 15 of the Federal Constitution (B-VG), it is reserved for the legislation and execution of the federal states.

Urban Development Plan (UDP): The UDP contains the requirements which need to be taken into account with the subordinate land planning scheme. Within this, development goals and development measures are laid down for the local authority area.

Land Use Plan (LUP): The LUP is a bye-law passed by the city of Graz council which is to be approved by the supervisory authority of the office of the Styrian State government. It regulates the type of use of the whole area of the city sector.

Styrian Building Law: the core area of public building law is compiled in legal provisions designed to ensure the safety and faultless condition of buildings with regard to their technical, sanitary and public health aspects. All cases of planning permission in the Graz historic centre have to comply with the Graz Historic Centre Conservation Act 1980 in their decision.

Federal Monument Protection Act: according to the Federal Constitution, preservation of monuments is a matter of the federation in both legislation and execution and comprises protection of defined monuments against destruction, alteration and transfer abroad. In essence the Monument Protection Act regulates the listing of monuments in Austria and the consequences for their owners. Any destruction or alteration of a listed monument is prohibited and requires a written approval of the Bundesdenkmalamt.

Schloss Eggenberg is protected under the Austrian Monument Protection Act (533/1923 and amendments). The protection covers both immovable and movable property of historical, artistic, and other cultural value. The Act was revised in 1999 and amended by a Decree in 2006. The application of the legal protection is the responsibility of the Education, Art, and Culture Ministry. At provincial level, Schloss Eggenberg and its Park are protected under the Graz Historic Centre Conservation Act (GAEG, 1974 and 1980). This Act has been updated and supplemented by the Graz Historic Centre Preservation Act (2008). At local level, the Castle and its Park are subject to the regulations and decisions associated with the current Urban Development Plan and the Land Use Plan of the City of Graz. These plans set out the general framework of inquiries for building permits, particularly in the buffer zone. The buffer zone is protected and is subject to a specific regime for the granting of building permits and modifying existing housing. Inside the Schloss Eggenberg buffer zone, constructions and extensions to buildings must not exceed the prescribed heights of the current housing in the quarters concerned. These provisions have been extended to Zone XIII, corresponding to the road linking the castle to the city, and specific provisions have been added, in particular a programme for the improvement of architectural and urban quality and of visual perspectives. All these measures are set out and harmonized in the new framework document: Graz Urban Planning (2009).

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Sunday, November 6, 2005

- **Question 6.02**

The historic centre of Graz is protected as an entity under the "Denkmalschutzgesetz" (Federal Monuments Protection Act of 1923 as amended in 1999 by Federal Gazette No 170/1999). This legislation requires all actions that may affect the status of buildings to be submitted to the "Bundesdenkmalamt" (Federal Office for the Protection of Monuments), though its "Landeskonservatorat" (Provincial Office) in Graz, for

approval. District administrations may be called upon by the agency to carry out protective measures where serious breaches are threatened.

Legislation on regional/local level:

The historic centre is protected by the "Grazer Altstadterhaltungsgesetz" (Graz Historic Centre Conservation Act) enacted by the province of Styria in 1980. This provides for the entire area of historic Graz within the protective zones I and II. An "Altstadtsachverständigenkommission" (Historic Centre Experts Commission) has to deal with all planned changes. There is also an "Altstadterhaltungsfonds", a fund for townscape protection, covering all expenses which exceed the normal maintenance of a house which have been demanded by the commission (see item 03.04).

Comment

We wish to clarify that the majority of the buildings is protected under the Austrian Monuments Protection Act of 1923 amended however not as an entity. The 2008 amendment of the Graz Historic Center Conservation Act (GAEG) in 2008 introduced the position of the Old Town Advocate and the World Heritage Coordination Bureau.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

n/a

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The World Heritage Coordination Office (WKE-Stelle) is the result of an intensive development process in connection with the establishment of the WH-MP. From the beginning, i.e. since the application to the WH, the Graz Municipal Department of Urban Development has functioned as the higher-ranking authority. In this context, the WH office is now also integrated into the existing structure of the Municipal Department in a complementary function. It will be placed with the office that, to date, has been responsible for all matters relating to activities in the context of world heritage and UNESCO.

The scope of activities of the WH – office:

- Information interface and first contact upon agreement with:
- Municipal Department of Urban Development
- Relevant special offices of the municipality of Graz
- ASVK/ State of Styria; (Historic centre advisory board)
- Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Culture
- Federal Office of Historic Monuments / Landeskonservatorat of Styria (BDA)
- UNESCO / ICOMOS
- Other world heritage sites national / international
- Co-ordination interface for all WH – activities:
- Communication with superior offices dealing with WH (ASVK, State of Styria, BMBWK, BDA, UNESCO, ICOMOS, etc.)
- Preparation of relevant documents and reports
- Organisation of conferences, workshops etc. relevant to WH .


Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Sunday, November 6, 2005

- **Question 5.02**
Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site
- **Question 5.03**
Set up date: 2005
Function: 1) Implementing the recommendations made by the reactive monitoring mission. 2) Evaluating the World Heritage feasibility of projects in the sense of Art. 172 of Operational Guidelines
Mandate: supporting the permanent contact person to UNESCO World Heritage
Constituted: formal
- **Question 5.05**
Overall management system of the site
 - Management under protective legislation
 - Management under traditional protective measures or customary law

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
World Heritage City of Graz Historic Centre. Management Plan 2007	N/A	Available	31/01/2007	

Comment

The recently revised (February 2013) Management Plan 2013 will be conveyed to the World Heritage Centre.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

n/a

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World

Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The current legal status has been included in the nomination dossier regarding the extension of the property to include Schloss Eggenberg.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	00%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	00%
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	25%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	60%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	00%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	5%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	00%
Other grants	00%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

No international funds have been granted (not required)

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

We wish to propose the demand of an standardized training of persons involved in site management especially for using new developed management tools.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

WKE - Guide, WKE-Calendar 2009, WKE-book 2009, folder, contributions to the magazin of the Internationales Städteforum Graz, exhibition, symposia 2010, 2011, 2012. (WKE- Weltkulturerbe = World Cultural Heritage)

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

n/a

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

n/a

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Three years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

n/a

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

n/a

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Cooperation among the stakeholders within the city council has been improved.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	Cityscape	A series of legal and administrative instruments exists, like: zoning-plan, building construction-plans, city preservation-board	as required	permanently	Stadtbaudirektion Graz / World Heritage Coordination Bureau	n/a
3.1.2	Commercial development	Cityscape, town-structure	A series of legal and administrative instruments exists, like: zoning-plan, building construction-plans, city preservation-board	as required	permanently	Stadtbaudirektion 7 World Heritage Coordination Bureau	n/a
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	State of Conservation of building stock	can only be solved in a wider framework	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.5.2	Research in the property is not planned	Results of scientific research of main parts of the property have been done and published already. The work should be continued. Plans to do that are currently missing	next years	Municipality, Federal Office for Monument Protection	n/a	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Permanent consultation with State Party and ICOMOS Austria in time represents a successful cooperation as stipulated in the Convention 1972.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Poor
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Not all of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Unsatisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update:

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise