#### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Monasteries of Haghpat and Sanahin

## 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details State(s) Party(ies)

Armenia

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### **Identification Number**

777bis

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1996, 2000

#### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property	Buffer	Total	Inscription
	(latitude/longitude)	(ha)	zone (ha)	(ha)	year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Monastery of Haghpat, Haghpat, Tumanian Region, Armenia	41.095 / 44.71	0.75	8	8.75	1996
Monastery of Sanahin, Sanahin, Tumanian Region, Armenia	41.097 / 44.663	1.7	15.8	17.5	2000
Sanahin Bridge	0/0	0.2	0	0.2	0
Total (ha)		2.65	23.8	26.45	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title		Link to source
Map "Boundaries of Monasteries of Haghpat and Sanahin", A4, scale 1:10000	22/01/2008	œ

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Arev Samuelyan
 Minister of Culture
 Deputy Minister of Culture

#### Comment

The question 1.5 we would like to edit as follows: Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Armenia 3 Government Building Yerevan, 0010 Armenia Tel: +37410 52 93 49; +37410 52 12 25; +37410 56-60-65; Fax:+37410 52 93 49 Email: info@mincult.am; arevsam@gmail.com; arakelyanartashes@gmail.com

## 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Sepuh Chuljyan
 Armenian Apostolic Holy Church
 Bishop - Head of the Diocese of Gugark

#### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Monastery of Sanahin, (Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute)

#### Section II-Monasteries of Haghpat and Sanahin

- 2. Monastery of Haghpat
- 3. Monastery of Sanahin
- 4. <u>Monastery of Haghpat (Armenian Enlightenment</u> Chronicle)

#### Comment

www.armeniachurch.org

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

1. Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict, the Hague, 1954, 1st and 2nd Protocols 2. Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property, Paris, 1970 3. Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe, Granada, 1985 4. Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage (Revised), Valetta, 1992 5. European Cultural Convention, Paris, 1954, etc.

#### 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

## 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The Committee decided to inscribe the Monastery of Haghpat on the basis of cultural criteria (ii) and (iv) considering that it is of outstanding universal value and an exceptional example of ecclesiastical architecture that developed in Armenia in the 10th to 13th centuries which is unique by virtue of its blending of elements of both Byzantine church architecture and the traditional vernacular building style of this region.

## 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised, as the term "Byzantine" is not applicable for the Armenian monuments.

## 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 3. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impa	act			Origin	1
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.3	Industrial areas					<	F
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	0			9	•	F
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.2	Ground water pollution					<	S.
3.4.4	Air pollution			Ŋ	9	<	G
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind					<	S.
3.7.2	Relative humidity			A	9	<	F
3.7.3	Temperature			A	9	<	F
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)			A	A	<	F
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	0			9	<	F
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	0		Ą	9	<	F
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events			•			
3.10.6	Temperature change			A	A	<	S
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					· ·	
3.11.2	Earthquake				9	<	3
3.11.6	Fire (widlfires)				9	<	F
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species				A	<	F
Legend	Current Potential Negative Positive Inside		C	Outs	ide		

#### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

·		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development	•				
3.1.3	Industrial areas	extensive	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	static
3.4	Pollution	•				
3.4.4	Air pollution	extensive	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fa	bric				
3.7.2	Relative humidity	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	extensive	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	extensive	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage	•	•		•	
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change	extensive	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static

## 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

#### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

## 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status There is a buffer zone

## 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

## 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit the** ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved** 

### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

## 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 4.2. Protective Measures

## 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

- Law of Armenia "On the protection and use of the historical and cultural monuments and sites" (1998).
- Provisions of the Civil and Penal Codes, laws "on urbanism", "on local self-governance" and "on territorial management".
- By the State Decision n° 616 of 09.07.2001the property was transmitted to the ownership of the Armenian Apostolic Church

#### Comment

The monuments are protected by the Laws "On protection and usage of the historical-cultural immovable monuments and historical environment", "On immovable monuments of history

#### Section II-Monasteries of Haghpat and Sanahin

and the culture, considered as state-owned property of Armenia and not subject to alienation" and by the regulation, "On State registration, study, protection, fortification, restoration, reconstruction and usage of the historical-cultural immovable monuments", Civil, Administrative, Land, and Criminal Codes of Armenia.

# 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

# 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

# 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

## 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

## 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

#### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

- Steering group has been legally set up within the Armenian Church
- Levels of public authority who are primarily involved with the management of the site: national

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2 Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006)

Submitted on Friday, December 9, 2005

#### Question 5.02

Stering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

#### Question 5.03

**Function:** Le Monastère de Haghpat appartient à l'Eglise Apostolique d'Arménie En 2001 selon de la Décision d'Etat n°616 du 09.07.2001 le Monastère de Haghpat a été transmis à titre gratuit à l'église Apostolique d'Arménie en

tant que propriété. A partir de 2001 c'est l'Eglise qui supervise la gestion du site.

Constituted: legal
• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

o Management under protective legislation

 Management under contractual agreement between the State Party and a third party

Gestion par accord contractual entre l'Etat partie et le Propriétaire (Patriarcat d'Echmiadzine)

#### Comment

The management of the sites included in the nomination is carried out by the Armenian Apostolic Holy Church, while the supervision is implemented by the Ministry of Culture of Armenia.

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

#### Comment

The new management plan is the process of drafting.

# 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved** 

## 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is only partially being implemented

### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

## 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

and rememing	
Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

# 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer

#### Section II-Monasteries of Haghpat and Sanahin

## zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

# 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

## 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

# 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There were no changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

#### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

## 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	50%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	50%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

## 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

#### Comment

No International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund.

## 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

## 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

## 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities

## 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

## 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

## 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

## 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

## 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

## 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium

#### Section II-Monasteries of Haghpat and Sanahin

Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

## 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most** of the technical work is carried out by external staff

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

#### 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps** 

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

- 4.5.4 Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report
- 4.5.5 Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

#### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent	
-------------------------------	-----------	--

Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

## 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and** *ad hoc* education and awareness programme

## 4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, but it could be improved

## 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made** 

# 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

## 4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

We need international assistance for the organization and implementation of education, information and awareness building policy.

#### 4.7. Visitor Management

## 4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries	
Accommodation establishments	
Transportation services	
Tourism industry	

#### Section II-Monasteries of Haghpat and Sanahin

Visitor surveys	
Other	

#### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

# 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made** 

## 4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

## 4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

## 4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

#### 4.8. Monitoring

# 4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **no monitoring** taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need

## 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved

## 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

## 4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

#### Section II-Monasteries of Haghpat and Sanahin

- 4.8.5 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee
- 4.8.6 Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring
- 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 4.9.1 Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

#### 5. Summary and Conclusions

#### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Cumility 1 detero uncoming the 1 reporty						
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected		Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and I	Development					
3.1.3	Industrial areas						
3.4	Pollution	•			•	•	
3.4.4	Air pollution						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity						
3.7.3	Temperature						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)						
3.10	Climate change	and severe weather e	vents				
3.10.6	Temperature change						

#### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Bo	4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved				
4.3 Ma	nagement Syste	m / Management Plan			
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management				
4.8 Mo	nitoring				
4.8.1	No monitoring taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone				

## 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved** 

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact** 

### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact** 

## 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

## 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

the property in relation to the following area	· <b>~</b>
Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Not applicable
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

## 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

<u> </u>
Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization
External experts

#### Section II-Monasteries of Haghpat and Sanahin

## 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

## 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The guidance for each questions have to be more detailed.

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

## 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention		
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value		
The property's Outstanding Universal Value		
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity		
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity		
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value		
Monitoring and reporting		
Management effectiveness		

## 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

## 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

## 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise