

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

29/05/1984

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Paul Blaker
Department for Culture, Media and Sport
Head of World Heritage
- Christopher Young
English Heritage
Head of World International Advice

Comment

DCMS contact now Francesca Conlon, Head of Heritage Policy, e-mail francesca.conlon@culture.gsi.gov.uk, tel +44 (0) 20 7211 6117, DCMS, 4th Floor, 100 Parliament Street, London SW1A 2BQ, UK Christopher Young's job title is Head of International Advice

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- Tony Weighell
Programme Leader, Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies
Joint Nature Conservation Committee
Domain: natural
- Jonathan Larwood
Senior Specialist
Natural England
Domain: Natural
- Andrew Burke
Policy Manager
Scottish Government Directorate for Culture and Heritage
Domain: Cultural
- Susan Davies
Director of Policy
Scottish Natural Heritage
Domain: Natural
- Dr Kathryn Roberts
Senior Inspector of Ancient Monuments and Archaeology
Cadw
Domain: Cultural
- Sandi Howie
Senior Scientific Officer
Northern Ireland Environment Agency
Domain: Cultural, Natural
- Lesley Macinnes
Head of Battlefields and HLA
Historic Scotland
Domain: cultural
- Leasa Fielding
Nature Conservation Policy Manager

Welsh Government, Land, nature and Forestry Division
Domain: natural

- Mary Roddick
International Designation Officer
Natural Resources Wales
Domain: natural
- Sue Davies
Deputy Chair
UK National Commission for UNESCO
Domain: cultural, natural

1.6 - Comments

a number of the organisations listed above are undergoing reorganisation or will be shortly so contact details are likely to change

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Not applicable
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Process completed or continually updated
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Not applicable
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Process completed or continually updated
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Forth Bridge / 2014 /
Gorham's Cave Complex, Gibraltar / 2015 /

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Good

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Yes

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

--

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

No

3.7 - Comments

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Giant's Causeway and Causeway Coast	1985-12-10	inscribed
Durham Castle and Cathedral	1985-12-23	inscribed
Durham Castle and Cathedral	2008-02-04	inscribed
Ironbridge Gorge	1985-12-23	inscribed
Fountains Abbey & St Mary's Church, Studley Royal	1985-12-23	
Studley Royal Park including the Ruins of Fountains Abbey	1986-11-17	inscribed

Name	Date of submission	Status
Stonehenge, Avebury and Associated Sites	1985-12-24	inscribed
Stonehenge, Avebury and Associated Sites	2008-02-04	inscribed
Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd	1985-12-24	inscribed
St Kilda	1985-12-31	inscribed
St Kilda	2003-01-29	inscribed
Lake District	1986-12-23	deferred
Lake District	1989-09-22	deferred
St Helena	1986-12-23	withdrawn
St David's Close and Bishop's Palace	1986-12-23	deferred
Blenheim Palace	1986-12-23	inscribed
Westminster Palace, Westminster Abbey and Saint Margaret's Church	1986-12-23	inscribed
Westminster Palace, Westminster Abbey and Saint Margaret's Church	2008-02-04	inscribed
Ecclesiastical sites of Lough Erne	1986-12-23	deferred
New Lanark	1986-12-23	deferred
New Lanark	2000-06-28	inscribed
Hadrian's Wall	1986-12-23	inscribed
Frontiers of the Roman Empire	2004-01-29	inscribed
Frontiers of the Roman Empire	2007-01-23	inscribed
Henderson Island	1987-12-28	inscribed
Tower of London	1987-12-28	inscribed
SS Great Britain and Western Block	1987-12-28	not inscribed
Navan Fort	1987-12-28	not inscribed
Navan Fort	1988-12-22	
Canterbury Cathedral, St Augustine's Abbey, and St Martin's Church	1987-12-30	inscribed
Menai and Conwy Suspension Bridges	1987-12-30	not inscribed
Maes Howe and Brogar, Orkney Islands	1988-12-22	deferred
Heart of Neolithic Orkney	1998-06-26	inscribed
Cambridge Colleges and the Backs	1989-01-23	deferred
Old and New Towns of Edinburgh	1994-10-07	inscribed
Gough Island Wildlife Reserve	1994-10-12	inscribed
Gough and Inaccessible Islands	2003-01-23	inscribed
Maritime Greenwich	1996-01-09	inscribed
Historic Town of St George and Related Fortifications, Bermuda	1999-06-29	inscribed
Blaenavon Industrial Landscape	1999-06-29	inscribed
Saltaire	2000-06-26	inscribed
Derwent Valley Mills	2000-06-26	inscribed
Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew	2002-01-17	inscribed
Liverpool – Maritime Mercantile City	2003-01-29	inscribed
Cornwall and West Devon Mining Landscape	2005-01-25	inscribed
Darwin at Downe	2006-01-12	withdrawn
Darwin's Landscape Laboratory	2009-01-28	deferredpending
Pontcysyllte Aqueduct and Canal	2008-01-11	inscribed
Twin Monastery of Wearmouth-Jarrow	2011-01-24	withdrawn
City of Bath	1986-12-23	inscribed
Dorset and East Devon Coast	2000-06-26	inscribed

Comment

489's title should be SS Great Britain and Western Dock

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable

Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants / experts	Not applicable
Site manager / coordinator	Good

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	Some benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	Limited benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	Some benefit
Improved presentation of sites	Some benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	Some benefit
Increased funding	Low benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Some benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Some benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	Some benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Limited benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

4.4 - Comments

The effect of some of the above benefits are perceived differently in different parts of the UK. This reflects differing approaches by the different administrations and also the extent to which the individual properties have used their World Heritage inscription in their subsequent actions.

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

Comment

Legislation is listed in Question 5.2. Legislation in most parts of the UK is under review and likely to change

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

Wildlife and Countryside Act / 1981 as amended later / regional (England, Scotland, Wales) / natural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1981/69
Countryside and Rights of Way Act / 2000 / regional (England, Wales) / natural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2000/37/contents
The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations / 2010 / regional (England, Wales) / natural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2010/490/contents/made
Wildlife (Northern Ireland) Order / 1985 / regional (Northern Ireland) / natural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisi/1985/171/contents
Nature Conservation and Amenity Lands (Northern Ireland) Order / 1985 / regional (Northern Ireland) / natural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisi/1985/170/contents
The Conservation (Natural Habitats etc) Regulations (Northern Ireland) / 1995 as amended / regional (Northern Ireland) / natural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisr/1995/380/contents/made
Land Reform (Scotland) Act / 1993 / regional (Scotland) / natural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2003/2/contents
Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act / 1994 / regional (Scotland) / natural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2004/6/contents
Protection of Wrecks Act / 1973 / National / Cultural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1973/33/contents
Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas / 1973 / regional (England, Scotland, Wales) / cultural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1979/46/contents

National Heritage Act / 1983 as amended / regional (England) / cultural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1983/47/contents
National Heritage Act / 2002 / regional (England) / cultural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/14/contents
Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act (England and Wales) / 1990 / regional (England and Wales) / cultural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/9/contents
Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation) (Wales) Regulations / 2012 / regional (Wales) / cultural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2012/793/contents/made
Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act / 1997 / regional (Scotland) / cultural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1997/9/contents
Historic Monuments and Archaeological Objects (Northern Ireland) Order / 1995 / regional (Northern Ireland) / cultural / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisi/1995/1625/contents
Town and Country Planning Act (England and Wales) / 1990 / regional (England and Wales) / spatial planning / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/8/contents
Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act / 2004 / regional (England) / spatial planning / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/5/contents
Localism Act / 2011 / regional (England) / spatial planning / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/contents
Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act / 2013 / regional (England) / spatial planning / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2013/24/contents
The Planning (Northern Ireland) Order / 1991 / regional (Northern Ireland) / spatial planning / The Planning (Northern Ireland) Order / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisi/1999/660/contents
The Strategic Planning (Northern Ireland) Order / 1999 / regional (Northern Ireland) / spatial planning / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisi/1999/660/contents
Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act / 1997 / regional (Scotland) / spatial planning / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1997/8/contents
The Planning Etc (Scotland) Act / 2006 / regional (Scotland) / spatial planning / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2006/17/contents
The Environmental Liability (Scotland) Regulations / 2009 / regional (Scotland) / spatial planning / http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ssi/2009/266/contents/made

5.3 - Comment

Each part of the UK issues guidance on how the legislation and regulation should be used to protect heritage: England; National Planning Policy Framework, Circular 07/09 (protection of World Heritage) Northern Ireland: PPS 6 Planning, Archaeology & the Built Environment Scotland: Scottish Historic Environment Policy (SHEP) Wales: Planning Policy Wales (5th edition, 2012), Chapter 6 Conserving the Historic Environment; Historic Environment Strategy for Wales (2012)

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered

Comment

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic, EU Birds Directive, EU Habitats

Directive, EU Water Framework Directive, RAMSAR Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, Man and the Biosphere Programme, Geoparks Programme, Bern Convention on Conservation of European Natural and Wildlife Habitats, CoE Cultural Convention, Convention on Architectural Heritage, Convention on Archaeological Heritage (revised), European Landscape Convention

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is adequate coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

5.10 - Comments

5.2 lists the principal items of legislation/regulation dealing with the conservation of the natural and cultural heritage in the UK. Natural heritage legislation is listed first, then cultural heritage, and finally spatial planning. It should be noted that the planning systems in all parts of the UK are liable to change in coming years. Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies have their own legal protection systems which are generally effective in protecting cultural and natural heritage

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

All principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage are effectively cooperating with other government agencies.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

6.5 - Comments

6.1 The level of cooperation between principal natural and cultural heritage agencies varies in different parts of the UK. In Northern Ireland, all functions are carried out in one body

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

- The Costs and Benefits of World Heritage Status in the UK / December 2007
national
cultural and natural
examined the extent to which UK World Heritage properties generated benefits
- World Heritage Status: is there opportunity for economic gain? / 2009
International and national
cultural and natural
<http://www.lakeswhs.co.uk/documents.html>
examined conditions required for World Heritage properties to make an economic and social contribution with case studies from around the world but very much focused on the prospects for the Lake District

7.3 - Comments

There are also individual economic benefit studies for some individual UK World Heritage properties

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

National government funds	Major source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of sustained funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Minor source of fixed term funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Not applicable
NGOs (international and / or national)	Major source of sustained funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Major source of fixed term funding

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

No

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

No

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

8.6 - Comments

'Other' funding is grants from the Heritage Lottery Fund and other distributors of funds from the National Lottery for project work on individual World Heritage properties

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

-

9.2 - Training needs

Conservation	Medium priority
Education	Medium priority
Promotion	Medium priority
Interpretation	Medium priority
Administration	Very low priority
Visitor management	Low priority
Community outreach	Medium priority
Risk preparedness	Medium priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Very low priority
Other	Not applicable

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

9.4 - Comments

Too many institutions offer training in the conservation field to list just five of them. A current survey of employers and freelancers regarding skills and workforce development issues within the cultural heritage sector is in hand, and this may lead towards the updating of the Cultural Heritage Blueprint.

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others

Yes

10.3 - Comments

The principal form of international cooperation is the management of the Frontiers of the Roman Empire transnational property

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National
Regional
Local

11.1.2 - Films / TV

Information
Awareness Raising
Regional
Local

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

Information
Awareness Raising
National
Regional
Local

11.1.4 - Internet

Information
Awareness Raising
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

Not applicable

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

Information
Awareness Raising
Education

Regional
Local

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National
Regional
Local

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

Not applicable

11.1.9 - Comments

11.1.5 The Clydesdale Bank produces World Heritage themed banknotes

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

Private Sector	Fair
Youth	Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not Applicable
General public	Poor

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme*.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Never
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Often
Youth Forums	Often
Skills-training courses for students	Never
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Regularly
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	
Other (comment below)	

11.2.5 - Comments

While the UK does not participate actively in the World Heritage in Young Hands, there is considerable educational work on some aspects of World Heritage and this has been noted in 11.2.4

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

Identification of heritage
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

National Inventories
National : Not applicable
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated
National : Not applicable
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated

12.1.3 - Tentative List

Tentative List
No

12.1.4 - Legal framework

Legal framework
There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

Implementation of international conventions within national policies

12.1.6 - Communities

Communities
Local communities / residents: Fair
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Not applicable
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Fair
Local communities / residents: Good
Indigenous peoples: Not applicable
Landowners: Good

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

Larger-scale planning
There are policies that are effectively implemented.

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

Scientific and Technical Studies and Research
There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

12.1.10 - Financial status

Financial status

Financial status
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

12.1.11 - Human resources

Human resources
Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

12.1.12 - Training

Training
Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

Education, Information and awareness building
Private Sector : Fair
Youth : Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Yes

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

there need to be longer comment boxes. Some of the linkages between answers and the conclusion/ needs identified in section 12 are deficient in that they identify conclusions not based on earlier answers eg non-involvement of local landowners, and do not pick up on gaps which have been identified eg lack of strategy for raising awareness of World Heritage.

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Not applicable
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not applicable
State Party	Not applicable
Site Managers	Not applicable

13.6 - Comments