

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 - State Party

Romania

### 1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

16/05/1990

### 1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators
Non Governmental Organizations
IUCN International
ICOMOS national / regional
External experts
Others

### 1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Daniela Mihai  
Institut National du Patrimoine  
Directeur Programme

#### Comment

phone 0213366073, fax 0123369904

### 1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- Nadia Tunsu  
International Affairs  
Ministry of Culture  
Domain: Cultural
- Ani Matei  
generaly secretary  
Unesco National Commission  
Domain: both

### 1.6 - Comments

## 2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

### 2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Process completed or continually updated
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Process completed or continually updated

### 2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process well-advanced
Local	Process well-advanced
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Process well-advanced

### 2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

### 2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

### 2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

### 2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

### 2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

For Romania, national Invenories are in a continuos process of completion, National List of Historical Monuments should be updated next year.

## 3. Tentative List

### 3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Monumental Ensemble of Targu Jiu, Constantin Brancusi / 2015 /
The Historic centre of Sibiu / 2016 /
The Danube Limes in Romania / 2018 /
The Historic Centre in Sibiu / 2017 /

### 3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies
Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
Other global comparative analysis

### 3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Fair

Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Good

**3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

Yes

**3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

--

**3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?**

Yes

**3.7 - Comments**

**4. Nominations**

**4.1 - Property**

Name	Date of submission	Status
Danube Delta	1990-09-28	inscribed
Biertan and its Fortified Church	1990-09-28	inscribed
Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania	1998-06-29	inscribed
Monastery of Horezu	1990-09-28	inscribed
Churches of Moldavia	1990-09-28	inscribed
Église de la Résurrection du monastère de Sucevita (extension aux Églises de Moldavie)	2005-02-01	Incomplete
Church of the Resurrection of Sucevița Monastery	2007-02-07	referred
Churches of Moldavia	2010-02-01	inscribed
Historic Centre of Sighișoara	1998-06-29	inscribed
The Monastery of Neamt – the Church of the Ascension of Jesus	1998-06-29	deferred
Wooden Churches of Maramureș	1998-06-29	inscribed
Dacian Fortresses of the Orastie Mountains	1998-06-29	inscribed
Sibiu, the Historic Centre	2005-09-29	deferred

**Comment**

The nomination dossier of the Monumental Ensemble of Constantin Brancusi from Targu Jiu was sent to World Heritage Committee in January 2014.

**4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Good

Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Good

**4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	Some benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	High benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit
Improved presentation of sites	Some benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	Some benefit
Increased funding	Some benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	High benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	High benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	High benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Some benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

**4.4 - Comments**

**5. General Policy Development**

**5.1 - Legislation**

**Comment**

Law no. 564 / 2001 that approves the Government Order no. 47 / 2000 regarding the protection measures of the historical monuments that are on the World Heritage List - Decision of the Romanian Government that approves the Methodology regarding the monitoring of the historical monuments inscribed on the World Heritage List and the Methodology regarding the elaboration and the frame content of the protection and marketing plans of the historical monuments that are on the World Heritage List.

**5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1**

--

**5.3 - Comment**

In the national legislation are different level of competences regarding the conservation and protection of the cultural heritage, beginning with the Ministry of Culture, which establish the strategy for the whole process of protection, followed by the Culture Directorate from each County Council which coordinate the process of monitoring and protection of Cultural Heritage. National Institute of Heritage assure the scientific work of inventory for cultural heritage.

**5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?**

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and**

**protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?**

There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

**5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered**

**5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies**

There is adequate coordination and integration.

**5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities**

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes**

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**5.10 - Comments**

**6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

**6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?**

There is effective cooperation between principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?**

All principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage are effectively cooperating with other government agencies.

**6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

**6.5 - Comments**

**7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?**

There is a comprehensive research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**7.2 - Research projects**

- National research project of the Romanian Institute of Art History: Text and Image in the Romanian Painting of the 16th Century / 2011-2014  
National  
Cultural  
www.istoriaartei.ro  
The study of mural painting, principles in restoration works.
- National programme in archaeological researches in the Orastie Mountains / 2012  
National  
Cultural  
http://www.cetati-dacice.ro/  
In 2012 started the Multiannual Archaeological Research Program for the Orăștie Mountains financed by the Romanian Ministry of Culture and coordinated by the National Museum of Transylvanian History of Cluj. It aims to the investigation by modern means and methods of the entire area, the scientific publication and the valorisation for the public of the research results. Until the present day, this is the most important archaeological project ever endeavoured in Romania.
- “Natura 2000” Programme within the Danube river network of protected areas DANUBEPARKS, DDBRA, 2011 / 2011  
national  
natural  
www.ddbra.ro  
Network of protected areas DANUBEPARKS
- Sustcult / 2011-2014  
regional  
cultural  
ww.sustcult.eu  
The final objective for this project was the elaboration of a management plan for Monastery of Hurezi
- Danube limes brand / 2012-2014  
National  
Cultural  
www.danubelimesbrand.org  
Documents regarding the introduction of the Danube limes in Romania on the tentative list

**7.3 - Comments**

**8. Financial Status and Human Resources**

**8.1 - Sources of funding**

National government funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of sustained funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Not applicable
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of sustained funding
NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of sustained funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of fixed term funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Not applicable

**8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage**

Yes

**8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage**

Yes

**8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

The available budget is acceptable to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.

**8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

**8.6 - Comments**

**9. Training**

**9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs**

- National Institute of Heritage / national / cultural training of WHS through the Sustcult Programme, financed by the SEE programme.
- Institute of Architecture, Ion Mincu / National / cultural educational, restoration purposes
- Ministry of Culture, WHC / Workshop on management for World Heritage site managers in South-Eastern Europe / cultural  
The objectives of the workshop was to train participants on World Heritage processes, including reactive monitoring, Outstanding Universal Value as a basis for management, preparation of retrospective Statements of Outstanding Universal Value and the boundary clarifications/minor boundary modifications procedures.
- Unesco Participation Programme, Slovenia / national / both  
Monitoring of World Heritage Sites
- National Institute of Heritage / national / cultural  
Documents for the elaboration of the tentative list regarding the Dnube limes Brand

**9.2 - Training needs**

Conservation	High priority
Education	Medium priority
Promotion	Medium priority
Interpretation	Medium priority
Administration	Medium priority
Visitor management	Medium priority
Community outreach	Medium priority

Risk preparedness	Medium priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium priority
Other	Low priority

**9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?**

There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

**9.4 - Comments**

A national training for the site managers in the problems regarding the protection and administration of the WHS it is necessary.

**10. International Cooperation**

**10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties**

Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others**

No

**10.3 - Comments**

Participation of the Unesco Programme, Monitoring of World Heritage Sites, 2013, with the study case, Historic centre in Sighisoara, Also in Sibiu in 2012 we hosted an international Conference regarding the Site managers involvement in the conservation of WHS, which it was a very good practice exercise for second cycle of periodic reporting, and, also a good lesson learned for the site managers.

**11. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion**

**11.1.1 - Publications**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.2 - Films / TV**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education

International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.3 - Media campaigns**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.4 - Internet**

Information
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.6 - World Heritage Day**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional

**11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Local

**11.1.9 - Comments**

**11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders**

There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage that are being effectively implemented.

**11.2.2 - Level of general awareness**

Private Sector	Fair
Youth	Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
General public	Fair

**11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?**

The State Party participates in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

**11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities**

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Occasionally
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Often
Youth Forums	Occasionally
Skills-training courses for students	Often
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Often
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Often
Other (comment below)	Never

**11.2.5 - Comments**

**12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions**

**12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

**12.1.1 - Identification of heritage**

<b>Identification of heritage</b>
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

**12.1.2 - National Inventories**

<b>National Inventories</b>
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process well-advanced

**12.1.3 - Tentative List**

<b>Tentative List</b>
Yes

**12.1.4 - Legal framework**

<b>Legal framework</b>
There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

**12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies**

<b>Implementation of international conventions within national policies</b>
---

**12.1.6 - Communities**

<b>Communities</b>
Local communities / residents: Fair
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Fair
Local communities / residents: Good
Landowners: Good

**12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning**

<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

<b>Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation</b>
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

<b>Scientific and Technical Studies and Research</b>
There is a comprehensive research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**12.1.10 - Financial status**

<b>Financial status</b>
The available budget is acceptable to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.

**12.1.11 - Human resources**

<b>Human resources</b>
Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

**12.1.12 - Training**

<b>Training</b>
There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

**12.1.13 - International cooperation**

<b>International cooperation</b>
Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building**

<b>Education, Information and awareness building</b>
Private Sector : Fair
Youth : Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Fair

**12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).**

**12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)**

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

5 General Policy Development						
		Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
5.7	There is adequate coordination and integration.	It is a comprehensive policy regarding the Unesco monuments, the implementation and all the instruments which are part of thid policy are in process of complete implementation.	Since 4 years ago, in Romania we have a very applied policy regarding the protection of the WHS , including the sharing responsibilities regarding the management plan, which is the crucial document for the protection and valorization of the WHM.	Ministry of Culture, National Institute of Heritage, County Council Directorate, Unesco National Comission ,	on going process	no

**12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

**13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

Yes

**13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:**

Maybe a more interactive way involving the local communities.

**13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Fair
IUCN national / regional	Fair

**13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
Advisory Bodies	Fair
State Party	Good
Site Managers	Fair

**13.6 - Comments**

**14. Thank you**