

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Moldova, Republic of

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

23/09/2002

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
ICOMOS national / regional

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Sergius Ciocanu
Ministère de la Culture
Chef de la Direction du Patrimoine culturel et des arts visuels

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

-

1.6 - Comments

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state	Process well-advanced
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state	Not applicable
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

The term "to use the registers for the protection of heritage" is not clear for understanding

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

orheiu vechi / 2016-17 /

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
Other global comparative analysis

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Not applicable

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Yes

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

--

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

3.7 - Comments

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Struve Geodetic Arc	2004-01-28	inscribed
The Cultural Landscape Orheiu Vechi	2008-01-25	withdrawn

Comment

the right name is - Orheiul Vechi

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Fair
Site manager / coordinator	Not applicable

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	High benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	High benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit
Improved presentation of sites	High benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	High benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	High benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	High benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	High benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	High benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	

4.4 - Comments

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

Comment

Law on the protection of monuments 1993 Law on Orheiul Vechi cultural-natural reserve 2008 Law on the protection of archaeological heritage 2010 Law on the protection of movable national cultural heritage 2011 Law on the protection of intangible cultural heritage 2012

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

--

5.3 - Comment

In the base of the Law on the protection of archaeological heritage was created the National Archaeologic Agency which implements in the country the principles of the preventive archaeology, is responsible for archaeological inventory and registry. Now the new draft Law of culture and the draft Law on the protection of historic monuments in the process of examination and adoption. It will enhance the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural heritage of Moldova.

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is inadequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered

Comment

CoE Convention on the protection of the european architectural heritage CoE convention on the protection of the archaeological heritage of Europe European cultural convention European landscape convention UNESCO Convention on the protection of intangible cultural heritage CoE Framework Convention on the Value of Cultural Heritage for Society UNESCO Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is limited coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.10 - Comments

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

There is limited cooperation between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is some capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.

6.5 - Comments

Republic of Moldova proposed in the draft Law on the protection of historic monuments to establish in the country the National Institute on Historic Monuments which will carry out the identification, study, inventory and registry of monuments, develop and implement technologies and technics for conservation/restauration, preparation of the nomination dossiers for WHL etc.

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

-

7.3 - Comments

Republic of Moldova proposed in the draft Law on the protection of historic monuments to establish in the country the National Institute on Historic Monuments which will carry out the identification, study, inventory and registry of monuments, preparation of the nomination dossiers for WHL and carry out for this purpose specific technical studies and scientific research.

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

National government funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of fixed term funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Not applicable
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Not applicable
NGOs (international and / or national)	Not applicable
Private sector funds	Not applicable
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

No

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

No

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and natural heritage.

8.6 - Comments

Accordingly to the new draft Law on the protection of historic monuments it will be create conditions to develop the national system of preparing specialists in the field of protection of cultural heritage.

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

-

9.2 - Training needs

Conservation	High priority
Education	High priority
Promotion	High priority
Interpretation	High priority
Administration	Medium priority
Visitor management	Medium priority
Community outreach	Medium priority
Risk preparedness	Medium priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium priority
Other	

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

9.4 - Comments

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

No cooperation
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others

No

10.3 - Comments

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

Information
Local

11.1.2 - Films / TV

Information
National

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

Information

11.1.4 - Internet

Information
Local

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

Information
National

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

Information
Awareness Raising
National
Local

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

Not applicable

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

Not applicable

11.1.9 - Comments

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

Private Sector	No awareness
Youth	Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites	No awareness

Tourism industry	No awareness
Decision makers and public officials	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
General public	Poor

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme*.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	
Youth Forums	
Skills-training courses for students	
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	
Other (comment below)	

11.2.5 - Comments

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

Identification of heritage
Inventories capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

National Inventories
National : Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state : Process well-advanced
National : Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state : Not applicable

12.1.3 - Tentative List

Tentative List
Yes

12.1.4 - Legal framework

Legal framework
There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

Implementation of international conventions within national policies

12.1.6 - Communities

Communities
Local communities / residents: Not applicable
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Not applicable
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Not applicable
Local communities / residents: Not applicable
Indigenous peoples: Not applicable
Landowners: Not applicable

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

Larger-scale planning
There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

Scientific and Technical Studies and Research
There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

12.1.10 - Financial status

Financial status
The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.11 - Human resources

Human resources
Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.12 - Training

Training
Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation
No cooperation
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

Education, Information and awareness building
Private Sector : No awareness
Youth : Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites : No awareness

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

2 Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage						
	Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?	
2.3	Inventories capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.	It will be actualised existed national registries/inventories.	For Archaeological Registry is responsible Archaeological Agency created by the Min. of Culture in 2012. Accordigly to the draft Law on hist. monuments it will be created an institution responsible for the National Registry of Historic Monuments	Ministry of Culture	till to 2020	it require international assistance for
5 General Policy Development						
5.4	The legal framework is inadequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	1. Adoption of the new Law on the protection of historic monuments and 2. Adoption of the new Law on Culture	The new laws will implement the provisions of the UNESCO and CoE documents reg. the built heritage and create a legal adequate basis for identification, conservation and protection of cultural heritage	Ministry of Culture	2014-2015	not require assistance
5.7	There is limited coordination or integration of different legal instruments for the development of heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies.	To change provisions of the legal national instruments in the sense of elimination of disfunctions and assure real coordination/integration of all legal instruments for the development of heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies.	.	Ministry of Culture	till to 2016	not require assistance
6 Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation						
6.2	There is limited capacity to adequately conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage and significant deficiencies remain.	Creation of the National Institute for Historic Monuments. Strengthen capacities of the State Inspectorate for Historic Monuments	.	Ministry of Culture	till to 2016	the creation of the National Institute for Historic Monuments will require international assistance for
9 Training						
9.3	Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.	Elaboration of the national strategy and in fact to create the state system of capacity development in the field. Prepare architects and craftsmen specialised in heritage conservation	.	Ministry of Culture	2015-2017	capacity development in the field of cultural heritage will require international assistance for

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

To develop the Tentative List and to submit new nominations for WHL

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Yes

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Good
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	
Advisory Bodies	
State Party	
Site Managers	

13.6 - Comments

14. Thank you