

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 - State Party

Poland

### 1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

29/06/1976

### 1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators
External experts

### 1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Anna Marconi-Betka  
National Heritage Board of Poland

Heritage Policy

#### Comment

Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention are: - Małgorzata Omilanowska, Minister of Culture and National Heritage, ul. Krakowskie Przedmieście 15/17, 00-071 Warszawa, tel: 0048224210401, fax:0048228267533, email: minister@mkidn.gov.pl - Maciej Grabowski, Minister of Environment, ul. Wawelska 52/54, 00-922 Warszawa, tel: 0048225792400, fax: 0048225792224, email: info@mos.gov.pl

### 1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- Piotr Żuchowski  
Secretary of State, General Monument's Inspector  
Ministry of Culture and National Heritage  
Domain: cultural
- -  
Chief Nature Conservator  
Ministry of Environment  
Domain: natural
- Michał Kielsznia  
General Director  
General Directorate for Environmental Protection  
Domain: natural
- Małgorzata Rozbicka  
Director  
National Heritage Board of Poland  
Domain: cultural
- Barbara Bielinis-Kopeć  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Lubuskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Janusz Cedro  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Świętokrzyskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Sambor Gawiński  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Kujawsko-Pomorskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Jan Janczykowski  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Małopolskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Rafał Nadolny  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Mazowieckie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Magdalena Lachowska  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Śląskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Barbara Nowak-Obelinda  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Dolnośląskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Dariusz Chmielewski  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Pomorskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Andrzej Nowakowski  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Podlaskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Iwona Solisz  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Opolskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Ewa Stanecka  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Zachodniopomorskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Jolanta Goszczyńska  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Wielkopolskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Wojciech Szygendowski  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Łódzkie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Barbara Zalewska  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Warmińsko-Mazurskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Halina Landecka  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Lubelskie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural
- Grażyna Stojak  
Regional Monument's Inspector  
Podkarpackie Regional Monument's Protection Office  
Domain: cultural

### 1.6 - Comments

National Service for Monuments Protection / Regional Monuments Inspectors/ is supported by the local governments' Monuments Protection Officers, whose competences are each and every time stipulated in the specific arrangements made in this respect, granting them relevant competences.

## 2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

### 2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Process completed or continually updated
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

### 2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

### 2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

### 2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

### 2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

### 2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

### 2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

## 3. Tentative List

### 3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Tarnowskie Góry / 2015 /

### 3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
Others (please provide details in 3.7)

### 3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Poor
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Good

### 3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Yes

### 3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

### 3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

### 3.7 - Comments

re: 3.3.12: The Committee for Cultural World Heritage in Poland, a counselling body for the Minister of Culture and National Heritage established in 2007. Its tasks include issuing opinions and accepting proposals of entries to the Polish Tentative List.

## 4. Nominations

### 4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Cracow's Historic Centre	1978-06-06	inscribed
Historic Centre of Kraków	2010-01-29	inscribed
Historic Centre of Warsaw	1978-06-06	inscribed
Historic Centre of Warsaw	2012-10-25	referred
Auschwitz Birkenau German Nazi Concentration and Extermination Camp (1940-1945)	1978-06-06	inscribed
Wieliczka Salt Mine	1978-06-06	inscribed
Wieliczka Salt Mine	2008-02-01	inscribed
Wieliczka and Bochnia Royal Salt Mines	2011-02-11	inscribed
Monastery of Jasna Gora at Czestohowa	1989-11-02	deferred
Old City of Zamość	1989-11-02	inscribed
Medieval Town of Toruń	1996-07-02	inscribed
Castle of the Teutonic Order in Malbork	1996-08-19	inscribed
Gdansk: the main town, the Motława Side Channel, and the Vistula Mouth Fortress	2004-12-23	withdrawn
Kalwaria Zebrzydowska: the Mannerist Architectural and Park Landscape Complex and Pilgrimage Park	1998-06-29	inscribed
Wooden Churches of Southern Little Poland	2000-06-30	deferred
Wooden Churches of Southern Małopolska	2001-10-16	inscribed

Name	Date of submission	Status
Churches of Peace in Jawor and Świdnica	2000-06-30	inscribed
The Valley of the Pradnik River in the Ojcowski National Park	2002-01-21	not inscribed
Muskauer Park / Park Mużakowski	2002-07-18	inscribed
Cistercian Abbey in Krzeszów	2003-01-27	withdrawn
Centennial Hall in Wrocław	2003-01-31	inscribed
Gdańsk – The Site of Memory and Freedom	2005-10-21	withdrawn
Augustowski Canal – a work of man and nature	2008-10-02	withdrawn
Augustowski Canal – a work of man and nature	2008-01-02	Incomplete
Wooden Tserkvas of the Carpathian Region in Poland and Ukraine	2012-01-30	inscribed
Belovezhskaya Pushcha / Białowieża Forest	1978-06-06	inscribed
Belovezhskaya Pushcha / Białowieża Forest	1998-09-14	
Białowieża Forest	2012-01-30	Incomplete
Białowieża Forest	2013-01-30	

**Comment**

The table contains a series of errors and inaccuracies. In order to correct them, a corrected table was sent in a separate file.

**4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Poor
Consultants / experts	Fair
Site manager / coordinator	Good

**4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	Some benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	Some benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit
Improved presentation of sites	Some benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	Some benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Limited benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	High benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	Some benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Some benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

**4.4 - Comments**

**5. General Policy Development**

**5.1 - Legislation**

**5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1**

Act on the Protection of Monuments and the Guardianship of Monuments / 2003 / national / cultural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20031621568">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20031621568</a>
Act on Spatial Planning and Land Development / 2003 (consolidated text 2012) / national / cultural and natural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20030800717">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20030800717</a>
Geology and Mining Law / 2011 / national / cultural and natural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20111630981">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20111630981</a>
Act on Nature Conservation / 2004 (consolidated text 2009) / national / natural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20091511220">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20091511220</a>
Environment Protection Law / 2001 / national / natural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20010620627">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20010620627</a>
Act on Disclosing Information about the Environment and Environment Protection, Social Participation in Environment Protection and Environmental Impact Assessments / 2008 / national / natural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20081991227">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20081991227</a>
Act on Animal Protection / 1997 / national / natural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU19971110724">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU19971110724</a>
Construction Law / 1994 (consolidated text 2010) / national / cultural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20102431623">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20102431623</a>
Water Law Act / 2001 (consolidated text 2012) / national / cultural and natural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20011151229">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20011151229</a>
Act on Forests / 1991 / national / natural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU19911010444">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU19911010444</a>
Act on Municipal Government / 1990 (consolidated text 2001) / national / cultural / <a href="http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20011421591">http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/DetailsServlet?id=WDU20011421591</a>

**5.3 - Comment**

There is a series of regulations – executive acts to the aforementioned acts, which regulate and detail the implementation of the issues discussed in the acts. Moreover, at the local level, the issues related to protection are also regulated in the municipal acts of law.

**5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?**

The legal framework is inadequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?**

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

**5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered**

**Comment**

Poland ratified a series of conventions pertaining to protection of cultural and natural heritage, which list was sent in a separate file. Re: 5.4 and 5.5, the legal framework does exist, yet the major problem lies in the lack of cohesion and compatibility between individual acts of law.

**5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies**

There is limited coordination and integration.

**5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities**

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes**

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**5.10 - Comments**

Question 5.7 contains different responses related to the cultural and natural heritage. Response 5.7.3 is relevant to natural heritage. A separate issue is the issue of the standards regulating the internal organisation and functioning of churches or religious communities and the ensuring specific character of protection and maintenance of sacral monuments.

**6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

**6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?**

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

**6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?**

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is some capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.

**6.5 - Comments**

**7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?**

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

**7.2 - Research projects**

- Outstanding Universal Value and Monitoring of World Heritage properties. Improvement of the Existing Protection and Management System for Sites inscribed on the World Heritage List – Preparation of Statement of Outstanding Universal Value and Monitoring Indicators Based on Polish and Norwegian Experience. / 2009-2011  
national/local  
cultural  
www.icomos-poland.org  
project was conducted from national and international perspective based on chosen local case studies
- Management of UNESCO World Heritage Sites in Poland and Norway / 2009-2011  
local  
cultural  
http://www.mck.krakow.pl/page/zarzadzanie-miejscami-wpisanymi-na-liste-swiatowego-dziedzictwa-unesco-w-polsce-i-norwegii  
The target of the project was to exchange knowledge and experience between managers of Polish and Norwegian World Heritage sites

**7.3 - Comments**

**8. Financial Status and Human Resources**

**8.1 - Sources of funding**

National government funds	Major source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of fixed term funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Major source of fixed term funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of fixed term funding
NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of fixed term funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of fixed term funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Not applicable

**8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage**

Yes

**8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage**

Yes

**8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

**8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

**8.6 - Comments**

-

**9. Training**

**9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs**

- UNESCO International Summer School in Zamość / international / cultural Summer School providing 10 days courses for specialists from Eastern European countries/
- Management of UNESCO World Heritage Cultural Sites in Visegrad Countries. / international / cultural Summer educational course for young heritage professionals. Its primary objective is to exchange experiences and good practices in the field of management and preservation of the UNESCO World Heritage Sites.

**9.2 - Training needs**

Conservation	High priority
Education	High priority
Promotion	Medium priority
Interpretation	High priority
Administration	High priority
Visitor management	High priority
Community outreach	High priority
Risk preparedness	High priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium priority
Other	Not applicable

**9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?**

There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

**9.4 - Comments**

Nature conservation is implemented according to the National Strategy for the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Biological Diversity together with an Action Programme.

National Programme to Monuments Protection and Monuments Preservation was adopted in June 2014 and it is now being launched.

**10. International Cooperation**

**10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties**

Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others**

Yes

**10.3 - Comments**

**11. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion**

**11.1.1 - Publications**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.2 - Films / TV**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.3 - Media campaigns**

Information
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.4 - Internet**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals**

Information
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.6 - World Heritage Day**

Information
Education
Regional
Local

**11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National

**11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)**

Information
Awareness Raising
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.9 - Comments**

Re 11.1.8. Organisation of the World Heritage Cities (OWHC) -informative, educational and promotional functions, international and national scope. League of UNESCO Cities and Sites (Liga Polskich Miast i Miejsc UNESCO) - informative, promotional function, national scope.

**11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders**

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

**11.2.2 - Level of general awareness**

Private Sector	Poor
Youth	Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not Applicable
General public	Poor

**11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?**

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme*.

**11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities**

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Never
--	-------

Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Never
Youth Forums	Never
Skills-training courses for students	Never
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Never
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Never
Other (comment below)	Never

**11.2.5 - Comments**

Question 11.2.2. contains different responses related to cultural and natural heritage. Grade "good" (4) was adopted for natural heritage, i.e. 11.2.2.5

**12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions**

**12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

**12.1.1 - Identification of heritage**

<b>Identification of heritage</b>
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

**12.1.2 - National Inventories**

<b>National Inventories</b>
National : Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated

**12.1.3 - Tentative List**

<b>Tentative List</b>
Yes

**12.1.4 - Legal framework**

<b>Legal framework</b>
Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

**12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies**

<b>Implementation of international conventions within national policies</b>
---

**12.1.6 - Communities**

<b>Communities</b>
Local communities / residents: Poor
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Not applicable
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Fair
Local communities / residents: Good
Indigenous peoples: Not applicable
Landowners: Good

**12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning**

<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

<b>Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation</b>
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

<b>Scientific and Technical Studies and Research</b>
There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

**12.1.10 - Financial status**

<b>Financial status</b>
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

**12.1.11 - Human resources**

<b>Human resources</b>
Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

**12.1.12 - Training**

<b>Training</b>
There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

**12.1.13 - International cooperation**

<b>International cooperation</b>
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building**

<b>Education, Information and awareness building</b>
Private Sector : Poor
Youth : Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Fair

**12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).**

**12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)**

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

5 General Policy Development						
	Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?	
5.4	<b>The legal framework is inadequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.</b>	Working out procedures of conduct regarding the World Heritage properties as well as legal relations between the Convention and the acts of Polish law within the Polish system of protection and preservation of cultural and natural heritage.	Existing cultural and natural heritage protection systems have multiple layers, are varied and sufficient in order to provide relevant protection and preservation, yet there are certain shortages in the relations between individual acts of law.	the Polish Government/Parliament (acts); the Ministry of Culture and National Heritage and the Ministry of Environment (regulations, decisions, circulars regarding implementation principles).	a long-term task	-
5.7	<b>There is limited coordination or integration of different legal instruments for the development of heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies.</b>	Systematic changes/updates to different legal acts that facilitate the monuments protection system. Increased awareness in the administrative structures and various institutions related to these areas.	In both systems: of monument and nature protection, there is a division of competences between individual levels of the state administration, and there is a difference in the way they operate, with the nature protection system being more effective.	the Polish Government, regional, local and municipal administration structures	a long-term task	-



**12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

-

**13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

No

**13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:**

Not all questions are worded clearly/precisely enough; in some cases there are different responses for cultural and natural heritage – there should be separate tables. The comments boxes should be bigger.

**13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Not applicable
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

**13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most required information was accessible

**13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Fair
Advisory Bodies	Not applicable
State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair

**13.6 - Comments**

-

**14. Thank you**