

**1. Introduction**

**1.1 - State Party**

Germany

**1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention**

23/08/1976

**1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting**

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators

**1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention**

- Birgitta Ringbeck  
Auswärtiges Amt  
National World Heritage Focal Point  
Referat 603-9  
Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik
- Barbara Engels  
Federal Agency for Nature Conservation  
Programme Officer World Heritage  
International Nature Conservation

**Comment**

Auswärtiges Amt National World Heritage Focal Point Dr. Birgitta Ringbeck Referat 603-9 Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik Werderscher Markt 1 10117 Berlin Germany Telephone: +49(0)3018174784 Fax: +49(0)30181754784 Email: birgitta.ringbeck@diplo.de Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety Ms. Nicola Breier Division N I 4 International cooperation on biological diversity Robert-Schuman-Platz 3 53175 Bonn Germany e-mail: Nicola.Breier@bmu.bund.de

**1.5 - Other key institutions responsible**

- Werner Nagel  
The Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs of the Länder in the Federal Republic of Germany  
Domain: cultural
- Barbara Engels  
WH officer  
Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (BfN)  
Domain: natural

**1.6 - Comments**

In the Federal Republic of Germany, monument protection is the responsibility of the Federal States. Supreme Monument Protection Authorities are the competent ministries. The Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs of the Länder in the Federal Republic of Germany is the coordinating body. The Federal Agency for Nature Conservation is the sub-ordinated scientific authority under the Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety.

**2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage**

**2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)**

National	No process established
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Process completed or continually updated
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

**2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)**

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

**2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?**

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

**2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?**

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

**2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?**

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

**2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?**

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

**2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)**

Due to the cultural autonomy cultural affairs are an issue of the 16 Länder in Germany. The Monument protection laws of the Länder guarantee the protection of listed monuments on local and regional level. The Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs is the co-ordinating body of the Länder responsible for a common tentative list and international communication.

**3. Tentative List**

**3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)**

Speicherstadt and Chilehouse with Kontorhausviertel, Hamburg / 2014 /
---

The Naumburg Cathedral and the landscape of the rivers Saale and Unstrut, Saxony Anhalt / 2014 /
Francke Foundation Buildings, Halle / 2015 /
Mining Cultural Landscape Erzgebirge / Krusnohori, Saxony/czech Republic / 2015 /

**3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value**

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
Other global comparative analysis
Others (please provide details in 3.7)

**3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	No involvement
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Local industries	Good
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Good

**3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

Yes

**3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

--

**3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?**

Yes

**3.7 - Comments**

The process of reviewing the German tentative list started 2012. The KMK will decide on cultural proposals of the Länder based on an expert panel recommendation in 2015. The Länder are also invited to submit proposals for natural heritage for the Tentative List taking into account the screening study (see 2.7). Submission is planned for 2015.

**4. Nominations**

**4.1 - Property**

Name	Date of submission	Status
Aachen Cathedral	1978-04-03	inscribed
Speyer Cathedral	1980-12-31	inscribed

Name	Date of submission	Status
Würzburg Residence with the Court Gardens and Residence Squar	1980-12-31	inscribed
Würzburg Residence with the Court Gardens and Residence Square	2010-02-18	inscribed
The church of St Michael, Hildesheim	1981-12-22	
St Mary's Cathedral and St Michael's Church at Hildesheim	1985-01-07	inscribed
St Mary's Cathedral and St Michael's Church at Hildesheim	2008-02-01	inscribed
St Elizabeth Church at Marburg	1982-12-23	withdrawn
Pilgrimage Church of Wies	1982-12-23	inscribed
Pilgrimage Church of Wies	2011-01-27	inscribed
Hanseatic City of Lübeck	1982-12-23	deferred
Hanseatic City of Lübeck	1986-12-31	inscribed
Hanseatic City of Lübeck	2009-01-09	inscribed
Castles of Augustusburg and Falkenlust at Brühl	1983-08-25	inscribed
Cologne Cathedral	1983-11-08	
Cologne Cathedral	1995-08-11	inscribed
Cologne Cathedral	2008-02-01	inscribed
Roman Monuments, Cathedral of St Peter and Church of Our Lady in Trier	1985-08-06	inscribed
Cathedral	1986-12-10	deferred
Hadrian's Wall	1986-12-23	inscribed
Frontiers of the Roman Empire	2004-01-29	inscribed
Frontiers of the Roman Empire	2007-01-23	inscribed
The Wadden Sea	1988-12-29	deferred
Monastery of Lorsch	1988-12-28	deferred
Abbey and Altenmünster of Lorsch	1991-04-11	inscribed
Abbey and Altenmünster of Lorsch	2010-01-26	referred
Abbey and Altenmünster of Lorsch	2011-01-31	inscribed
Palaces and Parks of Potsdam and Berlin	1989-10-13	inscribed
Palaces and Parks of Potsdam and Berlin	1991-03-08	inscribed
Palaces and Parks of Potsdam and Berlin	1998-06-03	inscribed
Dresden, Cultural and Historic Centre	1989-10-13	withdrawn
Wöerlitz Park	1989-10-13	deferred
Garden Kingdom of Dessau-Wörlitz	1999-06-17	inscribed
Quedlinburg	1989-10-13	deferred
Collegiate Church, Castle and Old Town of Quedlinburg	1993-07-05	inscribed
Magdeburg Cathedral	1989-10-13	deferred
Cathedral of St Maurice and St Catherine in Magdeburg	1998-06-25	withdrawn
Maulbronn Monastery	1989-09-28	deferred
Maulbronn Monastery Complex	1992-10-27	inscribed
Mines of Rammelsberg and Historic Town of Goslar	1991-10-01	inscribed
Mines of Rammelsberg, Historic Town of Goslar and Upper Harz Water Management System	2008-01-22	inscribed
Mines of Rammelsberg and Historic Town of Goslar	2008-02-01	inscribed
Town of Bamberg	1991-10-01	inscribed
Völklingen Ironworks	1993-09-15	inscribed
Messel Pit Fossil Site	1994-10-04	inscribed
Messel Pit Fossil Site	2010-01-26	inscribed
Bauhaus and its Sites in Weimar and Dessau	1994-09-28	inscribed
Luther Memorials in Eisleben and Wittenberg	1995-10-18	inscribed
Classical Weimar	1996-07-25	inscribed
Museuminsel (Museum Island), Berlin	1998-06-25	inscribed
Wartburg Castle	1998-06-25	inscribed
Monastic Island of Reichenau	1999-06-28	inscribed

Name	Date of submission	Status
Zollverein Coal Mine Industrial Complex in Essen	1999-06-28	inscribed
Upper Middle Rhine Valley	2000-12-28	inscribed
Historic Centres of Stralsund and Wismar	2000-12-28	inscribed
Town Hall and Roland on the Marketplace of Bremen	2002-01-22	inscribed
Muskauer Park / Park Mużakowski	2002-07-18	inscribed
Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and the Ancient Beech Forests of Germany	2010-01-29	inscribed
Old town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof	2003-01-30	inscribed
Dresden Elbe Valley	2003-01-30	inscribed
Heidelberg Castle and Old Town	2003-09-29	referred
Heidelberg Castle and Old Town	2006-01-27	deferred
Berlin Modernism Housing Estates	2005-09-30	inscribed
Schwetzingen – A Prince Elector’s Summer Residence – Garden Design and Freemasonic Allusions	2007-01-24	withdrawn
Schwetzingen: a Prince Elector’s Summer Residence	2010-01-28	deferredpending
The Wadden Sea	2008-01-30	inscribed
The Wadden Sea	2011-01-28	inscribed
The Architectural and Urban Work of Le Corbusier	2008-02-01	referred
The architectural work of Le Corbusier, an outstanding contribution to the Modern Movement	2011-01-31	deferredpending
Prehistoric Pile dwellings around the Alps	2010-01-26	inscribed
Fagus Factory in Alfeld	2010-01-28	inscribed
Margravial Opera House Bayreuth	2010-02-01	inscribedpending
Water features and Hercules within the Bergpark Wilhelmshöhe	2012-01-19	

**Comment**

1281: deferred (2012) 1321rev: deferred (2012) 1379: inscribed (2012) The following nominations have been submitted: The Carolinian westwork and Civitas Corvey and The Waddensea (extension) have been submitted in 2013 and are under evaluation.

**4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	No involvement
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Local industries	Good
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Good

**4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	Some benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	High benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit
Improved presentation of sites	High benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit





Increased funding	High benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Some benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	High benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	Some benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Some benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Some benefit

**4.4 - Comments**

4.3.1: Nomination and inscription of properties to the World Heritage List have also considerably enhanced international cooperation (in case of transboundary and transnational properties).

**5. General Policy Development**

**5.1 - Legislation**

Title	Year	Link to source
Gesetz zum Schutz deutschen Kulturgutes gegen Abwanderung	1955	
Kulturgüterrückgabegesetz	2007	
Gesetz zur Ausfuehrung der Konvention vom 14. mai 1954 zum Schutz von Kulturgut bei bewaffneten Konflikten	2007	
Verordnung über das Verzeichnis wertvollen Kulturgutes nach dem Kulturgüterrückgabegesetz	2008	

**Comment**

Delete the above mentioned pieces of legislation and add the piece of legislation below

**5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1**

Nature Conservation Act / 2009 / national / natural / <a href="http://www.bmu.de/fileadmin/bmu-import/files/english/pdf/application/pdf/bnatschg_en_bf.pdf">http://www.bmu.de/fileadmin/bmu-import/files/english/pdf/application/pdf/bnatschg_en_bf.pdf</a>
Umweltschadensgesetz (USchadG) / 2007 / national / natural / <a href="http://bundesrecht.juris.de/uschadg/index.html">http://bundesrecht.juris.de/uschadg/index.html</a>
Bundesartenschutzverordnung (BArtSchV) / 2005 / national / natural / <a href="http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/bartschv_2005/index.html">http://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/bartschv_2005/index.html</a>

**5.3 - Comment**

Monument protection is the responsibility of the Länder and is governed by also a respective monument protection acts at State level. ([http://www.kulturgutschutz-deutschland.de/DE/2\\_Rechtsgrundlagen/2\\_rechtsgrundlagen\\_node.html](http://www.kulturgutschutz-deutschland.de/DE/2_Rechtsgrundlagen/2_rechtsgrundlagen_node.html)). Other important laws are: the Federal Building Code and the building regulations of the Federal States as well as the acts under which these are implemented. The respective Nature Conservation Acts of the 16 Länder: [http://www.bfn.de/0506\\_textsammlung.html#c43431](http://www.bfn.de/0506_textsammlung.html#c43431)

**5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party’s cultural and natural heritage?**

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party’s cultural and natural heritage be enforced?**

There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

**5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered**

**Comment**

Hague Convention 1954 Hague Protocol 1954 + 1999 London Convention 1969 Granada Convention 1985 Malta Convention 1992 Intangible Cultural Heritage Convention 2003 Diversity of Cultural Expressions Convention 2005 Ramsar Convention 1971 CITES 1973 Bonn Convention 1979 Bern Convention 1979 Basel Convention 1989 Espoo Convention 1991 Alpine Convention, 1991 CBD 1992 Helsinki Convention 1992 OSPAR 1992 Trilateral Wadden Sea Cooperation 1978 EU Habitats and Birds Directive 1992/2009

**5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies**

There is adequate coordination and integration.

**5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities**

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes**

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**5.10 - Comments**

5.4/5.7: On national level, the National Biodiversity Strategy adopted by the Federal Cabinet on 7 November 2007, is of significance. 5.9: At federal, state and municipal level Germany has a comprehensive regional and spatial planning system that gives due consideration to the importance of protecting outstanding cultural and natural properties. The Federal Nature Conservation Act provides for countrywide spatial planning, which also gives due consideration to the needs of cultural landscapes.

**6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

**6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?**

There is effective cooperation between principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?**

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is excellent capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

**6.5 - Comments**

none

**7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?**

There is a comprehensive research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**7.2 - Research projects**

- Visual Impact Study Upper Middle Rhine Valley / 2009  
regional  
cultural  
[services.arch.rwth-aachen.de/visual-impact-study-oberes-mittelrheintal](http://services.arch.rwth-aachen.de/visual-impact-study-oberes-mittelrheintal)
- Screening potenzieller deutscher Naturwerte für das UNESCO-Welterbeübereinkommen / 2005  
national  
natural  
<http://www.bfn.de/fileadmin/MDB/documents/service/skr ipt177.pdf>  
national screening for natural values for the WH Convention
- Feasibility Study Beech forests World Heritage / 2006/7  
national  
natural  
none  
none
- Communication strategy for Ancient Beech forests of Germany WH site / 2008-2009  
national/regional  
natural  
none  
none
- Feasibility study on the designation of the European Greenbelt as World Heritage Site / 2012-2014  
national/transnational  
both  
<http://www.landespflege-freiburg.de/forschung/gruenesband.en.htm>  
none
- Terrestrial Biodiversity and the World Heritage List - Identifying broad gaps and potential candidate sites for inclusion in the natural World Heritage network / 2011-2013  
global  
natural  
IUCN  
Funding project to IUCN

**7.3 - Comments**

Among others, the UNESCO Chairs in World Cultural and Urban Landscapes, RWTH Aachen University, and World Heritage Studies, BTU Cottbus, have conducted several research projects concerning the visual integrity and the management of foreign WHS as Historic Areas of Istanbul,

Natural and Culturo-Historical Region of the Region of Kotor, Churches of Peace in Jawor and Swidniczca.

**8. Financial Status and Human Resources**

**8.1 - Sources of funding**

National government funds	Major source of fixed term funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of sustained funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Major source of fixed term funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Not applicable
NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of fixed term funding
Private sector funds	Major source of sustained funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Not applicable

**8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage**

Yes

**8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage**

No

**8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

**8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

**8.6 - Comments**

Between 2009 and 2014, the Federal Ministry of Transport, Building and Urban Development (BMVBS) makes available about 220 million euros for investments in national World Heritage Sites. The financial support allows necessary investments in the preservation of the German sites, assists the sustainable development in the municipalities and releases economic and cultural impulses on the local level

**9. Training**

**9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs**

- Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (BfN), International Academy for Nature Conservation / national / natural

national and international training and capacity building on World Heritage; Klaus-Töpfer-Fellowship Programme

- BTU Cottbus / global / both PhD and Master Programme
- RWTH Aachen / international / cultural UNESCO Chair Department and Institute of Urban Design and Regional Planning
- University Paderborn / national / cultural Master Cultural Heritage
- TU Dresden / international / both UNESCO Chair international Relations

**9.2 - Training needs**

Conservation	High priority
Education	Medium priority
Promotion	Medium priority
Interpretation	Medium priority
Administration	Low priority
Visitor management	Medium priority
Community outreach	High priority
Risk preparedness	Very low priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Very low priority
Other	Not applicable

**9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?**

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

**9.4 - Comments**

9.2: Capacity Building needs vary between natural and cultural heritage stakeholder. The evaluation given is based on an average. Individual assessments are being carried out on regional/local level. 9.3: As in Germany education/training is in competence of the Länder, there is no national capacity building strategy .

**10. International Cooperation**

**10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties**

Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others**

Yes



**10.3 - Comments**

An efficient network of World Heritage sites working together including the Wadden Sea, Doñana National Park, Banc d'Arguin and Arquipelago dos Bijagòs is envisaged providing "twinning" between sites along the East Atlantic flyway. The "Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and Ancient Beech forests of Germany" and "The Frontiers of the Roman Empire" cooperate with other European countries.

**11. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion**

**11.1.1 - Publications**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.2 - Films / TV**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.3 - Media campaigns**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.4 - Internet**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals**

Information
Awareness Raising
National

**11.1.6 - World Heritage Day**

Information
Awareness Raising

Education
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)**

Not applicable
----------------

**11.1.9 - Comments**

**11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders**

There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage that are being effectively implemented.

**11.2.2 - Level of general awareness**

Private Sector	Fair
Youth	Good
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not Applicable
General public	Fair

**11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?**

The State Party participates in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

**11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities**

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Regularly
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Regularly
Youth Forums	Never
Skills-training courses for students	Often
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Regularly
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Regularly
Other (comment below)	Never

**11.2.5 - Comments**

11.2.1: For the Dutch-German Wadden Sea and the German Component parts of "Primeval beech forests of the Carpathians and Ancient Beech forests of Germany" strategies for awareness raising and education have been

developed. Implementation is ongoing. The University of Paderborn has developed a pedagogic museum programme on WH sites (<http://www.uni-paderborn.de/index.php?id=30921>).

## 12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

### 12.1. State Party's implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

#### 12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

<b>Identification of heritage</b>
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

#### 12.1.2 - National Inventories

<b>National Inventories</b>
National : No process established
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated

#### 12.1.3 - Tentative List

<b>Tentative List</b>
Yes

#### 12.1.4 - Legal framework

<b>Legal framework</b>
There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

#### 12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

<b>Implementation of international conventions within national policies</b>
---

#### 12.1.6 - Communities

<b>Communities</b>
Local communities / residents: Fair
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Not applicable
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Good
Local communities / residents: Good
Indigenous peoples: Not applicable
Landowners: Good

#### 12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
There are policies that are effectively implemented.

#### 12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

<b>Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation</b>
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

### 12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

<b>Scientific and Technical Studies and Research</b>
There is a comprehensive research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

#### 12.1.10 - Financial status

<b>Financial status</b>
The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

#### 12.1.11 - Human resources

<b>Human resources</b>
Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

#### 12.1.12 - Training

<b>Training</b>
Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

#### 12.1.13 - International cooperation

<b>International cooperation</b>
Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

#### 12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

<b>Education, Information and awareness building</b>
Private Sector : Fair
Youth : Good
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good

### 12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

#### 12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

9 Training						
	Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?	
9.3	<b>Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.</b>	As there is no competence for education/training on the national level, no national strategy can be developed. Capacity building will be handled by the Länder. For natural WH sites, specific capacity building activities take place.	see action	see action	see action	no



**12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

none

**13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

Yes

**13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:**

Please ensure that the boxes for comments have more characters available to provide adequate space for necessary information!

**13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Good
ICCROM	Good
ICOMOS national / regional	Not applicable
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

**13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
Advisory Bodies	Fair
State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good

**13.6 - Comments**

Please add to the comments for chapter 8: 8.2: German World Heritage Foundation, German Federal Foundation for the Environment (Deutsche Bundesstiftung Umwelt), Support for the potential establishment of a foundation to support the Dutch-German Waddensea is provided. 8.3: Site revenues are used for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage although there is no specific nati