Section I - Czech Republic

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party
Czech Republic

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention
26/03/1993

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting
- Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
- World Heritage property managers/coordinators
- ICOMOS national / regional

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention
- Jitka Vlckova
  National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites
  Comment: The name of institute in English should be modified. An employee of the National Heritage Institute is responsible for the periodic reporting, namely Jitka Vlckova, vlckova.jitka@npu.cz. In fact, the primary government authority responsible for the implementation is: Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Department of International Relations, UNESCO Division Dita Limová Maltézské nám. 1 CZ-118 01 Praha 1 Tel.: +420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email: dita.limova@mkcr.cz

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible
- Lukáš Pokorný
  Officer
  Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic
  Domain: natural

1.6 - Comments

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>Process completed or continually updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional / provincial / state</td>
<td>Process well-advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please provide details in 2.7)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>Process completed or continually updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional / provincial / state</td>
<td>Process completed or continually updated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?
Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?
Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?
Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)
- Sites of Great Moravia / 2013 /
- Mining Cultural Landscape Erzgebirge/Krušnohoří / 2014 /
- The West Bohemian Spa Triangle and the Spa at Luhačovice / 2016 /

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value
- ICOMOS thematic studies
- IUCN thematic studies
- Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN
- UNESCO’s Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
- Other global comparative analysis

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Level of involvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional / provincial / state / government(s)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government(s)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other government departments</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO National Commission</td>
<td>No involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authorities within or adjacent to the property</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local communities / residents</td>
<td>No involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowners</td>
<td>No involvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?
Yes

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?
Yes

3.7 - Comments
The national Tentative List should be revised, having regard to the strategic documents of the World Heritage Committee and to the recommendations of its advisory bodies.

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

Name | Date of submission | Status
--- | --- | ---
Historic Centre of Prague | 1991-09-26 | inscribed
Historic Centre of Prague | 2012-02-03 | inscribed
Historic Centre of Český Krumlov | 1991-09-26 | inscribed
Karštejn Castle | 1991-09-26 | deferred
Historic Centre of Telč | 1991-09-26 | inscribed
Pilgrimage Church of St John of Nepomuk at Zelená Hora | 1993-09-24 | inscribed
Monastery Church of our Lady Kladruby | 1993-09-24 | not inscribed
Kutná Hora: Historical Town Centre with the Church of St Barbara and the Cathedral of Our Lady at Sedlec | 1994-09-29 | inscribed
Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape | 1995-09-28 | inscribed
Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc | 1999-06-24 | inscribed
Gardens and Castle at Kroměříž | 1997-06-30 | inscribed
Hluboké nad Vltavou Historical Village Reservation | 1997-06-30 | inscribed
Litomyšl Castle | 1998-06-29 | inscribed
Tugendhat Villa in Brno | 2000-06-26 | inscribed
Jewish Quarter and St Procopius’ Basilica in Třebíč | 2001-11-16 | inscribed
Rock Cities of the Bohemian Paradise | 2002-10-07 | withdrawn
Třeboň Fishpond Farming Heritage | 2003-05-30 | deferred
Renaissance Houses at Slavonice | 2003-05-30 | withdrawn
Hand Paper Mill at Velke Losiny | 2004-11-02 | withdrawn
Spa of Luhačovice | 2006-02-15 | deferred
Sites of Great Moravia: Slavonic Fortified Settlement at Mikulšice – Church of St Margaret of Antioch at Kopčany | 2007-11-28 | withdrawn

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

| Involvement | Local authorities | Local communities / residents | Indigenous peoples | Landowners | Local industries | Non Governmental Organization(s) | Consultants / experts | Site manager / coordinator | Others (please provide details in 4.4) |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Good | No involvement | Not applicable | No involvement | No involvement | No involvement | No involvement | Good | Good | Not applicable

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

| Benefit | Local authorities | Local communities / residents | Indigenous peoples | Landowners | Local industries | Non Governmental Organization(s) | Consultants / experts | Site manager / coordinator | Others (please provide details in 4.4) |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional) | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit
Enhanced conservation practices | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit
Improved presentation of sites | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit
Increased funding | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence | Limited benefit | Limited benefit | Limited benefit | Limited benefit | Limited benefit | Limited benefit | Limited benefit | Limited benefit | Limited benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit | High benefit
Stimulus for increased recognition for tourism and public use | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit | Some benefit

4.4 - Comments

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

| Title | Year | Link to source |
--- | --- | ---
Loi 101/2001 sur le retour des biens culturels illicitement exportés | 2001 | [Link to source](https://www.mzp.cz)
Loi 20/1987 sur la conservation des sites par l'Etat | 1987 | [Link to source](https://www.mmr.cz)
Loi 203/2006 sur certaines formes de soutien à la culture et sur la modification de certaines lois | 2006 | [Link to source](https://www.mzp.cz)
Loi 214/2002 sur les exportations de certains biens culturels du territoire douanier communautaire | 2002 | [Link to source](https://www.mzp.cz)

Comment
The translation of French version into English is needed. Of the big importance are: Act No. 20/1987 Coll. on State Heritage Preservation and Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 86/1988 Coll. to implement Act No. 20/1987 Coll., on State Heritage Preservation.

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

| Title | Year |
--- | ---
5.3 - Comment
A number of conceptual and strategic documents, which exists at all levels (national, regional, local), contributes to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and natural heritage. For example, in the system of territorial planning documentation, plans of conservation principles based on the State Heritage Preservation Act are used and the regeneration of heritage conservation areas should be based on up-to-date territorial planning documentation.

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?
The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party’s cultural and natural heritage be enforced?
There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered
Comment

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies
There is limited coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party’s policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities
There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes
There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.10 - Comments
With respect to the conservation of the cultural and natural heritage and to its use in the Czech Republic, there exists a number of conceptual and strategic documents, however, in practice, their strategic objectives are not always fully meet. Therefore, it is necessary to strengthen the mechanisms for their implementation and for interdepartmental and interdisciplinary coordination of activities, also focusing on the promotion and presentation, at all levels (national, regional, local).

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?
There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?
There is limited cooperation between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?
There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

6.5 - Comments
Limited cooperation between institutions, bodies and agencies results from the lack of interdepartmental communication. A coordinating interdepartmental platform is missing to identify the priorities, especially also beyond the scope of the Czech National Commission for UNESCO. Improvements are to be found in the communication links and in the cohesion of the various ministries on common issues.

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?
There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects
- Scientific evaluation and comprehensive documentation of prominent cultural properties of the Czech Republic and co-ordination of conceptual works and activities for its submitting for inscription on the World Heritage List / 2006-2011 national cultural
The scientific and research project focused on the issue of nominations for the World Heritage List. It served to identify new subjects for the tentative list and to further develop the existing subjects that had been included into the tentative list. At the same time, it served to prepare two methodological publications, focussed exclusively on world heritage issues.

- Study on the assessment of the potential and suitability of the nomination of natural heritage sites of the Czech Republic to the UNESCO World Heritage List / 2007 national natural
  www.mzp.cz
  No comments.
- Study of potential impacts of the inscription of the Bohemian Switzerland tourist region on the UNESCO World Heritage List / 2007 national natural
  www.mzp.cz
  No comments.

7.3 - Comments
In this field, there is a need to deepen systematic research. In the Czech Republic, the issue of world heritage itself is not subject to scientific research per se and it is included in many different subject areas. Research on individual properties is carried out to varying extents, but no independent scientific research project has been defined aimed at their comprehensive research.

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of funding</th>
<th>Source of funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National government funds</td>
<td>Major source of sustained funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)</td>
<td>Major source of sustained funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International assistance from the World Heritage Fund</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)</td>
<td>Major source of fixed term funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)</td>
<td>Minor source of fixed term funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs (international and / or national)</td>
<td>Minor source of fixed term funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector funds</td>
<td>Minor source of fixed term funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Please specify in 8.6)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage
Yes

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage
Yes

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?
A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.

8.6 - Comments

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs
- National Heritage Institute / national / cultural Certificate in the field of heritage conservation
- Charles University in Prague / national / both Master and Ph.D programmes in the related humanities and natural studies
- Masaryk University in Brno / national / both Master and Ph.D programmes in the related humanities and natural studies
- Mendel University in Brno / national / both Master and Ph.D degree in related fields
- University of Economics in Prague / national / cultural Master and Ph.D degree in related fields

9.2 - Training needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Area</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>Low priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>High priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>Medium priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td>High priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>Low priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor management</td>
<td>Medium priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community outreach</td>
<td>High priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk preparedness</td>
<td>High priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement (custodians, police)</td>
<td>Low priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?
There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

9.4 - Comments

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cooperation Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others
No

10.3 - Comments
There is room for improvement of International Cooperation, especially in the field of world cultural heritage. Greater state support for its development is recommended. Its main current constraints include insufficient financial support and, last but not least, the persistent language barriers, especially at lower levels of local government and of other parties involved.

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications
Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.2 - Films / TV
Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.3 - Media campaigns
Information
Awareness Raising
National
Regional
Local

11.1.4 - Internet
Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals
Awareness Raising

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day
Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National
Regional

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre
Not applicable

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)
Not applicable

11.1.9 - Comments
The media are an instrument commonly used for the presentation of World Heritage sites. Their use, however, can be turned more effective by intensifying cooperation between individual institutions and by their coordination. For example, the presentation of World Heritage sites in the media is not sufficiently coordinated at the national level either, although in the Czech Republic there exists CzechTourism, a state agency which develops a thematic campaign focussed on the World Heritage.

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders
There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Private Sector</th>
<th>Fair</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities living in/around heritage sites</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism industry</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision makers and public officials</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General public</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?
The State Party does not participate in UNESCO’s World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

| Courses for teachers for the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit | Never |
| Courses/activities for students within the school programmes | Often |
| Youth Forums | Never |
| Skills-training courses for students | Occasionally |
| Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites | Regularly |
| Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations | Regularly |
### 12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

#### 12.1. State Party’s implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

- **12.1.1 - Identification of heritage**
  - Identification of heritage
    - Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
    - Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

- **12.1.2 - National Inventories**
  - National Inventories
    - National: Process completed or continually updated
    - Regional / provincial / state: Process well-advanced
  - Regional / provincial / state: Process completed or continually updated

- **12.1.3 - Tentative List**
  - Tentative List
    - Yes

- **12.1.4 - Legal framework**
  - Legal framework
    - There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.

- **12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies**
  - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

- **12.1.6 - Communities**
  - Communities
    - Local communities / residents: No involvement
    - Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Not applicable
    - Indigenous peoples: Not applicable
    - Landowners: No involvement

- **12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning**
  - Larger-scale planning
    - There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

- **12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**
  - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation
    - There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

#### 12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

- **12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)**
  - Please refer to question 5.2

#### 12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific and Technical Studies and Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 12.1.10 - Financial status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 12.1.11 - Human resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 12.1.12 - Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 12.1.13 - International cooperation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International cooperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing expertise for capacity building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of material / information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education, Information and awareness building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector: Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth: Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities living in/around heritage sites: Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### 12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

#### 12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 General Policy Development</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Short description</th>
<th>Authority(ies) responsible</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>There is limited coordination or integration of different legal instruments for the development of heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies.</td>
<td>Integration of obligations under the Convention on the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage signed by the CR into its legislation. It is desirable that key aspects of the World Heritage were accurately specified and defined in the statutory rules and in the related documents. The legislation does not include some important basic terms and definitions from this field.</td>
<td>Ministry of Culture of the CR and the Ministry of Environment of the CR in collaboration with other ministries</td>
<td>2014-2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6 Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

| 6.2                          | There is limited capacity to adequately conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage and significant deficiencies remain. | Providing effective interdepartmental communication on issues of World Heritage at different levels. The existing limited cooperation of various institutions, bodies and agencies in the field of World Heritage stems mainly from insufficient interdepartmental communication. Improvements are to be found in the communication links and in the cohesion. | Ministry of Culture of the CR and the Ministry of Environment of the CR in collaboration with other ministries | 2014-2019 | No |

#### 9 Training

| 9.3                          | Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation. | To develop training programmes for the support of local communities in the World Heritage conservation and management. Training in the basic concepts and aims of the World Heritage Convention and creating tool-kits for specific groups of public in management planning are regarded as two big capacity building needs for local communities in connection with WH sites. | Ministry of Culture of the CR and the Ministry of Environment of the CR in collaboration with other ministries | 2014-2019 | No |
12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention
Development and implementation of a strategic document for a systematic scientific research of the World Heritage issues;
Inclusion of World Heritage issues in school curricula at all levels of education in the Czech Republic

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?
Yes

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Support Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World Heritage Centre</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO (other sectors)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO National Commission</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICOMOS International</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUCN International</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCROM</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICOMOS national / regional</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUCN national / regional</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?
Not all required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Bodies</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Party</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Managers</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13.6 - Comments
The Czech Republic has been rather passive with respect to the results of the first cycle of the periodic reporting. Although it conscientiously met the requirements of UNESCO arising from the conclusions of the first cycle, it had not assumed any major and active role. It is therefore recommended that the CR enhances its own activities aimed at eliminating the identified shortcomings.

14. Thank you