

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 - State Party

Canada

### 1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

23/07/1976

### 1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
---

### 1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Rebecca Kennedy  
International Programs, Parks Canada  
Program Specialist

#### Comment

Please replace with: Vice President, Heritage Conservation and Commemoration Directorate Parks Canada Agency 25, rue Eddy, étage/floor 5 K1A 0M5 Gatineau Canada  
Telephone: +1(819) 994-1808 Fax: +1 (819) 934-1115

### 1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations, Heritage Branch  
Province of British Columbia  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Culture and Community Services, Historic Resources Management Branch  
Province of Alberta  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Culture, Heritage and Tourism, Historic Resources Branch  
Province of Manitoba  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Wellness, Culture and Sport, Heritage Branch  
Province of New Brunswick  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Tourism, Culture and Recreation, Heritage Branch  
Province of Newfoundland and Labrador  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Communities, Culture and Heritage, Heritage Division  
Province of Nova Scotia  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Culture, Education and Employment  
Government of the Northwest Territories  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth, Culture and Heritage  
Government of Nunavut Territory  
Domain: cultural
- Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport, Heritage Division  
Province of Ontario  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Tourism and Culture, Culture and Heritage Division

Province of Prince Edward Island

Domain: cultural

- Ministère de la Culture, des Communications et de la Condition féminine  
Gouvernement du Québec  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Parks, Culture and Sport, Culture and Heritage Division  
Government of Saskatchewan  
Domain: cultural
- Department of Tourism and Culture, Historic Sites Unit  
Government of Yukon Territory  
Domain: cultural
- Department of the Environment, BC Parks  
Government of British Columbia  
Domain: natural
- Department of Tourism, Parks and Recreation, Parks Division  
Government of Alberta  
Domain: natural
- Department of Environment, Parks Division  
Government of Yukon Territory  
Domain: natural
- Department of Parks, Culture and Sport, Parks Division  
Government of Saskatchewan  
Domain: natural
- Department of Conservation and Water Stewardship  
Province of Manitoba  
Domain: natural
- Department of Natural Resources, Parks and Protected Areas Division  
Province of Ontario  
Domain: natural
- Department of Industry, Tourism and Investment, Parks and Tourism Division  
Government of Northwest Territories  
Domain: natural
- Department of Environment, Parks and Special Places Division  
Government of Nunavut Territory  
Domain: natural
- Ministère de Développement durable, de l'environnement et des parcs  
Gouvernement du Québec  
Domain: natural
- Department of Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation Division  
Government of Nova Scotia  
Domain: natural
- Department of Environment and Conservation, Parks and Natural Areas Division  
Government of Newfoundland and Labrador  
Domain: natural
- Department of Tourism, Heritage and Culture  
Government of New Brunswick  
Domain: natural
- Tourism Prince Edward Island, Parks Division  
Government of Prince Edward Island  
Domain: natural

### 1.6 - Comments

In addition to the federal government's national parks and national historic sites, Canadian provinces and territories also

have responsibility for the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage under their respective jurisdictions.

## 2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

### 2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process well-advanced
Local	Process commenced
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

### 2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	No process established
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

### 2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

### 2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the protection of cultural heritage.

### 2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the protection of natural heritage.

### 2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

### 2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

Inventories and registers of both cultural and natural places exist in Canada but vary in scope and completeness from one provincial/ territorial jurisdiction to another. Canada's most recent Tentative List was finalized in 2004 and has not been added to since that time, though a list of potential sites for addition has been maintained.

## 3. Tentative List

### 3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Mistaken Point / 2015 /
The Klondike / 2016 /
Pimachiowin Aki / 2014 /
Aisinaipi (Writing-on-Stone) / 2015 /

### 3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
Others (please provide details in 3.7)

### 3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	No involvement
UNESCO National Commission	No involvement
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	No involvement
Local industries	No involvement
Non Governmental Organization(s)	No involvement
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	No involvement

### 3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

No

### 3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Environment Canada / <a href="http://ec.gc.ca/">http://ec.gc.ca/</a> Minister of the Environment / Minister of the Environment, Government of Canada / Les Terrasses de la Chaudière, 10 Wellington Street, 28th Floor, Gatineau, Quebec, K1A 0H3 / n/a / n/a / n/a / cultural and natural /
--

### 3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

### 3.7 - Comments

3.5: The Parks Canada Agency, the State Party representative for World Heritage, is under the responsibility of the Department of the Environment. Therefore the submission of the next Tentative List will be done by the Minister of the Environment.

## 4. Nominations

### 4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
L'Anse aux Meadows National Historic Site	1978-04-07	inscribed
Nahanni National Park	1978-05-08	inscribed
Dinosaur Provincial Park	1979-02-26	inscribed
Burgess Shale Site	1979-12-28	inscribed
SGang Gwaay	1980-12-30	inscribed
Head-Smashed-In Buffalo Jump	1980-12-30	inscribed
Wood Buffalo National Park	1982-10-19	inscribed
Historic District of Old Québec	1983-12-05	inscribed
Historic District of Québec (Extension)	2003-01-03	withdrawn
Gros Morne National Park	1986-12-15	inscribed
Miguasha Park	1993-06-21	deferred
Miguasha National Park	1998-10-08	inscribed
Old Town Lunenburg	1994-09-30	inscribed
Rideau Canal	2005-01-28	Incomplete
Rideau Canal	2006-01-26	inscribed
Joggins Fossil Cliffs	2007-01-26	inscribed
Landscape of Grand Pré	2011-01-31	inscribedpending
Red Bay Basque Whaling Station	2012-01-16	
Pimachiowin Aki	2012-01-24	
Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks	1983-12-21	inscribed
Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks	1990-10-10	inscribed
Waterton Glacier International Peace Park	1993-09-29	inscribed
Kluane/Wrangell-St Elias/Glacier Bay/Tatshenshini-Alsek	1979-02-26	inscribed
Kluane/Wrangell-St Elias/Glacier Bay/Tatshenshini-Alsek	1991-09-30	inscribed
Kluane / Wrangell-St Elias / Glacier Bay / Tatshenshini-Alsek	1993-10-01	inscribed

### Comment

The Landscape of Grand Pré was inscribed in 2012. Red Bay Basque Whaling Station was inscribed in 2013. Pimachiowin Aki was deferred in 2013. The Burgess Shale was subsumed into the later inscription of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks.

### 4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Good

### 4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List




Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	Not applicable
Enhanced conservation practices	High benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit

Improved presentation of sites	High benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	Some benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	High benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	High benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	High benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	High benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

### 4.4 - Comments

## 5. General Policy Development

### 5.1 - Legislation

Title	Year	Link to source
Cultural Property Export and Import Act		
Cultural Property Export Regulation		
Canadian Cultural Property Export Control List		

### 5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

Canada National Parks Act / 2000 / national / natural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/n-14.01/">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/n-14.01/</a>
Canadian Environmental Assessment Act / 2012 (amended) / national / cultural and natural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.2/">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.2/</a>
Canada National Marine Conservation Areas Act / 2002 / national / natural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-7.3/page-6.html#s-16">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-7.3/page-6.html#s-16</a> .
Historic Sites and Monuments Act / 1985 / national / cultural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/H-4/page-1.html">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/H-4/page-1.html</a>
Department of Transport Act / 1985 / national / cultural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/T-18/page-1.html">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/T-18/page-1.html</a>
Species at Risk Act / 2002 / national / natural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/S-15.3/page-1.html">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/S-15.3/page-1.html</a>
National Battlefields at Quebec Act / 1908 / national / cultural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/N-3.4/page-1.html">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/N-3.4/page-1.html</a>
Federal Real Property and Federal Immovables Act / 1991 / national / both cultural and natural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/F-8.4/page-1.html">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/F-8.4/page-1.html</a>
Dominion Water Power Act / 1985 / national / both cultural and natural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/W-4/page-1.html">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/W-4/page-1.html</a>
Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act / 1985 / national / cultural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/H-3.5/page-1.html">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/H-3.5/page-1.html</a>
Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act / 2008 / national / cultural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/H-3.4/page-1.html">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/H-3.4/page-1.html</a>
National Cemetery of Canada Act / 2009 / national / cultural / <a href="http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/N-4.2/page-1.html">http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/N-4.2/page-1.html</a>

### 5.3 - Comment

There is no legislation in Canada specific to properties included on the World Heritage List. All Canadian provinces and territories have legislation for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage, but are too numerous to list here. These vary in scope from one province/territory to another. Canadian properties nominated for inscription on the World Heritage List are protected under the relevant legislation of the jurisdiction where they are located.

### 5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation

**and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?**

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?**

There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

**5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered**

**Comment**

Convention: - for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict and Protocol I (1954) - on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property (1970) - on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (Ramsar) (1971) - on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) (1973) - United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (1982) - Biological Diversity (1993)

**5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies**

There is adequate coordination and integration.

**5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities**

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes**

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**5.10 - Comments**

none

**6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

**6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?**

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

**6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?**

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

**6.5 - Comments**

Federal, provincial and territorial ministers responsible for all the portfolios mentioned above meet regularly to discuss areas of joint responsibility or concern.

**7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?**

There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**7.2 - Research projects**

- n/a / n/a
- n/a
- n/a
- n/a
- n/a

**7.3 - Comments**

While there is not a specific coordinated research programme devoted to World Heritage in Canada, individual research projects are undertaken at Canadian World Heritage sites and Canadian researchers regularly contribute to international research fora.

**8. Financial Status and Human Resources**

**8.1 - Sources of funding**

National government funds	Major source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of sustained funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Not applicable
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Not applicable
NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of fixed term funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of fixed term funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	

**8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage**

No

**8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage**

No

**8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

**8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

**8.6 - Comments**

8.3: Parks Canada and other agencies have revenue retention policies that are applicable to relevant World Heritage sites but these are specific to each jurisdiction and there is no "national policy" in this regard in Canada's federal system.

**9. Training**

**9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs**

- Canada Research Chair on Built Heritage, Université de Montréal / national, regional and local / cultural post-graduate research
- World Heritage Tourism Network, Mount Saint Vincent University / international / cultural and natural research network
- Heritage Resources Centre, University of Waterloo / national / cultural and natural research network
- Canadian Studies (Heritage Conservation Program), Carleton University / national / cultural undergraduate and graduate degrees
- Cultural Resources Management Program, University of Victoria / national / cultural diploma, specialization certificates, graduate diploma

**9.2 - Training needs**

Conservation	High priority
Education	High priority
Promotion	High priority
Interpretation	High priority
Administration	High priority
Visitor management	High priority
Community outreach	High priority
Risk preparedness	High priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High priority
Other	Not applicable

**9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?**

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

**9.4 - Comments**

9.3: In Canada's federal system, training and education in support of heritage protection and conservation is undertaken within the various federal, provincial, territorial agencies responsible for natural and cultural heritage.

**10. International Cooperation**

**10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties**

Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others**

Yes

**10.3 - Comments**

10.2.1: Banff National Park, part of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage site, has been twinned with Huangshan National Park in China.

**11. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion**

**11.1.1 - Publications**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.2 - Films / TV**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.3 - Media campaigns**

Information
Awareness Raising
Regional
Local

**11.1.4 - Internet**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals**

Awareness Raising
National

**11.1.6 - World Heritage Day**

Awareness Raising
Local

**11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)**

Not applicable
----------------

**11.1.9 - Comments**

none

**11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders**

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

**11.2.2 - Level of general awareness**

Private Sector	Fair
Youth	Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
General public	Fair

**11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?**

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme*.

**11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities**

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Never
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Occasionally

Youth Forums	Occasionally
Skills-training courses for students	Occasionally
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Often
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Never
Other (comment below)	Never

**11.2.5 - Comments**

11.2: In general, Canada could improve its efforts to develop and implement a coordinated awareness-raising strategy for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention.

**12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions**

**12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

**12.1.1 - Identification of heritage**

<b>Identification of heritage</b>
Inventories capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

**12.1.2 - National Inventories**

<b>National Inventories</b>
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process well-advanced
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated

**12.1.3 - Tentative List**

<b>Tentative List</b>
Yes

**12.1.4 - Legal framework**

<b>Legal framework</b>
There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

**12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies**

<b>Implementation of international conventions within national policies</b>
---

**12.1.6 - Communities**

<b>Communities</b>
Local communities / residents: Good
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Good
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: No involvement
Local communities / residents: Good
Indigenous peoples: Good
Landowners: Good

**12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning**

<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

<b>Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation</b>
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

<b>Scientific and Technical Studies and Research</b>
There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**12.1.10 - Financial status**

<b>Financial status</b>
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

**12.1.11 - Human resources**

<b>Human resources</b>
Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

**12.1.12 - Training**

<b>Training</b>
Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

**12.1.13 - International cooperation**

<b>International cooperation</b>
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building**

<b>Education, Information and awareness building</b>
Private Sector : Fair
Youth : Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good

**12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).**

**12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)**

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

2 Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage						
		Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
2.3	<b>Inventories capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.</b>	Explore development of national level inventories of cultural and natural heritage with provincial and territorial governments	Development of a framework for future work on inventories	Federal, provincial and territorial agencies responsible for cultural and natural heritage	TBD	No.
9 Training						
9.3	<b>Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.</b>	Explore feasibility of developing a strategy with provincial and territorial agencies responsible for cultural and natural heritage	Within the context of continued federal/provincial/territorial cooperation, a framework for capacity development in heritage could be discussed as an action item.	Federal, provincial and territorial agencies responsible for cultural and natural heritage	TBD	No.



**12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

**13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

Yes

**13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:**

Generally user-friendly but (1) 500 word text boxes are too short; (2) none of the 'guidance' buttons had any content; (3) for a federal State Party in particular with multiple jurisdictional responsibility, some of the questions were too general and very difficult to answer in a way that fully reflects Canada's situation. WHC staff has been very supportive throughout the process.

**13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Not applicable
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

**13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Not all required information was accessible

**13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not applicable
State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Not applicable

**13.6 - Comments**

**14. Thank you**