

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 - State Party

Sri Lanka

### 1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

06/06/1980

### 1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators
ICCROM
ICOMOS national/regional
IUCN national / regional
External experts

### 1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

• Senarath Dissanayake

Director General  
Department of Archaeology

• R.P. Perera

Sri Lanka National Commission for UNESCO  
Secretary General

### 1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

• Prasanna B. Ratnayake  
Architect/ Director Conservation  
Department of Archaeology  
Domain: Cultural

• S.P.G.Chandraratne  
Architect/Asst. Director  
Central Cultural Fund  
Domain: Cultural

• H.D.Ratnayake  
Director (Operation)  
Department of Wildlife  
Domain: Natural

• K.P.Ariyadasa  
Conservator of forest ( Operation)  
Department of Forest Conservation  
Domain: Natural

### 1.6 - Comments

No special comments, agreed

## 2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

### 2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	No process established
Local	No process established

Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable
---------------------------------------	----------------

### 2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	No process established
Local	No process established
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

### 2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

### 2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

### 2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

### 2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

### 2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

There are three inventories for Cultural, Forestry and Wildlife heritage.

## 3. Tentative List

### 3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Seruwila Mangala Raja Maha Viharaya / 2013 /
Pilgrimage Route from Trincomalee To Sri Pada / 2014 /

### 3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region

### 3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good

Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	No involvement
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants / experts	Fair
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Good

**3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

Yes

**3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

**3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?**

Yes

**3.7 - Comments**

There are proposals to be included in the tentative list. Examples - Jaffna, Yoda ela, Tank cascade, Ports and forts of Sri Lanka, Pre Historic Caves, Mihintale( extension to Anuradhapura)

**4. Nominations**

**4.1 - Property**

Name	Date of submission	Status
Sinharaja Forest Reserve	1981-11-17	deferred
Sacred City of Anuradhapura	1981-12-31	inscribed
Ancient City of Polonnaruwa	1981-12-31	inscribed
The Padhanagra Pirivena complex of the Pansukulika Monks at Ritigala	1989-10-31	
Central mountains region containing the Peak Wilderness and other sites	2004-02-02	
Central Highlands of Sri Lanka: its Cultural and Natural Heritage	2008-01-29	Incomplete
Central Highlands of Sri Lanka	2008-05-22	inscribed
Seruwila Mangala Raja Maha Vihara	2007-01-29	Incomplete
Ancient City of Sigiriya	1981-12-31	inscribed
Sinharaja Forest Reserve	1986-07-22	inscribed
Sacred City of Kandy	1986-12-31	inscribed
Seruwila Mangala Raja Maha Viharaya	2008-01-29	withdrawn
Old Town of Galle and its Fortifications	1986-12-31	inscribed
Golden Temple of Dambulla	1989-10-31	inscribed

**4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable

Landowners	No involvement
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Good

**4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	High benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	High benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit
Improved presentation of sites	High benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	Some benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Some benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Some benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	High benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	High benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

**4.4 - Comments**

Nominations are being prepared for various properties.

**5. General Policy Development**

**5.1 - Legislation**

**5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1**

Galle Heritage Foundation / 1988 / LOCAL / CULTURAL / www.ghf.lk
Sigiriya Heritage Foundation / 1988 / Local / Cultural / www.ccf.lk/sigiriya

**5.3 - Comment**

No other legislations relevant to protection and conservation of Heritage

**5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?**

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?**

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

**5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered**

**5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies**

There is adequate coordination and integration.

**5.8 - States Party’s policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities**

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes**

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**5.10 - Comments**

There must be a national level policy for heritage protection

**6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

**6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?**

There is effective cooperation between principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?**

All principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage are effectively cooperating with other government agencies.

**6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is some capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.

**6.5 - Comments**

Services for protection, conservation and presentaton must be improved

**7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?**

There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**7.2 - Research projects**

- 

**7.3 - Comments**

Research projects addressing World Heritage status must be identified and implemented.

**8. Financial Status and Human Resources**

**8.1 - Sources of funding**

National government funds	Major source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of fixed term funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of fixed term funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Minor source of fixed term funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of fixed term funding
NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of fixed term funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Major source of fixed term funding

**8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage**

Yes

**8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage**

Yes

**8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

**8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.

**8.6 - Comments**

Funding from entry tickets are used for protction of Cultural Heritage

**9. Training**

**9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs**

- Unesco - Whitrap / Regional / Cultural Training course

**9.2 - Training needs**

Conservation	High priority
Education	Medium priority
Promotion	High priority
Interpretation	Medium priority
Administration	Medium priority
Visitor management	High priority
Community outreach	Medium priority
Risk preparedness	High priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium priority
Other	Not applicable

**9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?**

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

**9.4 - Comments**

Training opportunities related to World Heritage must be introduced

**10. International Cooperation**

**10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties**

Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others**

Yes

**10.3 - Comments**

There is a good international corporation but the local contribution must be increased.

**11. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion**

**11.1.1 - Publications**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.2 - Films / TV**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.3 - Media campaigns**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education

**11.1.4 - Internet**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals**

Education
National

**11.1.6 - World Heritage Day**

Not applicable
----------------

**11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre**

Information
Awareness Raising

**11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)**

Not applicable
----------------

**11.1.9 - Comments**

MEDIA COULD BE USED EFFECTIVELY, IF PROGRAMED WELL

## 11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

### 11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

Private Sector	Good
Youth	Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not Applicable
General public	Fair

### 11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme*?

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme*.

### 11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Never
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Never
Youth Forums	Never
Skills-training courses for students	Never
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Never
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Never
Other (comment below)	Never

### 11.2.5 - Comments

Awareness building is more important

## 12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

### 12.1. State Party's implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

#### 12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

<b>Identification of heritage</b>
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

#### 12.1.2 - National Inventories

<b>National Inventories</b>
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : No process established
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : No process established

### 12.1.3 - Tentative List

<b>Tentative List</b>
Yes

### 12.1.4 - Legal framework

<b>Legal framework</b>
Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

### 12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

<b>Implementation of international conventions within national policies</b>
---

### 12.1.6 - Communities

<b>Communities</b>
Local communities / residents: Fair
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Not applicable
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: No involvement
Local communities / residents: Poor
Indigenous peoples: Not applicable
Landowners: No involvement

### 12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
There are policies that are effectively implemented.

### 12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

<b>Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation</b>
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

### 12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

<b>Scientific and Technical Studies and Research</b>
There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

### 12.1.10 - Financial status

<b>Financial status</b>
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

### 12.1.11 - Human resources

<b>Human resources</b>
A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.

### 12.1.12 - Training

<b>Training</b>
No national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

### 12.1.13 - International cooperation

<b>International cooperation</b>
Participation in other UN programmes
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage

<b>International cooperation</b>
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building**

<b>Education, Information and awareness building</b>
Private Sector : Good
Youth : Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Fair

**12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).**

**12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)**

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

9 Training						
	Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?	
9.3	<b>No national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.</b>	Programmining and implementation of capacity development programmes with the collaboration of world heritage centre	training programmes, work shops, seminars	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural fund, Department of Forest conservation, Department of Wild Life Conservation	5 years	UNESCO, WHC, ICCROM, UNITAR, UNESCO-WHITRAP, GETTY FOUNDATION

**12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

ESTABLISHMENT OF SEPARATE INSTITUTE FOR WORLD HERITAGE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

**13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

Yes

**13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:**

Its a comprehensive excercise

**13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM	Good
ICOMOS national / regional	Good
IUCN national / regional	Good

**13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most required information was accessible

**13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good
State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good

**13.6 - Comments**

The periodic reporting excercise leads the site managers to a well programmed and managed WH property.

**14. Thank you**