

**1. Introduction**

**1.1 - State Party**

Pakistan

**1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention**

23/07/1976

**1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting**

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators

**1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention**

- Tahir Saeed  
Government  
Assistant Director  
Archaeology and Museums

**1.5 - Other key institutions responsible**

- Mr. Muhammad Dawood  
Deputy Secretary General  
Pakistan National Commission for UNESCO,  
Government of Pakistan, Islamabad.  
Domain: Natural / Cultural
- Dr. Fazal Dad Kakar  
Director General  
Federal Department of Archaeology & Museums.  
Domain: Cultural

**1.6 - Comments**

**2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage**

**2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)**

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process completed or continually updated
Local	
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	

**2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)**

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	
Local	
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	

**2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?**

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

**2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?**

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

**2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?**

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.

**2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?**

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

**2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)**

The Department of Archaeology and Museums, Government of Pakistan has established an inventory list of cultural heritage sites in the country which are declared as protected antiquities/monuments in term of Antiquities Act, 1975.

**3. Tentative List**

**3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)**

Hiran Minar & Tank, Sheikhpura / 2012 /
Mehrgarh, Balochistan / 2012 /

**3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value**

ICOMOS thematic studies
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List

**3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List**

National government institution(s)	Not applicable
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	
Local communities / residents	
Indigenous peoples	
Landowners	
Local industries	
Non Governmental Organization(s)	
Consultants / experts	
Site manager / coordinator(s)	

**3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

No

**3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

Department of Archaeology and Museums, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad / Not available / Dr. Fazal Dad Kakar, / Director General / G-7, Sitara Marker, Islamabad / 051-9252516 / 051-2202695 / fazaldadkakar@hotmail.com / Cultural /

**3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?**

Yes

**3.7 - Comments**

**4. Nominations**

**4.1 - Property**

Name	Date of submission	Status
Haraffa and Taxila (see comments)	1979-08-01	
Kirthar Nal Park and Dudus Flyway	1979-08-01	
Archaeological Ruins at Moenjodaro	1979-12-31	inscribed
Sites of the Indus Valley Civilization (Extension to Archaeological Ruins at Moenjodaro)	2004-01-30	Incomplete
Mehrgarh, Rehman Dheri and Harappa	2005-02-04	Incomplete
Mehrgarh, Rehman Dheri and Harappa	2006-03-30	Incomplete
Mehrgarh, Rehman Dheri, and Harappa as an extension to the Indus Valley Civilization Sites	2007-02-14	not inscribed
Taxila	1979-12-31	inscribed
Buddhist Ruins of Takht-i-Bahi and Neighbouring City Remains at Sahr-i-Bahlol	1979-12-31	inscribed
Harappa	1979-12-31	
Archaeological Site of Harappa -- Entry withdrawn to be combined with 1163	2003-01-31	
Rock Carvings at Hunza	1979-12-31	deferred
Historical Monuments at Makli, Thatta	1979-12-31	inscribed
Fort and Shalamar Gardens in Lahore	1980-12-26	inscribed
Shalamar Gardens	1980-12-26	inscribed
Rani Kot Fort (Kithar National Park)	1981-04-27	
Indus Dolphin Reserves	1981-04-27	deferred
Lal Sohanra National Park	1981-04-27	withdrawn
Rohtas Fort	1990-10-01	inscribed
Central Karakorum National Park	1996-07-05	
Archaeological Remains at Rani Gat, District Buner	1999-05-28	
Hiran Minar and Tank at Sheikhpura	2009-02-04	Incomplete

**Comment**

The first site mention(ID127) may please be corrected as " Harappa" a contemporary site of Moenjodaro already inscribed on the World Heritage List.

**4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local communities / residents	Not applicable

Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants / experts	Not applicable
Site manager / coordinator	Good

**4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	High benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	High benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit
Improved presentation of sites	High benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	High benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Some benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Some benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	High benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	High benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	High benefit

**4.4 - Comments**

**5. General Policy Development**

**5.1 - Legislation**

**Comment**

The principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural heritage is existed which is called as "Antiquities Act, 1975" and a number of Rules made under this Act.

**5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1**

Rules framed under the Antiquities Act, 1975 / 1978 to 1994 / National / Cultural / Not available /

**5.3 - Comment**

The rules framed under the Antiquities Act, 1975 contribute a lot for the identification, conservation and protection of state party's cultural heritage.

**5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?**

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?**

There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

**5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered**

**Comment**

1.UNESCO CONVENTION ON CULTURAL PROPERTY IN THE EVENT OF ARMED CONFLICT, 1954 2.UNESCO

CONVENTION ON MEANS OF PROHIBITING AND PREVENTING THE ILLICIT IMPORT EXPORT AND TRANSFER OF CULTURAL PROPERTY, 1970 3.UNESCO CONVENTION ON PRESERVATION OF INTANGIBLE CULTURAL HERITAGE.

**5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies**

There is adequate coordination and integration.

**5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities**

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes**

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**5.10 - Comments**

**6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

**6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?**

There is effective cooperation between principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?**

All principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage are effectively cooperating with other government agencies.

**6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is excellent capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

**6.5 - Comments**

**7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?**

There is a comprehensive research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**7.2 - Research projects**

- Archaeological research work at Jinan wali Dheri, Taxila / 2006  
local/regional  
cultural  
not available  
As a result of this research project the chronology of the area has been redefined in the history of Taxila
- Archaeological research work at Badalpur, Taxila / 2007  
Local /regional  
Cultural  
Not available  
the scope of this research project was to enhance the understanding about the Buddhist establishments in Gandhara region.
- Archaeological research at Moenjodaro / 2007  
local/ regional  
cultural  
not available  
Salvage operation of Fist Street, Moenjodaro
- Excavation at Buddhist site of Takht-i-Bahi, Mardan / 2005  
local/regional  
cultural  
not available  
The scope of this research work was to enhance the knowledge about Gandhara culture.
- Conservation, Preservation and restoration at Shish Mahel, Lahore Fort / 2008  
National /Regional/Local  
Cultural  
not available  
The scope of this research work was to investigate and diagnose the conservation problems and their treatments.

**7.3 - Comments**

**8. Financial Status and Human Resources**

**8.1 - Sources of funding**

National government funds	Major source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Not applicable
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Major source of sustained funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Minor source of sustained funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of sustained funding

NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of sustained funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Major source of fixed term funding

**8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage**

Yes

**8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage**

No

**8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

**8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

**8.6 - Comments**

**9. Training**

**9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs**

- Pakistan Institute of Archaeological Training and Research Institute, Lahore / National and Regional / Cultural Certificates are awarded by the Institute which is working under the control of the Department of Archaeology and Museums, Governemnt of Pakistan.

**9.2 - Training needs**

Conservation	High priority
Education	High priority
Promotion	High priority
Interpretation	High priority
Administration	High priority
Visitor management	High priority
Community outreach	High priority
Risk preparedness	High priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High priority
Other	High priority

**9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity**

**development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?**

There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

**9.4 - Comments**

**10. International Cooperation**

**10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties**

Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others**

No

**10.3 - Comments**

**11. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion**

**11.1.1 - Publications**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.2 - Films / TV**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.3 - Media campaigns**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.4 - Internet**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National

Regional
Local

**11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.6 - World Heritage Day**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

**11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre**

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional

**11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)**

Not applicable
----------------

**11.1.9 - Comments**

**11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders**

There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

**11.2.2 - Level of general awareness**

Private Sector	Good
Youth	Good
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
General public	Good

**11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?**

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme* but intends to do so.

**11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities**

Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>	Often
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Occasionally
Youth Forums	Occasionally
Skills-training courses for students	Often
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Often
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Occasionally
Other (comment below)	Often

**11.2.5 - Comments**

**12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions**

**12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

**12.1.1 - Identification of heritage**

<b>Identification of heritage</b>
Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

**12.1.2 - National Inventories**

<b>National Inventories</b>
National : Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state : Process completed or continually updated
National : Process completed or continually updated

**12.1.3 - Tentative List**

<b>Tentative List</b>
Yes

**12.1.4 - Legal framework**

<b>Legal framework</b>
There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework .

**12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies**

<b>Implementation of international conventions within national policies</b>
---

**12.1.6 - Communities**

<b>Communities</b>
--------------------

**12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning**

<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
There are policies that are effectively implemented.

**12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

<b>Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation</b>
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

**12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

<b>Scientific and Technical Studies and Research</b>
There is a comprehensive research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**12.1.10 - Financial status**

<b>Financial status</b>
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

**12.1.11 - Human resources**

<b>Human resources</b>
Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

**12.1.12 - Training**

<b>Training</b>
There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

**12.1.13 - International cooperation**

<b>International cooperation</b>
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

**12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building**

<b>Education, Information and awareness building</b>
Private Sector : Good
Youth : Good
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good

**12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).**

**12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)**

Please refer to question 5.2

### 12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

#### 12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

**12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

More Training / Workshops on the implementation of UNESCO Conventions will improve and enhance the knowledge of the site managers, which will be helpful for the proper preservation of cultural heritage of the country.

**13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

Yes

**13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:**

The questionnaire was found very informative to diagnose and identify some elements relating to the preservation of cultural heritage of the State Party.

**13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Fair
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

**13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most required information was accessible

**13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good
State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good

**13.6 - Comments**

UNESCO has been found very helping hand to protect and preserve the cultural heritage of the State Party under its programme of assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise.

**14. Thank you**