

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 - State Party

Micronesia (Federated States of)

#### 1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

22/07/2002

#### 1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission

#### 1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

- Augustine C. Kohler

#### Comment

Primary government authority(ies) responsible for the implementation of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage for the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM) is: Office of National Archives, Culture and Historic Preservation (NACH) P.O. Box PS 175 Palikir, FSM 96941 1. Dr. Rufino Mauricio, Director of NACH (fsmunesco@mail.fm) 2. Augustine Kohler, FSM National Historic Preservation Officer (kusgoose@hotmail.com)

#### 1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

- State Historic Preservation Officers  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
FSM State Historic Preservation Offices  
Domain: Cultural and Natural

#### 1.6 - Comments

The FSM is comprised of 4 States each with its own Historic Preservation Office headed by a State Historic Preservation Officer: 1. Mordain David, Pohnpei HPO Officer, P.O. Box 158, Kolonia, Pohnpei, FSM 96941. 2. Al-Pacino Petewon, Chuuk HPO Officer, P.O. Box 336, Weno, Chuuk, FSM 96942 3. Berlin Sigrah, Kosrae HPO Officer, P.O. Box 568, Tofol, Kosrae, FSM 96944 4. James Lukan, Yap HPO Officer, P.O. Box 714, Colonia, Yap, FSM 96943

### 2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

#### 2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	No process established
Regional / provincial / state	Process commenced
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

#### 2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	No process established
Regional / provincial / state	Process commenced
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

## Section I - Micronesia (Federated States of)

#### 2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

No inventories / lists / registers have been established for cultural and natural heritage.

#### 2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

No inventories / lists / registers have been established for cultural heritage.

#### 2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

No inventories / lists / registers have been established for natural heritage.

#### 2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

No inventories / lists / registers have been established for cultural and natural heritage.

#### 2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

The FSM Office Of National Archives, Culture and Historic Preservation is working with the FSM States to establish a National Registry for both cultural and natural heritage. What we are doing at the present with the FSM States is sufficient to capture the diversity of the natural and cultural heritage of the FSM.

### 3. Tentative List

#### 3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

Yapese Stone Money Sites in Palau and Yap / 2012 /
Nan Madol Ruins / 2013 /

#### 3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region

#### 3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Good

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Yes

### 3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

### 3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

### 3.7 - Comments

## 4. Nominations

### 4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Yapese Stone Money Sites in Palau and Yap	2009-01-28	Incomplete
Transboundary Nomination for Yapese Stone Money Sites in Palau and Yap	2010-02-01	

### 4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Local industries	Fair
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Good

### 4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	High benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	High benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	High benefit
Improved presentation of sites	High benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	High benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Some benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	High benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	High benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	High benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

## Section I - Micronesia (Federated States of)

### 4.4 - Comments

## 5. General Policy Development

### 5.1 - Legislation

#### Comment

Yap State Historic Preservation Act of 1989 Federated States of Micronesia Code, Title 26 (Historical Sites and Antiquities)

### 5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

### 5.3 - Comment

### 5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

### 5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

### 5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered

#### Comment

None

### 5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is limited coordination and integration.

### 5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

### 5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

### 5.10 - Comments

The Office of National Archives, Culture and Historic Preservation has recently been elevated to a Cabinet level position in the FSM Government. We hope to integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into a larger scale planning program.

## 6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

### 6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification,

**Periodic Report - Second Cycle**

**conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?**

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

**6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?**

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is some capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.

**6.5 - Comments**

**7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?**

There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**7.2 - Research projects**

- 

**7.3 - Comments**

The only relevant short term study was done by Mr. Kevin Jones who did the work for the Tentative List for Micronesia.

**8. Financial Status and Human Resources**

**8.1 - Sources of funding**

National government funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of sustained funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of sustained funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Minor source of fixed term funding

**Section I - Micronesia (Federated States of)**

International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Not applicable
NGOs (international and / or national)	Not applicable
Private sector funds	Not applicable
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	

**8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage**

No

**8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage**

No

**8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.

**8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.

**8.6 - Comments**

**9. Training**

**9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs**

- UNESCO World Heritage / Regional / Cultural Participatory Workshop sponsored by ICH centre for Asia - Pacific

**9.2 - Training needs**

Conservation	High priority
Education	High priority
Promotion	High priority
Interpretation	High priority
Administration	High priority
Visitor management	High priority
Community outreach	High priority
Risk preparedness	High priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High priority
Other	High priority

**9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?**

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

9.4 - Comments

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Distribution of material / information

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others

No

10.3 - Comments

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

Not applicable
----------------

11.1.2 - Films / TV

Not applicable
----------------

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

Not applicable
----------------

11.1.4 - Internet

Not applicable
----------------

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

Not applicable
----------------

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

Not applicable
----------------

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

Not applicable
----------------

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

Not applicable
----------------

11.1.9 - Comments

We marked the "Not Applicable" because we presently do not have a World Heritage Site listed.

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

Private Sector	Poor
Youth	Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Decision makers and public officials	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
General public	Poor

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme but intends to do so.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Never
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Never
Youth Forums	Never
Skills-training courses for students	Never
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Never
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Never
Other (comment below)	Never

11.2.5 - Comments

We intend to aggressively promote World Heritage Sites in our country once we have them listed.

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

<b>Identification of heritage</b>
No inventories have been established for cultural and natural heritage.
No inventories / lists / registers have been established for cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

<b>National Inventories</b>
National : No process established
Regional / provincial / state : Process commenced
National : No process established
Regional / provincial / state : Process commenced

12.1.3 - Tentative List

<b>Tentative List</b>
Yes

12.1.4 - Legal framework

<b>Legal framework</b>
Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

**12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies**

<b>Implementation of international conventions within national policies</b>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

**12.1.6 - Communities**

<b>Communities</b>
Local communities / residents: Good
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Good
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Good
Local communities / residents: Good
Indigenous peoples: Good
Landowners: Good

**12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning**

<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

**12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

<b>Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation</b>
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

**12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

<b>Scientific and Technical Studies and Research</b>
There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.

**12.1.10 - Financial status**

<b>Financial status</b>
The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.

**12.1.11 - Human resources**

<b>Human resources</b>
A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.

**12.1.12 - Training**

<b>Training</b>
No national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

**12.1.13 - International cooperation**

<b>International cooperation</b>
Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Distribution of material / information

**12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building**

<b>Education, Information and awareness building</b>
Private Sector : Poor
Youth : Poor
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Poor

**12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).**

**12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)**

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

2 Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage						
		Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
2.3	No inventories have been established for cultural and natural heritage.	Amend existing pertinent law	FSM National Historic Preservation Law (Title 26 of the FSM Code) will be amended to include a provision on establishing a National Natural and Cultural Heritage Registry.	Office of National Archives, Culture and Historic Preservation (FSM National Historic Preservation Officer) and the FSM Attorney General Office.	2012 to 2013	No
5 General Policy Development						
5.7	There is limited coordination or integration of different legal instruments for the development of heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies.	Consult with State Historic Preservation Officers.	To draft a Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU) between the National HPO and State SHPOs regarding the integration of the different legal instruments for the development of heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies.	National Historic Preservation Officer (NACH), National Attorney (Office of Attorney General), State Historic Preservation Officers.	2012 to 2013	No

**12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention**

More training specifically geared toward the drafting of the Tentative List, write up of dossiers, management of World Heritage Sites in the FSM.

**13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

Yes

**13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:**

**13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	No support
UNESCO (other sectors)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Not applicable
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

**13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most required information was accessible

**13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not applicable
State Party	Not applicable
Site Managers	Not applicable

**13.6 - Comments**

This is the FSM's first Periodic Reporting.

**14. Thank you**