1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Kyrgyzstan

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

03/07/1995

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
UNESCO National Commission
World Heritage property managers/coordinators
Non Governmental Organizations

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

 Aida Ernazarova Secretariat of Commission of Kyrgyz Republic for UNESCO under State Ministry Foreign Affairs KR Referernt

 Aida Ernazarova Secretariat of Commission of Kyrgyz Republic for UNESCO Referernt under State Ministry Foreign Affairs KR

Comment

Ministry of Culture and Information of the Kyrgyz Republic Bishkek, 720040, 78, Pushkin Str. 00996312620482 00996312664816 mincult@gov.kg Ms. Elnura Korchueva Secretary-General National Commission of for UNESCO of the Kyrgyz Republic 720040, 54, Erkindik Blvd., Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan Tel/fax: + 996 312 62 67 61 email: natcomunesco@totel.kg

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

Mrs/Dr. Bakyt Amanbaeva
 Head of the Cultural Heritage Section
 Institute of History and Cultural Heritage of the National
 Academy of Sciences of the Kyrgyz Republic
 Domain: cultural and natural

•

•

1.6 - Comments

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Not applicable
Local	Process completed or continually updated
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

	•
National	No process established
Regional / provincial / state	No process established
Local	No process established
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Process commenced

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified <u>cultural</u> heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified <u>natural</u> heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

WESTERN TIEN-SHAN (transnational serial nomination) / 2013 /		
Silk Road Sites in Kyrgyzstan / 2013 /		
Saimaly-Tash Petroglyphs / Not identified /		

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

•	<u> </u>
ICOMOS	S thematic studies
Meetings	s to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Fair
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Poor
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local communities / residents	No involvement
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator(s)	No involvement

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Yes

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

3.7 - Comments

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Issyk-Kul	2004-02-02	withdrawn
National Historical and Archaeological Museum Sulaiman-Too	2005-02-01	Incomplete
Sulaiman – Too Cultural Landscape (Sacred Mountain)	2006-01-30	referred
Sulamain-Too Sacred Mountain	2008-02-01	referred
Sulaiman-Too Sacred Mountain	2009-01-27	inscribed

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Fair
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Local industries	Not applicable
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants / experts	Good
Site manager / coordinator	Fair

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

the World Heritage List	
Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	Some benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	Low benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	Some benefit
Improved presentation of sites	Some benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	Low benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Some benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Limited benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	Low benefit

Section I - Kyrgyzstan

Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Limited benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

4.4 - Comments

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

Title	Year	Link to source
Law on Culture	1992	
Law on the Statutory Document Copy	1997	
Law on Protection of Professional activity of Journalists	1997	
Law on Librarianship	1998	
Law on Advertising	1998	
Law on Tourism	1999	
Law on Protection and Use of Historical and Cultural heritage	1999	
Law on State Support of Cinematography	2001	
Law on Copyright and Related Rights	1998	

Comment

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

Presidential Decree on the state support of the national historical and archaeological museum complex / 2008 / National / Cultural /

5.3 - Comment

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is inadequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is limited coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.

Section I - Kyrgyzstan

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are no specific policies to integrate heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning.

5.10 - Comments

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is limited cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

There is limited cooperation between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is some capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.

6.5 - Comments

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

•

7.3 - Comments

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

<u> </u>	
National government funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of fixed term funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Major source of sustained funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Minor source of sustained funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of sustained funding
NGOs (international and / or national)	Not applicable
Private sector funds	Not applicable
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Minor source of fixed term funding

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

Yes

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

Yes

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.

8.6 - Comments

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

•

9.2 - Training needs

Conservation	High priority
Education	High priority
	Medium priority

Interpretation	High priority
Administration	High priority
Visitor management	High priority
Community outreach	Medium priority
Risk preparedness	Medium priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium priority
Other	Not applicable

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

9.4 - Comments

We have launched a project on preservation of cultural heritage and capacity building (Chui Valley project with Japaneese partners)

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others

No

10.3 - Comments

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

Information	
Awareness Raising	
Education	
International	
National	
Local	

11.1.2 - Films / TV

Information	
Awareness Raising	
International	
National	

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

Information
Awareness Raising
National

11.1.4 - Internet

International	
National	

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

National

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

Information	
Awareness Raising	
International	
National	

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

Information	
Awareness Raising	
Education	
International	
National	
Local	

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

Not applicable

11.1.9 - Comments

The National Bank of the Kyrgyz Republic will release a commemorative coin with an image of the Sacred Mountain Suliaman-Too World Heritage Site.

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

Private Sector	Good
Youth	Good
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not Applicable
General public	Good

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands programme*.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Never
Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Never
Youth Forums	Never
Skills-training courses for students	Never
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Never
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Never
Other (comment below)	Never

11.2.5 - Comments

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

Identification of heritage

Inventories are inadequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

12.1.2 - National inventories		
National Inventories		
National : Process completed or continually updated		
Regional / provincial / state : Not applicable		
National : No process established		
Regional / provincial / state : No process established		

12.1.3 - Tentative List

Tentative List	
Yes	

12.1.4 - Legal framework

Legal framework

There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce legislation and / or regulations for the identification, conservation and protection of heritage.

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

Implementation of international conventions within national policies

12.1.6 - Communities

Communities		
Local communities / residents: No involvement		
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Not applicable		
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Not applicable		
Local communities / residents: Good		
Indigenous peoples: Not applicable		
Landowners: Poor		

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

Larger-scale planning

There are no specific policies to integrate heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning.

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

12.1.10 - Financial status

Financial status

The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.11 - Human resources

Human resources

A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.12 - Training

Training

No national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements Financial support Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage Participation in foundations for international cooperation Sharing expertise for capacity building Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars Distribution of material / information

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

Education, Information and awareness building	
Private Sector : Good	
Youth : Good	
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good	

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

2 Inve	ntories / lists / reg	gisters for cultural and nat	ural heritage			
		Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
2.3	to capture the diversity of	Developing the national list of natural heritage sites; developing the national list of newly-identified properties	national inventorying of all	Ministry of Culture and Information of the Kyrgyz Republic	2012-2013	assistance needed
5 Gen	eral Policy Develo	pment				
5.4	The legal framework is inadequate for t identification, conservation an protection of cultural and natural heritage	local levels.	separate laws for cultu	Government of the Kyrg Republic; Ministry of Culture and Information the Kyrgyz Republic; National Commission fo UNESCO, State Agency for Environment and Forestry	of r	Yes, assistance is crucial to the development of these laws. We would benefit greatly from assistance from experts in international cultural heritage law, and trainings for national and local experts in the Kyrgyz Republic.
5.5	to enforce	enforcement issues.	ation and legal professionals ge; regarding cultural herit	lers, Republic; Ministry of Culture and Information	of	Yes, assistance is crucial to the development of these laws. We would benefit greatly from assistance from experts in international cultural heritage law, and trainings for national and local experts in the Kyrgyz Republic.
5.7	There is limited coordination or integration of different legal instruments for the developmen of heritage conservation, protection and presentation policies.	We are working toward legal finstruments for cultural heritage protect	factor in updating a Ky	rgyz Republic; Ministry of Culture and Information the Kyrgyz Republic; National Commission for UNESCO; Local authorities and site	of	We would benefit greatly from assistance from outside/regional experts in developing a Kyrgyz Republic national law.
6 Stati	us of Services for	Protection, Conservation	and Presentation	·	•	
6.2	capacity to adequately	We are planning to develop a comprehensive strategy f conservation, safeguarding and popularization of cultur heritage as a whole.	or of legal framework and proper funding.	Government of the Kyrgyz Republic; Ministry of Culture and Information of the Kyrgyz Republic; National Commission for UNESCO; Local authorities and site managers	None at this time	We would benefit greatly from assistance from regional/international assistance and training - especially in the field of conservation.
9 Trair	ning					
9.3	strategy for capacity	We will develop a national strategy to build national ar local capacity in heritage conservation.	Our cultural heritage professionals need trainin in up-to-date techniques for conservation of heritage.		ure	We would benefit grealy from assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

No

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

The interface is unclear, and technically difficult to use. The instructions are not clear as to how to use the system and what to expect in the validate/updating process. In addition, there are no instructions regarding technical problems and troubleshooting.

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM	Not applicable
ICOMOS national / regional	Not applicable
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not applicable
State Party	Not applicable
Site Managers	Not applicable

13.6 - Comments

14. Thank you