Section I - India

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

India

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention

14/11/1977

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

| Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage |
|---|
| World Heritage property managers/coordinators |
| Non Governmental Organizations |
| External experts |

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

Anmol Kumar

Wildlife

DDG

Ministry of Environment and Forests

Room No. 106.

Janhwij Sharma

Archaeological Survey of India

Director (Conservation and World Heritage)

Comment

Dr. Gautam Sengupta Director General, Archaeological Survey of India Address: Janpath, New Delhi 110 011, INDIA Tel: +91-11-23013574 Fax: +91-11-23019487 Email: directorgeneralasi@gmail.com

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

• Mr. Manu Goel

Executive Director Heritage

Ministry of Railways

Domain: Cultural

• Mr. AK Srivastava

Inspector General of Forest

Ministry of Environment and Forest

Domain: Natural

1.6 - Comments

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

| National | Process well- advanced |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Regional / provincial / state | Process commenced |
| Local | Process commenced |
| Other (please provide details in 2.7) | Not applicable |

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

| 2.2 Hatarar Heritage (Lever and Ctatas) | |
|---|-------------------|
| National | Process commenced |
| Regional / provincial / state | Process commenced |

| | Process commenced |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Other (please provide details in 2.7) | Not applicable |

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

Centrally and State protected monuments are properly redistered and listed in the inventories, India has a large repository of natural and cultural heritage where some of the cultural and natural diversity are still undified and are also unprotected. Identification registratation and documentation of such unprotected heritage have already been commenced in the Central and the regional level.

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

| ICOMOS thematic studies |
|--|
| IUCN thematic studies |
| Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region |
| UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List |

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the **Tentative List**

| National government institution(s) | Good |
|--|----------------|
| Regional / provincial / state / government(s) | Fair |
| Local government(s) | Fair |
| Other government departments | Poor |
| UNESCO National Commission | Fair |
| Local authorities within or adjacent to the property | Not applicable |
| Local communities / residents | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Good |
| Landowners | Fair |

| Local industries | No involvement |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Non Governmental Organization(s) | Fair |
| Consultants / experts | Fair |
| Site manager / coordinator(s) | Fair |

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Yes

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Archaeological Survey of India /

www.asi.nic.in Dr. Gautam Sengupta / Director General / Janpath, New Delhi, INDIA / +91-11-23013574 / +91-11-23019487 / directorgeneralasi@gmail.com / Cultural /

Ministry of Environment and Forest /

www.moef.nic.in Mr. AK Srivastava / IG - Wildlife / Paryavaran Bhawan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Rd, New Delhi / +91-11-24363685 / -- / aksmoef@gmail.com / Natural /

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

3.7 - Comments

India is in the process of upgrading and reviewing its Tentative List. This will be finalised shortly after an interministerial meeting. The information provided in Section 3.1 is, therefore, tentative and is subject to review and subsequent changes.

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

| Name | Date of submission | Status |
|---|--------------------|-----------|
| Red Fort | 2004-12-28 | deferred |
| Red Fort Complex | 2006-01-31 | inscribed |
| Humayun's Tomb, Delhi | 1992-10-26 | inscribed |
| Qutb Minar and its Monuments, Delhi | 1992-10-26 | inscribed |
| Churches and Convents of Goa | 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| Excavated remains at Lothal Sujarat | 1982-10-15 | |
| Martand Temple (Jammu and Kashmir) | 1982-10-15 | |
| Gol Gumpaz at Bijapur, Karnataka | 1982-10-15 | |
| Monuments at Ayhole Karnataka | 1982-10-15 | |
| Monuments at Pattadakal | 1982-10-15 | |
| Group of Monuments at Pattadakal | 1987-05-15 | inscribed |
| Khajuraho Group of Monuments | 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| Group of Monuments at Hampi | 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| Ajanta Caves | 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| Ellora Caves | 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| Elephanta Caves | 1982-10-15 | |
| Elephanta Caves | 1987-05-15 | inscribed |
| Caves of Karla, Mahashtra | 1982-10-15 | |
| Sun Temple, Konârak | 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| Chittorgarth Fort and Monuments, Rajastan | 1982-10-15 | |
| Excavated remains at Kalibagen, Rajastan | 1982-10-15 | |
| Group of Monuments at Mahabalipuram | 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| Brihadisvara Temple, Thanjavur | 1982-10-15 | |
| Great Living Chola Temples Great Living Chola Temples | 1987-05-15 | inscribed |
| Great Living Chola Temples | 2003-01-29 | inscribed |

| Date of submission | Status |
|--------------------------|--|
| 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| 1982-10-15 | |
| 1982-10-15 | |
| 1982-10-15 | inscribed |
| 1987-05-15 | inscribed |
| 2004-01-28 | inscribed |
| 1984-04-18 | |
| 1984-04-18 | inscribed |
| 1984-04-18 | inscribed |
| 1984-04-18 | |
| 1984-04-18 | inscribed |
| 1984-04-18 | |
| 1984-04-18 | |
| 1984-04-18 | |
| 1989-01-24 | inscribed |
| 1990-09-12 | deferred |
| 1991-08-19 | |
| 1992-06-03 | |
| 1998-06-30 | |
| 1998-06-30 | |
| 1998-06-30 | |
| 1998-06-30 | |
| 1998-06-30 | |
| 1998-06-30 | |
| 1998-06-30 | |
| 2002-01-29 | inscribed |
| 1998-06-30 | |
| 1998-06-30 | |
| 1998-07-03 | inscribed |
| 2004-01-29 | inscribed |
| dia 2005-01-27 | |
| 2007-01-25 | inscribed |
| 2009-01-28 | withdrawn |
| 1998-07-03 | deferred |
| 2003-01-30 | inscribed |
| 2000-07-07 | deferred |
| 2002-03-13 | inscribed |
| 2002-01-29 | inscribed |
| 2004-01-06 | withdrawn |
| 2004-02-19 | referred |
| | |
| 2008-01-08 | deferred |
| 2008-01-08 | deferred |
| | |
| 2009-01-28 | inscribed |
| 2009-01-28 2009-01-28 | inscribed |
| | submission 1982-10-15 1982-10-15 1982-10-15 1982-10-15 1982-10-15 1982-10-15 1982-10-15 1987-05-15 2004-01-28 1984-04-18 1984-04-18 1984-04-18 1984-04-18 1984-04-18 1984-04-18 1984-04-18 1984-04-18 1989-01-24 1990-09-12 1991-08-19 1992-06-03 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 2002-01-29 1998-06-30 1998-06-30 2002-01-29 1998-07-03 2004-01-29 2005-01-27 2007-01-25 2009-01-28 1998-07-03 2000-07-07 2002-03-13 2002-01-29 2000-07-07 |

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

| National government institution(s) | Good |
|---|------|
| Regional / provincial / state government(s) | Good |
| Local government(s) | Good |
| Other government departments | Good |
| UNESCO National Commission | Good |

| Local authorities within or adjacent to the property | Good |
|--|------|
| Local communities / residents | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Good |
| Landowners | Good |
| Local industries | Fair |
| Non Governmental Organization(s) | Good |
| Consultants / experts | Good |
| Site manager / coordinator | Good |

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

| Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional) | High benefit |
|--|----------------|
| Enhanced conservation practices | High benefit |
| Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage | Some benefit |
| Improved presentation of sites | High benefit |
| Enhanced honour / prestige | High benefit |
| Increased funding | High benefit |
| Additional tool for lobbying / political influence | Some benefit |
| Stimulus for enhanced partnerships | High benefit |
| Increased recognition for tourism and public use | High benefit |
| Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities | High benefit |
| Others (please provide details in 4.4) | Not applicable |

4.4 - Comments

Nil

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

| Title | Year | Link to source |
|---|------|----------------|
| Antiquities and Art Treasures Act, 1972 | 1972 | |
| Antiquities and Art Treasures Rules, 1973 | 1973 | |

Comment

Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958 Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Rules, 1959 Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act (Amendment and Validation), 2010 Antiquities and Art Treasures Act, 1972 Antiquities and Art Treasures Rules, 1973

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

| Indian Forest Act / 1927 / National / Natural / http://envfor.nic.in/legis/forest/forest4.html |
|--|
| Wildlife Protection Act / 1972 / National / Natural / http://envfor.nic.in/legis/wildlife/wildlife1.html |
| Environment Protection Act / 1986 / National / Natural / http://envfor.nic.in/legis/env/env1.html |
| Forest Conservation Act / 1980 / National / Natural / http://envfor.nic.in/legis/forest/forest2.html |
| National Biodiversity Act / 2002 / Natioanl / Natural / http://envfor.nic.in/divisions/biodiv/act/bio_div_act.htm |
| Forests Rights Act / 2006 / National / Natural / http://tribal.gov.in/index1.asp?linkid=360&langid=1 |

5.3 - Comment

Several State Governments have also enacted State Acts related to archaeological sites and monuments and their protection, conservation and maintenance, as well as for the conservation and protection of natural sites, and have formulated 'Rules' under the provisions of the Central Act to

strengthen the conservation and management of cultural and natural properties.

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is adequate coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.10 - Comments

No further comments

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is effective cooperation between principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification,

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

6.5 - Comments

Nil

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

 Integrated Management Plan, Hampi / 2010 Regional Cultural

www.asi.nic.in

Nil

 Comprehensive Conservation Management Plan, Red Fort / 2009

Regional

Cultural

www.asi.nic.in

nil

 Ajanta and Ellora caves Site Management plan / 2009 Regional

Cultural

www.asi.nic.in

nil

 Building partnerships to support UNESCO's World Heritage Programme: India / Ongoing

National

Natural

http://www.knpwhs.org/; http://www.ndwhs.org/ The project is operational in 4 out of 5 Natural World Heritage Properties in India

7.3 - Comments

Wildlife Institute of India is operating research/collaborative projects in all 5 Natural Heritage Sites in India.

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

| on comes or randing | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| National government funds | Major source of sustained funding |
| Other levels of government (provincial, state, local) | Minor source of sustained funding |

Section I - India

| International assistance from the World Heritage Fund | Minor source of fixed term funding |
|---|--|
| International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union) | Minor source of fixed term funding |
| International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.) | Minor source of fixed term funding |
| NGOs (international and / or national) | Minor source of fixed term funding |
| Private sector funds | Minor source of sustained funding |
| Other (Please specify in 8.6) | Not applicable |

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

Yes

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

Yes

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

8.6 - Comments

Nil..

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

- Institute of Archaeology / National / Cultural nil
- Wildlife Institute of India / National / Natural nil
- School of Planning and Architecture (SPA) / National / Cultural nil

9.2 - Training needs

| oiz iraninig noodo | |
|--------------------|---------------|
| Conservation | High priority |
| Education | High priority |
| Promotion | High priority |
| Interpretation | High priority |
| Administration | High priority |
| Visitor management | High priority |

| Community outreach | High priority |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Risk preparedness | High priority |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | High priority |
| Other | Not applicable |

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

9.4 - Comments

India is conscious of providing adequate training, from time to time, to site managers, professionals, government officials, etc., from time to time, in an effort to boost capacity in conservation and management of the world heritage properties (cultural and natural).

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

| Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements |
|--|
| Financial support |
| Sharing expertise for capacity building |
| Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars |

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others

No

10.3 - Comments

Nil..

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

| nformation | |
|-------------------|--|
| Awareness Raising | |
| Education | |
| National | |
| Regional | |
| ocal | |

11.1.2 - Films / TV

| Information | |
|-------------------|--|
| Awareness Raising | |
| Education | |
| International | |
| National | |
| Regional | |
| Local | |

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

| Information |
|-------------------|
| Awareness Raising |
| National |
| Regional |
| Local |

11.1.4 - Internet

| Information | |
|-------------------|--|
| Awareness Raising | |
| Education | |
| International | |
| National | |
| Regional | |
| Local | |

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

| Awareness Raising | |
|-------------------|--|
| National | |
| Regional | |

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

| Information |
|-------------------|
| Awareness Raising |
| Education |
| National |
| Regional |
| Local |

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

| Awareness Raising | | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| National | | |
| Regional | | |

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

| Information |
|-------------------|
| Awareness Raising |
| Education |
| National |
| Regional |
| Local |

11.1.9 - Comments

Nil..

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

| <u> </u> | |
|---|------|
| Private Sector | Fair |
| Youth | Fair |
| Communities living in/around heritage sites | Good |

Section I - India

| Tourism industry | Good |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Decision makers and public officials | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not Applicable |
| General public | Fair |

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme but intends to do so.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

| Never |
|--------------|
| Never |
| Often |
| Occasionally |
| Occasionally |
| Regularly |
| Never |
| Never |
| |

11.2.5 - Comments

As part of CBD Conference of Parties (CoP) meeting in India in October, 2011 India will be hosting the International Youth Forum in partnership with UNESCO, IUCN, SCBD and Others.

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

Identification of heritage

Inventories capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

| National Inventories |
|--|
| National : Process well-advanced |
| Regional / provincial / state : Process commenced |
| National : Process commenced |
| Regional / provincial / state : Process commenced |

12.1.3 - Tentative List

| Ten | tativ | e Lis | t |
|-----|-------|-------|---|
| | | | |

Yes

12.1.4 - Legal framework

Legal framework

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

Implementation of international conventions within national policies

12.1.6 - Communities

| Communities |
|---|
| Local communities / residents: Good |
| Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Good |
| Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Fair |
| Local communities / residents: Good |
| Indigenous peoples: Good |
| Landowners: Good |

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

Larger-scale planning There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

12.1.10 - Financial status

Financial status

The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

12.1.11 - Human resources

Human resources

Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

12.1.12 - Training

Training

There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements Financial support Sharing expertise for capacity building Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

| • | | |
|---|--|--|
| Education, Information and awareness building | | |
| Private Sector : Fair | | |
| Youth : Fair | | |

Education, Information and awareness building

Communities living in/around heritage sites

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

| 2 Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------|---|
| | | Action | Short description | Authority(ies) responsible | | May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund? |
| 2.3 | capture some of the diversity of | Government of India is attempting to prepare comprehensive inventories of unprotected built heritage. | | Archaeological Survey of India | five years | NO |

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Ni

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Yes

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

Nil

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

| _ • | |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| World Heritage Centre | Fair |
| UNESCO (other sectors) | No support |
| UNESCO National Commission | Poor |
| ICOMOS International | Not applicable |
| IUCN International | Not applicable |
| ICCROM | Not applicable |
| ICOMOS national / regional | Not applicable |
| IUCN national / regional | Not applicable |
| | |

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| UNESCO | Fair |
|-----------------|------|
| Advisory Bodies | Fair |
| State Party | Good |
| Site Managers | Good |

13.6 - Comments

The current Periodic Reporting Exercise is a very significant improvement over the earlier such exercises. The UNESCO and its advisory bodies have done an excellent job.

14. Thank you