

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Group of Monuments at Hampi

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- India

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

241

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1986


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Group of Monuments at Hampi	15.314 / 76.472	0	0	0	1986
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property 4180 Hectares Buffer Zone 19420 Hectares Total 23600 Hectares A formal request as per the provisions of the Operational Guidelines is being made by the State Party.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing the boundaries of the inscribed property and its buffer zone	17/11/1986	

Comment

A formal request for minor modification of boundaries is being made by the State Party as per the provisions of the Operational Guidelines. Also, the Retrospective Inventory Project, Clarification of Boundary is in progress and due for submission as desired by the World Heritage Centre.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Archaeological Survey of India, Ministry of Culture, Government of India Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Karnataka Directorate of Archaeology and Museums, Government of Karnataka

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Superintending Archaeologist
Archaeological Survey of India

Comment

Other than the above mentioned Property Manager, the following also share the responsibility: Commissioner, Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority HUDA Buildings, 1st floor, ISR Road, Hospet 583201 Bellary (Dt) Tel:- 08394 221022 Fax:- 08394 220789 Email:- hwhama@gmail.com Director, Directorate of Archaeology and Museums, Dasara Exhibition Grounds, Mysore 57

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. The Official Website of Ministry of Tourism
3. Vijayanagara Research Project

Comment

www.asi.nic.in www.hwhama.org (under testing, will be operational in few weeks)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority Act 2002 Ancient Monuments, Archaeological Sites and Remains (Validation and Amendment) Act 2010 Karnataka Historical Monuments, Archaeological Sites and Remains Act 1961

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Retrospective Outstanding Universal Value (RSOUV) has been submitted to UNESCO on 11/04/2011 and same has been acknowledged by World Heritage Centre vide Letter No: WHC/APA/11/291

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

nil

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1 Housing							
3.1.2 Commercial development							
3.1.3 Industrial areas							
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure							
3.2.3 Marine transport infrastructure							
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.1 Water infrastructure							
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities							
3.3.4 Localised utilities							
3.3.5 Major linear utilities							
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.2 Ground water pollution							
3.4.3 Surface water pollution							
3.4.4 Air pollution							
3.4.5 Solid waste							
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources							
3.5.3 Land conversion							
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals							
3.5.5 Crop production							
3.6	Physical resource extraction						
3.6.1 Mining							
3.6.2 Quarrying							
3.6.4 Water (extraction)							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.5 Dust							
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.7 Pests							
3.7.8 Micro-organisms							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1 Illegal activities							
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							

	Name	Impact					Origin
3.9.5 Terrorism							
3.9.6 Civil unrest							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1 Storms							
3.10.2 Flooding							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.2 Earthquake							
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide							
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition							
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)							
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
3.12.4 Invasive / alien marine species							
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3 Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.2 Commercial development	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	decreasing
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.2.3 Marine transport infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.3 Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.3.4 Localised utilities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.3.5 Major linear utilities	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.4.3 Surface water pollution	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.4.4 Air pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4.5 Solid waste	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.6 Physical resource extraction					
3.6.1 Mining	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.6.2 Quarrying	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.6.4 Water (extraction)	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.5 Dust	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.7 Pests	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.7.8 Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.9.5	Terrorism	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.10.2	Flooding	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.12.4	Invasive / alien marine species	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

nil

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The State Party will be submitting a new map showing the extended boundaries of the Buffer Zone for validation and during the Retrospective Inventory Project, Clarification of Boundary.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Comment

Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority Act 2002
Ancient Monuments, Archaeological Sites and Remains (Validation and Amendment) Act 2010
Karnataka Historical Monuments, Archaeological Sites and Remains Act 1961
Karnataka Town and Country Planning Act 1961

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is **inadequate** to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There are **major deficiencies** in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

nil

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The ASI, the Government of India and the DAM, the Government of Karnataka are responsible for the protection and management of fifty-six Nationally Protected Monuments and the 41.80 sq.km. area under their respective legal provisions.

The management of other aspects of the World Heritage Area such as the cultural landscape, living traditions, the State, Town, Municipal and Village level agencies look after other developments. Recently, the Government of Karnataka has constituted a single authority to administer and manage 105.90 sq.km. area with adequate powers given to the HWHMA under the HWHAMA Act, 2001. This authority will also act as a co-ordination agency co-coordinating the works of different departments coming within the World Heritage Area for implementing the site management plan.

The ASI has established site office at Kamalapuram to manage the Centrally Protected Monuments. It is also functioning as World Heritage Site Co-ordinator at the local level and district level interacting with various local self Government and district authorities and the Hampi Development Authority for preserving the values of the property. The regional level office at Bangalore which co-ordinates with Directorate, ASI, New Delhi and concerned agencies of the Government of Karnataka at higher level supports the ASI site office at Kamalapur.


The Director General, ASI, New Delhi office is a national apex body coordinating with UNESCO on one hand and the regional offices

under whose jurisdiction the World Heritage Property falls and also the highest authorities of the Government of Karnataka on the other. The DAM has its office at Hospet and local office at Hampi. The HUDA, HWHMA, Town Planning and other district level authorities are located in Hospet and Bellary, which is also the Head Quarters of the Deputy Commissioner.

Comment

The Integrated Management Plan for HWHS acknowledges the site with its complex cultural systems and adopted it as its vision, rather than as an isolated group of monuments, which was the prevalent view at the time of nomination. The management framework thus developed, visualizes the site in its entirety, where heritage management is the first priority, followed by human development, which together shapes commerce-led development.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Integrated Management Plan for Hampi World Heritage Site	N/A	Available	01/01/2006	

Comment

Sectoral Plans prepared with the recommendations of IMP for each sector such as Urban Development - Master Plan prepared under the Karnataka Town and Country Planning Act is used for regulated development. Comprehensive Conservation Plan for Archaeological Management. Currently all the sectors are being integrated into the IMP.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comment.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report


No comment


4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	95%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	4.75%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0.25%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Study trip of Indian site management authorities of Hampi W H Property in Danger to U K to increase national and local capacity for enhanced management (NOT IMPLEMENTED 2001)	2001	17370.00	

Follow up on the Periodic Reporting for World Heritage Sites in India; Workshop for exchange and capacity building of Indian World Heritage Site managers	2008	30000.00	
Total		47370	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	10%
Part-time	90%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	10%
Seasonal	90%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
-------------------------	------

Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	Medium
Education	High
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Low
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comment.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only partly meets the needs and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, but it could be improved

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted but improvements could be made

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Tourism Strategy for Hampi World Heritage Site is prepared. A visitor Management Plan based on the recommendations of the Tourism strategy is under preparation.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Non-existent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.3 Services Infrastructures							
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	Criteria 1	The existing infrastructure facilities especially the overhead power lines are proposed to be made subterranean in a phased manner and the new infrastructure at the Site for use of 30000 population living in the core zone is being regulated.	Constant monitoring is being done	As and when the proposal for development of infrastructure is submitted by the line departments, the issues are being appropriately addressed.	Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority (HWHAMA) Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) State Department of Archaeology and Museums	No comments
3.4 Pollution							
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	Criteria 1	As the causes are beyond the boundaries of the world heritage property, the issue is proposed to be taken up at the appropriate levels.	Constant monitoring is being done	Can not be specified.	Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority (HWHAMA) Pollution Control Board	No comments
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	Criteria 1	As the causes are beyond the boundaries of the world heritage property, the issue is proposed to be taken up at the appropriate levels.	Constant monitoring is being done	Can not be specified.	Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority (HWHAMA) Pollution Control Board	No comments
3.6 Physical resource extraction							
3.6.4	Water (extraction)						
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Criteria 1, 3, 4	Awareness among the local community and various other stakeholders over the impact of changes in ways of life and knowledge systems is being created.	Monitoring is being done based upon the impact of the changes on the physical fabric of the cultural property as and when observed.	Can not be specified.	Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority (HWHAMA) Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) State Department of Archaeology and Museums	The changes are gradual and slow process. The effects of these changes can not be apprehended at once. The issue has to be addressed when it becomes explicit.
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Criteria 1, 3, 4	The development of tourism amenities are being regulated.	As and when a Tourism proposal is submitted	As and when a Tourism proposal is submitted	Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority (HWHAMA) Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) State Department of Archaeology and Museums Expert committees set up by the Government	The legal provisions of the Central and State agencies as well as zonal regulations of the Hampi Master Plan 2011 are arresting the impacts adequately.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.8 Monitoring						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Action has been initiated to operationalize the Monitoring Plan proposed by Integrated Management Plan (IMP).	The plan will be operationalized within the next 6 months	Archaeological Survey of India, Government of India Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority, Government of Karnataka Directorate of Archaeology and Museums, Government of Karnataka	As there are multiple agencies involved in the protection and management of the site, it is going to take time to operationalize the Monitoring Plan in the IMP	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

N/A

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No comments

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Sites such as Hampi having more complexities can have a more site specific format which will help in addressing the diverse issues of management tasks. The 6 selected Management needs cannot be addressed effectively in the present form where multiple agencies are involved.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Unsatisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Unsatisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Retrospective Outstanding Universal Value (RSOUV) has been submitted to UNESCO on 11/04/2011 and same has been acknowledged by World Heritage Centre vide Letter No: WHC/APA/11/291

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: Property 4180 Hectares Buffer Zone 19420 Hectares Total 23600 Hectares A formal request as per the provisions of the Operational Guidelines is being made by the State Party.

• Map(s)

Reason for update: A formal request for minor modification of boundaries is being made by the State Party as per the provisions of the Operational Guidelines. Also, the Retrospective Inventory Project,

Clarification of Boundary is in progress and due for submission as desired by the World Heritage Centre.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

The questionnaire has been answered after obtaining requisite inputs from the Directorate of Archaeology and Museums, Govt. of Karnataka and Hampi World Heritage Area Management Authority, keeping in view the Integrated Management Plan (IMP), the R S O U V recently forwarded to W H C as well as the recommendations/decisions of the WHC from time to time besides the ground realities of the Site, especially in the view of the revised boundaries of the Core and Buffer zones of the Property.