

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Yin Xu

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

•China

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1114

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2006


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Palace and Royal Ancestral Shrines Area , China	36.117 / 114.317	?	?	0	2006
Royal Tombs Area , China	36.133 / 114.3	?	?	0	2006
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Palace and Royal Ancestral Shrines Area , China : Property (200ha) ,Royal Tombs Area , China : Property (214ha) ,Total Property (414ha), Total Buffer zone (720ha,The buffer zone as a whole part, can not be illustrated separately),Total (1134ha)

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Area of property proposed for inclusion and proposed buffer zone	31/01/2002	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Name : Chen Ailan Organization : Henan Provincial Administration of Cultural Heritage Address : 8 Nong Yie Road, Zhengzhou City, Henan Province Post Code : 450002 Tel : 86--0371--63850199 86--0371--63610995 Fax : 86-- 0371-63850606 E-mail : chalan57@yahoo.com.cn

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Duan Zhenmei
The Yin Xu Management Department
- Du Jiuming
Management Office of the Yin Ruins in Anyang City, Henan Province

Comment

Yinxu Management Office of Anyang City (Add: Northern Section of Yinxu road, Anyang City, Henan Province; PC: 455000; Tel: 0372-3161002; Email: ayyxbgs@126.com)

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Comment

http://www.ayyx.com

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

The Protection and Management Regulations of Yinxu in Anyang of Henan Province

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The retrospective statement of OUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Center for examination before February 1st, 2011.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(II):The Site of Yin Xu and the large number of historical relics. The Royal Tombs Area of Yin Xu is along the southern and northern banks of the Huanhe River. (III):These discovered structural remains together with oracle bones, and bronze ware at Yin Xu. (IV):The Palace and Temple Area at Yin Xu, royal tombs area. (VI):The sites of palace and temple area, royal tombs area, the unearthed oracle bone inscriptions, bronze ware and other exquisite historical relics.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

No comment

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No comment

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No comment

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.3 Industrial areas						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution						
3.4.3 Surface water pollution						
3.4.4 Air pollution						
3.4.5 Solid waste						
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3 Land conversion						
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals						
3.5.5 Crop production						
3.5.10 Forestry /wood production						
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.4 Water (extraction)						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2 Relative humidity						
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.4 Radiation/light						
3.7.5 Dust						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.8 Micro-organisms						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities						
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms						

		Name	Impact			Origin
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.11		Sudden ecological or geological events				
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.13		Management and institutional factors				
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1 Buildings and Development						
3.1.3	Industrial areas	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.3 Services Infrastructures						
3.3.1	Water infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.5 Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.3	Land conversion	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.5.5	Crop production	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.6 Physical resource extraction						
3.6.4	Water (extraction)	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.9 Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

No comment

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

No comment

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The property is state owned. The site is protected by the laws of the People's Republic of China, including: the Law on the Protection of Cultural Relics, the Law on Environmental Protection, and the Law on Urban Planning. It is also subject to the various regulations and rules in force in Henan Province.

Comment

In accordance with the Law of the People's Republic of China on the Protection of Cultural Relics, In 1961 the State Council announced the Yin Xu the first State Priority Protected Site, Yin Xu was under the highest level of protection. In 2001, the Standing Committee of the People's Congress of Henan

Province examined and adopted The Protection and Management Regulations of Yin Xu in Anyang of Henan Province. In 2010, Yin Xu was awarded the first National Archaeological Site Park.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

No comment

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The principal management authority lies with the State Administration of Cultural Heritage of the People's Republic of China (Beijing) in collaboration with the Henan Provincial Administration of Cultural Heritage (Zhengzhou City) and Anyang City Bureau of Culture (Anyang City).

In 1995, the People's Government of Anyang City has set up the Yin Xu Management Department for the management of Yin Xu area, and to exercise strict control over land use (industrial, agricultural, residential). In 2001, an Overall Programme for the Protection of Yin Xu was adopted.

Comment

Yinxu Management Office, representing the state to exercise the protection and management of Yin Xu, affiliates several functional bodies, such as the Safety and Security Section, etc. In 2001, the People's Government of Anyang City worked out

the “Overall Programme of Anyang City for the Protection of Yin Xu”, it was modified again in 2008, now it has been submitted to the State Administration of Cultural Heritage to approve. In addition, a detailed annual plan has been made with management system.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The People’s Government of Anyang City promulgated Circular of the People’s Government of Anyang City Concerning Further Strengthening of Protection of Yin Xu, Notice of the People’s Government of Anyang City on Prohibition of All Kinds of Construction Projects in the Yin Xu Protection Zone, and a series of specific measures and provision.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property’s Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property’s Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and /

or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comment

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No comment

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO’s, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	5%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	15%
In country donations (NGO’s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	80%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

not applicable

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

none

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	57.4%
Seasonal	42.6%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	90%
Volunteer	10%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
-------------------------	-----

Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comment

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Title: Research in building base of The Palace Area at Yin Xu ,author: Du Jinpeng, 2010, publisher: Science Press,
Title: Yin Xu: the silhouette of the dynasty ,author: Tang Jigen, 2009, publisher: Science Press. Since 2005, many unites such as the Institute Of Archaeology Chinese Academy Of Social Sciences, published numerous research papers and monographs about Yin Xu.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

No comment

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

No comment

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

An Emergency Plan in Peak Tourist Time

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

No comment

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Excellent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

No comment

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

No comment

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	Criterion (VI). The Site of Yin Xu is the real carrier of the social forms in the late period of the Shang Dynasty	Actively improve the landscape environment of the site.	Organize regular inspections, any intervention project in the site must be approved by cultural heritage authority.	A long period	Anyang Municipal Cultural Relics Administrative Bureau (Yindu District, Anyang Work Station of the Archaeological Institute of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Anyang Municipal Tourism Bureau, Yin Xu Management Office of Anyang City)	No comment
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.3	Land conversion	Criterion (VI). The Site of Yin Xu is the real carrier of the social forms in the late period of the Shang Dynasty	Actively improve the landscape environment of the site.	Organize regular inspections, any intervention project in the site must be approved by cultural heritage authority.	A long period	Anyang Municipal Cultural Relics Administrative Bureau (Yindu District, Anyang Work Station of the Archaeological Institute of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Anyang Municipal Tourism Bureau, Yin Xu Management Office of Anyang City)	No comment
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production						
3.6	Physical resource extraction						
3.6.4	Water (extraction)						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criterion (VI). The Site of Yin Xu is the real carrier of the social forms in the late period of the Shang Dynasty	Actively treat the Huanhe River	organize regular on site inspection and monitoring	A long period	Anyang Municipal Cultural Relics Administrative Bureau (Yindu District, Anyang Work Station of the Archaeological Institute of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Anyang Municipal Water Resources Bureau)	No comment
3.7.8	Micro-organisms						

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.8	Local communities have no input into management decisions	hold seminars	A long period	Anyang Municipal Cultural Relics Administrative Bureau (Yindu District, Anyang Work Station of the Archaeological Institute of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Anyang Municipal Tourism Bureau, Yin Xu Management Office of Anyang City)	No comment		
4.4 Financial and Human Resources							

4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	to increase capital input	a long period	Anyang Municipal Cultural Relics Administrative Bureau (Yindu District, Anyang Work Station of the Archaeological Institute of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences , Anyang Municipal Tourism Bureau , YinXu Management Office of Anyang City)	No comment
-------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------	--	------------

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

No comment

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No comment

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No comment

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The retrospective statement of OUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Center for examination before February 1st, 2011.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Palace and Royal Ancestral Shrines Area , China : Property (200ha) ,Royal Tombs Area , China : Property (214ha) ,Total Property (414ha), Total Buffer zone (720ha,The buffer zone as a whole part, can not be illustrated separately),Total (1134ha)

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No comment