

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Fujian *Tulou*

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

• China

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

1113


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2008

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Chuxi Tulou Cluster	24.551 / 116.9	14.72	271.2	285.92	2008
Hokgkeng Tulou Cluster	24.677 / 116.973	29.87	72.4	102.27	2008
Gaobei Tulou Cluster	24.664 / 117.004	9.44	138.5	147.94	2008
Yanxiang Lou	24.609 / 116.97	2.41	19.8	22.21	2008
Zhenfu Lou	24.635 / 116.95	1.56	22.7	24.26	2008
Tianloukeng Tulou Cluster	24.587 / 117.055	8.85	67.8	76.65	2008
Hekeng Tulou Cluster	24.651 / 117.054	17.4	79.6	97	2008
Huaiyuan Lou	24.674 / 117.088	1.44	15.7	17.14	2008
Hegui Lou	24.661 / 117.088	1.75	37.3	39.05	2008
Dadi Tulou Cluster	25.023 / 117.686	65.21	209.59	274.8	2008
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>152.65</b>	<b>934.59</b>	<b>1087.24</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Fujian Tulou, Map Showing the Area of Nominated Property and Proposed Buffer Zone around Fujian Tulou	11/01/2007	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Fujian Provincial Bureau of Cultural Heritage Zheng Guozhen  
Director Address No. 15, Baima Middle Street, Fuzhou  
350005 Fujian China Telephone +86-591-87118106 Fax +86-591-87118106 Email zgz@fjwww.com

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Zheng Guozhen

Director

• Tang Zhusong

The Management Office of Hua'an Tulou

#### Comment

The Management Office of Hua'an Tulou Tang Zhusong  
Address: No. 58 Datong Road, Hua'an County, Fujian Province  
(Post code: 363800) Tel: (0086-596) 7262698  
Fax: (596) 7359263 Email: wshasyb@163.com Yongding  
County Cultural Bureau Lai Xiaodong Address: Yongding  
County Sports Center of Fujian Province. (597) 5832886  
YDWWJSYG@163.COM The World Cultural Heritage Office  
of Nanjing Tulou Wang Huayang 8th floor of Land and  
Resources Bureau, Jiangbin Road, Shancheng Town, Nanjing  
County, (596) 7852709

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

#### Comment

www.fj-tulou.org

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

none

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Fujian *Tulou* are the most representative and best preserved examples of the tulou of the mountainous regions of south-eastern China. The large, technically sophisticated and dramatic earthen defensive buildings, built between the 13th and 20th centuries, in their highly sensitive setting in fertile mountain valleys, are an extraordinary reflection of a communal response to settlement which has persisted over time. The tulou, and their extensive associated documentary archives, reflect the emergence, innovation, and development of an outstanding art of earthen building over seven centuries. The elaborate compartmentalised interiors, some with highly decorated surfaces, met both their communities' physical and spiritual needs and reflect in an extraordinary way the development of a sophisticated society in a remote and potentially hostile environment. The relationship of the massive buildings to their landscape embodies both Feng Shui principles and ideas of landscape beauty and harmony. Criterion (iii): The tulou bear an exceptional testimony to a long-standing cultural tradition of defensive buildings for communal living that reflect sophisticated building traditions and ideas of harmony and collaboration, well documented over time.

Criterion (iv): The tulou are exceptional in terms of size, building traditions and function, and reflect society's response to various stages in economic and social history within the wider region.

Criterion (v): The tulou as a whole and the nominated Fujian tulou in particular, in terms of their form are a unique reflection of communal living and defensive needs, and in terms of their harmonious relationship with their environment, an outstanding example of human settlement.

The authenticity of the tulou is related to sustaining the tulou themselves and their building traditions as well as the structures and processes associated with their farmed and forested landscape setting. The integrity of the tulou is related to their intactness as buildings but also to the intactness of the surrounding farmed and forested landscape – into which they were so carefully sited in accordance with Feng Shui principles.

The legal protection of the nominated areas and their buffer zones are adequate. The overall management system for the property is adequate, involving both government administrative bodies and local communities, although plans for the sustainability of the landscape that respect local farming and forestry traditions need to be better developed.

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(iii)(iv)(v)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

The construction, genealogy and couplets of Tulou give expressions to the criterion (Ⅲ) The construction, colored paintings, murals, wooden sculptures and the art ornament of Tulou give expressions to criterion (Ⅳ) The construction and surrounding landscape of Tulou give expressions to criterion (Ⅴ)

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised****2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

none

**3. Factors Affecting the Property****3.14. Other factor(s)****3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

none

## 3.15. Factors Summary Table

## 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>		
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities			
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>		
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure			
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>		
3.3.4 Localised utilities			
3.3.5 Major linear utilities			
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>		
3.5.5 Crop production			
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>		
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses			
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage			
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system			
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation			
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>		
3.10.1 Storms			
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>		
3.11.2 Earthquake			
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)			
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>		
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities			
3.13.3 Management activities			
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative
	Positive	Inside	Outside

## 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

## 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>				
<b>3.8.6</b>	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity
					increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

none

### 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

#### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

##### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

##### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

##### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

##### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

none

#### 4.2. Protective Measures

##### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

In accordance with the Law of the People's Republic of China on the Protection of Cultural Relics, the State Council of the People's Government of Fujian Province, and the People's Governments of Yongding, Nanjing, and Hua'an Counties have designated the nominated properties as major historic sites under protection at the national, provincial, and county level by virtue of their historic, scientific, and artistic values. In October 2003, the Ministry of Construction and the State Administration of the Cultural Heritage of the People's Republic of China declared Shangban Village in Suyang Township (Nanjing County), where Tianluokeng *Tulou* Cluster is located, to be a famous historic and cultural village of China. The People's Government of Fujian Province designated Hukeng Township (Yongding County), where Hongkeng *Tulou* Cluster,

Yanxiang Lou, and Zhenfu Lou are situated, in May 1999 as a famous historical and cultural town at Provincial level. Study of the relevant laws and regulations supplied by the State Party indicate that the level of statutory protection afforded to the nominated properties at national and provincial level and the supporting administrative structures is adequate.

##### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

##### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

##### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

##### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

##### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

none

#### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

##### 4.3.1 - Management System

Each of the three Counties (Yongding, Nanjing, and Hua'an) has a management structure in place, supported by a museum. These have been established by means of statutory management measures for the *tulou* promulgated by the Provincial and County administrations. They lay stress on the role of the local communities in the management and conservation of the properties in which they live. There are Protection Plans in force in each of the three Counties.

##### Comment

The three local governments, where the properties are located, have established the agencies of preservation specially. They are: The Management Office of Hua'an *Tulou*, The World Cultural Heritage Office of Nanjing *Tulou* and Yongding County Cultural Bureau. They worked together on drafting the Conservation Plan of Fujian Hua'an *Tulou*,

Conservation Plan of Fujian Nanjing Tulou and Conservation Plan of Fujian Yongding Tulou

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

##### Comment

1.Management Measures of Fujian Province for the Protection of Cultural Heritages of "Fujian Tulou" (It was adopted by the People's Government of Fujian Province on July 17, 2006, and is hereby promulgated and shall come into effect on October 1, 2006.) 2.Provisional regulations on the Administration of resettlement in the conservation area of Tulou.(Yongding Gov.,[2010] Reference No. 207.Date of publication:June.30th,2010 ) 3.The Standing Committee of Fujian People's Congress is now working out on the Conservation regulation of Fujian Tulou.

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

#### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

#### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and /

#### or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

#### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

#### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

none

#### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

none

### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

#### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	20%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	5%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	30%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	5%
Other grants	30%

#### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

##### Comment

Not applicable

#### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

#### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

#### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

#### 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

#### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

#### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

none

#### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	35%
Part-time	65%

#### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	80%
Seasonal	20%

#### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	40%
Volunteer	60%

#### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

#### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Medium
Education	High
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

#### 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

#### 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

none

### 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

#### 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

#### 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

#### 4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1.TANG Zhulong, LIN Sujie: How to Introduce the Local Culture Feature of World Heritage to the World [N], Overseas Chinese and Fujian-Taiwan Culture Communication Monograph Series. 2.ZHANG Jiayu, ZHENG Yaoping & ZHANG Limei. The Expansion of Hua'an Tulou tourist market [J], Southeast Transmission, 2007, (9). 3.WANG Fuping. The Story of TULO. Published Versions: Haifeng Press, 2008.



#### 4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

none

#### 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

##### 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

##### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

##### 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

##### 4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

##### 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

##### 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

##### 4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

none

#### 4.7. Visitor Management

##### 4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

##### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

##### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

###### Comment

The general planning of Tourism Development of Hua'an, Fujian (in revise) The general planning of Tourism Development of Yongding (2008—2020)

##### 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

##### 4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

##### 4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

none

#### 4.8. Monitoring

##### 4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

#### 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Excellent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Average

#### 4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

#### 4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

none

#### 4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

none

### 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Criterion (III) and (IV) have an effect on the safety of the construction of Tulou.	Making reasonable plan controlling the number of visitors	Counting and monitoring the visitor numbers	Taking measures according to the monitoring situation.....	The Management Office of Hua'an Tulou Yongding County Cultural Bureau The World Cultural Heritage Office of Nanjing Tulou	no

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.8 Monitoring							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	Strengthen the research on the monitoring indicators of the property and define the indicators in terms of the need of conservation and management.	in mid term.	Hua'an County Bureau of Culture and Sports The Management Office of Hua'an Tulou Yongding County Cultural Bureau The World Cultural Heritage Office of Nanjing Tulou	no		

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

none

## 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Positive

### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

none

### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts
Advisory bodies

### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

none

### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

**Most** of the required information was accessible

### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

### 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

none