

Q6: En plus des réponses déjà fournies, auriez-vous d'autres commentaires ou suggestions visant à assurer la viabilité du Fonds du patrimoine mondial ?

LISTE COMPLÈTE

État partie	Région	Réponse
Afghanistan	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Albanie	Europe et Amérique du nord	No
Algérie	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Andorre	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Angola	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Antigua-et-Barbuda	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Argentine	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Arménie	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Australie	Asie et pacifique	<p>The World Heritage brand is universally respected, but could be considered to be undervalued and underutilised. Successful organisations realise the importance of harnessing the power of branding – particularly in the not for profit sector. A strong brand connects with people at an emotional level and creates mental shortcuts to positive and unique images of an organisation or product.</p> <p>A brand review of World Heritage conducted in 2009 noted there was only reasonable awareness of the World Heritage brand, low knowledge of properties on the List, and a possible credibility mismatch between the identity and image of World Heritage. The review found that these factors reduce the visibility of the World Heritage brand. World Heritage could benefit from a more strategic approach to brand management. This involves better understanding the brand in the current global environment to define the benefits that it can bring to potential partners – individuals, private sector organisations and philanthropic foundations – who can in return, support World Heritage.</p> <p>A stronger World Heritage brand would also increase visitation to sites (improving tourism revenues for host communities), act as a unifying element (bringing together the disparate properties on the World Heritage List) and invoke a call to action – a reason to support, protect and align – for States Parties and private sector partners.</p> <p>A detailed Fund Raising Strategy is needed and we believe that dedicated expertise needs to be secured to work with or within UNESCO with the objective of working toward the long term sustainability of the World Heritage Fund. There is a range of ways to do this, including through the employment or contract of an expert to work within the World Heritage Centre or through partnership arrangements that would have minimal impact on the existing budget. We recognise that any employment of a consultant or staff member is at the discretion of the Director General of UNESCO.</p> <p>A dedicated resource with expertise in the finance and marketing sectors is essential – it is important to recognise that this is not a job for heritage experts or for diplomats.</p> <p>The skills needed may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I.brand development and marketing II.familiarity with innovative financing mechanisms III.relationship building with corporate and philanthropic sectors IV.coordinating social media campaigns
Autriche	Europe et Amérique du nord	<p>Ensuring the sustainability of the World Heritage Fund is primarily the task of the State Parties affiliated to the World Heritage Convention. These annual contributions should at least be increased from time to time (for example in relation to the development of inflation rates and the decrease of currency values going along with it). Austria increased its voluntary annual contribution to ICOMOS International in 2017 and considers to survey the possibility of either increasing its contribution to the World Heritage Fund as from 2018 or to provide a voluntary annual contribution to the World Heritage Fund by analogy with the one to ICOMOS International.</p>
Azerbaïdjan	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Bahamas	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Bahrein	États arabes	Aucune information reçue

État partie	Région	Réponse
Bangladesh	Asie et pacifique	It's a great ideas about the world heritage sites all over the world. If each member of World Heritage Center contribute to enrich this funds which will help to the developing countries to protect their world heritage sites properly.
Barbade	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Bélarus	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Belgique	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Belize	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Bénin	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Bhoutan	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Bolivie (État plurinational de)	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Bosnie-Herzégovine	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Botswana	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Brésil	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Brunéi Darussalam	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Bulgarie	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Burkina Faso	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Burundi	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Cabo Verde	Afrique	0
Cambodge	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Cameroun	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Canada	Europe et Amérique du nord	<p>•Priorities in time of fiscal pressure need to be placed solely on core activities that carry out obligations under the Convention: preparation of Committee documents and support for the annual Committee meetings; support for the General Assembly; the World Heritage Fund; dissemination of Committee decisions and monitoring of follow up with States Parties; implementing decisions that directly require action by the Secretariat; website support; core staffing.</p> <p>•When funding is constrained, all activities undertaken by the World Heritage Centre should be triaged into: required (statutory) activities; desired (but not required) activities providing clear benefit to World Heritage; other desired activities. Required (statutory) activities must be funded before those that fall in the other two categories.</p> <p>•Strong linkages should be explored tying external partnerships, including sponsorships, as potential sources of increased revenue to the World Heritage Fund. Any formal sponsorship mechanism would benefit from legal advisor and auditor input to ensure the strongest possible guidance material, roles and responsibilities. Further discussion is merited on whether or not it is the place of WHC and the Advisory Bodies to directly manage or influence a 'marketplace' or donors' forum.</p> <p>Regarding Question 1 above, we have provided "0" as a response because we do not have information on post-inscription income and funding readily available. We are aware that, anecdotally, increases in visitor revenue at recently inscribed sites has been noted.</p>
République centrafricaine	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Tchad	Afrique	<p>- La pérennisation de l'assistance internationale aux États parties dans le cadre des préparations des dossiers de candidature d'inscription sur la Liste du Patrimoine Mondial, l'appui à la conservation de la Valeur Universelle Exceptionnelle ayant conduit à l'inscription du site sur la Liste du Patrimoine Mondial;</p> <p>- La pérennisation de la contribution statutaire des États parties à convention de 1972;</p> <p>- L'organisation des formations sur les processus d'octroi de l'assistance internationale afin que les États parties puissent se l'approprier; etc.</p>
Chili	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Chine	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Colombie	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	<p>- Calling for greater contribution to countries with more sites inscribed on the World Heritage List</p> <p>- Through campaigns in the social networks to summon the participation of the people to contribute to the Fund that deals with the world heritage</p> <p>- Inviting sectors with greater economic resources to contribute to the World Heritage Fund as a way to pay for the benefits of the world heritage for sectors such as tourism, education, economy</p> <p>- To convene the world banking sector not only to provide financial resources, but also to work jointly with UNESCO on financial strategies that contribute to the sustainability of World Heritage</p>
Comores	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Congo	Afrique	Aucune information reçue

État partie	Région	Réponse
Iles Cook	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Costa Rica	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Côte d'Ivoire	Afrique	La soumission d'un bien à inscription au patrimoine mondial devrait pouvoir être conditionnée par le versement de frais de soumission par l'État partie. Le fonds pourrait soutenir par des prêts des activités génératrices de revenus avec un taux d'intérêt de remboursement aux sites du patrimoine mondial.
Croatie	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Cuba	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Chypre	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Tchèque	Europe et Amérique du nord	The sustainability of the World Heritage Fund should be ensured primarily by mandatory contributions of all State Parties. Those not meeting their obligations should probably be more visible in the WHC documents, at the best in comparison of their other activities devoted to the WH agenda. Some SPs are helping in other ways so the real contribution could be more obvious if WHC prepares a complex review of voluntary workshops, participative activities, international, especially regional activities, etc. The most developed countries should voluntarily abstain from submitting applications to the WH Fund as much as possible.
République populaire démocratique de Corée	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
République démocratique du Congo	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Danemark	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Djibouti	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Dominique	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
République dominicaine	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Équateur	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	One mechanism to ensure the sustainability of the World Heritage Fund should be through the NGOs that interact in the World Heritage sites, we believe that they can contribute with an annual fund.
Égypte	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
El Salvador	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Through the contributions of national and local tourism entities, for instance through special taxes for world heritage sites.
Guinée équatoriale	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Érythrée	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Estonie	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Éthiopie	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Fidji	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Finlande	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
France	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Gabon	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Gambie (la)	Afrique	Solicitation from individual more financially endowed countries or philanthropists
Géorgie	Europe et Amérique du nord	N/A
Allemagne	Europe et Amérique du nord	§§ 225 - 230 of the Operational Guidelines encourage States to promote the establishment of national, public and private foundations or associations aimed at raising funds to support World Heritage conservation efforts and international cooperation. In order to mobilize additional technical and financial resources and partnerships in support of the World Heritage Convention, best practice examples like the German World Heritage Foundation should be acknowledged and promoted. Founded by the World Heritage Sites Historic Centres of Stralsund and Wismar, the German World Heritage Foundation supports endangered World Heritage sites outside of Germany and thus contributes to the balance of the World Heritage List.
Ghana	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Grèce	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Grenade	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Guatemala	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Guinée	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Guinée-Bissau	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Guyana	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Haiti	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Saint-Siège	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Honduras	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue

État partie	Région	Réponse
Hongrie	Europe et Amérique du nord	Before examining further possibilities for sustaining the World Heritage Fund we should consider the introduction of measures to encourage all State Parties concerned to fulfil their payment obligations – such as blocking the submission of new nominations until the arrears are paid in full. Hungary also recommends that State Parties having more than ten sites inscribed on the World Heritage List should provide direct support for the Least Developed Countries in the preparation of new nominations (in the framework of the Upstream Process).
Islande	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Inde	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Indonésie	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Iraq	États arabes	0
Irlande	Europe et Amérique du nord	Our view is that we would prefer the contribution to be raised to ensure sustainability, rather than to have to organize voluntary contributions annually.
Israël	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Italie	Europe et Amérique du nord	No comments
Jamaïque	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Japon	Asie et pacifique	0
Jordanie	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Kazakhstan	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Kenya	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Kiribati	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Koweït	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Kirghizistan	Asie et pacifique	it is advisable to pay a voluntary contribution by the World Heritage sites, having a high income and stable funding
République démocratique populaire lao	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Lettonie	Europe et Amérique du nord	-
Liban	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Lesotho	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Libéria	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Libye	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Lituanie	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Luxembourg	Europe et Amérique du nord	
Madagascar	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Malawi	Afrique	Individual site properties in Malawi are still unable to raise enough resources on their and continue to depend on central government funding and hence cannot make the annual voluntary contributions. There is a policy that only central government pays all subscriptions or contributions to international bodies which delays the process considerably so that Malawi is usually in arrears on contributions.
Malaisie	Asie et pacifique	1) Higher contribution can be imposed to the World Heritage Sites from rich countries. 2) Very minimum contribution can be imposed to all World Heritage Sites as a yearly basis (less than USD500 per site, per year)
Maldives	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Mali	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Malte	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Îles Marshall	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Mauritanie	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Maurice	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Mexique	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Peut-être invitant les États parties qui le font, ne pas donner seulement le minimum de ses contributions à l'UNESCO. Le Mexique es le 10ème. collaborateur à l'UNESCO, pour cette raison ne peut, pour le moment, augmenter leur contribution annuelle.
Micronésie (Etats fédérés de)	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Monaco	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Mongolie	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Monténégro	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue

État partie	Région	Réponse
Maroc	États arabes	La viabilité du Fonds du patrimoine mondial ne saurait être effective qu'à travers la contribution des Etats parties, et pour parvenir à l'adhésion de ces Etats pour appuyer financièrement le Fonds il faut s'assurer de garantir une meilleure gestion des bien du patrimoine mondial. Il faut dans un premier temps exiger la création par les Etats parties des structures de gestion et de se doter de systèmes de gestion viables pour ceux qui n'en ont pas. C'est le seul moyen de pouvoir développer un bien et d'en augmenter les recettes qui permettraient par la suite la contribution au Fonds du patrimoine mondial.
Mozambique	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Myanmar	Asie et pacifique	Nowadays, Myanmar is a country of trying to transform and establish for democratic nation. She also attempts to set up the country of peace and economic stability. We hope that we will be ensured to fully participation and collaboration in very near future.
Namibie	Afrique	Annual fund raising evens to solicit funds from the philanthropists, donors and partners.
Népal	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Pays-Bas	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Nouvelle-Zélande	Asie et pacifique	0
Nicaragua	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Niger	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Nigéria	Afrique	We wish to suggest that part of the funds raised could be invested. In addition, the World Heritage Centre could reach out to wealthy individuals and multinational who love to promote heritage to donate to the World Heritage Fund.
Nioué	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Norvège	Europe et Amérique du nord	WH Properties could more offensive promote the Fund, and encourage donations to be given. Big operators in the tourism industry (cruise-, airlines etc.) seem to gain profit from offering experiences in WH Properties far beyond their financial contributions through fees and taxes. As far as the tourism industry is international, it could or should be encountered by a demand from the international society as it is constituted in organisations like UNESCO to contribute to the WH Fund, which are there to safeguard the most valuable destinations in the World.
Oman	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Pakistan	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Palaos	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Palestine	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Panama	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Papouasie-Nouvelle-Guinée	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Paraguay	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	The decision 40 COM is considered appropriate for the sustainability of the World Heritage Committe
Pérou	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Philippines	Asie et pacifique	Voluntary contribution to the World Heritage Fund may fixed at the ratio of project total maximum expected contribution per year over number of sites inscribed per State.
Pologne	Europe et Amérique du nord	There is a potential, but more efforts should be spent on capacity building and contacts with Site Managers/local authorities within the World Heritage system to explain them needs and convince the to the proposed solutions that could possible result in the fundraising.
Portugal	Europe et Amérique du nord	A voluntary contribution made by World Heritage properties to the World Heritage Fund seems the most feasible solution
Qatar	États arabes	Nil, No.
République de Corée	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
République de Moldova	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Roumanie	Europe et Amérique du nord	The respondents suggested as possible sources for the World Heritage Fund: - voluntary State Party contribution instead of individual contributions for each inscribed property - involving and encouraging the visiting public to donate - directing a percentage of the tickets to the World Heritage Fund *Important note: for the serial positions we did not receive similar answers from all 6 to 8 component properties, therefore the clear majority was considered for providing the answers.
Fédération de Russie	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Rwanda	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Saint-Kitts-et-Nevis	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Sainte-Lucie	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	0

État partie	Région	Réponse
Saint-Vincent-et-les Grenadines	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Samoa	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Saint-Marin	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Sao Tomé-et-Principe	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Arabie saoudite	États arabes	0
Sénégal	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Serbie	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Seychelles	Afrique	<p>The World Heritage Fund is a very important tool to assist World Heritage Site especially in countries or situations where budget allocations from local , regional and national authorities are very limited or non-existent. It is important that a "business plan" or strategic plan is developed which identifies the present situation of the fund, maps potential sources of funding in addition to voluntary contributions by states.</p> <p>It should also review and consider the possibility of philanthropic, cooperate funding and connect these to specific projects submitted to the fund. In the case of the natural World Heritage properties a close collaboration with other funding mechanism such as the GEF should be investigated.</p>
Sierra Leone	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Singapour	Asie et pacifique	<p>Perhaps state parties with multiple inscriptions should be subject to an added contribution per site before any new inscription nomination can be considered. Those countries which default on annual subscription should also be held back from any new inscription. This will ensure UNESCO 's limited resources are not unduly pressured by state parties which are not responsible members. Exceptions may be made for sites at risk if state parties can show that they are unable to pay their dues.</p>
Slovaquie	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Slovénie	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Îles Salomon	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Afrique du Sud	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Soudan du Sud	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Espagne	Europe et Amérique du nord	Aucune information reçue
Sri Lanka	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Soudan	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Suriname	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Swaziland	Afrique	Aucune information reçue

État partie	Région	Réponse
Suède	Europe et Amérique du nord	<p>The sustainability of the World Heritage Fund has been discussed for many years and many proposals have been made. The situation is well reflected in the working documents and the decisions of the World Heritage Committee and the General Assembly. It is therefore difficult to come up with something new, but we would like to reiterate a few of the more recent proposals made.</p> <p>A more stable financial situation can only be achieved by securing income from the yearly contribution of the States Parties, stable income from extra budgetary sources, cost saving measures, optimization of existing funds, and an awareness of the financial consequences of decisions taken by the Committee and thus providing funds for them.</p> <p>States Parties should pay their annual contribution and pay on time. Since this is a problem that has remained unresolved for years the World Heritage Committee and the General Assembly may have to consider introducing measures/rules if States Parties do not pay or do not pay on time. The Committee could, for instance, decide not to consider nominations from States Parties that have not payed or who have arrears at its annual sessions.</p> <p>Given the difficult financial situation extra budgetary funding will still be an important source of income. As decided by the committee (40 COM 15) partnerships should continue and the partnership strategy reviewed, a Forum of Donors as suggested by the Committee's Ad Hoc Working Group should be investigated (40 COM 13A). Some kind of crowd-funding or other simple and modern mechanism needs to be established and a future "Friends of the WH" could be a very important pressure group also nationally.</p> <p>Further cost saving measures should be considered and implemented, bearing in mind the Committee's decision that conservation of World Heritage Properties should be prioritized. The annual limit on the number of nominations the Committee will review, including nominations deferred and referred by previous sessions of the Committee, extensions (except minor modifications of limits of the property) should be lower than the current 35. To have real effect the number should be at the most 25, as proposed by the World Heritage Centre.</p> <p>In this strained financial situation new tasks, reports and meetings requested by the World Heritage Committee need to come with designated funding. In short: The Committee should not take decisions without considering the financial consequences.</p> <p>The lack of resources is a serious problem for all of UNESCO, in part related to the significant number of MS who are in arrears. The pressing financial situation and the recurrent problems with solving it in a sustainable way should be communicated to the Executive Board, for Board to be fully informed about the urgent and dire consequences this has to the work of the World Heritage Convention.</p>
Suisse	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
République arabe syrienne	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Tadjikistan	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Thaïlande	Asie et pacifique	<p>World Heritage Centre should provide;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Motivations for States Parties to pay compulsory contributions to the World Heritage Fund within the prescribed time. 2.Appropriate distribution of assistance to States Parties who contributed funds to the World Heritage Fund.
ex-République yougoslave de Macédoine	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Timor-Leste	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Togo	Afrique	Le Koutammakou est le seul bien du Togo sur la Liste du patrimoine mondial. Le financement de l'Etat est une contribution en matériel et fournitures techniques pour le fonctionnement du site.
Tonga	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Trinité-et-Tobago	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Tunisie	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Turquie	Europe et Amérique du nord	Social, cultural, physical, environmental and natural balance must be taken into consideration while ensuring the sustainability of the World Heritage Fund, and also if available, the human tissue must be preserved.
Turkménistan	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Ouganda	Afrique	Annual Fees and Fundraising Activities
Ukraine	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Émirats arabes unis	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Royaume-Uni de Grande-Bretagne et d'Irlande du Nord	Europe et Amérique du nord	no

État partie	Région	Réponse
République-Unie de Tanzanie	Afrique	1. Through State Party commitment by paying annual contribution on time 2. despite of No. 1 above, the World Heritage might think of charging special fees for having more site on the World Heritage List and during the request for inscription
États-Unis d'Amérique	Europe et Amérique du nord	0
Uruguay	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Ouzbékistan	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Vanuatu	Asie et pacifique	Aucune information reçue
Venezuela (République bolivarienne du)	Amérique latine et Caraïbes	Aucune information reçue
Viet Nam	Asie et pacifique	0
Yémen	États arabes	Aucune information reçue
Zambie	Afrique	Aucune information reçue
Zimbabwe	Afrique	Aucune information reçue