

Q3: How do you think the voluntary contribution made by the World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party should be acknowledged?

COMPLETE LIST

State Party	Region	Response
Afghanistan	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Albania	Europe and North America	The voluntary contribution made by a World Heritage property of the State Party can be published online in a dedicated part of the funding session of the web page of the World Heritage Convention.
Algeria	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Andorra	Europe and North America	contribution volontaire supplémentaire (forfaitaire)
Angola	Africa	No response received to the survey
Antigua and Barbuda	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Argentina	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Armenia	Europe and North America	, because we do not intend to make voluntary contribution.
Australia	Asia and the Pacific	If any voluntary contribution were made by properties it could be acknowledged on the UNESCO website, social media as well as at conferences and other relevant meetings. One site said that acknowledgement would not be essential. For serial properties such as the Australian Convict sites, there would be a dilemma would be around how such a voluntary contribution would be made. Such a contribution would have to come from 10-13 different sources.
Austria	Europe and North America	In case voluntary contributions should be provided by World Heritage Sites in the future, they should be mentioned on the Website of the World Heritage Centre related to the respective sites as well as indicated in the annual financial reports of the World Heritage Centre.
Azerbaijan	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Bahamas	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Bahrain	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Bangladesh	Asia and the Pacific	I think Voluntary contribution by a World Heritage Property should raised the consciousness about the heritage sites with the local community. Also teaching the local community about the cultural education in local context. Voluntary could easily makes the sense these world heritage sites not only state property but also ours (local community).
Barbados	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Belarus	Europe and North America	To have the pre-emptive right to international assistance to have the tax privileges free publications in the journal of the World Heritage Center
Belgium	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Belize	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Benin	Africa	No response received to the survey
Bhutan	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Botswana	Africa	No response received to the survey
Brazil	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Brunei Darussalam	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Bulgaria	Europe and North America	We propose letters with acknowledgements to be sent by the World Heritage Center to the site managers or the corresponding national institutions. (In the case of two natural properties the site managers are regional departments of the Ministry of environment and water - MOEW and the MOEW is the Primary budgetary spender).
Burkina Faso	Africa	No response received to the survey
Burundi	Africa	No response received to the survey
Cabo Verde	Africa	À travers un vote à l'Assemblée Nationale
Cambodia	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Cameroon	Africa	No response received to the survey

State Party	Region	Response
Canada	Europe and North America	Canada believes that this question merits further discussion and a dynamic, creative approach. Acknowledgement of such a commitment by individual World Heritage sites should reach several levels and a broad audience. A targeted acknowledgement could include a letter from the Committee Chair or WHC director to the site manager and complementary World Heritage maps and other material for staff. Site managers would also be interested in efforts by the World Heritage Centre to highlight their contributions through social media campaigns and public acknowledgement. It might also be valuable for contributing World Heritage site managers to get follow up information on how their contribution was used, if possible.
Central African Republic	Africa	No response received to the survey
Chad	Africa	Par transfert bancaire, les 5% des revenus liés au tourisme à titre de redevance volontaire. la contribution volontaire du Tchad pour le site des Lacs d'Ounianga sera de 37.500FCFA soit 75USD
Chile	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
China	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Colombia	Latin America and the Caribbean	Through the media and social networks and with formal recognition by Unesco
Comoros	Africa	No response received to the survey
Congo	Africa	No response received to the survey
Cook Islands	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Costa Rica	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Côte d'Ivoire	Africa	L'Etat partie doit institutionnaliser sa contribution volontaire par un acte. Par exemple un arrêté qui indique le montant de la contribution volontaire et la période que court l'engagement.
Croatia	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Cuba	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Cyprus	Europe and North America	Unfortunately the Republic of Cyprus has been facing financial difficulties in recent years and our country's contribution is limited to the financing of the wages of one person working at the Secretariat and the costs for the maintenance, conservation and improvement works in all World Heritage Sites in Cyprus (both state-owned and ecclesiastical).
Czechia	Europe and North America	If any fee is considered „voluntary“, its amount can hardly be defined in advance. Three World Heritage Sites in the Czech Republic are willing to contribute to the World Heritage Fund every year on a voluntary basis, but the amount can not be determined at this stage. Most of WHSites in Czech Republic recommended to the State Party to solve such voluntary commitments alternatively on national basis. Incomes of fees the sites are responsibly used for the OUV maintenance and restoration works. Incomes are not so high to enable responsible promising to pay regular obligatory fee to the World Heritage Fund. However, the Czech Republic fully agrees with the necessity to strenghten international solidarity and seeks possibilities of increasing funds available. On national level, WHSites are given priorities in financing both restoration and activities relating to promotion, education and management plans.
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Africa	No response received to the survey
Denmark	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Djibouti	Africa	No response received to the survey
Dominica	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Dominican Republic	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Ecuador	Latin America and the Caribbean	It should focus on educational and informational activities on all management programs that are carried out on World Heritage sites, effectively involving local communities.
Egypt	Arab States	No response received to the survey
El Salvador	Latin America and the Caribbean	Through a voluntary contribution paid by the national government. Since the Secretariat of Culture does not get enough funds to manage and protect all the heritage properties we believe that the Ministry of Tourism and the Ministry of Education can provide funds to make this voluntary contribution.
Equatorial Guinea	Africa	No response received to the survey
Eritrea	Africa	No response received to the survey
Estonia	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Ethiopia	Africa	No response received to the survey

State Party	Region	Response
Fiji	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Finland	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
France	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Gabon	Africa	No response received to the survey
Gambia (the	Africa	Online or in any appropriate UNESCO media
Georgia	Europe and North America	At that stage this question could not be discussed due to the poor developed economy in the Country. The maintain of the World heritage sites is provided by the State and International donors funding; The owner of the Historical monuments of Mtskheta WHS and Bagrati Cathedral and Gelati Monastery WHS is the Georgian Aphostolic Church, that is not responsible to announce the incomes from the visitors and other possible contributions.
Germany	Europe and North America	Such contributions should be acknowleged by the World Heritage Committee and published on the official webpage of UNESCO.
Ghana	Africa	No response received to the survey
Greece	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Grenada	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Guatemala	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Guinea	Africa	No response received to the survey
Guinea-Bissau	Africa	No response received to the survey
Guyana	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Haiti	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Holy See	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Honduras	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Hungary	Europe and North America	Since World Heritage sites cannot provide further contribution the question is irrelevant.
Iceland	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
India	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Indonesia	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Iraq	Arab States	The same method of annual contribution paid, amounting to 1% of the value of contributions of the State Party to UNESCO, is preferred
Ireland	Europe and North America	These properties are State owned, the State would prefer that the current contribution should be raised, not as a voluntary effort. We would prefer to pay a larger amount annually. Otherwise each voluntary contribution would have to be asked for annually from central government increasing bureaucratic issues and with a chance that the voluntary contribution would be refused.
Israel	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Italy	Europe and North America	It is not a esy task. In fact, it is easier for a more "rich" WH property to have funds for the implementation of the WH Convention than for a "poor" one. This means that it should be foreseen a mechanism of proportion in relation to the economic condition of the site.
Jamaica	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Japan	Asia and the Pacific	The decision (40 COM 15) was adopted by the 40th session of the World Heritage Committee. Therefore, we consider that the contents should be appropriately informed to the concerned authorities and communities of the World Heritage property.
Jordan	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Kazakhstan	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Kenya	Africa	No response received to the survey
Kiribati	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Kuwait	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Kyrgyzstan	Asia and the Pacific	If there is a possibility of paying voluntary contributions payments
Lao People's Democratic Republic	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Latvia	Europe and North America	Benefits for contributors should be visible and tangible. For example, contributing sites should receive opportunity to publish World Heritage related articles in World Heritage Review for free.
Lebanon	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Lesotho	Africa	No response received to the survey
Liberia	Africa	No response received to the survey
Libya	Arab States	No response received to the survey

State Party	Region	Response
Lithuania	Europe and North America	A voluntary contribution made by a World Heritage properties could be approved by Resolution of the Government of the Republic of Lithuania. The access to contribution should be publically announced.
Luxembourg	Europe and North America	/
Madagascar	Africa	No response received to the survey
Malawi	Africa	Annual report of voluntary contributions. Acknowledgement through letter immediately after payment.
Malaysia	Asia and the Pacific	1) SPECIAL CERTIFICATE 2) AWARD
Maldives	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Mali	Africa	No response received to the survey
Malta	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Marshall Islands	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Mauritania	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Mauritius	Africa	No response received to the survey
Mexico	Latin America and the Caribbean	Grâce à une plus grande collaboration dans la formation technique des experts
Micronesia (Federated States of)	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Monaco	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Mongolia	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Montenegro	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Morocco	Arab States	Pour qu'i y ait contribution volontaire d'un bien du patrimoine mondial, il faut qu'il y est une vision stratégique claire pour la gestion et le développement du bien concerné matérialisé par un plan et une structure de gestion. Ces outils sont établis sur la base d'indices de performance vérifiables et mesurables qui nous permettent d'avoir une idées claire sur les recettes et à partir de là déterminer une éventuelle contribution au Fonds du patrimoine mondial . (ceci nous renvoie à la réponse pour la question n°4).
Mozambique	Africa	No response received to the survey
Myanmar	Asia and the Pacific	Recently, Myanmar has mainly determined to " Peace and National Reconciliation Process and Establishment of Infrastructure in Economic Development" of whole nation. But, State Party is very much concerned with safeguarding for cultural heritage. Especially, this year (2016-2017 fiscal year), State Party has intended to "review and recheck" for every sectors of government budget allocation. State Party has been reorganized in all of the expenditure in entirety nation. We hope that State Party will be recognized and acknowledged in next few years for voluntary contribution for World Heritage and World Heritage Fund.
Namibia	Africa	Voluntary contributions should be reflected in the reports. Namibia also expects the Secretariat to account for funds contributed in order to ensure transparency. Namibia would like to receive publicity and acknowledgement for the contributions from the two Properties.
Nepal	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Netherlands	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
New Zealand	Asia and the Pacific	in New Zealand. Our statutes do not allow fees to be charged for access to sites.
Nicaragua	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Niger	Africa	No response received to the survey
Nigeria	Africa	By email and any other appropriate medium.
Niue	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Norway	Europe and North America	When it comes to financial contributions, the relationship to UNESCO is considered by the Properties as being a responsibility resting on national authorities. Owners of private homes and houses seldom have income from their estates (except when selling), restoration work caused by the WH status is initiated and paid for up to 80 % by Public entities (national, regional and local Level), as materials, building methods etc. are limited according to conservation practice. Ground owners may also face restrictions on land use. This also applies to commercial or industrial holdings, but companies will stick to their business. Museums are most often owned by Public entities, through a foundation or more direct, and their revenues will cover daily operation only partly.
Oman	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Pakistan	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Palau	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Palestine	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Panama	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Papua New Guinea	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Paraguay	Latin America and the Caribbean	In direct contributions for conservation, communication, diffusion of the World Heritage property

State Party	Region	Response
Peru	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Philippines	Asia and the Pacific	A simple certificate from the World Heritage Committee will do, perhaps.
Poland	Europe and North America	The answers varied from very positive as a substantial help for the low-income countries to negative from properties financed by state or local governments explaining that such voluntary contribution may be interpreted as a improper use of public resources.
Portugal	Europe and North America	Further discussion is needed among managers to reach a conclusion. Some site manager proposed the following: - establishing a percentage of the entrance fees and funding obtained; - mention on WHC Site - official documents related to the use of the contributions should also mention the contribution
Qatar	Arab States	By developing and activating positively the World Heritage Site.
Republic of Korea	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Republic of Moldova	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Romania	Europe and North America	- National tax deductions (equivalent to the respective contribution to the World Heritage Fund) - Priority access for the contributing properties to national and/or European funds for the conservation and management of the World Heritage Property - Provision of national funding for management costs of the respective World Heritage Property.
Russian Federation	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Rwanda	Africa	No response received to the survey
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Saint Lucia	Latin America and the Caribbean	A VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTION IS NOT POSSIBLE AT THIS TIME.
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Samoa	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
San Marino	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Sao Tome and Principe	Africa	No response received to the survey
Saudi Arabia	Arab States	It helped to better preserve the Nominated sites
Senegal	Africa	No response received to the survey
Serbia	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Seychelles	Africa	It is unlikely that Seychelles is in the position to make a voluntary contribution. It is seen that by ensuring the effective management of both sites Seychelles is contributing in kind to the vision and aim of the World Heritage Fund
Sierra Leone	Africa	No response received to the survey
Singapore	Asia and the Pacific	Perhaps UNESCO website or general mtg can acknowledge, Where feasible, to explain where the contribution went to
Slovakia	Europe and North America	By a free promotion page in the World Heritage Review once a year.
Slovenia	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Solomon Islands	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
South Africa	Africa	No response received to the survey
South Sudan	Africa	No response received to the survey
Spain	Europe and North America	No response received to the survey
Sri Lanka	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Sudan	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Suriname	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Swaziland	Africa	No response received to the survey
Sweden	Europe and North America	Information should in that case be included in the budget document for the World Heritage Committee sessions. A letter or other document from the chairperson of the World Heritage Committee or the Director of the World Heritage Center acknowledging the contribution could be sent, and esthetically designed/formatted so it could be displayed at the site.
Switzerland	Europe and North America	Il est important de publier cette information dans le grand public et envers les Etats, en particulier en soulignant quelles actions ont pu être accomplies grâce au Fonds dans l'année.
Syrian Arab Republic	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Tajikistan	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey

State Party	Region	Response
Thailand	Asia and the Pacific	Agree, there should be a list of State Parties who voluntary contribution through channels, such as announcements at the meeting, on the World Heritage Center website, and labeling. Priority is given to State Parties who voluntary contribution when request assistance from the World Heritage Centre, such as knowledge, experts, training, etc.
the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	Europe and North America	Public awareness, why it's important, what will be benefit...
Timor-Leste	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Togo	Africa	Cette information pourra être mentionnée dans les revenus de l'UNESCO.
Tonga	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Trinidad and Tobago	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Tunisia	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Turkey	Europe and North America	By official means.
Turkmenistan	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Uganda	Africa	Certificates
Ukraine	Europe and North America	Voluntary fees can be made only by a prediscrption in the estimate of expenses for such conributions and after special approval of the Ministry of finance of Ukraine.
United Arab Emirates	Arab States	No response received to the survey
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Europe and North America	n/a
United Republic of Tanzania	Africa	At this level the acknowledgement should be acknowledged through State Party, as the income contribution from the World Heritage Property still low
United States of America	Europe and North America	If they were able to contribute, it would be best to inquire of each property how they would prefer to be acknowledged.
Uruguay	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Uzbekistan	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Vanuatu	Asia and the Pacific	No response received to the survey
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Latin America and the Caribbean	No response received to the survey
Viet Nam	Asia and the Pacific	- Acknowledge panel for the contribution at the site. - Logo of the donors/contributors. - Certificate for the donors/contributors.
Yemen	Arab States	No response received to the survey
Zambia	Africa	No response received to the survey
Zimbabwe	Africa	No response received to the survey