

ICOMOS

Paris, 7 June 1978

Mr. Firouz Bagerzadeh
 Chairman, World Heritage Committee
 UNESCO
 Place Fontenoy
 75007 Paris

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Having reviewed the nominations of cultural properties, duly submitted by States party to the Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, for consideration by the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee, meeting in its first session, for the purpose of inclusion in the World Heritage List, the following have been found (in the opinion of ICOMOS) to meet minimum standards of documentation and therefore (in the opinion of ICOMOS) to be eligible for consideration at this time. They are listed in the order given in documents CC-78/CONF.009/3 and CC-78/CONF.009/3 Add.1.

Canada	°	L'Anse aux Meadows National Historic Park	(4)
Ecuador	°	City of Quito	(2)
Ethiopia	°	Rock Hewn Churches of Lalibela	(18)
		Fasil Ghebbi, Gandar region	(19)
Poland	°	Historic Urban and Architectural Center of Cracow	(29)
		Historic Center of Warsaw	(30)
	°	Auschwitz, concentration camp	(31)
	°	Wieliczka, salt mine	(33)
United States	°	Mesa Verde	

Of the nominations listed above, those marked (°) have been found (in the opinion of ICOMOS) to satisfy the criteria for cultural properties. It is believed that the Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar region, Ethiopia, while plainly of national significance, needs further expert opinion regarding its world importance. There is a question as to whether the Historic Center of Warsaw meets the general rule of authenticity, and it is accordingly believed that further expert opinion is required on this nomination.

Additionally, the following two nominations (in the opinion of ICOMOS) have been found to meet the criteria for cultural properties although they lack the required photographic and cartographic documentations:

Federal Germany	Aachen Cathedral	(3)
Senegal	Island of Gorée	(26)

It is suggested that the two preceding nominations be provisionally recommended, subject to receipt of adequate additional documentation.

The remaining eight nominations of cultural properties, all from Ethiopia, are deficient in terms of documentation.

A separate report on the technical aspects of nominations is being prepared for your consideration. ICOMOS remains ready to assist the World Heritage Committee in every way possible.

Sincerely yours,

Ernest Allen Connally
 Ernest Allen Connally
 Secretary General

WORLD HERITAGE LIST (CULTURAL PROPERTY)

ICOMOS TECHNICAL REVIEW NOTES

UNESCO REFERENCE NUMBER: CC/CH/01/7.3/360/29

NAME OF PROPERTY FOR LIST (ALSO CATEGORY OF CULTURAL PROPERTY):

Cracow (group of buildings) (monuments) (29)

3. NOMINATED BY (NAME OF COUNTRY): Poland

4. DOCUMENTATION:

(A) Maps. Technical Comments: It would be useful if the small scale map included geographical coordinates and identified the scale of the map.

(B) Photographs. Technical Comments: Adequate although it would be useful if orientation for photographs were given.

(C) Bibliography. Technical Comments: It would be useful if bibliography were more detailed.

5.5. Justification for inclusion in WHL. Technical Comments: It would be useful if nominations to the World Heritage List were specific as to categories or criteria justifying inclusion in the World Heritage List which are set forth in the World Heritage Nomination Form.

6. Other ICOMOS Technical Comments (following WHL Nomination numbers):
2b. Details as to the protection afforded under these measures would be useful.