

Second Cycle of Periodic Reporting in Europe

Quantitative Summary – Section II

1-2 December 2014 – Fortress of Suomenlinna

1. World Heritage Property Data

EUR properties	Cultural	Natural	Mixed	Total
CESEE	85	16	1	102
MED	134	7	6	147
N-B	32	4	1	37
WEST	124	13	1	138
Total	375	40	9	424

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Validate	Update
401	24

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

Validate	Update
416	9

1.3 - Geographic information table

Validate	Update
243	182

1.4 - Map(s)

Validate	Update
331	93

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Validate	Update
165	260

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Validate	Update
127	298

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Validate	Update
86	339

1.8 - Other designations/Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Validate	Update
225	200

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value/Statement of Significance

Validate	Update
130	294

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

Validate	Update
415	10

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

3. Factors Affecting the Property


Level of negative, current impacts by factor groups on cultural properties, by sub-region (Weighted factor groups, ranked order)

FIGURE WILL BE INCLUDED LATER


Level of negative, current impacts by factor groups on natural properties, by sub-region (Weighted factor groups, ranked order)

FIGURE WILL BE INCLUDED LATER


Relevant, negative and positive factors currently and potentially impacting on cultural properties (EUR)
 (count, all properties, ranked order (most to least reported negative current factors impacting on properties))




Relevant, negative factors currently and potentially impacting on cultural properties in CESEE
 (count, all properties, ranked order (most to least reported negative current factors impacting on properties))




Relevant, negative factors currently and potentially impacting on cultural properties in MED
 (count, all properties, ranked order (most to least reported negative current factors impacting on properties))




Relevant, negative factors currently and potentially impacting on cultural properties in N-B
 (count, all properties, ranked order (most to least reported negative current factors impacting on properties))



Relevant, negative factors currently and potentially impacting on cultural properties in WEST
 (count, all properties, ranked order (most to least reported negative current factors impacting on properties))



Relevant, negative and positive factors currently and potentially impacting on natural and mixed properties
 (count, all properties, ranked order (most to least reported negative current factors impacting on properties))



FACTOR IMPACTS ON CULTURAL PROPERTIES

FACTOR GROUP/FACTOR NAME	NEGATIVE										POSITIVE									
	CURRENT					POTENTIAL					CURRENT					POTENTIAL				
	CESEE	MED	N-B	WEST	TOTAL	CESEE	MED	N-B	WEST	TOTAL	CESEE	MED	N-B	WEST	TOTAL	CESEE	MED	N-B	WEST	TOTAL
	568	821	268	437	2094	1007	801	376	761	2945	653	997	257	687	2594	441	537	203	403	1584
Total	14	21	15	27	77	26	18	20	29	93	78	87	38	52	255	38	50	26	23	137
Biological resource use/modification																				
Aquaculture		1			1	2		1	2	5	4	3	1		8	2	1	2		5
Commercial hunting	2	2		2	6	2	2			4	3	1	1	1	6		1			1
Commercial wild plant collection						1				1	5	2		2	9	4	3		1	8
Crop production		4	5	7	16	2	4	6	5	17	16	26	9	9	60	4	14	5	3	26
Fishing/collecting aquatic resources		2	1	1	4	2	1	1	2	6	5	4	1	4	14	3	2	1		6
Forestry /wood production	3	2	5	5	15	6	4	6	5	21	10	11	9	12	42	6	4	7	6	23
Land conversion	8	6	2	6	22	10	6	4	11	31	12	16	4	8	40	8	12	2	6	28
Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	1	4	1	5	11	1	1	2	4	8	12	14	9	14	49	8	9	6	6	29
Subsistence hunting											4	3	2		9		1	2		3
Subsistence wild plant collection			1	1	2						7	7	2	2	18	3	3	1	1	8
Buildings and Development	63	77	23	63	226	102	79	44	121	346	103	192	34	151	480	88	104	38	120	350
Commercial development	21	16	3	17	57	28	16	10	39	93	5	18	2	12	37	4	11	2	15	32
Housing	23	33	9	24	89	43	37	14	40	134	9	16	3	18	46	8	11	6	20	45
Industrial areas	6	12	4	8	30	12	12	9	20	53	1	1	2	6	10		2	2	7	11
Interpretative and visitation facilities	3	8	4	5	20	3	5	7	4	19	59	104	21	88	272	51	52	19	48	170
Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	10	8	3	9	30	16	9	4	18	47	29	53	6	27	115	25	28	9	30	92
Climate change and severe weather events	23	55	15	22	115	104	89	49	96	338	1		3	3	7	1		5	6	12
Changes to oceanic waters						2	2	2	7	13										
Desertification						1	3			4										
Drought	1	2	1	2	6	7	9	4	8	28										
Flooding	5	16	1	9	31	26	25	10	34	95				3	3			1	4	5
Other climate change impacts		2	1	1	4	9	11	5	5	30									1	1
Storms	8	23	4	9	44	42	25	15	33	115			1		1			1		1
Temperature change	9	12	8	1	30	17	14	13	9	53	1		2		3	1		3	1	5
Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	11	45	15	23	94	33	27	14	29	103		1	1	1	2			1		1
Hyper-abundant species	2	9	4	2	17	3	6	2	1	12										
Invasive / alien freshwater species	1	4	2	3	10	5	3	1	8	17										
Invasive / alien marine species		2	1		3	2			1	3			1		1					
Invasive/alien terrestrial species	7	26	5	12	50	14	15	7	10	46		1		1						
Modified genetic material						2		2		4										
Translocated species	1	4	3	6	14	7	3	2	9	21								1		1
Local conditions affecting physical fabric	157	219	56	67	499	209	149	45	88	491	10	15	9	6	40	9	8	5	3	25
Dust	14	19	3	10	46	18	11	2	6	37										
Micro-organisms	24	33	12	5	74	32	24	9	14	79	1	2			3			2		2
Pests	15	16	6	6	43	17	20	5	10	52										
Radiation/light	4	12	4	3	23	7	8	4	4	23	1	2	2		5			2		2
Relative humidity	28	44	7	12	91	31	27	7	13	78	2	5	1	3	11	2	3		1	6
Temperature	22	24	8	10	64	27	16	6	10	59	3	3	3	1	10	2	1	2	1	6
Water (rain/water table)	28	42	9	15	94	45	24	6	19	94	3	3	3	2	11	4	2	1	1	8
Wind	22	29	7	6	64	32	19	6	12	69						1				1
Management and institutional factors	6	8	4	5	23	12	7	5	8	32	152	204	53	175	584	90	117	37	71	315
High impact research / monitoring activities	3	2	1	1	7	8	3	2	3	16	16	23	6	17	62	5	22	4	9	40
Low impact research / monitoring activities		2			2	1			1	2	64	85	22	78	249	39	44	18	34	135
Management activities	3	4	3	4	14	3	4	3	4	14	72	96	25	80	273	46	51	15	28	140
Other human activities	35	56	17	25	133	76	54	27	53	210		3	2	1	6					
Civil unrest		1			1	7	3		2	12										
Deliberate destruction of heritage	16	31	11	15	73	25	25	13	26	89										
Illegal activities	17	19	6	9	51	34	19	8	13	74										
Military training	1	4		1	6		1	1	2	4		3	2	1	6					
Terrorism		1			1	8	6	4	10	28										
War	1				1	2		1		3										
Physical resource extraction	9	16	3	10	38	8	14	9	24	55	2	12	5	3	22		9	3	5	17
Mining	2	1	1		4	4	2	3	4	13				1	1			1	1	2
Oil and gas	1	1			2	2	3	2	7		1				1					
Quarrying	4	11	1	7	23	4	5	2	11	22		1	2	1	4		1	1	2	4
Water (extraction)	2	3	1	3	9		5	1	7	13	1	11	3	1	16		8	1	2	11
Pollution	48	68	22	34	172	87	46	24	47	204	3	8	3	5	19		3	2	3	8
Air pollution	18	30	4	13	65	26	15	5	13	59		1			1					
Ground water pollution	6	5	2	1	14	15	6	3	9	33										
Input of excess energy	1	4		5	10	9	2	1	5	17	1			2	3				1	1
Pollution of marine waters	2	2	4	1	9	4	3	4	3	14				1	1					
Solid waste	14	17	5	7	43	13	15	4	9	41	2	6	1	1	10		2		2	4

Quantitative Summary – Section II

Surface water pollution	7	10	7	7	31	20	5	7	8	40	1	2	1	4	1	2	3			
Services Infrastructures	39	57	17	42	155	69	48	29	91	237	27	49	18	29	123	27	33	15	30	105
Localised utilities	17	20	7	7	51	24	13	10	18	65	3	12	4	4	23	5	6	3	3	17
Major linear utilities	13	20	2	9	44	16	11	5	12	44	7	5		1	13	5	6		1	12
Non-renewable energy facilities	4	5	1	1	11	5	5	2	6	18	5	1			6	2			1	3
Renewable energy facilities	2	5	5	19	31	18	14	9	45	86	2	6	7	10	25	4	9	6	14	33
Water infrastructure	3	7	2	6	18	6	5	3	10	24	10	25	7	14	56	11	12	6	11	40
Social/cultural uses of heritage	86	75	38	52	251	96	69	46	66	277	205	292	65	180	742	134	142	47	85	408
Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	15	11	4	3	33	24	13	10	11	58	5	11	3	4	23	3	8	3	4	18
Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	20	13	9	6	48	16	13	7	10	46	20	26	7	16	69	17	14	4	11	46
Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	26	34	12	30	102	32	26	13	30	101	64	85	23	61	233	47	53	18	42	160
Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting		1	1		2		1			1	2	2		3	7	1	1			2
Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	9	4	3	5	21	11	6	3	2	22	60	97	17	56	230	37	36	5	8	86
Society's valuing of heritage	16	12	9	8	45	13	10	13	13	49	54	71	15	40	180	29	30	17	20	96
Sudden ecological or geological events	22	54	10	15	101	124	153	33	56	366	1	2	2	1	6	2	1	2		5
Avalanche/ landslide	6	12		4	22	15	13	1	12	41										
Earthquake	2	9	1	1	13	35	62	1	8	106			1					1		1
Erosion and siltation/ deposition	9	14	4	9	36	14	17	6	12	49		1		1						
Fire (wildfires)	5	19	5	1	30	56	48	23	23	150	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	1		3
Tsunami/tidal wave						3	7	1	1	12										
Volcanic eruption						1	6	1		8						1				1
Transportation Infrastructure	55	70	33	52	210	61	48	31	53	193	71	132	24	81	308	52	70	22	57	201
Air transport infrastructure	1	1	2	1	5	4	3	1	2	10	7	13	2	1	23	4	6	2	1	13
Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	30	34	14	24	102	32	18	12	20	82	15	23	3	11	52	9	15	4	9	37
Ground transport infrastructure	21	29	13	22	85	24	18	14	25	81	45	63	12	51	171	34	30	11	28	103
Marine transport infrastructure	2	3	4	2	11	1	5	4	4	14	2	25	7	10	44	4	15	5	12	36
Underground transport infrastructure	1	3		3	7		4		2	6	2	8		8	18	1	4		7	12
Total	568	821	268	437	2094	1007	801	376	761	2945	653	997	257	687	2594	441	537	203	403	1584

FACTOR IMPACTS ON NATURAL AND MIXED PROPERTIES

FACTOR GROUP/FACTOR NAME	NEGATIVE										POSITIVE									
	CURRENT					POTENTIAL					CURRENT					POTENTIAL				
	CESEE	MED	N-B	WEST	TOTAL	CESEE	MED	N-B	WEST	TOTAL	CESEE	MED	N-B	WEST	TOTAL	CESEE	MED	N-B	WEST	TOTAL
Total	216	103	92	129	540	311	89	113	154	667	138	136	87	104	465	87	63	64	84	298
Biological resource use/modification	35	5	13	17	70	46	4	9	10	69	20	20	23	10	73	11	11	13	6	41
Aquaculture	1	0	2	2	5	1	0	1	1	3	3	1	2	1	7	2	0	1	0	3
Commercial hunting	3	0	1	0	4	4	0	1	0	5	1	0	3	0	4	1	0	2	0	3
Commercial wild plant collection	1	0	0	2	3	4	0	0	1	5	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	3
Crop production	0	2	1	0	3	1	1	1	0	3	0	4	2	0	6	0	3	2	0	5
Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	7	1	3	3	14	7	0	2	4	13	2	3	3	1	9	2	1	2	0	5
Forestry /wood production	7	0	3	2	12	5	0	2	2	9	4	2	2	3	11	2	2	1	3	8
Land conversion	4	1	2	1	8	9	2	2	1	14	2	0	2	1	5	1	0	2	1	4
Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	4	1	0	3	8	7	1	0	1	9	3	5	3	2	13	1	2	1	1	5
Subsistence hunting	5	0	1	1	7	4	0	0	0	4	2	0	3	0	5	1	0	1	0	2
Subsistence wild plant collection	3	0	0	3	6	4	0	0	0	4	3	4	3	1	11	1	1	1	0	3
Buildings and Development	8	8	6	4	26	24	3	11	13	51	14	17	9	15	55	12	4	10	13	39
Commercial development	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	2	1	7	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
Housing	2	2	1	0	5	8	1	2	2	13	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	2
Industrial areas	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	2	1	7	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	1
Interpretative and visitation facilities	2	3	2	0	7	2	0	2	1	5	12	9	5	11	37	9	3	4	6	22
Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	4	3	1	4	12	6	2	3	8	19	2	6	1	3	12	3	1	3	6	13
Climate change and severe weather events	20	10	9	11	50	40	14	13	23	90	1	1	4	7	13	2	2	4	10	18
Changes to oceanic waters	0	0	2	3	5	2	1	3	5	11	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Desertification	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Drought	4	2	1	0	7	8	3	0	2	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Flooding	5	0	2	1	8	7	1	2	2	12	0	0	2	2	4	1	1	2	2	6
Other climate change impacts	1	2	1	1	5	4	3	2	5	14	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
Storms	5	2	1	4	12	9	1	2	4	16	0	0	1	3	4	1	0	1	3	5
Temperature change	5	3	2	2	12	9	4	4	5	22	1	1	0	1	3	0	1	0	3	4
Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	12	7	5	8	32	22	11	9	11	53	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
Hyper-abundant species	1	2	0	0	3	1	1	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Invasive / alien freshwater species	3	0	0	1	4	6	1	1	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Invasive / alien marine species	0	0	1	2	3	0	2	2	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Invasive/alien terrestrial species	6	4	2	4	16	10	5	2	3	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Modified genetic material	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Translocated species	2	1	2	1	6	5	2	2	1	10	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Local conditions affecting physical fabric	21	9	1	9	40	27	7	5	1	40	4	9	5	7	25	3	4	0	1	8
Dust	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
Micro-organisms	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Pests	3	0	0	1	4	3	1	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Radiation/light	1	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Relative humidity	3	1	0	2	6	1	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
Temperature	4	2	1	1	8	7	1	2	0	10	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	0	0	2
Water (rain/water table)	5	4	0	1	10	4	2	0	1	7	1	4	1	2	8	1	2	0	1	4
Wind	4	1	0	2	7	6	2	1	0	9	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	0	0	2
Management and institutional factors	3	4	2	3	12	3	3	3	3	12	35	22	11	24	92	13	13	9	15	50
High impact research / monitoring activities	1	1	1	1	4	2	1	2	1	6	1	2	1	3	7	2	1	1	2	6
Low impact research / monitoring activities	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	3	16	10	5	11	42	6	5	4	6	21
Management activities	1	3	0	2	6	0	2	0	1	3	18	10	5	10	43	5	7	4	7	23
Other human activities	16	5	6	10	37	17	2	7	9	35	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
Civil unrest	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Deliberate destruction of heritage	3	2	1	2	8	5	0	2	3	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Illegal activities	12	3	4	6	25	11	2	4	5	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military training	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
Terrorism	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
War	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Physical resource extraction	4	3	2	2	11	6	3	6	11	26	1	2	1	1	5	0	0	0	2	2
Mining	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oil and gas	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quarrying	2	2	1	1	6	3	1	2	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Water (extraction)	2	1	0	0	3	2	0	1	1	4	1	2	1	1	5	0	0	0	1	1
Pollution	21	7	11	17	56	28	7	12	17	64	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Air pollution	2	0	3	2	7	6	0	2	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ground water pollution	4	1	0	4	9	5	1	2	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Input of excess energy	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	1	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pollution of marine waters	1	1	3	3	8	3	1	2	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solid waste	9	4	4	4	21	6	4	3	3	16	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1

Quantitative Summary – Section II

Surface water pollution	5	1	1	2	9	6	1	2	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Services Infrastructures	15	8	8	11	42	19	6	9	16	50	8	14	7	5	34	9	6	6	5	5	26
Localised utilities	6	3	1	3	13	5	1	1	3	10	1	2	1	2	6	1	1	1	2	5	5
Major linear utilities	4	1	3	3	11	5	1	2	3	11	1	2	2	0	5	2	2	2	0	6	6
Non-renewable energy facilities	2	0	0	1	3	0	2	1	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Renewable energy facilities	0	2	2	2	6	2	1	3	5	11	4	5	3	2	14	4	2	2	2	10	10
Water infrastructure	3	2	2	2	9	7	1	2	2	12	2	5	1	1	9	2	1	1	1	5	5
Social/cultural uses of heritage	22	17	12	11	62	22	11	10	15	58	42	33	14	16	105	25	16	9	17	67	67
Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	5	3	4	1	13	4	1	2	1	8	3	3	2	1	9	2	1	1	0	4	4
Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	3	3	2	1	9	5	2	2	1	10	8	5	2	2	17	6	2	2	2	12	12
Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	7	9	4	6	26	8	6	4	8	26	11	10	4	8	33	5	6	4	10	25	25
Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	2	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	1
Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	2	1	0	1	4	2	0	0	1	3	7	8	2	1	18	5	4	0	1	10	10
Society's valuing of heritage	3	1	2	2	8	3	2	2	2	9	11	6	2	4	23	6	3	2	4	15	15
Sudden ecological or geological events	23	9	9	12	53	35	15	9	15	74	2	5	5	8	20	2	5	5	9	21	21
Avalanche/ landslide	5	3	1	4	13	6	2	1	5	14	1	1	1	4	7	1	1	1	4	7	7
Earthquake	1	0	0	0	1	6	3	1	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
Erosion and siltation/ deposition	6	2	3	5	16	7	3	2	2	14	0	1	3	3	7	0	1	2	3	6	6
Fire (wildfires)	10	4	3	2	19	13	6	3	3	25	1	1	1	0	3	1	1	1	1	4	4
Tsunami/tidal wave	0	0	2	0	2	2	1	2	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Volcanic eruption	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	3	0	1	0	1	2	2
Transportation Infrastructure	16	11	8	14	49	22	3	10	10	45	11	13	6	9	39	10	2	6	5	23	23
Air transport infrastructure	0	0	1	2	3	2	0	2	1	5	1	2	1	1	5	1	0	0	0	1	1
Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	9	7	4	5	25	10	1	3	3	17	2	3	2	3	10	2	1	2	0	5	5
Ground transport infrastructure	6	3	2	3	14	8	0	2	4	14	5	7	2	2	16	4	1	2	3	10	10
Marine transport infrastructure	1	1	1	4	7	2	2	3	2	9	3	1	1	3	8	3	0	2	2	7	7
Underground transport infrastructure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	216	103	92	129	540	311	89	113	154	667	138	136	87	104	465	87	63	64	84	298	298

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

	Has buffer zone	No buffer zone, not needed	No buffer zone, needed	Total
Culture	285	27	62	375
CESEE	71	4	10	85
MED	102	4	28	134
N-B	24	3	5	32
WEST	88	16	19	124
Mix	3	3	3	9
CESEE			1	1
MED	3	1	2	6
N-B		1		1
WEST		1		1
Nature	21	14	5	40
CESEE	10	2	4	16
MED	5	2		7
N-B	1	2	1	4
WEST	5	8		13
Total	309	44	70	424

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

	Inadequate	Could be improved	Adequate	Total
Culture	5	53	317	375
CESEE	2	9	74	85
MED	2	16	116	134
N-B		6	26	32
WEST	1	22	101	124
Mix	1	2	6	9
CESEE	1			1
MED		2	4	6
N-B			1	1
WEST		1	1	1
Nature		8	32	40
CESEE		6	10	16
MED		1	6	7
N-B			4	4
WEST		1	12	13
Total	6	63	355	424

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

	No buffer zone at inscription	Inadequate	Could be improved	Adequate	Total
Culture	96	6	74	199	375
CESEE	14	2	19	50	85
MED	36	2	21	75	134
N-B	8		6	18	32
WEST	38	2	28	56	124
Mix	6			3	9
CESEE	1				1
MED	3			3	6
N-B	1				1
WEST	1				1
Nature	19		6	15	40
CESEE	6		6	4	16
MED	2			5	7
N-B	3			1	4
WEST	8			5	13
Total	121	6	80	217	424

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

	Not known	Not known by local res./ comm./ landowners	Could be improved	Total
Culture	3	77	295	375
CESEE	2	10	73	85
MED		29	105	134
N-B		10	22	32
WEST	1	28	95	124
Mix		6	3	9
CESEE		1		1
MED		3	3	6
N-B		1		1
WEST		1		1
Nature		11	29	40
CESEE		5	11	16
MED		2	5	7
N-B		1	3	4
WEST		3	10	13

Total	3	94	327	424
--------------	----------	-----------	------------	------------

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

	No buffer zone at inscription	Not known by mngmt.auth or local res./ comm./ landowners	Not known by local res./ comm./ landowners	Known	Total
Culture	94	2	97	182	375
CESEE	14	1	20	50	85
MED	35		33	66	134
N-B	8	1	13	10	32
WEST	37		31	56	124
Mix	6			3	9
CESEE	1				1
MED	3			3	6
N-B	1				1
WEST	1				1
Nature	19		8	13	40
CESEE	6		6	4	16
MED	2		1	4	7
N-B	3			1	4
WEST	8		1	4	13
Total	119	2	105	198	424

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Validate	Update
142	283

4.2.2 -Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

	Inadequate	Deficiencies in implementation	Adequate	Total
Culture	4	85	286	375
CESEE	4	35	46	85
MED		29	105	134
N-B		9	23	32
WEST		12	112	124
Mix	1	4	4	9
CESEE		1		1
MED		2	4	6
N-B		1		1
WEST	1			1
Nature		9	31	40
CESEE		5	11	16
MED			7	7
N-B		3	1	4
WEST		1	12	13
Total	5	98	321	424

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

	No buffer zone at inscription	Inadequate	Deficiencies in implementation	Adequate	Total
Culture	88	10	83	194	375
CESEE	13	6	29	37	85
MED	32	2	27	73	134
N-B	8	1	9	14	32
WEST	35	1	18	70	124
Mix	6			3	9
CESEE	1				1
MED	3			3	6
N-B	1				1
WEST	1				1
Nature	18	1	7	14	40
CESEE	6	1	5	4	16
MED	1		2	4	7
N-B	3			1	4
WEST	8			5	13
Total	112	11	90	211	424

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

	No legal framework	Inadequate	Deficiencies in implementation	Adequate	Total
Culture	6	12	111	246	375
CESEE	1	7	30	47	85
MED	1	1	40	92	134
N-B		1	11	20	32
WEST	4	3	30	87	124
Mix	1	1	4	3	9
CESEE	1				1
MED			3	3	6
N-B			1		1
WEST		1			1
Nature	3	2	11	24	40
CESEE	1	2	7	6	16
MED			1	6	7
N-B	1		2	1	4
WEST	1		1	11	13
Total	10	15	126	273	424

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and/ or regulation) be enforced?

	No effective capacity/resources	Major deficiencies	Acceptable	Excellent	Total
Culture	1	6	213	155	375
CESEE		2	64	19	85
MED	1	4	75	54	134
N-B			24	8	32
WEST			50	74	124
Mix		1	6	2	9
CESEE			1		1
MED		1	4	1	6
N-B			1		1
WEST				1	1
Nature		2	28	10	40
CESEE			15	1	16
MED			4	3	7
N-B		1	3		4
WEST		1	6	6	13
Total	1	9	247	167	424

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to protective measures

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Validate	Update
143	282

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Validate	Update
124	301

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

	Little or no coordination	Could be improved	Excellent coordination	Total
Culture	8	233	134	375
CESEE	4	69	12	85
MED	1	86	47	134
N-B	2	22	8	32
WEST	1	56	67	124
Mix	1	5	3	9
CESEE		1		1
MED	1	3	2	6
N-B			1	1
WEST		1		1
Nature	2	26	12	40
CESEE	1	12	3	16
MED	1	4	2	7
N-B		3	1	4
WEST		7	6	13
Total	11	264	149	424

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

	No mngmnt.system/plan	Not adequate	Partially adequate	Fully adequate	Total
Culture	20	8	123	224	375
CESEE	5	6	35	39	85
MED	11	2	39	82	134
N-B	1		15	16	32
WEST	3		34	87	124
Mix	2	1	4	2	9
CESEE		1			1
MED	2		3	1	6
N-B				1	1
WEST			1		1
Nature	1		15	24	40
CESEE	1		7	8	16
MED			2	5	7
N-B			2	2	4
WEST			4	9	13
Total	23	9	142	250	424


4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

	No mngmnt.system	Not implmented	Partially implemented	Fully implemented/monitored	Total
Culture	15	5	167	188	375
CESEE	3	2	50	30	85
MED	10	2	65	57	134
N-B		1	18	13	32
WEST	2		34	88	124
Mix	1		7	1	9
CESEE			1		1
MED	1		5		6
N-B			1		1
WEST				1	1
Nature	1	1	20	18	40
CESEE	1		7	8	16
MED		1	4	2	7
N-B			3	1	4
WEST			6	7	13
Total	17	6	194	207	424

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

	No annual work/action plan	Needed, no plan	Few activities implemented	Many activities implemented	Most or all activities implemented	Total
Culture	42	20	28	152	133	375
CESEE	8	5	4	38	30	85
MED	17	6	16	58	37	134
N-B	6	3	1	16	6	32
WEST	11	6	7	40	60	124
Mix	1		2	4	2	9
CESEE			1			1
MED	1		1	3	1	6
N-B				1		1
WEST					1	1
Nature	4	1	1	22	12	40
CESEE	2		1	7	6	16
MED	1			5	1	7
N-B	1			3		4
WEST		1		7	5	13
Total	47	21	31	178	147	424

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship of the following with World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff (N/A / missing filtered out)



Average values, numeric value 4= Good, 3= Fair, 2= Poor, 1= None. N/A / missing not included in averages

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

	No local communities	No input	Some input	Directly contribute to some decisions	Directly participate	Total
Culture	21	38	210	79	27	375
CESEE	7	7	50	15	6	85
MED	7	19	87	20	1	134
N-B	4	3	18	6	1	32
WEST	3	9	55	38	19	124
Mix	1	1	6		1	9
CESEE			1			1
MED		1	4		1	6
N-B			1			1
WEST	1					1
Nature	2	1	18	11	8	40
CESEE	2		10	3	1	16
MED		1	2	2	2	7
N-B			1	2	1	4
WEST			5	4	4	13
Total	24	40	234	90	36	424

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

	No indigenous peoples	No input	Some input	Directly contribute to some decisions	Directly participate	Total
Culture	301	8	28	31	7	375
CESEE	48	3	17	14	3	85
MED	126	1	5	2		134
N-B	23	1	2	5	1	32
WEST	104	3	4	10	3	124
Mix	7		1		1	9
CESEE			1			1
MED	6					6
N-B					1	1
WEST	1					1
Nature	27	2	5	4	2	40
CESEE	10	1	3	2		16
MED	4	1	1		1	7
N-B	3			1		4
WEST	10		1	1	1	13
Total	335	10	34	35	10	424

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and/or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

	Little or no contact	Little or no cooperation	Some cooperation	Regular contact	Total
Culture	134	32	154	55	375
CESEE	29	9	42	5	85
MED	50	11	62	11	134
N-B	13	3	9	7	32
WEST	42	9	41	32	124
Mix	4	2	1	2	9
CESEE		1			1
MED	4		1	1	6
N-B		1			1
WEST				1	1
Nature	8	2	21	9	40
CESEE	2	1	10	3	16
MED	1		4	2	7
N-B	2		2		4
WEST	3	1	5	4	13
Total	146	36	176	66	424

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to management system/plan

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and/or contractual/traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (Do not provide monetary figures but the relative percentage of the funding sources)

	Governmental (National / Federal)	Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	Governmental (Local / Municipal)	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	Other grants	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)
Culture	33,96	20,33	16,62	9,08	7,27	5,10	4,79	1,62	1,23
CESEE	41,95	10,40	13,22	13,27	6,84	4,16	4,52	4,76	0,88
MED	34,41	21,23	15,55	8,11	5,66	9,24	3,76	1,29	0,74
N-B	51,03	7,00	19,60	3,30	11,77	2,43	1,27	0,00	3,60
WEST	23,64	29,52	19,40	8,73	8,24	1,76	7,03	0,24	1,44
Mixed	37,00	9,63	21,25	0,69	8,38	12,38	0,31	0,38	10,00
Nature	42,58	28,83	5,59	8,47	6,97	1,05	1,55	4,17	0,79
CESEE	63,68	7,94	0,01	11,94	12,25	0,93	1,04	0,81	1,41
MED	4,67	90,50	1,17	0,17	2,83	0,00	0,67	0,00	0,00
N-B	54,25	12,50	22,50	0,75	5,00	0,00	1,75	3,00	0,25
WEST	26,90	31,80	10,40	11,00	1,80	2,30	2,80	12,50	0,50
Total	34,78	20,87	15,74	8,86	7,26	4,88	4,42	1,82	1,37

Mean values, relative importance of various funding sources. Only sites reporting funding sources=100% are included (4 sites excluded)

4.4.2 – International assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Validate	Update
202	222

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

	No budget	Inadequate	Acceptable	Sufficient,	Total
Culture	5	51	216	102	375
CESEE	2	16	58	9	85
MED	2	29	63	40	134
N-B	1	3	21	6	32
WEST		3	74	47	124
Mix		2	7		9
CESEE		1			1
MED		1	5		6
N-B			1		1
WEST			1		1
Nature	3	8	20	9	40
CESEE	2	4	9	1	16
MED	1	3	2	1	7
N-B			4		4
WEST		1	5	7	13
Total	8	61	243	111	424

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

	Not secure	Secure
Culture	48	327
CESEE	7	78
MED	26	108
N-B	7	25
WEST	8	116
Mix	1	8
CESEE		1
MED	1	5
N-B		1
WEST		1
Nature	7	33
CESEE	1	15
MED	3	4
N-B	1	3
WEST	2	11
Total	56	368

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

	No benefits delivered	Recognised potential	Some flow	Major flow	Total
Culture	6	36	215	118	375
CESEE	3	6	64	12	85
MED		17	70	47	134
N-B	1	4	25	2	32
WEST	2	9	56	57	124
Mix		2	5	2	9
CESEE		1			1
MED		1	4	1	6
N-B			1		1
WEST				1	1
Nature	5	8	21	6	40
CESEE	2	4	8	2	16
MED	2		2	3	7
N-B			4		4
WEST	1	4	7	1	13
Total	11	46	241	126	424

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

	Little or none available	Inadequate	Some	Adequate	Total
Culture	4	37	123	211	375
CESEE	2	21	27	35	85
MED	1	12	53	68	134
N-B		2	12	18	32
WEST	1	2	31	90	124
Mix		1	3	5	9
CESEE		1		1	1
MED			1	5	6
N-B			1		1
WEST			1		1
Nature	1	7	20	12	40
CESEE		5	10	1	16
MED	1		3	3	7
N-B		1	2	1	4
WEST		1	5	7	13
Total	5	45	146	228	424

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

	Little or none available	Little or no maintenance	Ad hoc	Basic maintenance	Well maintained	Total
Culture	4	24	135	212	375	
CESEE	1	10	35	39	85	
MED	3	12	61	58	134	
N-B		2	12	18	32	
WEST			27	97	124	
Mix			6	3	9	
CESEE			1	1	1	
MED			4	2	6	
N-B			1		1	
WEST				1	1	
Nature	1	6	21	12	40	
CESEE		5	9	2	16	
MED	1		4	2	7	
N-B		1	3		4	
WEST			5	8	13	
Total	5	30	162	227	424	

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report


4.4.9 – 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage Property (% of total)

	Q4.4.9		Q4.4.10		Q4.4.11	
	Full-time	Part-time	Permanent	Seasonal	Paid	Volunteer
Culture	69,87	29,86	85,46	14,54	92,61	6,87
CESEE	75,38	24,62	89,41	10,59	92,87	5,99
MED	78,51	21,49	85,29	14,71	95,96	4,04
N-B	51,56	48,44	63,13	36,88	76,47	20,41
WEST	61,48	37,71	88,69	11,31	92,97	7,03
Mixed	56,33	43,67	80,56	19,44	97,78	2,22
Nature	76,03	21,48	80,55	16,95	87,88	9,63
CESEE	95,31	4,69	91,06	8,94	95,31	4,69
MED	85,00	0,71	61,43	24,29	84,57	1,14
N-B	92,50	7,50	90,00	10,00	95,00	5,00
WEST	42,38	57,62	75,00	25,00	78,31	21,69
Total	70,17	29,36	84,89	14,87	92,27	7,03

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

	No dedicated HR	Inadequate	Below optimum	Adequate	Total
Culture	1	30	170	174	375
CESEE		11	46	28	85
MED	1	17	59	57	134
N-B		2	22	8	32
WEST			43	81	124
Mix		1	6	2	9
CESEE			1	1	1
MED		1	3	2	6
N-B			1		1
WEST			1		1
Nature	1	5	22	12	40
CESEE		2	11	3	16
MED	1	1	4	1	7
N-B			3	1	4
WEST		2	4	7	13
Total	2	36	198	188	424


4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines



	Conservation	Administration	Tourism	Research and monitoring	Enforcement (custodians, police)	Visitor management	Promotion	Education	Interpretation	Risk preparedness	Community outreach
Culture	3,50	3,43	3,38	3,31	3,23	3,21	3,15	3,12	3,14	3,10	2,84
CESEE	3,45	3,34	3,41	3,33	3,26	3,17	3,13	3,00	3,09	2,96	2,79
MED	3,48	3,47	3,28	3,28	3,13	3,12	3,00	3,09	3,04	2,98	2,59
N-B	3,47	3,16	3,09	3,00	3,21	2,97	2,97	2,97	2,93	3,06	2,71
WEST	3,57	3,53	3,53	3,42	3,34	3,40	3,38	3,27	3,35	3,35	3,29
Mix	3,67	3,33	3,33	2,89	3,67	2,89	3,33	3,22	3,00	2,78	2,83
Nature	3,38	3,33	2,97	3,28	2,92	3,03	2,81	3,08	2,85	2,63	3,00
CESEE	3,38	3,25	2,88	3,25	3,00	2,94	2,56	3,13	2,44	2,47	2,88
MED	3,29	2,86	3,14	3,33	2,83	2,86	2,71	3,14	2,86	2,57	2,86
N-B	3,25	3,50	3,00	2,50	2,75	3,00	2,67	3,00	3,25	2,50	3,00
WEST	3,46	3,62	3,00	3,54	2,91	3,25	3,27	3,00	3,25	2,92	3,38
Total	3,49	3,42	3,34	3,30	3,21	3,19	3,13	3,12	3,11	3,05	2,85

Average values, numeric value 4= Good, 3= Fair, 2= Poor, 1= None. N/A / missing not included in averages

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines



	Community outreach	Risk preparedness	Enforcement (custodians, police)	Promotion	Visitor management	Interpretation	Education	Administration	Tourism	Research and monitoring	Conservation
Culture	2,84	2,94	2,98	3,00	3,01	3,04	3,07	3,10	3,10	3,17	3,27
CESEE	2,79	2,79	2,79	2,86	2,84	2,88	2,91	2,88	2,93	3,04	3,16
MED	2,67	2,75	2,80	2,82	2,83	2,88	2,95	2,89	2,94	3,05	3,10
N-B	2,48	2,87	2,96	2,71	2,74	2,90	2,93	2,87	2,87	2,87	3,28
WEST	3,29	3,30	3,33	3,36	3,39	3,35	3,34	3,53	3,47	3,48	3,54
Mix	3,00	2,78	3,00	3,00	2,89	3,00	3,11	3,33	3,11	3,00	3,44
Nature	2,79	2,69	2,94	2,92	3,18	3,11	3,24	3,03	3,18	3,13	3,23
CESEE	2,81	3,00	3,19	3,13	3,38	3,25	3,25	3,19	3,44	3,19	3,38
MED	2,71	2,57	3,00	2,57	3,14	3,00	3,43	2,71	3,14	3,29	3,29
N-B	2,25	2,00	2,50	2,50	2,67	2,75	3,00	2,75	2,67	2,25	2,75
WEST	3,14	2,58	2,73	3,00	3,08	3,10	3,18	3,08	3,00	3,23	3,15
Total	2,84	2,92	2,98	2,99	3,02	3,04	3,08	3,10	3,11	3,17	3,27

Average values, numeric value 4= Good, 3= Fair, 2= Poor, 1= None. N/A / missing not included in averages

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

	No capacity dvlp. plan/ programme	Not implemented	Partially implemented	Dvlp.plan/ programme in place, implemented	Total
Culture	49	28	142	156	375
CESEE	8	7	38	32	85
MED	13	17	47	57	134
N-B	9	1	12	10	32
WEST	19	3	45	57	124
Mix	1	1	3	4	9
CESEE		1			1
MED	1		3	2	6
N-B				1	1
WEST				1	1
Nature	6	3	19	12	40
CESEE	2	3	7	4	16
MED	1		3	3	7
N-B	1		2	1	4
WEST	2		7	4	13
Total	56	32	164	172	424

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

	Little or no knowledge	Not sufficient	Sufficient, but gaps	Sufficient	Total
Culture	0	3	141	231	375
CESEE	0	2	34	49	85
MED	0		41	93	134
N-B	0	1	18	13	32
WEST	0		48	76	124
Mix	0	1	5	3	9
CESEE	0	1			1
MED	0		3	3	6
N-B	0		1		1
WEST	0		1		1
Nature	0	1	24	15	40
CESEE	0		13	3	16
MED	0		2	5	7
N-B	0		3	1	4
WEST	0	1	6	6	13
Total	0	5	170	249	424

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

	No research	Small amount	Considerable, not directed	Comprehensive/integrated	Total
Culture	3	57	176	139	375
CESEE	1	12	47	25	85
MED	1	15	62	56	134
N-B	1	10	18	3	32
WEST		20	49	55	124
Mix		1	4	4	9
CESEE			1		1
MED			3	3	6
N-B		1			1
WEST				1	1
Nature		3	20	17	40
CESEE			10	6	16
MED			1	6	7
N-B		1	2	1	4
WEST		2	7	4	13
Total	3	61	200	160	424

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

	Not shared	Shared local	Shared local/national	Shared widely	Total
Culture	9	40	155	171	375
CESEE	1	13	37	34	85
MED	3	17	50	64	134
N-B	3	6	13	10	32
WEST	2	4	55	63	124
Mix	1		1	7	9
CESEE			1		1
MED				6	6
N-B	1				1
WEST				1	1
Nature		2	21	17	40
CESEE			10	6	16
MED		1	3	3	7
N-B		1	2	1	4
WEST			6	7	13
Total	10	42	177	195	424

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last periodic report

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects


- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property (N = 345)?

	Not displayed	One location, not easily visible	One location, visible	Many locations, not easily visible	Many locations, easily visible
Culture	18	15	82	44	190
CESEE	6	3	17	7	51
MED	4	7	26	20	75
N-B	1	1	5	5	20
WEST	7	4	34	12	44
Mix			2	1	6
CESEE					1
MED				1	5
N-B			1		
WEST			1		
Nature	2	1	4	6	25
CESEE		1	1	3	11
MED			1	1	5
N-B	1		1		2
WEST	1		1	2	7
Total	20	16	88	51	221

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups



	Local / Municipal authorities	Tourism industry	Visitors	Local communities / residents	Local landowners	Local businesses and industries	Local indigenous peoples
Culture	3,55	3,44	3,30	3,08	2,99	2,88	2,87
CESEE	3,44	3,58	3,48	2,99	2,83	2,71	2,85
MED	3,54	3,43	3,28	3,01	2,87	2,92	2,20
N-B	3,35	3,00	2,87	2,87	3,17	2,70	2,38
WEST	3,69	3,47	3,31	3,27	3,16	3,01	3,32
Mix	3,44	3,22	3,22	2,78	2,89	2,78	3,00
Nature	3,26	3,21	3,13	2,93	2,73	2,49	2,46
CESEE	3,00	3,31	3,44	2,81	2,46	2,36	2,50
MED	3,43	3,14	2,71	3,00	3,20	2,67	2,50
N-B	3,25	2,75	3,00	2,75	2,00	2,00	1,00
WEST	3,55	3,27	3,00	3,08	3,11	2,73	3,00
Total	3,52	3,41	3,28	3,06	2,96	2,84	2,82

Average values, numeric value 4= Excellent, 3= Average, 2= Poor, 1= None. N/A / missing not included in averages

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

	No need	No education/ awareness programme, needed	Limited, ad hoc	Partly meeting needs	Planned and effective	Total
Culture	13	38	96	152	76	375
CESEE	1	8	21	47	8	85
MED	4	21	29	50	30	134
N-B	1	2	14	11	4	32
WEST	7	7	32	44	34	124
Mix	1	2	1	4	1	9
CESEE	1					1
MED		2	1	2	1	6
N-B				1		1
WEST				1		1
Nature	2	4	4	17	13	40
CESEE		2	1	11	2	16
MED		1		1	5	7
N-B			2	2		4
WEST	2	1	1	3	6	13
Total	16	44	101	173	90	424


4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

	No influence	Partial influence	Influence, could be improved	Important influence	Total
Culture	14	45	219	97	375
CESEE		11	60	14	85
MED	5	18	75	36	134
N-B	1	1	27	3	32
WEST	8	15	57	44	124
Mix	1	1	6	1	9
CESEE			1		1
MED	1		4	1	6
N-B			1		1
WEST		1			1
Nature	1	5	23	11	40
CESEE		3	8	5	16
MED		1	4	2	7
N-B			4		4
WEST	1	1	7	4	13
Total	16	51	248	109	424

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

	Not presented/ interpreted	Not adequately	Adequate, could be improved	Excellent	Total
Culture	6	51	252	66	375
CESEE		7	68	10	85
MED		18	91	25	134
N-B		8	22	2	32
WEST	6	18	71	29	124
Mix		4	2	3	9
CESEE		1			1
MED		2	2	2	6
N-B		1			1
WEST				1	1
Nature	2	4	28	6	40
CESEE		3	12	1	16
MED		1	5	1	7
N-B			3	1	4
WEST	2		8	3	13
Total	8	59	282	75	424

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property



	Guided tours	Information materials	Trails / routes	Visitor centre	Site museum	Transportation facilities	Information booths	Other
Culture	3,34	3,13	2,83	2,61	2,61	2,31	2,22	0,73
CESEE	3,24	3,04	2,92	2,64	3,18	2,14	2,08	0,63
MED	3,26	3,10	2,80	2,42	2,28	2,29	2,24	0,61
N-B	3,31	2,97	2,42	2,42	2,63	2,16	1,58	0,93
WEST	3,50	3,27	2,90	2,85	2,56	2,48	2,45	0,89
Mix	3,00	2,89	3,11	2,22	2,56	2,11	1,67	0,33
Nature	2,53	2,65	2,49	2,40	1,90	1,93	2,05	0,56
CESEE	2,63	2,63	2,80	2,50	2,00	2,19	1,60	1,00
MED	2,86	2,57	2,29	2,71	1,71	1,86	2,00	0,00
N-B	1,75	3,50	2,25	2,50	1,75	1,50	3,00	0,00
WEST	2,46	2,46	2,31	2,08	1,92	1,77	2,31	0,54
Total	3,25	3,08	2,80	2,58	2,54	2,27	2,19	0,71

Average values, numeric value 4= Excellent, 3= Average, 2= Poor, 1= Not provided, needed. N/A / missing not included in averages

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report


4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

	Last year	Two years ago	Three years ago	Four years ago	Five years ago
Culture	2,58	2,54	2,50	2,48	2,47
CESEE	2,65	2,67	2,45	2,54	2,42
MED	2,58	2,33	2,51	2,46	2,47
N-B	2,35	2,48	2,35	2,14	2,18
WEST	2,58	2,69	2,55	2,54	2,58
Mix	2,56	2,11	2,22	2,33	2,11
Nature	2,66	2,59	2,61	2,34	2,46
CESEE	2,81	2,69	2,81	2,50	2,63
MED	2,29	1,71	2,14	1,43	1,71
N-B	2,25	2,75	2,50	2,67	3,00
WEST	2,82	3,00	2,67	2,67	2,56
Total	2,58	2,54	2,50	2,46	2,46

Average values, numeric value 4= Major increase (100%), 3= Minor increase, 2= Static, 1= Decreasing. N/A / missing not included in averages.

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics (total sum)?



Count, number of sites

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Validate	Update
201	222

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

	Not managed, needed	Some management	Could be improved	Effectively managed	Total
Culture	24	65	149	137	375
CESEE	10	15	39	21	85
MED	10	19	56	49	134
N-B	2	11	13	6	32
WEST	2	20	41	61	124
Mix	3		5	1	9
CESEE	1				1
MED	1		5		6
N-B	1				1
WEST				1	1
Nature	5	6	18	11	40
CESEE	4	2	8	2	16
MED	1		4	2	7
N-B		1	1	2	4
WEST		3	5	5	13
Total	32	71	172	149	424

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

	Little or no contact	Administrative and regulatory matters only	Limited co-operation	Excellent co-operation	Total
Culture	15	55	189	116	375
CESEE	7	8	39	31	85
MED	3	28	71	32	134
N-B	2	5	23	2	32
WEST	3	14	56	51	124
Mix		2	7		9
CESEE			1		1
MED		2	4		6
N-B			1		1
WEST			1		1
Nature		11	19	10	40
CESEE		5	7	4	16
MED		1	4	2	7
N-B		2	2		4
WEST		3	6	4	13
Total	15	68	215	126	424

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

	No fees collected	Possible, not collected	Fee collected, no contribution	Fee collected, some contribution	Fee collected, substantial contribution	Total
Culture	100	3	37	165	70	375
CESEE	22	1	4	34	24	85
MED	24	2	20	62	26	134
N-B	13		1	15	3	32
WEST	41		12	54	17	124
Mix	2			6	1	9
CESEE				1		1
MED	1			4	1	6
N-B	1					1
WEST				1		1
Nature	18	1	2	16	3	40
CESEE	2	1		12	1	16
MED	6		1			7
N-B	3		1			4
WEST	7			4	2	13
Total	120	4	39	187	74	424

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.8. Monitoring


4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

	No monitoring	Limited monitoring	Monitoring, not directed towards mngmt. needs	Comprehensive integrated	Total
Culture	9	67	120	179	375
CESEE	3	16	33	33	85
MED	3	31	42	58	134
N-B	1	5	13	13	32
WEST	2	15	32	75	124
Mix	1	2	4	2	9
CESEE			1		1
MED		2	3	1	6
N-B	1				1
WEST				1	1
Nature	1	3	15	21	40
CESEE		1	7	8	16
MED	1		3	3	7
N-B		1	2	1	4
WEST		1	3	9	13
Total	11	72	139	202	424

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

	Little or no info	Information, but no indicators developed	Indicators defined, monitoring could be improved	Sufficient	Total
Culture	5	87	133	150	375
CESEE	2	16	34	33	85
MED	2	31	52	49	134
N-B	1	11	11	9	32
WEST		29	36	59	124
Mix	1	2	5	1	9
CESEE			1		1
MED		2	4		6
N-B	1				1
WEST				1	1
Nature	3	7	19	11	40
CESEE	2	3	9	2	16
MED	1		4	2	7
N-B		2	1	1	4
WEST		2	5	6	13
Total	9	96	157	162	424

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups



	World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Researchers	Local / Municipal authorities	NGOs	Local communities	Local indigenous peoples	Industry
Culture	3,67	3,03	3,10	2,40	2,38	2,14	1,74
CESEE	3,67	3,19	2,82	2,42	2,32	2,28	1,63
MED	3,56	2,94	2,95	1,89	2,08	1,13	1,49
N-B	3,57	2,70	2,84	2,44	2,42	2,25	1,81
WEST	3,80	3,08	3,51	2,82	2,76	2,44	2,05
Mix	3,22	2,88	2,50	1,71	2,43	3,00	1,00
Nature	3,64	3,33	2,25	2,63	2,10	2,00	1,64
CESEE	3,67	3,47	1,93	2,80	1,93	1,88	1,50
MED	3,29	3,00	2,17	2,67	2,00	1,50	2,00
N-B	3,25	3,00	2,00	1,33	1,75	2,00	1,00
WEST	3,92	3,46	3,00	2,73	2,56	3,00	2,00
Total	3,65	3,05	3,02	2,41	2,36	2,13	1,73

Average values, numeric value 4= Excellent, 3= Average, 2= Poor, 1= Non-existent. N/A / missing not included in averages.

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

	No recommendations to implement	Not yet begun	Implementation underway	Implementation complete	Total
Culture	170	11	143	51	375
CESEE	30	2	42	11	85
MED	66	7	44	17	134
N-B	18		9	5	32
WEST	56	2	48	18	124
Mix	4		4	1	9
CESEE			1		1
MED	3		2	1	6
N-B	1				1
WEST			1		1
Nature	7	3	23	7	40
CESEE	3	1	10	2	16
MED	1	1	2	3	7
N-B	1	1	2		4
WEST	2		9	2	13
Total	181	14	170	59	424

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to monitoring

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)****5. Summary and Conclusions****5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property****5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property****5.2. Summary - Management Needs****5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs****5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property****5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

	N/A, sites under crit.vii-x	Lost	Seriously compromised	Compromised	Preserved
Culture				9	366
CESEE				2	83
MED				2	132
N-B				4	28
WEST				1	123
Mix				1	8
CESEE				1	
MED					6
N-B					1
WEST					1
Nature	15			1	24
CESEE	3				13
MED	3			1	3
N-B	2				2
WEST	7				6
Total	15	0	0	11	398

Please note that only 15 of the 40 nature sites have indicated that this question is N/A (Authenticity is not applicable for nature sites)

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

	Integrity lost	Seriously compromised	Compromised	Intact
Culture			22	353
CESEE			7	78
MED			5	129
N-B			5	27
WEST			5	119
Mix				9
CESEE				1
MED				6
N-B				1
WEST				1
Nature			7	33
CESEE			4	12
MED			1	6
N-B				4
WEST			2	11
Total	0	0	29	395

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

	OUV lost	Seriously impacted	Impacted, but addressed	Intact
Culture		2	31	342
CESEE		1	7	77
MED			8	126
N-B			6	26
WEST		1	10	113
Mix				9
CESEE				1
MED				6
N-B				1
WEST				1
Nature			7	33
CESEE			3	13
MED			1	6
N-B			1	3
WEST			2	11
Total	0	2	38	384

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

	Severely degraded	Degraded	Partially degraded	Predominantly intact
Culture		1	38	336
CESEE			13	72
MED		1	13	120
N-B			5	27
WEST			7	117
Mix			4	5
CESEE			1	
MED			3	3
N-B				1
WEST				1
Nature			5	35
CESEE			2	14
MED			1	6
N-B			2	2
WEST				13
Total	0	1	47	376


5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

6. Conclusions of Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas




Average values, N/A and negative (very low number of sites reporting negative impacts) not included in calculations. Negative impacts (counts) in table below:

Area	Count
Conservation	0
Research and monitoring	0
Management effectiveness	1
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	3
Recognition	0
Education	0
Infrastr. dvlp.	6
Funding for the property	5
Intern. coop.	7
Political support for conservation	3
Legal / Policy framework	1
Lobbying	4
Inst. coord.	1
Security	2
Other	3

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report

6.3 - Entities involved in the Preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report (tick as many boxes as applicable)



% of reported involvement from various entities.


6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

	YES	NO
Culture	267	108
CESEE	57	28
MED	108	26
N-B	20	12
WEST	82	42
Mix	5	4
Nature	33	7
CESEE	1	1
MED	3	3
N-B	3	1
WEST	1	1
Total	305	119

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report


6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities



6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

	Little info accessible	Not all info accessible	Most info accessible	All info accessible
Culture		1	38	336
CESEE			13	72
MED		1	13	120
N-B			5	27
WEST			7	117
Mix			4	5
CESEE			1	
MED			3	3
N-B				1
WEST				1
Nature			5	35
CESEE			2	14
MED			1	6
N-B			2	2
WEST				13
Total	0	1	47	376

6.8 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?



% of reported improved understanding through the PR exercise.

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

	UNESCO	State Party	Site Managers	Advisory Bodies	Total
N/A	38,7	34,9	38,4	48,8	40,2
None	5,2	4,2	3,3	8,3	5,2
Unsatisfactory	1,9	3,1	1,9	3,8	2,7
Satisfactory	34,0	35,8	33,7	27,4	32,7
Excellent	20,3	21,9	22,6	11,8	19,2
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

% of reported degree of satisfaction towards follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous PR exercise

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

- Property specific, N/A for statistics in the PR report