

Distribution limited

**WHC-01/CONF.207/2
Paris, 4 December 2001
Original : English/French**

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD CULTURAL
AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

BUREAU OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Twenty-fifth extraordinary session

**Helsinki, Finland
7-8 December 2001**

DECISION-MAKING GUIDE

This Decision-making Guide has been prepared to facilitate the decision-making of the Bureau.

AGENDA ITEM 1

OPENING OF THE SESSION

The opening session is open to the press.

The twenty-fifth extra-ordinary session of the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee will be opened by the Chairperson of the Committee, **Mr Henrik Lilius** (Finland).

Mr Lilius will welcome all participants to Helsinki and Finland, the host country. He will then welcome all new members of the Bureau (Egypt, Greece, South Africa and Thailand) and the Rapporteur, Mr Lopez Morales (Mexico). He will note that the other two members of the Bureau are Finland and Hungary.

The Chairperson will invite **Mr Francesco Bandarin**, Director, World Heritage Centre to deliver his opening remarks.

Action required:

The Bureau and observers will be invited to make comments following the presentations.

AGENDA ITEM 2

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA AND THE TIMETABLE

Relevant documents:

Provisional Agenda and Timetable
WHC-01/CONF.207/1

Please note:

The Bureau session will be open only to Bureau members, observer States Parties, and, with the consent of the Bureau, other observers who have requested observer status in writing.

Action required:

Prior to the Chairperson asking the Bureau to adopt the Provisional Agenda and Timetable he will deliver a brief speech to the Bureau.

If changes are adopted by the Bureau a revised agenda and timetable will be circulated.

AGENDA ITEM 3

STATE OF CONSERVATION OF PROPERTIES INSCRIBED ON THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST

Relevant documents:

State of Conservation of Properties inscribed on the World Heritage List
WHC-01/CONF.207/3

Report of the Rapporteur on the Twenty-fifth session of the Bureau, 25-30 June 2001
WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.2

Report on the ICOMOS/IUCN Reactive Monitoring Mission to the Rice Terraces of the Philippine Cordilleras (Philippines), 22-25 September 2001 (English only)

WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.5

Report of the International World Heritage site visit to Auschwitz Concentration Camp and Surroundings (Poland), 1-2 July 2001 (English only)

WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.6

Report on the Monitoring Mission to Niokolo-Koba National Park (Senegal), 5-15 July 2001 (French only)

WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.7

Report of the IUCN/UNESCO Monitoring Mission to Lake Baikal (Russian Federation), 25 August - 3 September 2001 (English only)

WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.8

Action required:

PART I: REPORTS ON THE STATE OF CONSERVATION OF PROPERTIES INSCRIBED ON THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST FOR EXAMINATION

The Bureau is requested to examine the reports on the state of conservation of properties inscribed on the World Heritage List and take the appropriate decisions under the following three headings as presented in

WHC-01/CONF.207/3:

- a) The Bureau recommends the Committee to inscribe the property on the List of World Heritage in Danger;
- b) The Bureau transmits the state of conservation report to the Committee for action;
- c) The Bureau transmits the state of conservation report together with its own observation/recommendation to the Committee for noting.

The document **WHC-01/CONF.207/3** includes reports on 81 natural, cultural and mixed heritage properties inscribed on the World Heritage List. The reports will be presented in the same order as in document **WHC-01/CONF.207/3**.

After the introduction of the report on each site by the Centre, and adding any new information received by the Centre to-date, the representative of IUCN and/or ICOMOS, and then the representative of the State Party will be asked to provide additional information or comments as appropriate.

The Bureau is asked to adopt a recommendation on each property as indicated in the document **WHC-01/CONF.207/3**, or as proposed below.

NATURAL HERITAGE

MINING AND WORLD HERITAGE

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to note that following the creation of the International Council on Mining and Metals (ICMM), the World Heritage Centre and IUCN will review the proposal for the establishment of a Working Group on World Heritage and Mining, as proposed by the World Heritage Committee at its twenty-fourth session and will report back to the next Committee Session.

Africa

1. **Dja Forest Reserve (Cameroon)**
2. **Mount Kenya National Park/Natural Forest (Kenya)**
3. **Banc d'Arguin National Park, Mauritania**
4. **Niokolo-Koba National Park (Senegal)**
WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.7
5. **Ngorongoro Conservation Area (United Republic of Tanzania)**
6. **Serengeti National Park (United Republic of Tanzania)**

Asia and the Pacific

World Heritage Properties of Australia

7. **Great Barrier Reef**
8. **Fraser Island**
9. **The Sundarbans (Bangladesh)**
10. **Sunderbans National Park (India)**
11. **Kaziranga National Park (India)**

12. Komodo National Park (Indonesia)
13. Lorentz National Park (Indonesia)
14. Gunung Mulu National Park (Malaysia)
15. Royal Chitwan National Park (Nepal)
16. Sinharaja Forest Reserve (Sri Lanka)
17. Ha Long Bay (Vietnam)

Latin America and the Caribbean

18. Los Katios National Park (Colombia)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

The Bureau acknowledges the efforts by the State Party towards the conservation of this site and would welcome an invitation from the State Party to field a mission to the site when appropriate.

19. Galapagos Islands (Ecuador)
20. Sian Ka'an (Mexico)
21. Canaima National Park (Venezuela)

Europe and North America

22. Belovezhskaya Pushcha/Bialowieza Forest (Belarus/Poland)
23. Pirin National Park (Bulgaria)
24. Gros Morne National Park (Canada)
25. Nahanni National Park (Canada)
26. Caves of the Aggtelek Karst and Slovak Karst, (Hungary/Slovakia)
27. Aeolian Islands (Italy)
28. Lake Baikal (Russian Federation)
WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.8
29. Volcanoes of Kamchatka (Russian Federation)

30. **Western Caucasus (Russian Federation)**
31. **Golden Mountains of Altai (Russian Federation)**
32. **Doñana National Park (Spain)**
33. **St Kilda (United Kingdom)**
34. **Giant's Causeway and Causeway Coast (United Kingdom)**
35. **Great Smoky Mountains National Park (United States of America)**

New Information:

To be reported to the 26th session of the World Heritage Bureau.

MIXED (NATURAL AND CULTURAL) HERITAGE

36. **Kakadu National Park (Australia)**
37. **Tasmanian Wilderness (Australia)**
38. **Tongariro National Park (New Zealand)**
39. **Hierapolis-Pamukkale (Turkey)**

CULTURAL HERITAGE

Europe and North America

40. **Historic District of Québec (Canada)**

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

The Bureau takes note of the information provided by ICOMOS and requests that the full report of the ICOMOS expert mission be transmitted to the State Party. The Bureau also requests the State Party to provide, by 1 February 2002, a report on actions it will take for examination by its twenty-sixth session in April 2002

41. **City-Museum Reserve of Mtskheta (Georgia)**
42. **Classical Weimar (Germany)**

43. Hanseatic City of Lübeck (Germany)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

The Bureau notes with concern the report on the building project at the market square in Lübeck. In the light of the information provided by ICOMOS, the Bureau urges the German authorities to explore another solution which is more harmonious with the early surviving buildings around the open space and with the skyline features represented by the Marienkirche and the Petrikirche. It furthermore requests the German authorities to prepare a report with updated information on the progress of this project in time for the twenty-sixth session of the Bureau in April 2002.

44. Roman Monuments, Cathedral of St Peter, and Church of Our Lady, Trier (Germany)

45. Palaces and Parks of Potsdam and Berlin (Germany)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

The Bureau takes note of the concerns raised by ICOMOS and the Stiftung Preussischer Schölsse und Gärten Berlin-Brandenburg regarding the adverse impact of the Havel waterway improvement project on the landscape on either side of the river. It also takes note of the information provided by the State Party, that the project is delayed and that a formal decision will not be taken before 2004. In the light of this, the Bureau requests the German authorities to take all necessary steps to ensure that the values of the World Heritage site are taken into consideration in the formal public planning procedure and that ICOMOS be invited to actively participate in this process. The Bureau requests the State Party to prepare a detailed report with update information on the project, by 1 February 2003, for examination by the twenty-seventh session of the Bureau in 2003.

46. Acropolis, Athens (Greece)

47. Historic Centre of Naples (Italy)

48. The Curonian Spit (Lithuania/Russian Federation)

49. City of Luxembourg: its Old Quarters and Fortifications (Luxembourg)

50. Megalithic Temples of Malta (Malta)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

The Bureau notes the report submitted by the State Party and expresses its satisfaction at the rapidity with which remedial action was taken and protective and conservation measures put in place to protect all the Maltese megalithic temples

51. Auschwitz Concentration Camp (Poland) WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.6

52. Historic Centre of Sighisoara (Romania)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

The Committee notes with concern the building project of a theme park in the vicinity of the site which can have a potential negative impact on the visual integrity and setting of the site. The Committee takes note of the information provided by the State Party and notably of the implementation of the “Special Programme” planned for May 2002. The Committee urges the State Party to carry out as soon as possible the environmental impact assessment foreseen and informs the State Party that assistance could be provided in this regard. The Committee also requests the State Party to provide, before 1 February 2002, a detailed report on this assessment and detailed information on the ongoing project and any others projects planned including in particular maps showing the exact location of the proposed facilities for examination by its twenty-sixth session (June 2002). The Committee also requests that a joint UNESCO–ICOMOS mission be undertaken to the site in early 2002 and that the report of this mission be transmitted to its twenty-sixth session.

53. Kizhi Pogost (Russian Federation)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

The Committee takes note of the information provided by ICCROM and thanks the Russian authorities for having commenced the process to ensure the preservation of the site. In view of the alarming state of conservation of the site the Committee requests the Secretariat to work closely with the Russian authorities and the advisory bodies concerning the international workshop on conservation measures at Kizhi Pogost. Furthermore, the Committee requests the State Party to provide a detailed update on the situation of the site by 1 February 2002 and requests the Centre to provide a full report on the outcome of the workshop, in collaboration with the Russian authorities and the advisory bodies, for its twenty-sixth session in June 2002.

54. Spišský Hrad and its Associated Cultural Monuments (Slovakia)

55. Route of Santiago de Compostela (Spain)

56. Stonehenge, Avebury and Associated sites (United Kingdom)

Arab States

57. M'Zab Valley (Algeria)

58. Kasbah of Algiers (Algeria)

59. Archaeological Site of Tipasa (Algeria)

60. Islamic Cairo (Arab Republic of Egypt)

61. Abu Mena (Egypt)

62. Tyre (Lebanon)

63. Ksar Aït Ben Haddou (Morocco)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

The Bureau recommends the inscription of Ksar Ait Ben Haddou on the List of World Heritage in Danger, and encourages the Moroccan authorities to submit a request of International Assistance under the WH Fund, aimed to finalize a conservation and management plan for the site. The Bureau, furthermore, requests the State Party to submit, by 1 February 2002, a report on the progress on the recommendations made in the report of August 2000.

64. Old City of Sana'a (Yemen)

Africa

No State of Conservation reports. The State of the World Heritage in Africa, 2001 will be presented to the Committee (WHC-01/CONF.208/7).

Asia and the Pacific

65. The Potala Palace, Lhasa (China)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

“The Bureau expresses its appreciation to the Chinese authorities for facilitating the UNESCO mission to the Potala Palace and Jokhang Temple in Lhasa in November 2001. The Bureau reiterates its recommendations to the Chinese authorities to submit an international assistance request for the organization of a training workshop on the conservation of mural paintings with support from UNESCO and the Advisory Bodies. The Bureau also reiterates its request to the Chinese authorities to provide further information concerning the current policy regarding international co-operation for the protection of the Potala Palace and Jokhang Temple in Lhasa. The Bureau recommends that the Chinese authorities support the work of the Lhasa Cultural Relics Bureau in continuing the inventory and mapping of the “construction restricted zones” and encourages the Chinese authorities to apply for assistance to support this activity. Finally, the Bureau requests the State Party to provide information on the progress made in the restoration of the collapsed wall for examination by the Bureau at its 26th session. ”

66. Peking Man Site at Zhoukoudian (China)

67. Ajanta Caves (India) and

68. Ellora Caves (India)

New Information:

The international expert mission organized by the World Heritage Centre at the request of the Indian authorities is taking place between 1-9 December 2001. This expert has also been requested by the World Heritage Centre to discuss the organization of a conservation and management workshop to be undertaken in 2002 to enhance the co-ordination of national and international conservation, management and development activities. The findings, results and recommendations of the UNESCO expert mission will be presented to the Bureau at its 26th session in April 2001.

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

“The Bureau congratulates the Indian authorities for their efforts for the conservation, management and development of the Ajanta and Ellora Caves. The Bureau, noting the World Heritage Centre’s continued assistance to the authorities in enhancing co-ordination between the numerous national and international conservation and development activities, decides to examine further information at its 26th session.”

69. Kathmandu Valley (Nepal)

70. Lumbini, the Birthplace of the Lord Buddha (Nepal)

71. Rice Terraces of the Philippine Cordilleras (Philippines)

The Bureau may wish to examine the information contained in WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.5, the report of the IUCN/ICOMOS mission.

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to recommend the following decision for adoption by the Committee:

“The Committee expresses its appreciation to the Philippine authorities for facilitating the September 2001 IUCN/ICOMOS reactive monitoring mission to the World Heritage site of the Rice Terraces of the Philippine Cordilleras, as requested by the Committee at its twenty-fourth session in December 2000. The Committee examines the findings and recommendations of the IUCN/ICOMOS mission and notes with deep concern that:

- The Banaue Rice Terraces Task Force (BRTTF) and the Ifugao Terraces Commission (ITC) have tried to safeguard the property. However, the BRTTF lacks full government support and needs more resources, greater independence and an assurance of permanence.
- About 25-30% of the terraces are now abandoned, which has led to the damage to some of the walls. This has arisen because parts of the irrigation system have been neglected, which in turn is due to people leaving the area. The situation is also aggravated by the effects of pest species of worms and snails.
- Despite good planning, irregular development is taking place, which threatens to erode the heritage landscape.
- International assistance has so far not been mobilized to help the area.
- The World Heritage values may be lost unless current trends are reversed within 10 years (maximum).
- Little progress has been made in addressing the needs of tourism. For example, access from Manila and within the property remains poor.

The Committee therefore endorses the following recommendations made by the IUCN/ICOMOS mission:

- Establish a permanent and effective body to co-ordinate and lead efforts to restore and protect the Ifugao Rice Terraces.
- Develop a short and long term strategy for support funding for the rice terraces, drawn from national and international sources and from tourism.
- Identify and implement a programme initiative to remedy past neglect of the rice terraces and ensure optimum prospects for future.
- Develop a sustainable tourism industry that supports the future conservation of the rice terraces, placing priority on improving access to and within the site.
- Review existing management plans for further improvement.
- Establish an exchange programme with other World Heritage sites which share similar conservation challenges.

The Committee commends the positive reaction of the Philippines authorities towards the IUCN/ICOMOS mission recommendations and requests that they examine ways and means of implementing these recommendations.

Taking into due consideration the conservation challenges and threats facing the property, the Committee decides to inscribe this fragile site on the List of World Heritage in Danger. The Committee commends the Philippine authorities for nominating the Rice Terraces of the Philippine Cordilleras for inscription on the List of World Heritage in Danger, demonstrating positive use of this important mechanism within the World Heritage Convention to mobilise international and national support to address the conservation challenges facing this site.

Finally, the Committee requests the World Heritage Centre and the UNESCO Bangkok Office to continue assisting the authorities in the elaboration of a long-term comprehensive management plan for the site. The Committee requests that a progress report on measures taken to elaborate the management plan and to enhance the conservation and development of the property be submitted for examination by the Committee at its 26th session."

72. Ancient City of Sigiriya (Sri Lanka)

Required action:

The Government of Sri Lanka is expected to provide further information before the Bureau session. As of 5 December 2001, the Centre had not received updated news. The Bureau may wish to examine information that will be provided at the time of its session.

Latin America and the Caribbean

73. Brasilia (Brazil)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

The Bureau takes note of the report of the joint UNESCO-ICOMOS mission that was undertaken from 5 to 9 November 2001. It supports the view of the mission that, although changes have occurred to the original concept of the core of the city, the *Plano Piloto*, the city maintains to date, the values on the basis of which the *Plano Piloto* was inscribed on the World Heritage List and meets the test of authenticity and integrity.

The Bureau endorses the conclusion of the mission that the city is in a critical phase of change and that this process of change needs to be guided with sensibility and vision and a profound understanding and recognition of the characteristics and values of the exceptional urban and architectural creation of Costa and Niemeyer.

To this effect, it will be necessary to involve all relevant levels of authority, professional organizations and individuals as well as different sectors of society in a process that should lead to the preparation and adoption of a Master Plan for the protected area that fully recognises and ensures the preservation of the values of the city. The protective documents of 1987 (Federal District) and 1990/1992 (IPHAN) as well as the work accomplished by various inter-institutional working groups (*Grupo de Trabalho Brasilia*, 1980-1987; *Grupo de Trabalho Conjunto*, 1992-1995) should form the basis for the work that should be undertaken as a matter of urgency.

The Bureau requests the Government of Brazil to consider the report of the mission and its conclusions and recommendations and to submit a report on the response it plans to give to each of them by 1 February 2002.

The state of conservation of Brasilia will be examined again at the twenty-sixth session of the Bureau.

74. Colonial City of Santo Domingo (Dominican Republic)

75. Antigua Guatemala (Guatemala)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

“The Bureau commends the State Party for the measures taken to safeguard the World Heritage values of Antigua Guatemala by preventing the construction of a commercial

centre within the boundaries of the site. It encourages the national authorities to implement the recommendations made by the ICOMOS mission in June 2001, in particular the updating of the protective legislation and its Master Plan, which should include the definition of a buffer zone. Awareness raising programmes are also to be encouraged. The Bureau furthermore encourages the national authorities to work closely together with the World Heritage Centre concerning the points outlined above.”

76. Fortifications on the Caribbean side of Panama: Portobelo – San Lorenzo (Panama)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

“The Bureau commends the State Party for the work already done in order to safeguard and protect the sites. It encourages the national authorities to implement the recommendations made by the joint ICOMOS-UNESCO mission in November 2001. The Bureau highlights the significance of the finalisation and implementation of management plans containing clear structuring of tasks and responsibilities and their explicit distribution amongst the various stakeholders of both sites. The importance of community involvement at all stages of the process has to be stressed. Special attention should furthermore be given to the following issues:

- Definition of values (statement of significance),
- Definition of sites’ borders and buffer zones,
- Clarification of land tenure within and around the sites,
- Harmonisation of planned projects in and around the sites,
- Systematic assessment of the sites’ conditions,
- Preparation of the sites for rising number of tourists.

The Bureau recognises the difficult financial and staffing situation of the National Institute of Culture (INAC) but encourages INAC to valorise its leading role in the protection and promotion of the State Party’s World Heritage sites. The Bureau requests the State Party to furnish a report on the state of implementation of the recommendations and the actions taken by 1 February 2002”.

77. Archaeological Site of Chavín (Peru)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

“The Bureau wishes to acknowledge the great effort the State Party has made to submit a report on this site. However, it deems necessary to impress upon the State Party the importance of delivering the reports in a timely

fashion and with sufficient detail. The Bureau encourages the national authorities to finalise and implement the Master Plan at the earliest possible date and furthermore encourages the State Party to work closely together with the World Heritage Centre. The Bureau requests a detailed progress report on the actions taken at the site as well as on the advances of the elaboration and implementation of the Management Plan, to be submitted by 1 February 2002 for examination by the Bureau at its twenty-sixth session.

78. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru)

New decision proposed: The Bureau may wish to adopt the following decision:

“The Bureau wishes to take note of the quick response given by the World Heritage Centre and ICCROM. It also notes that following the earthquake a new management plan will have to be developed taking into account the different social, economic, political, religious sectors. The Bureau encourages the State Party to request technical assistance from the WHF for the preparation of this new plan and recommends that the World Heritage Centre work jointly with ICCROM on regional risk preparedness activities.”

**PART II: REPORTS ON THE STATE OF
CONSERVATION OF PROPERTIES INSCRIBED ON THE
WORLD HERITAGE LIST FOR NOTING**

Action required:

The Bureau is requested note the information on the following properties as presented in
WHC-01/CONF.207/3.

NATURAL HERITAGE

79. Australian Fossil mammal sites (Riversleigh and Naracoorte) (Australia)

80. Greater Blue Mountain Area (Australia)

MIXED (NATURAL AND CULTURAL) HERITAGE

CULTURAL HERITAGE

81. Cultural Landscape of Sintra (Portugal)

AGENDA ITEM 4

**EXAMINATION OF NOMINATIONS OF CULTURAL AND
NATURAL PROPERTIES TO THE LIST OF WORLD**

HERITAGE IN DANGER AND TO THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST

Relevant documents:

Information on Nominations of cultural and natural properties to the List of World Heritage in Danger and the World Heritage List

WHC-01/CONF.207/4

Report of the Rapporteur on the Twenty-fifth session of the Bureau, 25-30 June 2001

WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.2

ICOMOS Evaluations of Cultural and Mixed Properties

WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.3 and

WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.3Add

IUCN Evaluation of Natural and Mixed Properties to the World Heritage List

WHC-01/CONF.207/INF.4

The nominations will be presented by ICOMOS and/or IUCN in the order that they appear in documents.

Action required:

In accordance with paragraph 65 of the *Operational Guidelines*, the Extraordinary Bureau is requested to examine the nomination for inscription on the World Heritage List and make its recommendation to the Committee according to the following four categories:

- a) properties which it recommends for inscription on the List of World Heritage in Danger (after examination of the working document WHC-01/CONF.207/3);
- b) properties which it recommends to inscribe on the World Heritage List;
- c) properties which it decides not to recommend to inscribe on the World Heritage List;
- d) properties whose consideration is deferred pending a more in-depth assessment or study.

AGENDA ITEM 4 (CONTINUED)

NOMINATION OF PROPERTIES TO THE LIST OF WORLD HERITAGE IN DANGER

No properties were proposed by the Twenty-fifth session of the Bureau (June 2001) for nomination to the List of World Heritage in Danger.

AGENDA ITEM 4

EXAMINATION OF NOMINATIONS OF CULTURAL AND

(CONTINUED)

**NATURAL PROPERTIES TO THE WORLD HERITAGE
LIST**

(See Table 1)

Table 1: Summary table of nominations to be considered by the 25th extraordinary session of the Bureau

I. CULTURAL PROPERTIES			
A. Properties for which the nominations were referred back to the State Party			
A.1 Historic towns			
Tbilisi Historic District	1020	Georgia	
The Old City of Acre	1042	Israel	C (ii) (iii) (v)
A.2 Religious properties			
Painted Churches in the Troodos Region [<i>Extension to include the Church of Ayia Sotira, Palaichori</i>]	351 Bis	Cyprus	C (ii) (iii) (iv)
Mudéjar Architecture of Teruel [<i>Extension to include the Mudéjar architecture of Aragon</i>]	378 Bis	Spain	C (iv)
A.3 Architectural monuments and ensembles			
The Bolgar Historical and Architectural Complex	981	Russian Federation	C (iii)
A.4 Technological ensembles			
The Cultural Industrial Landscape of the "Zollverein Mine"	975	Germany	C (ii) (iii)
The Historical Industrial Landscape of the Great Copper Mountain in Falun	1027	Sweden	C (ii)(iii)(v)
A.5 Cultural landscapes			
Crespi d'Adda [<i>Extension to include the Mid Adda Valley</i>]	730 Bis	Italy	
Alto Douro Wine Region	1046	Portugal	C (iii) (iv) (v)
Aranjuez Cultural Landscape	1044	Spain	C (ii) (iv)

II. MIXED PROPERTIES			
Properties for which the nominations were referred back to the State Party			
Cultural Landscape of Fertő-Neusiedler Lake	772 Rev	Austria / Hungary	C (v)
Natural Complex "Central Sikhote-Alin"	766 Rev	Russian Federation	
III. NATURAL PROPERTIES			
Properties for which the nominations were referred back to the State Party			
<u>Afrotropical Realm</u>			
Rift Valley Lakes Reserve	1060 Rev	Kenya	
<u>Neotropical Realm</u>			
Chapada dos Veadeiros National Park	1035	Brazil	
Nomination of properties deferred by earlier sessions of the Bureau			
Fernando de Noronha / Rocas Atoll	1000 Rev	Brazil	
Alejandro de Humboldt National Park	839 Rev	Cuba	N (ii) (iv)

AGENDA ITEM 5

OTHER BUSINESS

The Chairperson will ask the Bureau and the Rapporteur whether there is any other business.

AGENDA ITEM 6

CLOSURE OF THE SESSION

The Chairperson will officially close the twenty-fifth extraordinary session of the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee.