Periodic Reporting exercise 2012-13

- challenges and benefits
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### Questionnaire Section I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Modified on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td>07/03/13, 12:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Questionnaire Section II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Modified on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ilulissat Icefjord</td>
<td></td>
<td>01/05/13, 15:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jelling Mounds, Runic Stones and Church</td>
<td></td>
<td>21/04/13, 11:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kronborg Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td>02/05/13, 14:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roskilde Cathedral</td>
<td></td>
<td>02/05/13, 14:13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Submission 😊

### Questionnaire Section I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Modified on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark Submitted</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 % 12/07/13, 14:44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Questionnaire Section II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Modified on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ilulissat Icefjord Submitted</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 % 12/07/13, 14:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jelling Mounds, Runic Stones and Church Submitted</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 % 12/07/13, 14:44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kronborg Castle Submitted</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 % 12/07/13, 14:44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roskilde Cathedral Submitted</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 % 12/07/13, 14:44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6. Conclusions of Periodic Reporting Exercise
6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Conservation</th>
<th>Research and monitoring</th>
<th>Management effectiveness</th>
<th>Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples</th>
<th>Recognition</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Infrastructure development</th>
<th>Funding for the property</th>
<th>International cooperation</th>
<th>Political support for conservation</th>
<th>Legal/policy framework</th>
<th>Lobbying</th>
<th>Institutional coordination</th>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Other (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status
Ilulissat        We have had a +53% increase in tourist visits within the first 3 years of WH inscription.
Jelling          -
Kronborg         -
Roskilde         -
Difficulties 😞....

• Site managers with very different background
• Some concepts were difficult to understand in the national context
• Which scale to answer in relation to?
• On what basis is the answer given?
• Same questions being asked multiple times
• Too many questions ..... for everybody
• Why? For whose sake?
- and benefits 😊

PR was a good and positive process for everyone

- Site managers
  - Rising awareness/new angles
  - Take a position on something you don’t usually think about
  - Awareness of the lack of monitoring

- State party
  - Forced to think of WH in a broader national context
Towards the next PR

• Our national coordination of the next PR
  – 2 meetings with the site manager instead of 1
    1. How to do it
    2. Discussing concepts and words/sharing experiences

• The PR questionnaires
  – Reducing the number of questions
  – Opportunity to elaborate on the answers
Thank you!