

Periodic Reporting exercise 2012-13



United Nations
Educational, Scientific and
Cultural Organization



World Heritage
in Denmark

- challenges and benefits

World Heritage in Denmark

Cultural:



Jelling Mounds, Runic Stones and Church
(1994)

World Heritage in Denmark


Cultural:



Roskilde Cathedral (1995)

World Heritage in Denmark

Cultural:



Kronborg Castle (2000)

World Heritage in Denmark

Natural:



Ilulissat Icefjord, Greenland (2004)



Coordinating the exercise

Workshop with the site managers 06.11.2012

- Nordic World Heritage Foundation
- Road map (from the Reykjavik-meeting October 2011)
- Working with filling in the forms

First national deadline, Section II: 01.03.2013



Coordinating the exercise

Workshop with the site managers 06.11.2012

- Nordic World Heritage Foundation
- Road map (Reykjavik October 2011)
- Working with filling in the forms

First national deadline, Section II: 01.03.2013

Comments – discussions – adjustments



Coordinating the exercise

Workshop with the site managers 06.11.2012

- Nordic World Heritage Foundation
- Road map (Reykjavik October 2011)
- Working with filling in the forms

First national deadline, Section II: 01.03.2013

Comments – discussions – adjustments

Sending % filled-status from time to time



Questionnaire Section I

Name ↓	Progress	Modified on	
Denmark	<div style="width: 97%;"><div style="width: 97%;"></div></div> 97 %	07/03/13, 12:01	  

Questionnaire Section II

Name ↓	Progress	Modified on	
Ilulissat Icefjord	<div style="width: 99%;"><div style="width: 99%;"></div></div> 99 %	01/05/13, 15:55	  
Jelling Mounds, Runic Stones and Church	<div style="width: 96%;"><div style="width: 96%;"></div></div> 96 %	21/04/13, 11:38	  
Kronborg Castle	<div style="width: 98%;"><div style="width: 98%;"></div></div> 98 %	02/05/13, 14:10	  
Roskilde Cathedral	<div style="width: 100%;"><div style="width: 100%;"></div></div> 100 %	02/05/13, 14:13	  

Coordinating the exercise

Workshop with the site managers 06.11.2012

- Nordic World Heritage Foundation
- Road map (Reykjavik October 2011)
- Working with filling in the forms

First national deadline, Section II: 01.03.2013



Comments – discussions – adjustments

Sending % filled-status from time to time









Second deadline for Section II: 15. June 2013

Submission 😊

Questionnaire Section I

Name ↓	Progress	Modified on
Denmark Submitted	<div style="width: 100%;"></div> 100 %	12/07/13, 14:44  

Questionnaire Section II

Name ↓	Progress	Modified on
Ilulissat Icefjord Submitted	<div style="width: 100%;"></div> 100 %	12/07/13, 14:43  
Jelling Mounds, Runic Stones and Church Submitted	<div style="width: 100%;"></div> 100 %	12/07/13, 14:44  
Kronborg Castle Submitted	<div style="width: 100%;"></div> 100 %	12/07/13, 14:44  
Roskilde Cathedral Submitted	<div style="width: 100%;"></div> 100 %	12/07/13, 14:44  

Done! – and then what?

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

Ilulissat There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

Jelling There is a buffer zone

Kronborg There is a buffer zone

Roskilde There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries and buffer zones clearly marked?

Ilulissat The boundaries and buffer zones are clearly marked

Jelling The boundaries and buffer zones are clearly marked

Kronborg The boundaries and buffer zones are clearly marked

Roskilde The boundaries and buffer zones are clearly marked

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zones adequate?

Ilulissat The buffer zones are adequate

Jelling The buffer zones are adequate

Kronborg The buffer zones are adequate

Roskilde The buffer zones are adequate

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries and buffer zones clearly marked?

Ilulissat The boundaries and buffer zones are clearly marked

Jelling The boundaries and buffer zones are clearly marked

Kronborg The boundaries and buffer zones are clearly marked

Roskilde The boundaries and buffer zones are clearly marked

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones adequate?

Ilulissat The buffer zones are adequate

Jelling The buffer zones are adequate

Kronborg The buffer zones are adequate

Roskilde The buffer zones are adequate

4.1.6 - Comments, concerns and recommendations

Ilulissat An adequate buffer zone

Jelling -

Kronborg -

Roskilde -

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Ilulissat In one location and easily visible to visitors

Jelling In many locations and easily visible to visitors

Kronborg In many locations and easily visible to visitors

Roskilde In one location and easily visible to visitors

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Location	Last year	Two years ago	Three years ago	Four years ago	Five years ago
Ilulissat	Dec.	Min. Inc.	Static	Static	Static
Jelling	Static	Static	Static	Static	Static
Kronborg	Min. Inc.	Min. Inc.	Min. Inc.	Min. Inc.	Min. Inc.
Roskilde	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to monitor visitor numbers?

Location	Accommodation establishments	Transportation services
Ilulissat	x	x
Jelling		
Kronborg		
Roskilde		

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Ilulissat Management plan available

Jelling -

Kronborg We have yearly visitor satisfaction surveys

Roskilde -

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor management plan for its Outstanding Universal Value?

Ilulissat Visitor use of the world heritage site

Jelling Visitor use of the world heritage site

Kronborg Visitor use of the world heritage site

Roskilde There is some management

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

Ilulissat There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

Jelling There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of OUV

Kronborg There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of OUV

Roskilde There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of OUV

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Ilulissat Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

Jelling Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

Kronborg Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

Roskilde Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

Location	World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Local/Municipal authorities	Local communities	Researchers	NGOs	Industry	Local indigenous peoples
Ilulissat	Average	Poor	Poor	Average	Average	Poor	Poor
Jelling	-	Non-exist.	Non-exist.	Non-exist.	Average	Non-exist.	Average
Kronborg	Excellent	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor
Roskilde	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent			

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Ilulissat Implementation is underway

Jelling No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

Kronborg Implementation is complete

Done! – and then what?

6. Conclusions of Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

	Conservation	Research and monitoring	Management effectiveness	Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Recognition	Education	Infrastructure development	Funding for the property	International cooperation	Political support for conservation	Legal/Policy framework	Lobbying	Institutional coordination	Security	Other (please specify)
Ilulissat	Very pos.	Very pos.	Very pos.	Positive	Positive	Positive	Very pos.	Very pos.	Very pos.	Positive	Very pos.	Very pos.	Very pos.	Very pos.	
Jelling	Very pos.	Very pos.	No impact	Positive	Positive			Positive	Very pos.	Very pos.	Positive	Positive	Positive	Very pos.	
Kronborg	Very pos.	Very pos.	Very pos.	Positive	Very pos.	Positive	Positive	Very pos.	Very pos.	Very pos.	Very pos.	Very pos.	Positive	Very pos.	
Roskilde	Positive	Positive	Positive		Positive			Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Ilulissat	We have had a +53% increase in tourist visits within the first 3 years of WH inscription.
Jelling	-
Kronborg	-
Roskilde	-



Difficulties ☹️....

- Site managers with very different background
- Some concepts were difficult to understand in the national context
- Which scale to answer in relation to?
- On what basis is the answer given?
- Same questions being asked multiple times
- Too many questions for everybody
- Why? For whose sake?



- and benefits 😊

PR was a good and positive process for everyone

- Site managers
 - Rising awareness/new angles
 - Take a position on something you don't usually think about
 - Awareness of the lack of monitoring
- State party
 - Forced to think of WH in a broader national context



Towards the next PR

- Our national coordination of the next PR
 - 2 meetings with the site manager instead of 1
 1. How to do it
 2. Discussing concepts and words/sharing experiences
- The PR questionnaires
 - Reducing the number of questions
 - Opportunity to elaborate on the answers

Thank you!

