



WORLD HERITAGE PROPERTY DATA

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR MANAGERS OF ROCK ART SITES ON THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST AND THE TENTATIVE LIST

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

Ν	QUESTION	RESPONSE
1.1	State Party	
1.2	Region	Africa / Arab States / Asia and the Pacific / Europe and North America / Latin America and the Caribbean
1.3	Name of property	
1.4	Type of property	Cultural / Cultural Landscape / Natural / Mixed
1.5	Identification number on the World Heritage List or Tentative List	
1.6	Year of inscription on World Heritage List/ year of submission to the Tentative List	
1.7	Year of inscription on World Heritage List in Danger, if applicable	
1.8	Year of removal from the World Heritage List in Danger, if applicable	
1.9	Criteria	Current
		Former
1.10	Approved extension of the	Entirely located within the territory of the State Party
	property	Transboundary
1.11	Presence of serial components	Not applicable
		Serial national
1.10		Serial transnational
1.12	Year(s) previous Periodic Reporting Cycle(s) submitted	
1.13	Person/entity completing this questionnaire	NAME: E-Mail: Telephone: Fax: Postal address:

1.14	Government institution responsible for the property	NAME: E-Mail: Telephone: Fax: Postal address:
1.15	Site manager / coordinator	NAME: E-Mail: Web address for site: Telephone: Fax: Postal address:
1.16	Geographical Information	Province(s) Region(s) State (For Federal countries)
1.17	Other International Conventions related to the site	

2. OUTSTANDING UNIVERSAL VALUE OF THE PROPERTY

Ν.	QUESTION	RESPONSE	
2.1	What are the major characteristics	Paintings (Pictograms)	Yes/ No
	of the rock art?	Engravings (Petroglyphs)	Yes/No
		Other (specify)	
2.2	How many recorded rock art sites are there on the property?		
2.3	What is the approximate chronology of the rock art on the property?		
2.4	What is the current state of authenticity of the property?	Predominantly intact?	Yes/ No
		Partially degraded?	Yes/ No
		Degraded and impacting on the OUV?	Yes/ No
		Severely degraded?	Yes/ No
2.5	What is the current state of	Predominantly intact?	Yes/ No
	integrity of the property?	Partially degraded?	Yes/ No
		Degraded and impacting on the OUV?	Yes/ No
		Severely degraded?	Yes/ No
2.6	Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to statement of outstanding universal value		
2.7	Can you mention any other site (related or not with this property) that could be considered for inclusion on the Tentative List or the World Heritage List?		

3. FACTORS AFFECTING THE PROPERTY

3.1	What are the main factors	Describe the	major impacts:		
••••	affecting the authenticity and		major mpaoto.		
	integrity of the rock art on the				
	property?				
3.2	Impact on buildings and	Positive	Negative	Property C=current	Buffer Zone C=current
	development on the property	impact C=current	impact C=current	P=potential	P=potential
		P=potential	P=potential		
3.2.1	Housing	•	•		
3.2.2	Commercial development				
3.2.3	Industrial development				
3.2.4	Major visitor accommodation and				
	associated infrastructure				
3.2.5	Interpretative and visitation				
	facilities				
3.3	Impact on transportation				
	infrastructure				
3.3.1	Ground transport, public				
3.3.2	Ground transport, private				
3.3.3	Ground transport: trails				
3.3.4	Footpaths				
3.3.5	Air transport				
3.4	Impact on utilities or service				
	infrastructure				
3.4.1	Water infrastructure				
3.4.2	Energy facilities				
3.4.3	Localized utilities				
3.4.4	Major linear facilities				
3.5	Impact of pollution				
3.5.1	Water pollution				
3.5.2	Air pollution				
3.5.3	Solid waste				
3.6	Impact on biological activities				
3.6.1	Change in land use				
3.6.2	Livestock in rock shelters				
3.6.3	Wild animals in rock shelters				
3.6.4	Crop production				
3.7	Physical resource extraction				
3.7.1	Mining				
3.7.2	Quarrying	<u> </u>			
3.7.3	Oil/gas	<u> </u>			
3.7.4	Water				
3.8	Local conditions affecting				
0.0.4	physical fabric at rock art sites				
3.8.1	Wind Deletive hyperidity				
3.8.2	Relative humidity				
3.8.3	Temperature (heat and cold)				
3.8.4	Radiation / light				
3.8.5	Dust				
3.8.6	Water				
3.8.7	Insects				-
3.8.8	Birds				-
3.8.9	Small mammals				-
3.8.10	Fungus				

3.8.11	Lichen				
3.8.12	Micro-organisms				1
3.9	Social/Cultural uses of rock art	Positive	Negative	Property	Buffer Zone
0.0	and heritage	impact C=current P=potential	impact C=current P=potential	Troperty	Building
3.9.1	Ritual/spiritual/religious and associative uses of rock art				
3.9.2	Social value of rock art to current				
0.0.2	community				
3.9.3	Indigenous use of rock art				
3.9.4	Changes in traditional lifeways				
	and knowledge				
3.9.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes				
	in local community				
3.9.6	Positive impacts of				
	tourism/visitors/recreation				
3.9.7	Negative impacts of				
	tourism/visitors/recreation				
3.9.8	Rock art research				
3.9.9	Public education programmes				
3.10	Other human activities				
3.10.1	Graffiti at rock art sites				
3.10.2	Damage to rock art				
3.10.3	Camping / living in site perimeters				
3.10.4	Political attitudes to rock art				
3.10.5	War / terrorism / civil unrest				
3.11	Climate and severe weather events affecting rock art				
3.11.1	Storms and wind				
3.11.2	Flooding				
3.11.3	Rising sea level				
3.11.4	Drought / desertification				
3.12	Sudden ecological or				
••••	geological events affecting rock art				
3.12.1	Volcanic eruption				
	Earthquake				
3.12.3	Tsunami / tidal wave				
3.12.4	Avalanche / landslide				
3.12.5	Erosion / deposition				
3.12.6	Fire				
3.13	Management and institutional factors				
3.13.1	Legal framework				
3.13.2	Governance				
3.13.3	Management systems / Management Plan				
3.13.4	Financial resources				
3.13.5	Human resources				
3.13.6	Low impact research / monitoring				
	activities				-
3.13.7	High impact research / monitoring activities				
3.13.8	Management activities				

4. PROTECTION, MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING OF ROCK ART

4.1	Boundaries and buffer zone		
4.1.1	Area of the property		
4.1.2	Area of the buffer zone, if		
	applicable		
4.1.3	Total area		
4.1.4	Are the boundaries of the	Inadequacies make it difficult to maintain	Yes/No
	property adequate to maintain the	Boundaries could be improved	Yes/No
	outstanding universal value of the	Boundaries are adequate	Yes/No
	rock art?		
4.2	Measures to protect rock art		
4.2.1	What kind of legal protection is in place?		
4.2.2	Is the legal framework adequate	The legal framework is inadequate	Yes/No
	to maintain the outstanding	The legal framework has some deficiencies	Yes/No
	universal value, authenticity and	The legal framework is adequate	Yes/No
	integrity of the rock art?		
4.2.3	Can the legislative framework be	Yes	
	enforced?	No	
4.3	Management System / Manageme		
4.3.1	Is there a current management plan for the site?	The site management plan is in force	Yes/No
		It is in the process of approval	Yes/No
		It is in the process of revision	Yes/No
4.3.2	Is there a specific conservation	It is part of the general management plan	Yes/No
	plan for the rock art within the	There is a separate chapter for rock art	Yes/No
	Management Plan?	There are plans for individual rock art	Yes/No
		sites	
4.3.3	Is the management system/plan	There is no system or plan in place	Yes/No
	adequate to maintain the	The system/plan is not adequate	Yes/No
	outstanding universal value of the rock art on the property?	The system/plan is partially adequate	Yes/No
4.3.4	Is the management system/plan	The system/plan is fully adequate	Yes/No Yes/No
4.3.4	being implemented?	No current management system is in place	
		The system/plan is not being	Yes/No
		implemented The system/plan is partially implemented	Yes/No
		The system/plan is fully implemented	Yes/No
4.3.5	Is the cooperation and	Local communities/residents	103/110
ч. J .J	relationship with the following	Local authorities	
	groups excellent (4), average (3),	Indigenous groups	
	poor (2), non-existent (1) or not	Descendants of the artists	
	applicable (0)?	Landowners	
		Researchers	
		Tour operators	
		Industry and commercial companies	
4.3.6	Do the local communities residing	No local communities are resident nearby	Yes/No
	in or near the property and/or	Local communities have no input	Yes/No
	buffer zone have input in	Local communities have some input, but	Yes/No
	management decisions that	no direct role in management	
	maintain the outstanding universal value of the rock art?	Local communities contribute to decisions	Yes/No
		Local communities participate fully	Yes/No
4.3.7	Is there cooperation with industry	There is little or no contact	Yes/No

	(tourism, mining, agriculture, etc.)	There is contact but little co-operation	Yes/No
	regarding management of the	There is contact but only some co-	Yes/No
	rock art and property?	operation	
		There is regular contact re management	Yes/No
4.3.8	How are rock art records kept?	Digital database	Yes/No
		GIS	Yes/No
		Paper files	Yes/No
		Digital photographs	Yes/No
		Slides and prints	Yes/No
4.3.9	Where are the original rock art	Originals are kept at the WH property	Yes/No
	records kept?	Copies are lodged elsewhere	Yes/No
		Originals are kept at another facility	Yes/No
4.4	Financial and human resources		
4.4.1	Is the conservation and management of rock art on the	The management of rock art is outsourced	Yes/No
	property part of the operating budget or is it a separate line item on the budget?	There is no separate budget for rock art	Yes/No
	Ĭ	There is a separate budget for rock art	Yes/No
4.4.2	What percentage of the annual	Less than 0.5%	Yes/No
	operating budget for the World	Between 0.5 and 1%	Yes/No
	Heritage Property was spent on the conservation / management	Between 1 and 5%	Yes/No
	of rock art on the property over	Between 5 and 10%	Yes/No
	the last 5 years (excl. salaries)?	More than 10%	Yes/No
4.4.3	How much international	Less than US\$1000	Yes/No
	assistance has been received for	Between \$1000 and \$10,000	Yes/No
	rock art conservation and	Between \$10,000 and \$100,000	Yes/No
	management for the property in 2005-2008?	More than \$100,000	Yes/No
4.4.4	Is the current budget sufficient to	There is no budget for management	Yes/No
	manage the property effectively	There is no budget for management	163/110
	and retain the outstanding	The available budget is inadequate	Yes/No
	universal value of the rock art?	The available budget is acceptable but could be improved	Yes/No
		The available budget is sufficient	Yes/No
4.4.5	Does the local community receive economic benefits from the rock	The local community receives no direct economic benefits	Yes/No
	art?	There are a few jobs for local people at the site	Yes/No
		The local community receives a percentage of profits	Yes/No
4.4.6	Are available resources such as equipment, facilities, vehicles and	There are little or no facilities or equipment	Yes/No
	infrastructure sufficient to meet	Facilities and equipment are inadequate	Yes/No
	rock art management needs?	Facilities and equipment are adequate	Yes/No
		More sophisticated equipment is needed	Yes/No
4.4.7	How many people are involved in	Total number employed:	
	managing the property?	Full-time contract	
		Full-time permanent Part-time	
		Volunteer	
4.4.8	How many of the staff members	Rock art conservators/managers	
	listed in 4.4.7 have been trained	Rock art tourist guides	
	in rock art tourism, conservation		
	or management?	Rock Art researchers	

4.4.9	How many staff members who	Rock art tourist guide	
	have not had formal training in rock art tourism, conservation or	Visitor administration	
	management are employed to	Rock art site monitoring	
4 5	manage the rock art? Scientific Studies and Research	Drojanto.	
4.5 4.5.1	Is there adequate knowledge	Rock Art researchers	Yes/No
4.5.1	about the rock art of the property		
	to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure	There's some research, but it is not planned	Yes/No
	that the outstanding universal	There is a lot not research but it is not	Yes/No
	value is maintained?	directed towards management needs or	
		improving outstanding universal value	
		There is a comprehensive, integrated	Yes/No
		programme of research	
4.5.2	Are results from rock art research	Research results are not shared	Yes/No
	programmes disseminated to staff	Shared, but no active outreach	Yes/No
	and local participants at the	Shared with selected stakeholders	Yes/No
	property?	Shared widely locally and internationally	Yes/No
4.5.3	Does the property have its own	Yes/No	
4.5.4	website?	Yes/No	
4.3.4	Is there specific information about the rock art on the website?	Yes/NO When was it last updated?	
4.6	Education, Information and Awar		
4.6.1	Is there a planned education and	There is no planned education and	
4.0.1	awareness-raising programme	awareness-raising programme for rock	
	linked to the outstanding	art	
	universal value of the rock art of	The planned programme has not been	
	the property?	implemented	
		The programme has been implemented	
4.6.2	What kinds of education and/or	Guided tours to rock art sites	Yes/No
	awareness-raising programmes	Self-guided tours to rock art sites	Yes/No
	are planned?	Information pamphlets on rock art	Yes/No
		Special programmes for local	Yes/No
		communities	
		On-site signage and information	Yes/No
		Displays in an interpretation centre	Yes/No
		Information packs for schools and	Yes/No
			Maa /NIa
160	Which of these programmes are	Films and TV programmes	Yes/No Yes/No
4.6.3	Which of these programmes are already in place?	Guided tours to rock art sites	
		Self-guided tours to rock art sites Special programmes for local	Yes/No Yes/No
		communities	105/100
		Information pamphlets on rock art	Yes/No
		On-site signage and information	Yes/No
		Displays in an interpretation centre	Yes/No
		Information packs for schools and	Yes/No
		educators	
		Films and TV programmes	Yes/No
4.6.4	Have rock art images been used	Yes/No	
4.6.5	for branding the property? If yes, in what medium?	Posters	
4.0.3	(Please underline relevant words)	T-shirts and clothing	
		i shina anu oluning	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Caps and hats	
		Caps and hats Table mats and cloths	

	I		
		Mugs	
		Jewelry	
		Hand-painted copies on rock	
		Hand-painted copies on paper	
		Other (please specify)	
4.7	Visitor Management	No. / No.	
4.7.1	Are there statistics on visitation to the property?	Yes / No	
4.7.2	If so, please provide the trend in	2004 -	
	annual visitation for the last five	2005 -	
	years, or for the years for which	2006 -	
	the figures are available.	2007 -	
470	lf ann anata fiannaa ana anailabla	2008 -	
4.7.3	If separate figures are available,	2004 -	
	how many people visited rock art	2005 -	
	sites on the property during the	2006 -	
	same years?	2007 -	
474	le there e visitor use policy plan	2008 -	
4.7.4	Is there a visitor use policy, plan	Yes / No	
	or set of guidelines for the rock art sites?		
4.7.5	Are commercial tour operators	No	
ч.7.5	permitted to take visitors to the	Yes, but with strict protocols	
	rock art sites without a property	Yes, unrestricted access is allowed	
	staff member as a guide?		
4.7.6	Are fees charged?	For entry to the property?	Yes/No
		With an additional fee to visit rock art	Yes/No
		sites?	
4.7.7	Do the fees contribute directly to	Yes	
4.7.7	the conservation and	No, only indirectly	
	management of rock art sites?	No, the fees only go towards salaries	
4.7.8	Are visitors' books kept to record	Yes / No	
	all visits to rock art sites in the		
	property?		
4.7.9	If so, approximately what	% of visitors local	
	percentage of visitors are local	% of visitors from State Party	
	and what percentage are foreign?	% of visitors from other countries	
4.7.10	Has the number of visitors to rock	Increased	Yes/No
	art sites increased or decreased	Decreased	Yes/No
	since the property was inscribed?	Not known	Yes/No
4.8	Monitoring		
4.8.1	Is there a conservation monitoring	No monitoring programme for rock art	Yes/No
	programme specifically for rock	The programme has not been	Yes/No
	art at the property?	implemented	
		The monitoring is done intermittently	Yes/No
		Monitoring is done regularly	Yes/No
4.8.2	Are original condition reports,	Originals are kept at the property	Yes/No
	photographs and monitoring	Copies are lodged elsewhere	Yes/No
	forms archived about the	Originals are kept at another facility	Yes/No
400	property?	· · · ·	100/110
4.8.3	What kind of monitoring has been	Temperature	
	done in the last two years?	Humidity	
	(Please underline relevant words)	Dust	
		Water / Frost / Ice / Snow	
		Salts	
		Insect activity	
		Bird activity	

4.8.4	If monitoring has been done, have any interventions been necessary?	Mammal activity Vegetation Human impacts Other (please specify) Yes/No
4.8.5	If so, what kinds of interventions have been made? (Please underline relevant words)	Removal of graffiti Removal of fungus Removal of bird droppings Removal of mammal droppings Removal of insect tracks or nests Removal/addition of vegetation Removal/addition of rubbish bin Installation of a gate, fence or barrier Installation of a boardwalk or floor covering Installation of drip lines Change in visitation policy The site was closed to the public Other (please specify)
4.8.6	Who made the interventions?	Maintenance staff member Professional staff member Qualified consultant Researcher
4.8.7	Is there a written record of the interventions with before and after photographs?	Yes / No

5. GENERAL ISSUES ABOUT ROCK ART AND WORLD HERITAGE

E 4	La vour entinion in there envi	Vee / Ne
5.1	In your opinion, is there any outstanding universal value in rock art that is not yet represented on the World Heritage List or Tentative List?	Yes / No
5.2	Has the inscription of rock art sites on the World Heritage List helped to increase public awareness and appreciation of rock art?	Yes / No (please explain)
5.3	Has the inscription of rock art sites on the World Heritage List made rock art more vulnerable to damage?	Yes / No (please explain)