



United Nations
Educational, Scientific and
Cultural Organization

Organisation
des Nations Unies
pour l'éducation,
la science et la culture

World Heritage

33 COM

Distribution Limited

WHC-09/33.COM/7B.Corr

Paris, 17 June 2009

Original: English / French

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

World Heritage Committee
Thirty-third session
Seville, Spain
22-30 June 2009

**Item 7B of the Provisional Agenda: State of conservation of World Heritage
properties inscribed on the World Heritage List**

SUMMARY

This Document cancels and replaces the draft Decisions of the following state of conservation reports:

84. Samarkand – Crossroads of Cultures (Uzbekistan) (C 603 rev), presented page 104 of Document *WHC-09/33.COM/7B.Add*.

142. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016), presented page 343 of Document *WHC-09/33.COM/7B*.

For ease of reference, the corrections are highlighted in yellow.

I. REPORTS ON THE STATE OF CONSERVATION OF PROPERTIES INSCRIBED ON THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST

CULTURAL PROPERTIES

ASIA-PACIFIC

84. Samarkand – Crossroads of Cultures (Uzbekistan) (C 603 rev)

Draft Decision: **33 COM 7B.84** **Corr**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. *Having examined Documents WHC-09/33.COM/7B.Add and WHC-09/33.COM/7B.Corr,*
2. *Recalling Decision **32 COM 7B.79**, adopted at its 32nd session (Quebec City, 2008),*
3. *Acknowledging the need, as recommended by the joint World Heritage Centre/ICOMOS reactive monitoring mission of March 2009, to complete the management plan, to undertake further conservation work on monuments within the property, and to sustain the traditional urban fabric and to plan for infrastructural work to respect the urban fabric,*
4. *Urges the State Party to put in place strategic approaches to urban conservation;*
5. *Also urges the State Party to consider a cooperation project with the Ministry of Culture, local authorities, UNESCO and ICOMOS, and possibly other partners, to address issues of the management plan and strategic planning, involving technical assistance and guidance for the conservation of the urban fabric, the development of structural restoration projects;*
6. *Suggests that the State Party might wish to consider an application for international assistance under the World Heritage Fund to support such a collaboration project;*
7. *Reiterates its request to the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, in conformity with Paragraph 172 of the Operational Guidelines, information about any major development proposals;*
8. *Requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by **1 February 2011**, a report on the state of conservation of the property, including progress made in the management plan and strategic planning for consideration by the World Heritage Committee at its 35th session in 2011;*
9. *Decides **not** to continue to apply the Reinforced monitoring mechanism to this property.*

LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN

142. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016)

Draft Decision: **33 COM 7B.142** **Corr**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-09/33.COM/7B and WHC-09/33.COM/7B.Corr,
2. Recalling Decision **32 COM 7B.127**, adopted at its 32nd session (Quebec City, 2008),
- ~~3. Regrets that the State Party did not submit its report in one of the two working languages of the World Heritage Convention (French and English);~~
3. Notes the progress reported by the State Party in implementing the recommendations from the 2008 reactive monitoring mission report, particularly in regard to starting the revision of the buffer zone;
4. Also notes progress in deterring illegal demolitions and in strengthening institutional frameworks for the management of the property and requests the State Party to ensure the regulatory procedures for demolitions are strictly enforced;
5. Regrets that the State Party did not submit a completed Disaster Preparedness Plan, as requested in Decision **32 COM 7B.127** and notes with concern that it has not been finalised in light of the vulnerability of the place;
6. Takes note of the projects planned for the Historic Centre and also requests the State Party, in accordance with Paragraph 172 of the Operational Guidelines, to submit to the World Heritage Committee their specific details for consideration by the World Heritage Centre and ICOMOS;
7. Further requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by **1 February 2011**, a detailed report on the state of conservation of the property and on the progress made in the implementation of the above recommendations, for the examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 35th session in 2011.