Addendum
Evaluations of Nominations of Cultural and Mixed Properties to the World Heritage List

ICOMOS Report for the World Heritage Committee
36th ordinary session, Saint Petersburg, June - July 2012
WHC-12/36.COM/INF.8B1.Add2
World Heritage List Nominations submitted for processing on an emergency basis

I Cultural properties

A Arab States
Palestine [C 1433]
Birthplace of Jesus: the Church of the Nativity and the Pilgrimage Route, Bethlehem

B Europe – North America
France [C 1426]
The Chauvet - Pont d'Arc decorated cave
Church of the Nativity, Bethlehem (Palestine)
No 1433

Official name as proposed by the State Party
Birthplace of Jesus: the Church of the Nativity and the Pilgrimage route, Bethlehem

Location
Bethlehem Governorate

Brief description
The Church of the Nativity was originally constructed in 399AD above a cave, traditionally acknowledged since at least the 2nd century AD as being the birthplace of Jesus. Its reconstruction after a fire in the mid-6th century is the basic structure that has survived to the present.

During the Crusader era of the 12th century, the church was embellished with paintings and mosaics, traces of which survive. Since mediaeval times the church has become increasingly embedded within a complex of mainly ecclesiastical buildings, including Greek Orthodox, Franciscan and Armenian Convents.

During various periods over the past 1,500 years, Bethlehem and the Church of the Nativity have been major pilgrim destinations. The eastern end of the traditional route from Jerusalem to the Church, which is followed ceremonially each year by the Patriarchs of the three Churches at their several Christmases, is included within the boundaries.

The ensemble is put forward as the first part of a serial nomination, which it is said will include sites inside and surrounding Bethlehem, particularly those related to the story of the birth and life of Jesus.

Category of property
In terms of categories of cultural property set out in Article I of the 1972 World Heritage Convention, this is a group of buildings.

1 Basic data

Background
The nomination was submitted by the State Party as an Emergency Nomination as the Church of the Nativity and its architectural complex are considered to have greatly suffered from the lack of proper regular and restoration works because of the political situation in the area and region since 1967. Despite the efforts of the local religious Authorities and the insistence of the international Community and particularly UNESCO, it is stated that no major restoration works have been undertaken at the Nativity Church. Furthermore, local Palestinian Authority are stated to be having great difficulties in the provision of materials and equipment needed for the maintenance and restoration works because of the lack of free movement imposed by the Israeli forces.

The main reasons for the decay and degradation of the architectural complex of the Church of the Nativity are considered by the State Party to be:

- Water penetration through the roof;
- The use of inappropriate building materials;
- Lack of proper maintenance, especially in the last 50 years;
- The huge number of visitors that are adding up to the speed of deterioration inside the Church.

Included in the Tentative List
8 March 2012

International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund for preparing the Nomination
None

Date received by the World Heritage Centre
27 January 2011

Date received by ICOMOS
8 March 2012

Consultations
ICOMOS has consulted several independent experts.

Technical Field Visit
An ICOMOS Technical Field visit was undertaken at the property between 30 April and 1 May 2012. This technical visit was only to the Church of the Nativity and only considered the state of conservation of those aspects of its fabric that are related to the request for Emergency Inscription.

Additional information requested and received from the State Party
On 13 March 2012, ICOMOS requested the State Party to provide supplementary information on the following:

- Current ground plan for the Church of the Nativity;
- Plan of all the churches and of the overall nominated ensemble;
- Recent conservation history of the Church of the Nativity and of its associated monastic buildings, including any recent architects’ surveys of the Church of the Nativity and details of work undertaken in the Church of St Catherine and St Jerome’s refectory in the late 1990s, as part of the Bethlehem 2000 project.

On 4 April 2012 the State Party provided further details on the description of the property, its history and development and on its state of conservation and the
2 The property

Description
Bethlehem lies 10km south of Jerusalem in the fertile limestone hills of the biblical Ephrah. The town developed on one moderately sized hill with the Church of the Nativity on a nearby hill, separated by a saddle of land.

Until around a hundred years ago, the Church of the Nativity, and its surrounding walled monastic complexes, dominated an open terraced landscape. It was described in 1887 as looking ‘like a large feudal castle’. Today the town has spread around the church and out into that landscape leaving only the comparatively recent bell towers rising above the buildings. Its massive buttressed walls are now largely hidden from all but close views.

The centre of Bethlehem, consisting of the two hills and the extent of the settlement that existed at the end of the 19th century has been delineated as the ‘historic centre’ for management and conservation processes. This forms the buffer zone for the nominated area.

The nominated area consists of the following:

- The Church of the Nativity
- Latin, Greek Orthodox, Franciscan and Armenian Convents and churches
- Bell towers
- Terraced gardens
- Pilgrimage Route

The Church of the Nativity

By the 2nd century AD, a cave at Bethlehem had become venerated as the birthplace of Jesus. Under the patronage of the Roman Emperor Constantine, a church was built above and around the cave. Completed in 339AD, its octagonal sanctuary allowed worshipers to look down into the traditional manger. Adjoining this was a five aisled basilican church with massive monolithic columns topped by Corinthian capitals, and to the west an open atrium. The church stood at the east end of a long street that formed the backbone of the nearby small town. This first church was demolished after a fire in the 6th century but its highly elaborate geometric mosaic floors survived.

The 6th century rebuilding, under the Emperor Justinian kept the form of the nave but incorporated the cave under an apsed chancel. The atrium was moved further west and separated from the nave by a narthex across the width of the building. The basic structure of this second church survives.

This new church had 46 columns of red limestone (from a local quarry) with Corinthian capitals. On thirty of them are traces of paintings that belong to a 12th century Crusader renovation of the church. The images are of the heavenly hierarchy, and also saints, bishops and sanctified monarchs.

In Crusader times, two walls of the nave and a chancel apse were also decorated with mosaics, the two main ones depicting the seven General Councils of the (Latin) Church and the six Provincial Councils of the Greeks, thus showing fundamental agreement between the two. Some of these mosaics were damaged by vandals in 1872 and others by a defective roof and only fragments now remain. The mosaics were however recorded in the 18th century.

Beneath the present nave floor of red stone, lie the remains of a geometrically patterned mosaic floor dating from the 5th century. A carved wooden door within the central doorway to the nave was a gift from the King of Armenia in 1227. Within the church are one 16th and several 17th century icons, some of which have been reconstructed or renovated.

Two flights of steps lead down from the aisles of the church to the Cave of the Nativity. Its marble floor was ornamented in 1717 with a star to mark the birthplace. This was removed in 1847 (an incident that contributed to the Crimean War) and replaced in 1853. The walls of the cave are partly lined with marble and partly covered with silks and buckram. A lower grotto associated with the manger, is adorned with marble colonettes of the Crusader period.

The narthex has been subdivided at different times and is now in three parts. The northern part has Byzantine frescoes on part of its walls and is used by the Franciscan St Helena’s chapel. The southern part is used by the Armenian convent. The central part still provides a porch to the nave. The timber roof dates from the 12th century.

The 6th century atrium at the west end no longer exists. It is now mainly an open space that was paved in 1932.

Latin, Greek Orthodox, Franciscan and Armenian churches and Convents

Since early medieval times the Church has become incorporated into a complex of mainly monastic buildings.

No detailed plans of these complexes have been provided.

Church of Saint Catherine of Alexandria

To the north, is the Church of Saint Catherine of Alexandria, the church for Roman Catholics, since their exclusion in the late 13th century. Its present building was constructed in the 19th century. The church incorporates in its northern end fragments of an earlier building, possibly the chapter house of a 12th century convent.
For the Millennium celebrations, the church was expanded, by moving the eastern wall some 21 metres.

A 12th century arcaded courtyard uncovered in the 19th century during the re-building of the church, was restored in 1948 using capitals and columns of the 12th century monastery.

At the western end of the Church is St Helena’s Chapel in the base of the northern of the two bell towers added in Crusader times. The chapel has a cross vaulted ceiling. The walls were decorated with fresco paintings of which traces remain. These were restored in 1998. At the eastern end, stairs lead down to the Grotto of St Jerome.

Franciscan Monastery
This monastery is also to the north of the Church of the Nativity. It is separated by a cloister that was first added in the 12th century. The Western church has been represented by the Franciscans since 1347.

The current buildings are on part of the site of a 12th century convent. They date from the 20th century and enclose the barrel-vaulted remains of the convent refectory on the north side of the cloister. The west part of the convent was destroyed in 1982 by the construction of the Franciscan pilgrimage residence and the east part by the extension of St Catherine’s church in the 19th century.

Armenian Convent
To the south of the Church of the Nativity, and entered from the narthex, is the Armenian convent. Of all the monastic structures this is the earliest with substantial parts dating from the 12th century and having cross vaulted roofs. The more recent parts were constructed in the 17th century. The medieval refectory, known as the School of St Jerome, was restored for the Millennium celebrations.

Greek Orthodox Convent
This convent lies adjacent to the Church of the Nativity on its south and eastern sides and consists of a chapel, formerly part of the Sacristy, and a massive tower of fine rusticated masonry constructed in the 12th century, perhaps on a 6th century base.

Bell towers
Three bell towers are now a prominent part of the skyline. One was added in the 19th century to the Greek Orthodox Convent and the other two in the 1930s to the Church of St Catherine and the Franciscan Convent.

Terraced gardens
Open terraced areas to the east and south-east of the Church of the Nativity are now the only remaining open areas within the borders of the historic town of Bethlehem. They are nominated for their possible archaeological interest.

Pilgrimage Route
A short section of the Pilgrimage route from Jerusalem to Bethlehem, which follows the historical route believed to have been taken by the Virgin Mary and Joseph, is included in the nominated area. The route is used for the procession of the Patriarchs at Christmas.

The paved road as an urban street is barely two hundred years old. Until the middle of the 19th century the start of this section would have been in open landscape and pilgrims would have had views of the fortress like Church surrounded by its walled monastic complex.

Now the street runs through an urban area with the buildings alongside mainly built in the 19th and 20th centuries. Many of the largely one-storey houses and shops incorporate Roman-Byzantine building techniques such as cross-arched room structures, vaults, and lime-stone ‘cociopesto’. The buildings are, however, not included in the nominated area.

Future Nominations
The nomination dossier states that a second nomination will include the Historic Town of Bethlehem, which forms the Buffer Zone for the current nomination, and that further nominations could include the Historic Town of Beit Sahour, the Shepherds’ Field, Beit Sahour, and Mar Saba Monastery in the Desert to the east. The link between these sites will be their association with the story of the birth and life of Jesus.

History and development

Conservation History
The roof of the Church of the Nativity is documented as being repaired in 1480 under the supervision of Tomacello, the Guardian of Mount Zion. To repair the roof, wood was brought from Vince and lead was provided by King Edward IV of England.

In 1670 the covering lead was repaired with the work being undertaken by the Greek Orthodox Church. The timber was also repaired with wood imported from Istanbul and the carpenters were brought from Chios and the Greek city of Mitylene. Since then the roof has been repaired twice, first in 1842, also by the Greek Orthodox Church, and most recently in 1990, when works were implemented by the Israeli military authorities.

The outer lead coverings are clearly of some antiquity. There still appears to be some doubt about their date, but much of the leadwork could be the 1480 lead. Although there are reports of lead being stripped from the roof in 1670, it seems that this may have been partial. The further repairs (1842) following the earthquake in 1834 solely addressed the effects of the quake. Whatever their date the roof coverings are immediately recognizable as the same as those in published photographs of 1935.
The current timber structure of the Church of the Nativity is said in the nomination dossier to date mainly from repairs of 1842. However, ICOMOS considers that some of the timber could date from the 17th century or earlier, as it appears that at no time has a comprehensive replacement of the whole fabric of the roof been made.

3 Outstanding Universal Value, integrity and authenticity

Comparative analysis
The brief comparative analysis suggests that Bethlehem is ‘genuinely unique, in the proper sense of the word, and to seek analogues in a World Heritage context may mislead and possibly demean’.

The analysis appears to be looking for comparators rather than demonstrating that none can be found. It suggests that Bethlehem could be said to be similar to the Vatican, Italy, Lumbini, Nepal, and Takht-e-Suleiman, Iran.

What the brief analysis does not do is to demonstrate why Bethlehem, in terms of a combination of its fabric, associations, and use, can be said to be exceptional.

ICOMOS considers that the comparative analysis as set out is incomplete but that a more thorough analysis could justify inclusion of this property on the World Heritage List.

Justification of Outstanding Universal Value
The nominated property is considered by the State Party to be of Outstanding Universal Value as a cultural property for the following reasons:

- Since the 2nd century AD the Church of the Nativity has been associated with the birthplace of Jesus;
- The present Church, largely of mid-6th century date, is the oldest Christian church in daily use;
- The Church is now embedded in an extraordinary ensemble of mainly monastic buildings, overseen by members of the Greek Orthodox, the Order of St Francis and the Armenian Church;
- For most of the past 1500 years, Bethlehem has been a pilgrim destination;
- The Christian Christmas, centred on Bethlehem, is the most widely-celebrated religious festival in the world.

ICOMOS considers that the nominated complex does have the potential to demonstrate Outstanding Universal Value for the way its fabric and its associations have combined to reflect the extraordinary influence of Christianity in spiritual and political terms over 1500 years.

Integrity and authenticity

Integrity
The nominated areas encompass the entirety of the Church of the Nativity and its associated monastic buildings as well as an area of terraced land to the east and a short stretch of the Pilgrimage Route. It thus includes all the buildings that form the focus of pilgrimage and the cave that is believed to be the birthplace of Jesus.

The integrity of the surroundings of the property are however problematic in terms of the context of the Church of the Nativity and approaches to it as a spiritual centre. The boundaries are tightly drawn in a way that dissociates the complex from its urban setting. The rationale for including a short section of the Pilgrimage Route, but without the buildings either side of it, are not clear in terms of how this can be reflected on the ground.

The lack of a full evaluation mission has made it impossible to consider the precise relationship between the Church and Monastic complex, the stretch of Pilgrimage Route, and the town, and thus how far the town contributes to its value. However the nomination dossier clearly acknowledges the great urban pressure that is disturbing the traditional urban fabric, leading to new constructions around the nominated areas and having a negative impact on views to and from the property.

Authenticity
The association of the site with the place that was believed to be the birthplace of Jesus is documented from the 4th century AD and from then on the buildings added to it have been constructed to enhance this religious significance. The main church largely dates from the 6th century, but retains its 4th century floor, and has 12th century and later alterations. The 12th century additions reflect the Crusades that led to one of the upsurges in pilgrimage activity.

From medieval times the main church has been supported by monastic communities for which there is strong material evidence. The buildings of one of the monastic complexes dates back to at least the 12th century while there is evidence under the others for earlier monastic buildings dating to the 12th century. Apart from the Armenian Convent, most of their current structures date from the 19th and 20th centuries.

The ability of the property to convey its spiritual links appears to a degree to be compromised by the lack of control for development and use in its immediate surroundings. The nomination dossier calls it ‘one of the most historic and significant places on earth’. Yet many visitors are apparently disappointed by the reality.
The link between the Church and its monastic complexes and the town of Bethlehem needs also to be strengthened in terms of the way the two developed in tandem over the centuries.

The lack of details in the nomination dossier on the fabric of the buildings and the lack of a full evaluation mission to consider their current conservation means that it is not possible to provide a proper statement of authenticity.

ICOMOS considers that the conditions of integrity and authenticity cannot be properly ascertained at the present time.

**Criteria under which inscription is proposed**

The property is nominated on the basis of cultural criteria (iv) and (vi).

*Criterion (iv): be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human history;*

This criterion is justified by the State Party on the grounds that the Church of the Nativity is an outstanding example of an early church in a remarkable architectural ensemble which illustrates both a significant stage in human history in the 4th–6th centuries AD and in later stages up to the present century.

ICOMOS considers that the fabric of Church of the Nativity and its monastic ensemble reflect two significant stages in human history. These are the conversion of the Roman Empire to Christianity which led to the development of a church on the site believed to be associated with Jesus’s birth, and to the power and influence of Christianity in the period of the Crusades that led to the development of monastic communities and to embellishments of the Church of the Nativity.

ICOMOS considers that the property has the capacity to justify this criterion, but a full study of the attributes that convey its value, needs to be undertaken.

*Criterion (vi): be directly or tangibly associated with events or living traditions, with ideas, or with beliefs, with artistic and literary works of outstanding universal significance;*

This criterion is justified by the State Party on the grounds that the Church of the Nativity, and the Pilgrimage Route to it, are directly associated with events and beliefs of outstanding universal significance.

ICOMOS considers that the Church of the Nativity, is associated with the birth of Jesus, an event of outstanding universal significance, through the buildings that have been developed on the site since the 5th century AD.

ICOMOS considers that the property has the capacity to justify this criterion but a full study of the attributes that convey its value need to be undertaken.

ICOMOS considers that the criteria have the potential to be justified but in the absence of a full mission, the conditions of authenticity and integrity have not been fully assessed at this stage, nor has it been possible to fully understand the attributes that convey its value, or an appropriate boundary.

### 4 Factors affecting the property

**Emergency Threats**

The emergency threat is stated to be the present state of conservation of the Church of the Nativity. This is said to be not good in terms of its roof and the potential for water ingress. These are caused both by a lack of repair and a lack of regular maintenance. The nomination dossier states that because of these threats, the Church could be considered endangered.

These threats were considered by the ICOMOS technical expert and details of the situation that the expert observed are set out below under Conservation.

Other threats listed in the nomination dossier, but which have not been assessed by a mission, include the following:

**Development pressures**

Its location within the historic town of Bethlehem means that the setting of the Church of the Nativity and its monastic buildings are under considerable development pressure. Under an agreement between the Palestine Liberation Organisation and the Israeli Government, the lands of the city of Bethlehem are divided into two zones, A and C. Zone A includes the nominated property and its surrounding historic town; zone C covers the populated areas surrounding it. Palestinians are allowed to build in Zone A while Zone C is controlled by the Israeli military.

The accelerating need for commercial property and for accommodation is said to be leading to great pressure on the traditional urban fabric around the nominated area.

On the opposite side however, it is said that many younger people are moving out of the historic area to new homes in the suburbs.

**Tourism pressures**

The large number of visitors is said to be adding to the speed of deterioration inside the Church of the Nativity. High number of visitors within the church can lead to fluctuations in humidity and excessive moisture condensation on the underside of the roof.
High levels of tourists are also leading to pressure for new building within its immediate context. However it is acknowledged that tourism fluctuates with changes in the military situation. It is also underdeveloped and could increase in the future. Currently many tourists are bussed in and do not contribute much to the local economy. Parking is unregulated and vehicles are parked right up to the walls of the church. Old buildings are being knocked down and replaced with new facilities for tourists.

It is acknowledge that the needs of the visitor economy have led to the disfigurement of buildings in the old town and the introduction of inappropriate massive new ones close to the Church of the Nativity. The need for a visitor management strategy is also acknowledged.

Environmental pressures
The sharp increase in the number of vehicles, inadequate parking, and small industries within the historic town, have produced a polluted environment that is negatively affecting the façades of both the Church and the buildings along the Pilgrimage Route.

The abandonment and misuse of existing water cisterns is said to lead to water leakage and inadequate water collection, as well as structural damage to buildings. Bethlehem is facing a water crisis as there is insufficient water to be purchased from outside the town and too much leakage.

Natural disasters
No natural disasters are mentioned in the text.

Impact of climate change
This is not addressed in the nomination dossier.

ICOMOS considers that the main threats to the property are lack of conservation of the Church of the Nativity and possibly lack of maintenance and repair of the wider complex. Largely unregulated tourism and development pressures are combining to destroy key elements of the urban fabric that provides the context for the Church and monasteries and to impact on its spiritual qualities.

5 Protection, conservation and management

Boundaries of the nominated property and buffer zone
The boundaries enclose the Church of the Nativity and its monastic complexes. They also include a small section of the Pilgrim Route and an open area to the east of the Church.

As no full mission has been undertaken, it is not possible to state whether or not these boundaries are adequate but they appear to be very tightly drawn and to exclude those parts of the urban fabric that provides the context for the Church and its monasteries.

The buffer zone covers the whole of the historic city of Bethlehem.

ICOMOS has not been able to assess the adequacy of the boundaries of the nominated property or of its buffer zone.

Ownership
The Church of the Nativity is owned by The Greek Orthodox Church, Custody of the Holy Land, and the Armenian Church according to the Status Quo of the Holy Places (1852). The Armenian Convent is owned by the Armenian Patriarchate. The Greek Orthodox Church is owned by The Greek Orthodox Patriarchate. The St Catherine’s Church and the Catholic Convent are owned by the Custody of the Holy Land.

Protection

Legal Protection
The nomination dossier states that: According to the Jordanian Old Antiquities Law no. 51/yr 1966 applied in the West Bank, Article no 2/c, an Ancient Archaeological Remain is defined as ‘any mobile or fixed object constructed, engraved, built, discovered, made or modified by the human race before the year 1700’. And/or ‘any object, mobile or fixed, that dates back to after the year 1700, declared by the minister as an archaeological ancient object’. These objects are protected under the law.

The implications of this are unclear.

It is also stated that protection is related to planning regulations. These have strengthened in recent years with the approval of The General Rules for the Protection of Historic Areas and Historic Individual Buildings, ‘Annex no. 10’, by the Higher Council of Planning in 2006. These rules are considered part of the Building and Planning Regulations for Local Authorities.

The Rules provide guidelines for interventions in the historic centre. But the nomination dossier states that ‘it now remains to be seen whether they can be effective in reversing existing negative impacts and avoiding further inroads to a still largely homogenous urban fabric’.

Traditional Protection
The nominated area apart from the pilgrimage route, is controlled jointly by three Christian denominations - the Armenian Church, the Roman Catholic Church and the Greek Orthodox Church, although the Greek Orthodox Church is responsible for the majority of the Church of the Nativity.
Effectiveness of protection measures

Without a full mission, ICOMOS has not been able to assess the effectiveness of protection measures.

ICOMOS has not been able to assess whether the protective measures for the property are adequate.

Conservation

Inventories, recording, research

Work on surveys and recording the Church of the Nativity has already started. (see below)

During the works conducted by the Centre for Cultural Heritage Preservation on the Bethlehem Area Conservation and Management, a general census on the buildings inside the Historic Town of Bethlehem was carried out. It recorded the general state of conservation of all buildings, occupied or otherwise, within the targeted area. It is stated that it is the intention to update the whole record systematically early in 2011.

Present state of conservation

In the nomination dossier, the present state of conservation of the Church of the Nativity is stated to be not good in terms of its roof and the potential for water ingress.

The ICOMOS Technical visit was undertaken to consider these specific aspects of the property.

Access was provided to the interior of the Church of the Nativity at floor level, and to the upper surfaces of the main roofs. The expert thus was able to get a close up view of some selective parts of the roof structure, and of the exterior of most parts of the church, as well as a partial view of the nave walls and of parts of the eastern apses.

The expert was also given access to recent technical reports on the state of conservation of the roof that have been undertaken in advance of a major programme of work to repair the roof (see below).

The expert observed that the roofs have been extensively patched with bituminous roofing felt, some recent, some older and now much decayed, none of which have had much effect in keeping out the rain. The expert considered that it is possible that some of the lead beneath the felt coverings could have slipped probably exposing areas of boarding beneath.

The expert also could see that rainwater management has not been realistically addressed by the original constructors or their successors: there are no eaves gutters to the upper roofs to protect the walls below; the aisle roofs have very narrow and shallow parapet gutters; and rainwater outlets are very undersized.

The timber roof structure appears essentially to be in the same condition as recorded in 1935 – certain damaged areas which appear in photographs of that date are still visible and only very minor works are reported to have been done since then.

From ground level many signs of water penetration were visible, though clearly most of these are of long standing. Some at ground level were possibly caused by water drawn up from below but also from washing of floors and the effects of condensation. The external ground level on the south side of the nave is well above internal floor level.

The expert noted that the Church is constantly very full of people. Two million visitors are recorded to have passed through the Church as tourists in the past year, and the queues to visit the grotto often require a wait in the church of several hours. The only doors into the church are very small, and not direct from the exterior but via the narthex, or the cloister; and the clearstory openings are not large. Consequently ventilation is poor and there are high levels of humidity, meaning that condensation must be a real issue in the colder seasons.

The technical expert considered that the problems facing the fabric of the Church are grave with water penetration continuing, but these conditions are of long standing. Detailed inspections and studies which have recently been carried out by an international consortium (see below) that has assessed the conditions in all parts of the fabric, using scaffolding to gain access to virtually all parts of the building.

If any areas had been considered by them to be under a threat of sudden loss, they would have ensured that temporary measures to secure them would have been taken while scaffolding was in place. Consequently from a technical point of view the technical expert did not consider that the present state of affairs could be described as an emergency.

The state of conservation of the monastic complexes is not known. The technical mission was not given access to these buildings.

Active Conservation measures

The nomination dossier makes clear that active measures to address the roof problems in the Church of the Nativity are about to start. What has held back conservation work has been the lack of collaboration between the Greek Orthodox Church, the Armenian Church and the Franciscan Order (something which has not happened for a thousand years). Now through a Presidential Decree, Palestine in cooperation with the Greek Orthodox Patriarchate, the Custody of the Holy Land and the Armenian Orthodox Patriarchate has jointly formed a Committee for the restoration of the Church. Surveys and assessments have already started and work will soon start.
The Committee has commissioned detailed reports and studies from a consortium of international professionals. The technical expert was shown two of these reports in draft and they appear to be comprehensive and detailed in their technical assessment of the situation and in their recommendations for the programme of work. They include analysis of the structure and its various components, including dendrochronology, non-invasive investigations, endoscopy, and core testing; they also include historical and archaeological analyses. The technical expert noted that only an analysis of the lead roof coverings and their supporting boarding seems to be missing.

The technical expert was told that it is the intention to use these documents as the basis for the appointment of professional and contractual teams to implement the roof restoration work, and that such a team could be in place within three months of authorization being given to proceed.

However the technical expert noted that a full Conservation Plan which analyses the detailed significance of various elements of the roof has so far not been undertaken. In the light of the fact that the roof appears not to have ever have been comprehensively repaired, and thus to have the potential for much historical evidence within the comparatively unaltered state of the majority of its fabric, ICOMOS considers that this would appear to be essential.

A Conservation Plan should underpin the roof restoration project. It would appear that such a Conservation Plan could be produced from the documentation already assembled; but it would need to be prepared by a qualified professional, rather than by a technical consortium.

Such a Plan could synthesis the conclusions of the detailed investigative reports into a clear statement of the significances of the various elements of the roof within a comprehensive conservation philosophy for the whole restoration project.

Active conservation work is reported to have been carried out on buildings alongside the Pilgrimage route and in Star Street in the Buffer Zone.

Between 1997 and 2000, Bethlehem was the focus of a major restoration project known as Bethlehem 2000. Over $100 million was invested by more than a dozen countries, international organizations, including the World Bank and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); and other donors, to refurbish buildings, restore archaeological sites, pave streets and squares, to rehabilitate water lines and sewage systems, and to develop tourism.

The nomination dossier does not make clear precisely what work was carried out on the nominated buildings as part of this project, apart from mentioning the extension to the Church of St Catherine and the restoration of the School of St Jerome, part of the Armenian Convent – both mentioned under Description above.

Maintenance

The lack of proper maintenance over the past fifty years is acknowledged.

Effectiveness of conservation measures

ICOMOS has not been able to assess the effectiveness of the conservation measures across the property as a whole.

ICOMOS considers that the adequacy of conservation and maintenance cannot be assessed at this stage.

Management

Management structures and processes, including traditional management processes

There is no single management system for the property. The two main components of the nomination each has its own management objectives and arrangements. The Church of the Nativity is managed under the terms and provisions of the ‘Status Quo’ established by the Treaty of Berlin 1878, currently supplemented by an advisory committee formed by the Palestinian President. Each of the three adjacent Convents is maintained under its own arrangement: the Armenian Convent is controlled by the Armenian Patriarchate in the Holy City of Jerusalem; the Greek Orthodox Convent by the Greek Orthodox Patriarchate in the Holy City of Jerusalem; and the Franciscan Convent and the Church of St Catherine by the Custody of the Holy Land, Holy City of Jerusalem. The Pilgrimage Route, principally Star Street, is part of the Municipality of Bethlehem.

Policy framework: management plans and arrangements, including visitor management and presentation

There is no management plan for the property and no visitor management plan.

The Committee set up to oversee the roof repairs on the Church of the Nativity will be responsible for creating a conservation and management plan for the site.

An overall Bethlehem Area Conservation and Management Plan have been prepared. This aims to set effective cultural heritage preservation within the overall sustainable development of the area. The Plan was funded by the Government of Italy and it is stated that it is implemented by UNESCO in cooperation with MoTA, CCHP and the Municipalities of Bethlehem, Beit Jala and Beit Sahour.

and Rehabilitation of the Historic Towns of Bethlehem, Beit Jala and Beit Sahour, adopted respectively in December 2008 and March 2010, were prepared within the framework of the Bethlehem Area Conservation and Management Plan.

The Guidelines set out to analyse the urban landscapes of these towns and to set out principles for delivering the Bethlehem Charter. These are detailed and address the environmental, architectural, cultural and social assets of the area.

Risk preparedness
There are no details provided on risk preparedness.

Involvement of the local communities
No information is provided as to how local communities are actively involved in the property, although it is stated that they need to benefit from tourists visiting Bethlehem.

Resources, including staffing levels, expertise and training
The sources of Finance for the Church of the Nativity come from the Presidential Treasury for the Restoration of the Roof of the Nativity, and are managed through the National Committee for the Restoration of the Roof of the Church of the Nativity.

Other funds come from the Greek Orthodox Patriarchate for the Greek Orthodox Convent, the Custody of the Holy Land for Saint Catherine’s Church and the Catholic Convent and from the Armenian Patriarchate for the Armenian Convent.

The level of financing for the three convents depends on the need for intervention to maintain, conserve, or restore a certain part of those convents, and the assessment of the consult engineer in charge.

The nomination dossier states that the Presidential fund has undertaken to prepare the assessment study for the Church of the Nativity, and implementing the conservation of the roof depending on the assessment, disregarding the cost because of the importance of such a monument.

Effectiveness of current management
ICOMOS has not been able to assess the effectiveness of the current management.

However it is clear that there are many challenges facing the property in terms of conservation and visitor management and in terms of managing development pressures in the buffer zone in order to conserve the highly important context of the Nativity Church.

From the information provided, it appears that considerable progress has been made in recent years in setting up the Presidential Committee and in the approval of Guidelines and General Rules for the Protection of Historic areas and Buildings. Nevertheless formidable challenges remain. The pace of change is fast, the buildings need conservation and overall there is an urgent need to address how the Church of the Nativity and the historic centre of Bethlehem can move forward together.

Bethlehem Area Conservation and Management Plan that addresses the whole of the historic area is a great step forward. How it is to be implemented is not entirely clear. The Centre for Cultural Heritage Preservation is said to be the implementing agency. No details are provided of its structure or resources.

In terms of the nominated property, there is clearly an urgent need address in particular the management of the immediate environs of the nominated area.

However it has to be acknowledged that the Bethlehem 2000 project – mentioned above – brought significant funds in to the town, a proportion of which were spent on restoring private buildings in the town and rehabilitating urban spaces.

ICOMOS considers that the management system for the property appears to need to be linked to the wider management of its surroundings in order to sustain the essential context of the Nativity Church. Further information is needed on how the management of the property is tied in to the wider management plan for Bethlehem and two other neighbouring towns.

ICOMOS has not been able to assess the management arrangements.

6 Monitoring
No indicators currently exist.

It is stated that the records collected as part of the Bethlehem Area Conservation and Management Plan will be updated and used as a basis for monitoring in the future. However these refer only to the town not the Church and monasteries.

ICOMOS considers that adequate monitoring of the Church of the Nativity and monasteries is urgently needed.

7 Emergency Nomination
This nomination is put forward as an Emergency Nomination under paragraph 161 and 162 of the Operational Guidelines. The justification for this is as follows:

- The Church of the Nativity and its monastic complex have greatly suffered from the lack of regular and
In terms of threats, in ICOMOS’s view, the Church of the Nativity and its surrounding monastic complex have the capacity to be considered of demonstrating Outstanding Universal Value. However, the attributes of Outstanding Universal Value have not been assessed, nor have considerations of integrity and authenticity, and no study has been made of the adequacies of the boundaries or of the requirements of protection and management.

In ICOMOS’s view, the Church of the Nativity is now being addressed in the preservation of the wall and particularly the roof. The nomination dossier also makes clear that active measures to address the roof problems in the Church of the Nativity are about to start, based on the extensive recent studies and other progress has been made over the past few years. First and foremost this progress has been made possible by the Presidential Decree which has brought together the church authorities to such effect that a Committee has been set up to take forward repairs to the church roof which will be funded by the Palestine authorities. The vulnerability of the roof of the Church of the Nativity is now being addressed in the best way possible through the concerted efforts of the main parties.

In conclusion, ICOMOS does not consider that the property can be considered to have been severely damaged or to be under imminent threat.

ICOMOS does not consider that there is ‘any immediate action’ that could be taken by the Committee that ‘is necessary for the survival of the property’.

### 8 Conclusions

ICOMOS considers that the nomination should be re-submitted for the normal assessment process and that this could provide the opportunity for a full assessment of the needs of the property in terms of protection, conservation and management.

ICOMOS further considers that although the current assessment has highlighted the need for work to be undertaken on the roof of the Church of the Nativity in the short term, it has also pointed up the need for this work to be guided by a Conservation Strategy that could synthesize the conclusions of the detailed investigative reports into a clear statement of the significances of the various elements within a comprehensive conservation philosophy for the proposed work.

What has also emerged is the need for better management of visitors, as the exceptionally high number of people within the Church of the Nativity at any one time is impacting adversely on its conservation of the fabric, and the provision of facilities for visitors is impacting adversely on the fabric of the surrounding town.

What further emerges from the nomination dossier is the very strong inter-relationship between the property and the historic city, a symbiotic relationship that has grown up since the time the first church was built in the 4th century. This relationship is threatened by inappropriate development and lack of control of traffic and tourism that is altogether impacting on the context of the churches in

---

restoration works because of the political situation in the area and the region since 1967.

- Despite the efforts of the local religious authorities and the insistence of the international Community and particularly UNESCO which launched the ambitious programme called ‘Bethlehem 2000’ for the rehabilitation of the historic city and religious complex, no major restoration works were undertaken at the Nativity Church.

- As with other properties that suffered from the result of armed conflict, the property should be immediately inscribed on the World Heritage List in Danger.

- The lack of free movement imposed by the Israeli security forces is hampering the supply of appropriate materials.

- Overall the combined effects of the consequences of the Israeli occupation and the lack of scientific and technical measures for restoring and preserving the property are creating an emergency situation that should be addressed by an emergency measure.

Paragraph 161 of the Operational Guidelines states that emergency nominators procedures apply ‘in the case of properties which, in the opinion of the relevant Advisory Bodies, would unquestionably meet the criteria for inscription on the World Heritage List and which have suffered damage or face serious and specific dangers from natural events or human activities’. The nomination needs to ‘describe the nature of the emergency, including the nature and extent of the damage or danger and showing that immediate action by the Committee is necessary for the survival of the property’. The evaluation needs to assess Outstanding Universal Value, and the nature of the emergency, damage and/or danger.

In ICOMOS’s view the Church of the Nativity and its surrounding monastic complex do have the capacity to be considered of demonstrating Outstanding Universal Value. However the attributes of Outstanding Universal Value have not been assessed, nor have considerations of integrity and authenticity, and no study has been made of the adequacies of the boundaries or of the requirements of protection and management.

In terms of threats, in ICOMOS’s view, the Church of the Nativity has suffered from the lack of maintenance and the lack of conservation. As it acknowledged in the nomination dossier this has been partly to do with the lack of collaboration between the religious communities which have not been noted for their collaboration ‘over the past thousand years’. As the management of the nominated buildings is shared between three religious organisations, collaboration between them is essential of progress is to be made with conservation and repair.

The main symptom of the lack of maintenance and conservation is the present state of conservation of the Church of the Nativity. As the Technical Expert confirmed, although these are grave, they are also long-standing, and nothing that has been found during the recent extensive surveys of the roof undertaken by an international consortium has led them to conclude that the roof is in such danger that emergency measures such as scaffolding or other supports are needed.

The nomination dossier also makes clear that active measures to address the roof problems in the Church of the Nativity are about to start, based on the extensive recent studies and other progress has been made over the past few years. First and foremost this progress has been made possible by the Presidential Decree which has brought together the church authorities to such effect that a Committee has been set up to take forward repairs to the church roof which will be funded by the Palestine authorities. The vulnerability of the roof of the Church of the Nativity is now being addressed in the best way possible through the concerted efforts of the main parties.

In conclusion, ICOMOS does not consider that the property can be considered to have been severely damaged or to be under imminent threat.

ICOMOS does not consider that there is ‘any immediate action’ that could be taken by the Committee that ‘is necessary for the survival of the property’.

### 8 Conclusions

ICOMOS considers that the nomination should be re-submitted for the normal assessment process and that this could provide the opportunity for a full assessment of the needs of the property in terms of protection, conservation and management.

ICOMOS further considers that although the current assessment has highlighted the need for work to be undertaken on the roof of the Church of the Nativity in the short term, it has also pointed up the need for this work to be guided by a Conservation Strategy that could synthesize the conclusions of the detailed investigative reports into a clear statement of the significances of the various elements within a comprehensive conservation philosophy for the proposed work.

What has also emerged is the need for better management of visitors, as the exceptionally high number of people within the Church of the Nativity at any one time is impacting adversely on its conservation of the fabric, and the provision of facilities for visitors is impacting adversely on the fabric of the surrounding town.

What further emerges from the nomination dossier is the very strong inter-relationship between the property and the historic city, a symbiotic relationship that has grown up since the time the first church was built in the 4th century. This relationship is threatened by inappropriate development and lack of control of traffic and tourism that is altogether impacting on the context of the churches in
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terms of views, but perhaps more importantly their sense of place and their spiritual associations. The current nomination puts forward only the church and its monastic complex, with a small part of the pilgrimage route and an open area to the east.

ICOMOS considers that a revised nomination could allow consideration of these issues: of a Conservation Plan, wider boundaries, and visitor management, and of how the optimum supporting structures for the property might be put in place.

The State Party states that it is planning to nominate the Historic Town of Bethlehem as a second phase of a serial nomination, and that further phases would include the Historic Town of Beit Sahour, the Shepherds’ Field, Beit Sahour, and the Mar Saba Monastery in the Desert to the east. The link between these sites will be their association with the story of the birth and life of Jesus.

In terms of a potential serial nomination, ICOMOS would like to draw attention to the requirements of the Operational guidelines as set out in paragraph 137:

Component parts should reflect cultural, social or functional links over time that provide, where relevant, landscape, ecological, evolutionary or habitat connectivity.

Each component part should contribute to the Outstanding Universal Value of the property as a whole in a substantial, scientific, readily defined and discernible way, and may include, inter alia, intangible attributes. The resulting Outstanding Universal Value should be easily understood and communicated.

On the basis of information so far provided, ICOMOS does not consider that the proposal as put forward by the State Party for a serial nomination of places associated with the birth and life of Jesus would meet these conditions. The World Heritage Committee has indicated on several occasions that the link between component sites of a serial nomination should not be one person. ICOMOS thus suggests that this approach should be re-considered.

Recommendations with respect to inscription

ICOMOS does not consider that the conditions required by paragraph 161 of the Operational Guidelines are fully met, concerning damage or serious and specific dangers to the Church of the Nativity that make its condition an emergency that needs to be addressed by the World Heritage Committee with immediate action necessary for the survival of the property.

ICOMOS recommends that the Birthplace of Jesus: the Church of the Nativity and the Pilgrimage route, Bethlehem, Palestine should not be inscribed on the World Heritage List on an emergency basis.

ICOMOS encourages the State Party to resubmit the nomination in accordance with normal procedures for nomination, to allow a proper assessment of integrity, authenticity, and conservation, and proper consideration of management arrangements and of the appropriate boundaries for the property, in relation to its links with the surrounding town.

ICOMOS also recommends that the international community be encouraged to facilitate the conservation of the property.

ICOMOS further recommends, on the basis of the information so far provided, that this nomination should not be considered as being the first nomination of a serial property of sites that reflect the birth and life of Jesus and encourages the State Party re-consider this approach.

ICOMOS would be ready and willing to offer such support as may be appropriate, as part of the upstream advice processes.
Map showing the boundaries of the nominated property
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Grotte Chauvet
(France)
No 1426

Official name as proposed by the State Party
The Chauvet – Pont d’Arc decorated cave

Location
Vallon-Pont-d’Arc, Département de l’Ardèche,
Région Rhône-Alpes
France

Brief description
The Grotte Chauvet, located in a limestone plateau of the meandering Archèche River in southern France, contains the earliest known pictorial drawings, dating back to as early as the Aurignacian period (30,000 to 32,000 BP). The cave was closed off by a rock fall approximately 20,000 years BP and remained sealed until its rediscovery in 1994. The cave contains more than 1000 drawings, predominantly of animals, including several dangerous species difficult to observe at the time, as well as more than 4000 inventoried remains of prehistoric fauna.

Category of property
In terms of categories of cultural property set out in Article I of the 1972 World Heritage Convention, this is a site.

1 Basic data

Background
This is a new nomination, which was received by the World Heritage Centre on 31 January 2012. On 1 March 2012, the World Heritage Centre communicated to the State Party that the nomination dossier had been judged incomplete. Following this, the State Party informed the World Heritage Centre on 10 April 2012 that it considered the atmospheric conditions inside the cave to constitute such an eminent threat to its preservation, that the dossier qualified for application of the emergency procedure for nominations defined in paragraphs 161-162 of the Operational Guidelines. These specify that “the normal timetable and definition of completeness for the submission and processing of nominations will not apply in the case of properties which, in the opinion of the relevant Advisory Bodies, would unquestionably meet the criteria for inscription on the World Heritage List and which have suffered damage or face serious and specific dangers from natural events or human activities.”

The main aspect identified by the State Party as a serious and specific danger to the property is the concentration of carbon dioxide and radon gas.

Included in the Tentative List
29 June 2007

International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund for preparing the Nomination
None

Date received by the World Heritage Centre
31 January 2012

Date received by ICOMOS
13 April 2012

Consultations
ICOMOS has consulted its International Scientific Committee on Rock Art and several independent experts.

Literature consulted (selection)

Technical field visit
An ICOMOS technical field visit was undertaken to the property from 8-9 May 2012. This technical visit considered only the state of conservation of the Grotte Chauvet as it relates to the request for application of the emergency nomination procedure.

Additional information requested and received from the State Party
A letter was sent to the State Party on 24 April 2012 requesting clarification on the nature and seriousness of the dangers that are considered to provide the need for an emergency nomination as well as further information as to how an earlier inscription on the World Heritage List would contribute to the reduction of these dangers. A response was received on 27 April 2012 and the information has been incorporated into the relevant sections below.

Evaluation format
This nomination dossier was received by ICOMOS on 13 April 2012 and has been evaluated in less than 5 weeks in response to the reduced timeframe for this emergency nomination procedure. Unfortunately, it was not possible within this reduced timeframe to evaluate all aspects of the approximately 900 pages nomination dossier following the standard procedures established by ICOMOS. Equally, the emergency procedure required reducing the technical evaluation mission to a field visit, which was focused on the state of conservation of the property as it
relates to the application of the emergency procedure. For this reason the standard ICOMOS evaluation chapters of Factors affecting the property, Protection, conservation and management and Monitoring are not covered in this evaluation report. However, an additional chapter has been introduced, which under the heading Emergency nomination focuses on the evaluation of the reasons given for and the necessity of applying the emergency nomination procedure for this property.

Date of ICOMOS approval of this report
14 May 2012

2 Description

Grotte Chauvet is an underground cave which stretches into several branches along approximately 800m of so-called halls and galleries with a width of up to 59m and a ceiling height of up to 17.9m. The cave is located to the north of an ancient meander of the Ardèche River, which the river abandoned after it created the natural arch of Pont d’Arc, approximately 25km west of the confluence of the Ardèche and Rhone Rivers. Together with the immediate surroundings of the cave in the limestone plateau, which have been designated as part of the historic monument, the proposed property covers an area of 9 hectares and is surrounded by a buffer zone of 1362 hectares.

The main opening to the cave is located in the Cirque d’Eshe, about 100m above the meander plain and 200m above sea level. This opening had been closed off by a stone fall dated to approximately 20,000 years BP, which sealed the cave until it was rediscovered and opened for scientific investigation in 1994. The two extreme ends of the cave are very narrow and have downward slopes; otherwise the floor level of the cave is rather even.

The geomorphological features of the cave allow with rare precision the exact description of its geological evolution before any human use or occupation. For the purpose of research and documentation, the spaces of Grotte Chauvet have been divided and named in a series of halls and galleries, which are – from the entrance towards the north – called Salle d’entrée, Salle Morel, Salle Brunel, Salle des Bauges, Galerie du Cactus, Salle des Panneaux Rouges, Galerie Rouzaud, Salle du Cierge, Salle Hillaire, Salle du Crâne, Galerie des Megacéros, Galerie des Croisillons, Salle du Fond and Galerie du Belvédère with the so-called sacristy.

The cave contains archaeological and paleontological evidences, which provide traces to the human use and occupation of the cave, but also to its frequentation by Palaeolithic fauna. More than 4000 finds have been inventoried, a large majority representing the species ursus spelaeus (the cave bear), which may have frequented the cave for hibernation. Other finds point at a variety of other species, both carnivores like the brown bear, wolf, fox, panther, wild cat, hyena and sable or ungulates, such as deer, horses or ibex. The cave floors further indicate a variety of human footprints.

In addition to the archaeological and paleontological evidence the most remarkable features of the cave are pictorial drawings, which at present are the oldest known human artistic testimonies of this kind. The drawings can be dated to two separate phases of artistic production. The first phase can be dated to between 32,000 to 30,000 years BP, the second to between 25,000 and 27,000 years BP. It seems that animal frequentation of the cave started earlier, at around 40,000 years BP.

The pictorial representations found in the cave can be divided into three principal techniques. The first and perhaps oldest are engravings, which were implemented either with flint stones or by hand into the soft limestone sections. Secondly, one finds red drawings, in particular in the first section of the cave, which were applied in different pigments, predominantly pure hematite or hematite mixed with calcite or ochre. The red drawings are mostly of ground pigment bound with paste and were applied with bare fingers or printed as positive or negative hand prints. The third kind is black drawings, which are exclusively drawn of charcoal. For these, pieces of charcoal were used as drawing tools or they were made of ground charcoal bound by water and applied with help of drawing tools.

Rock art features in Grotte Chauvet combine anthropomorphic and animal motives. Over 1000 figurative images have been inventoried but more are yet expected as some remote areas of the cave have not yet been fully researched and documented for reasons of accessibility and conservation. 55% of the drawings are anthropomorphic representations, a majority of these hands, with the remaining 45% showing zoomorphic imagery. The animal representations are characterized by a majority (67%) of very dangerous species, the observation and study of which was only possible under life risk for the Aurignacian people. These species include mammoth, wild cats, rhinos, bison, bears, and aurochs. 423 detailed and identifiable animal representations have been counted. Some of these have very naturalistic features, including tendencies towards three-dimensionality and indications of movement or action scenes, such as fights among animals. The range of illustrations appears to include reflections of ethological observations.

Since the drawings are not equally distributed in the cave, it is assumed that the Aurignacian people have deliberately ignored certain spaces. 35% per cent of all animal representations can be found in the comparatively small Salle du Fond at the furthest end of the cave. Researchers suggest that Grotte Chauvet was never inhabited or domestically used but of sacred character and it is hypothesized that is was used for shamanist ritual practice. This could be supported by the increase in density and quality of imagery towards the inner parts of the cave which could be related to
concepts of an almost theatrical landscape of gradual ritual initiation towards the inner parts of the cave.

3 Outstanding Universal Value

Comparative analysis
The comparative analysis is divided in three thematic complexes, which each compare a variety of sites with relevant comparative features. The first theme compares cultural manifestations of the Aurignacian people, the second is focused on early archaeological and paleontological evidences which provide testimony to cave frequentation and use in the Early Upper Palaeolithic, and the third theme compares rock art ensembles, in particular those already inscribed on the World Heritage List, which are the earliest or most significant expressions of human artistic ability or are known as master pieces of rock art. These three themes will be considered separately.

In the comparison of the cultural manifestations of the Aurignacian people, the comparative analysis considers the cave figurines in the Swabian Jura (Germany), the Aurignacian paintings in the cave of Fumane (Italy), the Peña de Candamo Cave, inscribed on the World Heritage List as part of the serial nomination of the Cave of Altamira and Palaeolithic Cave Art of Northern Spain, (1985, (i), (iii)), the cave of La Clotilde, Spain, as well as several others, in particular in France.

ICOMOS considers that the Grotte Chauvet illustrates a far richer quantity and quality of cultural manifestations of the Aurignacian people than any other site that is compared. Several of the other Aurignacian sites do not include naturalistic drawings or are hardly comparable with the quality of rock art and variety of motives presented in Grotte Chauvet, which allow a unique insight into the cultural traditions of the time.

The comparative analysis of caves, which provide rich archaeological and paleontological evidence for the understanding of cave frequentation and use in the Upper Palaeolithic compares the Cave of Lascaux, inscribed as part of the Prehistoric Sites and Decorated Caves of the Vézère Valley, France (1979, (i), (iii)), the cave of Foz Côa, Portugal, inscribed as part of the Prehistoric Rock Art Sites in the Côa Valley and Siega Verde (2010, (i), (iii)), the cave of Altamira, Spain, inscribed as the Cave of Altamira and the Paleolithic Cave Art in Northern Spain, (2008, (i), (iii)), and several others.

ICOMOS considers that this part of the comparative analysis has illustrated, that the Grotte Chauvet contains the oldest and at the same time largest variety of zoomorphic representations in the drawings, which are of exceptional quality and variety in terms of their depiction of species, in particular dangerous species. It has equally shown that the amount of archaeological and paleontological vestiges found in Grotte Chauvet provides clearer evidence to the frequentation of caves in the Upper Palaeolithic, than comparable finds in other caves, including those already inscribed on the World Heritage List.

In the third section of the comparative analysis, the “master pieces” of rock art are compared with regard to their age and aesthetic quality of drawings. Here, Grotte Chauvet is compared to Tassili n’Ajjer, Algeria (1982, (i), (iii), (vii), (viii)), Tsodilo, Botswana (2001, (i), (iii), (vi)), Kakadu, Australia (1981, 1987, 1992, (i), (vi), (vii), (ix), (x)), Kimberley, Australia, again to Lascaux, Foz Côa and Altamira (see above), the Rock Carvings in Tanum, Sweden (1994, (i), (iii), (iv)) and the Rock-Paintings of the Sierra de San Francisco, Mexico (1993, (i), (iii)).

ICOMOS considers that the comparative analysis of the “master pieces” of rock art could have been extended by considering the rock art of Cape York, Australia, which also contains very early evidence of pictorial drawings. However, the comparison available has illustrated that the rock drawings in Grotte Chauvet are the oldest carbon-dated pictorial drawings known at present and, given their early creation, have very high artistic and aesthetic qualities, which allow for their consideration among the master pieces of global rock art.

ICOMOS further notes that the 2002 ICOMOS global thematic study of rock art sites noted the strong potential of Grotte Chauvet for World Heritage Listing.

ICOMOS considers that the comparative analysis justifies consideration of this property for the World Heritage List.

Justification of Outstanding Universal Value
The nominated property is considered by the State Party to be of Outstanding Universal Value as a cultural property for the following reasons:

- Grotte Chauvet contains the earliest and best preserved expressions of artistic creation of the Aurignacian people, which are also the earliest known figurative drawings in the world;
- The large amount of more than 1000 drawings covering over 8500 square meters as well their high artistic and aesthetic quality make Grotte Chauvet an exceptional testimony of prehistoric cave art;
- The zoomorphic drawings in Grotte Chauvet illustrate an unusual selection of animals including very dangerous species, which were difficult to observe or approach. Some of these are uniquely illustrated in Grotte Chauvet.

ICOMOS considers that this justification is appropriate because Grotte Chauvet contains not only an unusually large collection of drawings of high artistic and aesthetic quality but also the at present the earliest known human figurative drawings, which have been dated on the basis of C14 analysis to 32,000 to 30,000 years BP.
Integrity and authenticity

Integrity

The proposed property comprises the entire Grotte Chauvet and further includes the structurally relevant parts of the limestone plateau around the cave as well as its entrance situation and immediate surroundings. The current entrance restriction and air ventilation, which was maintained in the exact characteristics of the time of discovery, ensures the integrity of the property and averts potential dangers of human impact.

Although the lack of a full evaluation mission has not given ICOMOS the opportunity to fully consider the integrity of the property, the special situation of Grotte Chauvet, its recent rediscovery, excellent state of conservation and absence of human interference for many millennia allows ICOMOS to consider on the basis of the nomination file that the condition of integrity is met.

Authenticity

The authenticity of the property is evident its pristine condition, sealed off for 20,000 years and carefully treated and access-restricted since its rediscovery. The dating of the finds and drawings has been confirmed by C14 analysis as between 32,410 and 30,340 years BP and is agreed upon by the majority of the scientific community.

ICOMOS did not have the opportunity to conduct a regular evaluation mission to the property and would in general be reluctant to judge authenticity on the basis of a nomination dossier. Yet, in the case of Grotte Chauvet, ICOMOS considers it obvious that the rock arts as well as archaeological and paleontological vestiges are almost free of human impacts or alterations. The only modification is the installation of completely reversible stainless steel bridging elements to allow for access to parts of the cave while preventing interruption of floor traces or finds. ICOMOS therefore considers that the condition of authenticity is met in an exemplary manner.

In conclusion, ICOMOS considers that the conditions of integrity and authenticity have been met.

Criteria under which inscription is proposed

The property is nominated on the basis of cultural criteria (i) and (iii).

Criterion (i): to represent a masterpiece of human creative genius;

This criterion is justified by the State Party on the grounds that Grotte Chauvet contains the first known expressions of human artistic genius and more than 1000 drawings of anthropomorphic and zoomorphic motives of exceptional aesthetic quality. These drawings are unique in the range of species represented, the variety of techniques applied, including illustration of three-dimensionality and suggested movement, and have become a reference work for the understanding of Palaeolithic artistic capacity and rock art.

ICOMOS considers that the property is a remarkable expression of earliest human artistic expression and contains a large variety of animal drawings in different techniques and high quality, which illustrate the creative genius of the Aurignacian artists. ICOMOS further notes that the artistic quality is underlined by the skilful use of colours, the combinations of paint and engravings, the precision in anatomical representation and the ability of the artists to give an impression of volumes, movements and ethology.

ICOMOS considers that this criterion has been justified.

Criterion (iii): to bear a unique or at least exceptional testimony to a cultural tradition or to a civilization which is living or which has disappeared;

This criterion is justified by the State Party on the grounds that the iconographic representations in the natural environment of Grotte Chauvet provide a unique testimony to the development of artistic traditions of the Aurignacian people. The archaeological, paleontological and artistic evidence in Grotte Chauvet illustrates like no other cave of the Early Upper Paleolithic period the frequentation and use of caves, including cultural and ritual practices of the time.

ICOMOS considers that the cave provides an exceptional testimony to the frequentation and use of caves by the Palaeolithic fauna, and also provides testimony to ritual cave usage of the Aurignacian people. However, it has limited capacity to provide testimony to other forms of cave usage, in particular cave habitation at the time, and therefore cannot be considered a more general testimony for cave usage.

ICOMOS further considers that Grotte Chauvet bears a unique and exceptionally well-preserved testimony to the cultural and artistic tradition of the Aurignacian people and to the early development of artistic human activity in general. In this context, the fact that the cave was sealed for more than 20,000 years makes it an unparalleled testimony, which has transmitted this artistic masterpiece without disturbance.

ICOMOS considers that this criterion has been justified.

ICOMOS considers that the nominated property meets criteria (i) and (iii) and conditions of authenticity and integrity and that Outstanding Universal Value has been demonstrated.

4 Emergency nomination

By letter of 10 April 2012, the State Party requested the World Heritage Centre to initiate examination of the decorated Grotte Chauvet – Pont d’Arc following the emergency procedure outlined in paragraphs 161-162 of the Operational Guidelines. As predominant reason for the specific danger and hence for the application of the
emergency procedure, the State Party named the carbon dioxide and radon gas concentrations in the Grotte Chauvet, described as particularly high and dangerous. At the request of ICOMOS the State Party provided further information by letter of 27 April 2012, which clarified that the CO₂ concentration varied between 0.5 and 4% at different times of the year and the radon gas concentration reached maximum values of 12,000 bq/m³.

In its letter the State Party further argued that the inscription of Grotte Chauvet following the emergency procedure was necessary to mobilize the international scientific community and encourage it to develop new techniques and approaches to the conservation of the precarious climatic conditions and fragile vestiges and rock arts in Grotte Chauvet.

Paragraph 161 of the Operational Guidelines states that emergency nominators procedures apply “in the case of properties which, in the opinion of the relevant Advisory Bodies, would unquestionably meet the criteria for inscription on the World Heritage List and which have suffered damage or face serious and specific dangers from natural events or human activities”. The nomination needs to “describe the nature of the emergency, including the nature and extent of the damage or danger and showing that immediate action by the Committee is necessary for the survival of the property”. The evaluation needs to assess the nature of the emergency, damage and/or danger.

ICOMOS considers that the vestiges and rock drawings in Grotte Chauvet are exceptionally well preserved and that the State Party has managed to successfully control the climate in the cave. The nomination dossier documents the efforts made in providing constant climatic conditions linked to the natural processes of ventilation and the positive results achieved in this regard. The security closure of the cave was designed to maintain the same flow of air ventilation that reached the cave at the time it was discovered. The nomination dossier further highlights the exceptional state of preservation of the rock drawings and vestiges in Grotte Chauvet.

In ICOMOS’ view the climatic conditions in Grotte Chauvet have remained almost entirely unchanged since 20,000 years, including CO₂ and radon concentrations. While the measured values are indeed dangerous to humans and prevent the researchers from accessing the cave for longer time intervals as a result of health protection regulations, these conditions seem to have facilitated the preservation of the archaeological and paleontological vestiges as well as cave art for the past 20 millennia.

ICOMOS further considers that the CO₂ and radon gas concentrations are not unusual for cave environments. These concentrations limit human activity, currently to a maximum of 60 hours per individual per year, which slows down the anticipated research activities, but does not affect the attributes of the property. On the contrary, the access limit for health reasons could be seen as an added protection. With regard to the question as to whether the proposed property faces serious and specific dangers, ICOMOS has to conclude that the Grotte Chauvet has an exceptional, pristine state of preservation and is in no immediate danger.

ICOMOS considers that the emergency procedure for properties which have suffered damage or face serious and specific dangers does not apply to the decorated Grotte Chauvet – Pont d’Arc.

5 Conclusions

ICOMOS considers that the nomination should be resubmitted according to the standard procedures for World Heritage nominations and evaluations. ICOMOS notes the high potential for inscription of this property on the World Heritage List, given its demonstration of Outstanding Universal Value. However, ICOMOS considers that a regular evaluation procedure will provide opportunity to also consider the protection, conservation, management and monitoring arrangement in place, as well as evaluate the delimitation of property and buffer zone boundaries.

Recommendations with respect to inscription

ICOMOS does not consider that the conditions required by paragraph 161 of the Operational Guidelines are fully met, as no severe damage or serious and specific dangers to the Grotte Chauvet have been demonstrated.

ICOMOS recommends that the Chauvet – Pont d’Arc decorated cave, France should not be inscribed on the World Heritage List on an emergency basis.

ICOMOS encourages the State Party to resubmit the nomination in accordance with the normal procedures for World Heritage nomination.
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