

Distribution limited

WHC-99/CONF.208/6
Paris, 15 November 1999
Original: English/French

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL
SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE
WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

BUREAU OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Twenty-third extraordinary session
Marrakesh, Morocco
26 – 27 November 1999

Item 5 of the Provisional Agenda: Requests for International Assistance

Background: In accordance with paragraphs 94-121 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*, the Bureau examines and takes decisions concerning requests for international assistance above US\$ 20,000 and up to US\$ 30,000 for preparatory assistance, training, and technical co-operation, and above US\$ 50,000 and up to US\$ 75,000 for emergency assistance. For requests above US\$ 30,000 for training and technical co-operation, and those above US\$ 75,000 for emergency assistance, the Bureau makes recommendations to the Committee. All requests for international assistance which were received by the Secretariat before 8 November 1999 for funding under the year 2000 budget are presented in this document.

Action required: The Bureau is requested to:

1. Urge States Parties to respect the deadline for submission of international assistance requests, as indicated in the *Operational Guidelines*, to ensure that the Secretariat, Advisory Bodies and Committee have adequate time to evaluate the requests;
2. Encourage States Parties, to the extent possible, to plan activities well in advance and in close co-operation with the Advisory Bodies and the Secretariat, in order to plan projects which have a «catalytic effect» and are likely to generate contributions from other sources than the World Heritage Fund, per *Operational Guidelines* paragraph 113;
3. Take note of the ever increasing number and amounts of the international assistance requests submitted by States Parties, as presented in this document;
4. Make recommendations to the Committee for requests for international assistance above US\$ 30,000 for training and technical co-operation, and those above US\$ 75,000 for emergency assistance;
5. During the 23rd session of the Committee (tentatively scheduled night session on 2 December 1999), examine and take decisions concerning requests for international assistance above US\$ 20,000 and up to US\$ 30,000 for preparatory assistance, training, and technical co-operation, those between US\$ 50,000 and US\$ 75,000 for emergency assistance, and any requests made by the State Party of the Chairperson, bearing in mind the budget ceilings decided upon by the Committee for international assistance during Agenda Item 14 [Committee working document WHC-99/CONF.209/17];

In taking decisions, the Bureau is reminded of the Committee's decisions that half of the training budget and one third of the technical co-operation budget would be reserved for natural heritage activities.

INDEX

- (i) Summary of *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention* (paragraphs 94-121) concerning requests for international assistance.
 - (ii) Summary of requests submitted by States Parties received by the Secretariat before 8 November 1999 for funding under the year 2000, to be examined by the Bureau, Committee, or Chairperson.
1. Requests for natural heritage
 2. Requests for mixed heritage
 3. Requests for cultural heritage

Extract from *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention* for prioritization in the granting of international assistance (paragraphs 113-114), and on international assistance for World Heritage sites in Danger (paragraph 91):

C. Order of priorities for the granting of international assistance:

113. Without prejudicing the provisions of the Convention, which shall always prevail, the Committee agreed on the following order of priorities with respect to the type of activities to be assisted under the Convention:

- emergency measures to save property included, or nominated for inclusion, in the World Heritage List (see paragraph 96);
- preparatory assistance for drawing up tentative lists of cultural and/or natural properties suitable for inclusion in the World Heritage List as well as nominations of types of properties under-represented on the list and requests for technical co-operation;
- projects which are likely to have a multiplier effect ("seed money") because they:
 - stimulate general interest in conservation;
 - contribute to the advancement of scientific research;
 - contribute to the training of specialized personnel;
 - generate contributions from other sources.

114. The Committee also agreed that the following factors would in principle govern its decisions in granting assistance under the Convention:

- (i) the urgency of the work and of the protective measures to be taken;
- (ii) the legislative, administrative and financial commitment of the recipient State to protect and preserve the property;
- (iii) the cost of the project;
- (iv) the interest for, and exemplary value of, the project in respect of scientific research and the development of cost/effective conservation techniques;
- (v) the educational value both for the training of local experts and for the general public;
- (vi) the cultural and ecological benefits accruing from the project, and
- (vii) the social and economic consequences.

91. The Committee shall allocate a specific, significant portion of the World Heritage Fund to financing of possible assistance to World Heritage properties inscribed on the List of World Heritage in Danger.

(i)

Summary of guidelines concerning requests for international assistance
in paragraphs 94-121 of the
Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention.

	type of assistance													
	prepara- tory			emergenc y			training			technical co- operation		promo- tional		
	maximum allocation (US\$)	status of payment of dues to the Fund	maximum allocation (US\$)	advice of the advisory bodies	status of payment of dues to the Fund	maximum allocation (US\$)	advice of the advisory bodies**	status of payment of dues to the Fund	maximum allocation (US\$)	advice of the advisory bodies	status of payment of dues to the Fund	maximum allocation (US\$)	status of payment of dues to the Fund	
decision by committee	n/a	applicable	above 75,000	as appro- priate	n/a	above 30,000	as appro- priate**	n/a	above 30,000	as appro- priate	applicable	n/a	applicable	
bureau	30,000	applicable	75,000	as appro- priate	n/a	30,000	as appro- priate**	n/a	30,000	as appro- priate	applicable	n/a	applicable	
chair- person*	20,000	applicable	50,000	as appro- priate	n/a	20,000	as appro- priate**	n/a	20,000	as appro- priate		10,000	applicable	
Director WHC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5,000	applicable	

* The Chairperson is not authorized to approved requests submitted from his/her Government.

** The Committee has identified ICCROM as its Advisory Body for training activities for cultural heritage, Merida 1996. Therefore, requests for training in cultural heritage is systematically referred to ICCROM for professional review and evaluation by the regional desks of the Centre.

n/a = not applicable

(ii)

Region	Number of UNESCO Member States	Number of Non States Parties	Number of States Parties	Number of LDC/LIC States Parties *	Number of World Heritage sites	Number of World Heritage sites in Danger
Africa	45	12	33	26 (45%)	46 (8%)	10
Arab	18	2	16	3 (5%)	51 (8%)	2
Asia	27	1	26	17 (29%)	106 (18%)	2
Pacific	16	11	5	1 (1%)	17 (3%)	0
Latin America & Caribbean	33	4	29	4 (7%)	74 (13%)	3
Europe & N.America	50	1	49	7 (12%)	288 (50%)	6
Total	189	31	158	58 (100%)	582 (100%)	23

* LDC = Least Developed Country

LIC = Low Income Country

SUMMARY of requests to be considered by the World Heritage Committee, Bureau and Chairperson during the 23rd session of the Committee and the 23rd extraordinary session of the Bureau (26 November - 4 December 1999), and amount recommended for approval.

The amount indicated beside the title of the activity is the amount recommended for approval. The symbol «♦» means that approval of funds is not yet recommended.

1. NATURAL HERITAGE	Total: US\$ 295,200
----------------------------	----------------------------

AFRICA **Subtotal: US\$ 214,500**

45 UNESCO Member States, 33 States Parties to Convention, 26 LDC/LIC States Parties, 46 World Heritage sites (29 N, 16 C, 1 M), 10 World Heritage sites in Danger (8 N, 2 C)

(a) Preparatory Assistance *Subtotal: ♦*

I. KENYA

Preparation of nomination for «Great Rift Valley Lakes System» ♦

(b) Emergency Assistance *Subtotal: US\$75,000*

I. NIGER

Emergency rehabilitation plan for Air & Tenere Natural Reserves US\$ 75,000

(c) Training Assistance *Subtotal: US\$ 50,000*

I. TANZANIA

Three fellowships for African specialists in protected area / wildlife management for the academic year 200-2001 US\$ 30,000

II. GABON

Workshop on implementation of the World Heritage Convention, preparation of Tentative List & Nomination of natural properties US\$ 20,000

(d) Technical Co-operation *Subtotal: US\$ 89,500*

I. TANZANIA

Workshop for strengthening research and monitoring capacity for natural world heritage sites in Tanzania	US\$ 30,000
II. COTE D'IVORE	
Strengthening protection of Comoe National Park	US\$ 59,500
ARAB	Subtotal: US\$ 15,000
18 UNESCO Member States, 16 States Parties to Convention, 3 LDC/LIC States Parties, 51 World Heritage sites (3 N, 47 C, 1 M), 2 World Heritage sites in Danger (1 N, 1 C)	
<i>(a) Preparatory Assistance</i>	<i>Subtotal: US\$ 15,000</i>
I. MOROCCO	
Nomination of Atlas Mountain Nature Reserve	US\$ 15,000
ASIA	Subtotal: US\$ 45,700
27 UNESCO Member States, 26 States Parties to Convention, 17 LDC/LIC States Parties, 106 World Heritage sites (18 N, 82 C, 6 M), 2 World Heritage sites in Danger (1 N, 1 C)	
<i>(d) Technical Co-operation</i>	<i>Subtotal: US\$45,700</i>
I. JAPAN	
Support for LDC/LIC States Parties participants at International Conference on natural World Heritage	US\$ 25,700
II. REGIONAL	
Support for natural World Heritage site managers from Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Sri Lanka participants at South Asian Forum on Protected Area Management	US\$ 20,000
LATIN AMERICA & CARIBBEAN	Subtotal: US\$ 20,000
33 UNESCO Member States, 29 States Parties to Convention, 4 LDC/LIC States Parties, 74 World Heritage sites (17 N, 54 C, 3 M), 3 World Heritage sites in Danger (2 N, 1 C)	
<i>(c) Training Assistance</i>	<i>Subtotal: US\$ 20,000</i>
I. BRAZIL	
Implementation of the World Heritage Convention in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, Uruguay and Venezuela	◆
II. VENEZUELA	
Workshop for stakeholders concerned with the conservation of Canaima National Park	◆
III. COSTA RICA	
CATIE training course for Latin American Protected Area managers	US\$ 20,000

2. MIXED (Natural & Cultural) HERITAGE	Total: US\$ 49,500
---	---------------------------

ARAB	Subtotal: US\$ 19,500
18 UNESCO Member States, 16 States Parties to Convention, 3 LDC/LIC States Parties, 51 World Heritage sites (3 N, 47 C, 1 M), 2 World Heritage sites in Danger (1 N, 1 C)	

(a) Preparatory Assistance *Subtotal: US\$ 19,500*

I. EGYPT

Nomination of St. Catherine Area and South Sinai, as mixed (natural and cultural landscape) site US\$ 19,500

PACIFIC

Subtotal: US\$ 30,000

16 UNESCO Member States, 5 States Parties to Convention, 1 LDC/LIC States Party, 17 World Heritage sites (13 N, 4 M), 0 World Heritage sites in Danger

(a) Preparatory Assistance *Subtotal: US\$ 30,000*

I. PAPUA NEW GUINEA

Bobongara nomination US\$ 30,000

LATIN AMERICA & CARIBBEAN

Subtotal: ♦

33 UNESCO Member States, 29 States Parties to Convention, 4 LDC/LIC States Parties, 74 World Heritage sites (17 N, 54 C, 3 M), 3 World Heritage sites in Danger (2 N, 1 C)

(a) Preparatory Assistance *Subtotal: ♦*

II. GUATEMALA

Elaboration of Tentative List for cultural & natural heritage ♦

3. CULTURAL HERITAGE

Total: US\$ 733,715

AFRICA

US\$ 280,403

45 UNESCO Member States, 33 States Parties to Convention, 26 LDC/LIC States Parties, 46 World Heritage sites (29 N, 16 C, 1 M), 10 World Heritage sites in Danger (8 N, 2 C)

(a) Preparatory Assistance *Subtotal: US\$ 120,103*

I. BOTSWANA

Tsolido nomination US\$ 19,094

II. GAMBIA

Follow-up actions to ICOMOS recommendations for James Island & Albreda Juffure Santo Domingo Historic Zone US\$ 30,000

III. GUINEA

Tentative List preparation US\$ 30,000

IV. KENYA

Lamu nomination US\$ 15,924

V. TANZANIA

Preservation of Kondoa Irangi Rock Art Paintings US\$ 7,000

VI. TOGO

Tentative List US\$ 18,085

(c) Training Assistance *Subtotal: US\$ 80,000*

I. REGIONAL

AFRICA 2009 US\$ 80,000

(d) Technical Co-operation *Subtotal: US\$ 80,300*

I. GHANA

Enhanced management for Forts & Castles of Ghana US\$ 30,000

II. TANZANIA	
Radio calls & solar panels	◆
III. ZIMBABWE	
Management plan for Khami Ruins	US\$ 50,300

ARAB **US\$ 50,000**

18 UNESCO Member States, 16 States Parties to Convention, 3
LDC/LIC States Parties, 51 World Heritage sites (3 N, 47 C, 1 M),
2 World Heritage sites in Danger (1 N, 1 C)

(c) Training Assistance *Subtotal: US\$* ◆

I. SYRIA	
Seminar on cultural tourism, sustainable development and management of World Heritage sites	◆
II. TUNISIA	
Training	◆

(d) Technical Co-operation *Subtotal: US\$ 50,000*

I. EGYPT	
Rehabilitation of Islamic Cairo	◆
II. LEBANON	
Restoration works for the Monasteries of Ouadi Quadisha	US\$ 50,000

ASIA **Total: US\$ 192,000**

27 UNESCO Member States, 26 States Parties to Convention, 17
LDC/LIC States Parties, 106 World Heritage sites (18 N, 82 C, 6
M), 2 World Heritage sites in Danger (1 N, 1 C)

(a) Preparatory Assistance *Subtotal: US\$ 65,000*

I. CHINA	
Preparation of group nomination of 6 canal towns	US\$ 20,000
II. DPR KOREA	
Finalization of Tentative List & Koguryo nomination preparation	US\$ 30,000
III. INDIA	
Nomination of Padmanabhapuram Palace, Tamil Nadu	US\$ 15,000

(b) Emergency Assistance *Subtotal:* ◆

I. VIETNAM	
Emergency assistance for Hue & Hoi An	◆

(c) Training Assistance *Subtotal: US\$ 97,000*

I. CHINA	
Training	US\$ 30,000
II. LAOS	
Plain of Jars archaeological survey	US\$ 30,000
III. NEPAL & NORWAY	
Tourism training workshop in Kathmandu Valley	US\$ 17,000
IV. REP KOREA	
International Fortress Cities management seminar	US\$ 20,000

(d) Technical Co-operation *Subtotal: US\$10,000*

I. PAKISTAN	
Research & documentation for enhancement of Master Plan of	

Shalamar Gardens	US\$ 10,000
<i>(e) Educational, Information and Promotional Assistance</i> <i>Subtotal: US\$ 20,000</i>	
I. REP KOREA	
Publication of World Heritage Fortress Cities seminar background documentation	US\$ 10,000
II. UZBEKISTAN (Not included within text, for DIR/WHC approval)	
National Teacher Training Workshop on the World Heritage Education Kit in Uzbekistan	US\$ 5,000
III. VIETNAM (Not included within text, for DIR/WHC approval)	
Dissemination of the World Heritage Education Kit in Viet Nam and organization of a Training Workshop for trainers and teachers in the field of World Heritage management and education	US\$ 5,000
EUROPE & NORTH AMERICA	Total: US\$ 104,048
58 UNESCO Member States, 48 States Parties to Convention, 7 LDC/LIC States Parties, 288 World Heritage sites (37 N, 246 C, 5 M), 5 World Heritage sites in Danger (3 N, 2 C)	
<i>(c) Training Assistance</i> <i>Subtotal: US\$ 33,840</i>	
I. HUNGARY	
ITUC training workshop for Central European Historic Cities managers	US\$ 33,840
<i>(d) Technical Co-operation</i> <i>Subtotal: US\$ 70,208</i>	
I. GEORGIA	
Master Plan for Mtskheta	US\$ 35,000
II. LATVIA	
Regional Seminar on authenticity & reconstruction work	◆
III. LATVIA	
Restoration of Reutern House interior, Old Riga	◆
IV. TURKEY	
Istanbul	US\$ 35,208
LATIN AMERICA & CARIBBEAN	Total: US\$ 107,264
33 UNESCO Member States, 29 States Parties to Convention, 4 LDC/LIC States Parties, 74 World Heritage sites (17 N, 54 C, 3 M), 3 World Heritage sites in Danger (2 N, 1 C)	
<i>(b) Emergency Assistance</i> <i>Subtotal: US\$ 47,464</i>	
I. GUATEMALA	
Rehabilitation of Quirigua	US\$ 27,248
II. GUATEMALA	
Clean up and preventive measures for Antigua Guatemala	US\$ 20,216
III. MEXICO	
Repair and consolidate Monastery of Tochimilco, Puebla	◆
<i>(c) Training</i> <i>Subtotal: US\$ 29,800</i>	
I. BRAZIL	
Evaluation of CECRE regional training programme	US\$ 14,800
II. DOMINICA	
Preparation of training course on cultural heritage	US\$ 15,000

(d) Technical Co-operation

Subtotal: US\$ 30,000

I. COLOMBIA

Integral conservation of Cloister of San Pedro Claver of Cartagena de Indias ♦

II. CUBA

Consolidation & rehabilitation of cloister of Convent of Santa Clara, Old Havana
US\$ 30,000

1. NATURAL HERITAGE

AFRICA REGION

1.AFRICA.(a).I.

Type of heritage: NATURAL (Possibly MIXED) **Region:** AFRICA

Type of assistance: Preparatory Assistance **State Party:** KENYA
LDC/LIC: LIC

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: Paid up to 1999.

Name of activity: Preparation of a nomination for a «Great Rift Valley Lakes System» incorporating Lake Nakuru and the Naivasha National Park and Lake Bogoria National Reserve.

World Heritage sites concerned: Potential sites of Lake Nakuru, Naivasha National Park and Lake Bogoria National Park of Kenya and related World Heritage sites in the Rift valley; e.g. Sibiloi National Park (Kenya) and Lake Malawi National Park (Malawi)

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: None for natural heritage in Kenya.

Summary background and description of activity: Kenya has proposed to elaborate a cluster nomination linking Lake Nakuru National Park (14.5 sq.km), Lake Naivasha, declared as a Ramsar site in 1995 (15.6 sq.km) and the Lake Bogoria National Park (107 sq.km) located in the Rift Valley. The cluster nomination may have to address the possibility of its links to the Sibiloi National Park, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1998 and situated on the east shore of Lake Turkana along the Rift Valley. Relationship between this nomination and the Lake Malawi National Park of Malawi, located in the southern parts of the Rift Valley would also have to be given due consideration. Given that the region is rich in natural as well as cultural heritage values, the nomination is likely to be a mixed site nomination.

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): Ministries and organizations concerned with the conservation of natural as well as cultural heritage who are attempting, for the first time in Africa, to prepare a cluster nomination. Staff of sites gaining greater knowledge and awareness of the relevance of the World Heritage Convention for the conservation of the properties under consideration.

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget: US\$ 30,000

National or other input:

In Kind

In kind support through the provision of vehicles for field travel, fuel and maintenance costs and partial contribution towards meeting staff time costs of national experts, and of personnel from concerned Ministries, Government Departments and Local Administrations.

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund:

US\$ 30,000

- Organization of meetings with local authorities for discussing cultural and natural heritage values of the site US\$ 6,000
- Consultations with relevant Ministries and Government Departments US\$ 2,000
- Travel to and from and within Rift Valley area US\$ 3,000
- Per-diem, honoraria and other costs for the services of national experts US\$ 6,000
- Research and documentation US\$ 2,000
- Preparation of maps, photographic documentation including slides and videos for supporting the nomination dossier US\$ 10,000
- Miscellaneous and unforeseen costs US\$ 1,000

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN has alerted the World Heritage Centre to serious threats to the integrity of Lake Nakuru and Naivasha National Park and the need to address and mitigate those threats

before the sites are included as part of a cluster World Heritage nomination. Observations of ICOMOS will be presented at the time of the 23rd extraordinary session of the Bureau.

Comments of Secretariat: This initiative is worthy of consideration of support since it is the first time that an African State Party is proposing the submission of a cluster nomination of a property which may contain both cultural as well as natural heritage values of outstanding universal significance. However, IUCN's concerns regarding the integrity of some protected area and ecosystem units that may be included in the cluster nomination need to be addressed as early as possible during the process leading towards the eventual nomination of the cluster. The project under consideration herein could only look at the feasibility of preparing a cluster nomination and identify the needs for establishing the necessary integrity-conditions for the conservation of the outstanding universal values that may be contained in the proposed cluster foreseen for nomination as World Heritage. The eventual submission of the cluster nomination is likely to require a 3 to 4 year period of studies, analysis and management improvements of selected units to be included in the cluster.

<p>Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to take a decision upon examining further comments provided by IUCN and ICOMOS at the time of its 23rd extraordinary session.</p>
--

1.AFRICA.(b).I.

Type of heritage: NATURAL **Region:** AFRICA

Type of assistance: Emergency Assistance **State Party:** NIGER
LDC/LIC: LDC

Name of activity: Financial support for the implementation of the emergency rehabilitation plan for the Air and Tenere Natural Reserves of Niger.

World Heritage sites concerned: Air and Tenere Natural Reserves, Niger
Inscribed on the List of World Heritage in Danger

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: The site has benefited from a preparatory assistance grant of US\$ 10,000 and regional training activities supported in Niger at an amount of US\$ 40,000.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions to this site:			[Total US\$ 50,000]
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1990	Nomination dossier [US\$ 10,000]	
<i>Training</i>	1991	Workshop on protected zone management	[US\$ 20,000]
	1999	National sensitising seminar for personnel charged with surveillance of heritage sites	[US\$ 20,000]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any: Please refer to the state of conservation report of this site in document WHC-99/CONF.209/13 of the 23rd session of the Committee.

Summary background and description of activity: Air and Tenere Natural Reserves was inscribed as a single property on the World Heritage List in 1991. Rebel activity intensified in 1992 and after, and led to the Committee, in 1996, to include the site in the List of World Heritage in Danger. Since then however, a peace treaty has been signed by the Government and the rebels and has been successfully implemented. At its 21st (1997) and 22nd session (1998) the Committee was pleased to note significant improvements in the security conditions in and around the site and the working environment of the staff. At its last session in Kyoto, Japan (1998), the Committee noted the components of an emergency rehabilitation programme prepared by the State Party and invited the State Party to submit a detailed plan to the 23rd session of the Bureau in July 1999. The State Party submitted such a detailed plan to July 1999 Bureau session (see WHC-99/CONF.204/INF.12 of the 23rd session of the Bureau). The plan provided complete descriptions of activities to be undertaken using a contribution of US\$ 127,000 requested as a contribution from the World Heritage Fund for the implementation of the emergency rehabilitation plan.

In accordance with the wish of the last session of the Committee and the 23rd session of the Bureau, the World Heritage Centre and IUCN have co-operated with the State Party to finance the implementation of the emergency rehabilitation plan. Of the activities foreseen for implementation, a US\$ 20,000, training programme for the sensitization of all stakeholders to the conservation needs of the site was approved by the Chairperson in April 1999. This activity is due to be convened in early 2000. The Centre, with the endorsement of IUCN, has submitted the following two additional proposals for the consideration of the Chairperson for approval on 26 October 1999:

- US\$ 20,000 for organizing a training workshop on the protection of natural heritage for the Reserve staff, border police and other agents concerned with the control of trade in wildlife products;

- US\$ 12,000, under technical co-operation, for cleaning up damaged vehicles and other debris left over in rebel bases of the past (US\$ 2,000) and the purchase of communication equipment for improving patrolling and surveillance capacity (US\$ 10,000).

The Chairperson's decision on these two requests will be reported at the time of the 23rd extraordinary session of the Bureau.

This current request from the State Party is to finance the balance of US\$ 75,000 needed to implement the remaining activities foreseen under the emergency rehabilitation plan and endorsed by the 23rd session of the Bureau in July 1999.

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): State and site level authorities and staff concerned with the management of Air and Tenere Natural Reserves. The financing of the emergency rehabilitation plan by the World Heritage Fund is part of a broader co-operative programme for the conservation of the site and the sustainable use of its resources. That co-operative programme is financed under an MOU signed by the State Party and the IUCN Office in Niger is financed by donors like the GEF (Global Environmental Facility); SDC (Swiss Development Corporation); DANIDA (Danish International Development Agency). Hence, the assistance provided to implement emergency rehabilitation plan is likely to bring broad ranging benefits to site-staff and local communities.

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget:	US\$ 75,000
National or other input:	In Kind

The State Party provides all costs of staff and day-to-day operations for the management of the site.

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund:	US\$ 75,000
---	--------------------

- purchase of a four- wheel drive vehicle to enhance surveillance capacity
US\$ 25,000
- evaluation of the impacts of rebel activities and subsequent rehabilitation measures on wildlife populations
US\$ 15,000
- building enclosures for the protection and breeding of wild ostriches to rehabilitate population numbers to pre-rebel estimates
US\$ 35,000
(the site's ostrich population dwindled to 10% of early-1990s estimates during the period of rebel activity)

Further breakdown of the US\$ 15,000 requested for evaluation of impacts on wildlife populations and of the US\$ 35,000 for the protection and breeding of ostriches are contained in the emergency rehabilitation plan endorsed by the 23rd session of the Bureau in July 1999 (please refer to document WHC-99/CONF.204/INF.12 of that session).

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN Office in Niger has been a partner of the State Party in the elaboration of the emergency rehabilitation plan and hence IUCN supports the Bureau's favourable consideration of this request.

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre brings the attention of the Bureau that, if the Chairperson approves the two requests submitted to him on 26 October 1999, and the Bureau's approves the request submitted herein, all the activities proposed by the State Party in the emergency rehabilitation plan endorsed by the 23rd session in July 1999 would have been financed. The World Heritage Centre could also explore obtaining cost savings in the purchase and delivery of the four-wheel drive vehicle.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve the amount of **US\$ 75,000 requesting the World Heritage Centre to explore obtaining cost savings in the purchase and delivery of the four-wheel drive vehicle. The Bureau may wish to note with satisfaction, the efforts made by the State Party in co-operation with the World Heritage Centre and IUCN, to finalize the emergency rehabilitation plan and ensure the complete financing of all activities earmarked for support from the World Heritage Fund within a period of one year. The Bureau may wish to invite the State**

Party to report on the progress in the implementation of all projects financed by the World Heritage Fund to the 24th session of the Bureau in June/July 2000. The Bureau may finally wish to suggest that the State Party, in its progress report, indicate whether or not it wishes for the Committee to remove Air and Tenere from the List of World Heritage in Danger at its 24th session of the Committee in December 2000.

1.AFRICA.(c)I.

Type of heritage: NATURAL **Region:** AFRICA
Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA
LDC/LIC: LDC

Name of activity: Three fellowships for African Specialists in Protected Area/ Wildlife Management for the Academic Year 2000 - 2001

World Heritage sites concerned: Designated and potential World Heritage sites of sub-Saharan Africa

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: The World Heritage Committee, as per Article 23 of the Convention, provides support to the training of specialists in selected regional training centres. Mweka College of African Wildlife Management has been a recipient of World Heritage Fund financed fellowships since the early days of the Convention's implementation. Until 1993 the fellowships to African trainees attending Mweka College courses were contracted directly to the trainees. Since 1994, the Committee has provided annual grants of US\$ 30,000 directly to the College to finance three fellowships per year. During 1994 – 1999, the College has therefore received a sum of US\$ 180,000 as fellowship aid for supporting 18 trainees from African States Parties to the Convention.

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any: The Bureau may wish to refer to the Technical Co-operation request (see x.x.x within this working document) for the organization of a planning workshop to prepare an integrated proposal which, amongst others, would aim to develop better links between the activities of the Mweka College and the management of Tanzania's World Natural Heritage sites.

Summary background and description of activity: The Mweka College of African Wildlife Management has renewed its request for a sum of US\$ 30,000 for supporting 3 fellowships for trainees from African States Parties to the Convention during the academic year 2000 – 2001. Beginning from the year 2000, the World Heritage Centre intends to implement this fellowship project through the UNESCO Fellowship Division and will choose candidates in consultation with IUCN and the UNESCO National Commissions of African States Parties. Implementation of the fellowship project through the UNESCO Fellowship Division is expected to bring savings to the World Heritage Centre in staff time, and possibly the estimated costs of international travel of trainees.

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): African wildlife and protected area management personnel.

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget: US\$ 30,000

National or other input:

In Kind

Provision of training facilities, curricula and other infrastructure requirements.

Amount requested from the Fund:

US\$ 30,000

This amount is for 3 fellowships, each costing a sum of US\$ 10,000 comprising:

- Tuition fees US\$ 5,000
- Stipend for a 12 month period US\$ 1,100
- Educational materials US\$ 800
- Local travel and airport transit allowances US\$ 150
- Airport taxes, immigration fees and terminal Allowances and insurance US\$ 950
- International airfares US\$ 2,000

Subtotal

US\$10,000 x 3 = US\$ 30,000

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN supports the granting of these fellowships for the benefit of African wildlife and protected area personnel.

Comments of Secretariat: The Centre supports the granting of US\$ 30,000 for 3 fellowships for the academic year 2000 – 2001 to the Mweka College of African Wildlife Management.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve the amount of **US\$ 30,000** to the Mweka College of African Wildlife Management for supporting three fellowships for the academic year 2000 – 2001. **The Bureau may also wish to request the World Heritage Centre to report to its 24th extraordinary session, any cost savings and other benefits accrued through the implementation of this fellowship project through UNESCO's Fellowship Unit.**

1.AFRICA.(c).II.

Type of heritage: NATURAL **Region:** AFRICA

Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** GABON
LDC/LIC: LDC

Name of activity: National Training Workshop on the Implementation of the Convention, preparation of a tentative list and nomination of natural properties to the World Heritage List in Gabon

World Heritage sites concerned: Potential sites of Gabon and trans-border properties that Gabon shares with neighbouring African States.

Previous World Heritage Fund Contributions: None

Summary background and description of activity: The Republic of Gabon ratified the Convention in 1986. Up to now the country has not nominated sites for consideration for World Heritage List. Gabon possesses a rich tropical forest biodiversity and nearly 85% of the country is forested. It is hoped that through the training activity proposed Gabon would begin to identify and nominate sites for inclusion in the World Heritage List. The objectives of the training activity are to: (i) to heighten awareness of the general public on the richness and the importance of Gabon's forest biodiversity; (ii) instill a nature protection culture among the local and national population; (iii) to raise awareness among the public of the impact of their activities on natural ecosystems; (iv) inform on strategies for biodiversity protection including nomination of sites to the World Heritage List; and (v) identify a number of potential sites and develop a plan for their future nomination as World Heritage. The main themes that will be addressed during the training activity are: (a) concepts for nature and biodiversity protection; and (b) international conventions for the protection of biodiversity including the World Heritage Convention. Expected participants are: the site managers of protected areas in Gabon and from neighbouring countries of the Congo basin; specialists, such as biologists, forest managers, geographers, teachers, administration officials, and heads of tourism section will be invited. The training activity is due to last for 4 working days and a short field visit to a protected area will be organized.

Direct target beneficiaries: Managers of protected areas, including that of potential World Heritage sites, and scientists, conservationists and State Party representatives from Gabon and neighbouring countries.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget **US\$ 20,000**

- Rental of the meeting hall US\$2,000
- Secretarial services plus rental of materials such as a computer US\$2,000
- Work-folders and documents US\$1,400
- Rental of microphone system US\$ 700
- Preparation or purchase of audio visual materials US\$ 600
- Rental of local transport and fuel US\$1,000
- Per-diem for local participants including honoraria US\$5,000
- Promotional activities:- Radio/ TV etc, seminar certificates etc. US\$1,000
- Participation WHC Staff US\$2,300
- International Consultant US\$4,000

National or other input:

In-kind

Contributions for preparation of documents for the training activity and for the organization of the workshop.

Amount requested from the Fund:

US\$ 20,000

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN recommends the organization of the training activity as a first step in aiding the Gabon authorities to identify potential natural areas for nomination as World Heritage

Comments of Secretariat: The Centre recommends that the Chairperson approve the sum of US\$ 20,000 requested by Gabon

Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve a sum of US\$ 20,000 .

and the Centre agreed to propose to the 23rd session of the Bureau that the two vehicles purchased for the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) in 1998, held in storage in Kenya because they could not be delivered to sites in the DRC due to the lack of security, be transferred to Serengeti and Kilimanjaro National Parks. The transfer was authorized by the 23rd session of the Bureau and the Chairperson approved, in August 1999, a sum of US\$ 20,000 to pay for storage, clearance and transport costs of the vehicles from Kenya to the two Tanzanian sites under consideration.

With regard to the other two requests, the Delegate agreed to request for a grant of US\$ 30,000 to organize a technical project-preparation workshop, with the participation of donors, for elaborating an integrated proposal that could be submitted for consideration for financing by the Global Environmental Facility (GEF). The Deputy Permanent Delegate of Tanzania agreed to make contact with the political and operational focal points for GEF in Tanzania and seek their support to invite the participation of GEF in such a project-planning workshop. The workshop will aim to develop an integrated proposal to link training activities of the Mweka College of African Wildlife Management and research and capacity building activities benefiting the Serengeti Wildlife Research Institute to the management of the four World Natural Heritage sites of Tanzania. Such an integrated project proposal, to be developed as part of the planning workshop, will be submitted by the Government of the Republic of Tanzania to the GEF Secretariat at the World Bank for consideration for financing.

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): Mweka College of African Wildlife Management, Arusha, Serengeti Wildlife Research Institute and the four World Heritage sites of Tanzania; secondary beneficiaries include national Tanzanian experts concerned with wildlife and protected area management.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget: **US\$ 30,000**

National or other input: **In Kind**

Provision of vehicles and other transport arrangements related to project preparation and workshop organization. Time of project staff and national experts in planning, preparation and conduct of the workshop.

Amount requested from the Fund: **US\$ 30,000**

Organizational costs for the project-planning workshop:

- Travel, per-diem and fees for an international consultant for 2 trips to Tanzania and other services pertaining to workshop preparation, donor consultations and research and document preparation for the workshop US\$ 12,000
- Participation of local community representatives in the project planning workshop and preparatory activities US\$ 2,000
- Travel and per-diem linked to the services of 2 IUCN regional experts US\$ 5,000
- Travel and per-diem costs of one staff member from the Centre US\$ 2,500
- Travel and per-diem of 1 Regional expert representing the MAB Programme US\$ 1,000
- National expenses, including travel, per-diem and honoraria of experts, secretarial and administrative services, renting of meeting hall and hiring of equipment and other miscellaneous expenses US\$ 7,500

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN comments on the proposal will be presented at the time of the 23rd extraordinary session of the Bureau.

Comments of Secretariat: The Centre supports the organization of the project-planning workshop and recommends the approval of US\$ 30,000, subject to IUCN supporting the request, recommending that the Tanzanian Delegation to UNESCO co-operate closely with Tanzania's GEF focal points in order to ensure that the proposal developed as an output of the workshop meets criteria for financing by the GEF.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve the amount of **US\$ 30,000, subject to the support of the request by IUCN at the time of the Bureau's 23rd extraordinary session, for the organization of the project-planning workshop. The Bureau may also wish to recommend that the State Party closely involve its GEF focal points in the planning and organization of the workshop and to ensure that the proposal developed as an outcome of the workshop meet GEF financing criteria.**

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre supports the granting of assistance to this project. However, the Centre is of the view that the project proponents have over-estimated vehicle and computer equipment costs. Hence the Centre believes the project could be implemented at a total cost of US\$ 50,000.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to recommend that the Committee approve an amount of US\$ 50,000 for this project, **requesting the World Heritage Centre to achieve cost-savings in the budget lines for the purchase of the vehicle and computers, printers and accessories.** The Bureau may also wish to suggest that the Committee authorize the Chairperson to approve an additional amount not exceeding US\$ 9,500 for this project in the event that the vehicle and computer equipment costs are found to be much higher than estimates currently available to the World Heritage Centre. The Bureau may finally wish to recommend that the Committee invite the State Party to provide a detailed report on the implementation of the project to its 24th extraordinary session of the Bureau in November 2000.

ARAB REGION

1.ARAB.(a).I.

Type of heritage: NATURAL **Region:** ARAB
Type of assistance: Preparatory Assistance **State Party:** MOROCCO
LDC/LIC: Neither

Name of activity: Preparation of Nomination File for Aghbar - Toubkal National Park as a World Heritage site

World Heritage sites concerned: The potential site of Aghbar - Toubkal National Park.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: Morocco has not received international assistance for natural heritage preparatory assistance in the past.

Summary background and description of activity: Aghbar - Toubkal is among the potential sites identified by the expert mission undertaken in 1997 under the Global Strategy to "identify natural heritage sites in the Arab Region". The site was also recommended by a Arab Region meeting held in Cairo, Egypt from 28 May to 2nd June 1999. The Kingdom of Morocco has requested preparatory assistance for nomination of this site as the first mixed site in the country. Situated in the High Oriental Atlas mountains, Aghbal -Toubkal constitute a unique forest ecosystem rich in endemic flora, such as the Atlas Cyprus. Located 75 km south of Marrakesh the site is 36,000 hectares. It has the highest mountain in North Africa, with permanent snow and an exceptional range of fauna and flora (from alpine to desert). The site was thoroughly studied and delineated by the Department of Forest and Water in 1997, and added in the tentative list of Morocco in 1998. As the use of natural resources seems important to the local people, it is expected that it would be nominated as a cultural landscape and a mixed site.

This is the first time that the State Party proposes to nominate a mixed site.

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): Site managers, professionals and other State Party, Arab-Regional and international experts committed to the conservation of Aghbar - Toubkal National Park.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget:	US\$ 15,000
• Travel, subsistence and honoraria of an international consultant	US\$5000
• Costs of the participation of national Experts	US\$2500
• Local Travel	US\$2500
• Production of graphics, documents, photographs, video and other materials for the completion of the World Heritage nomination	US\$5000

National or other input: In kind support through the provision of vehicles for field travel, fuel and maintenance costs and partial contribution towards meeting staff time costs of national experts, and of personnel from concerned Ministries, Government Departments and Local Administrations.

Amount requested from the Fund: **US\$ 15,000**

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN consider Aghbar - Toubkal as belonging to the international protected area category V, I.e. protected landscapes. As such it may have cultural landscape values of outstanding universal significance. The outstanding universal values of its natural heritage features would have to be determined based on the evaluation of the nomination of the site when it is submitted. The views of ICOMOS on the request is awaited and will be presented to the Bureau.

Comments of Secretariat: Paragraph 110 (a) of the *Operational Guidelines* states that «The Chairperson is not authorized to approve requests submitted by his own country. Therefore, the Bureau is requested to take a decision concerning this request. The Centre recommends that the Bureau, subject to the endorsement of ICOMOS, approve this request.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve the amount of **US\$ 15,000, subject to the endorsement of the request by ICOMOS.**

ASIA & PACIFIC REGION

1.ASIA.(d).I.

Type of heritage:	NATURAL	Region:	ASIA & PACIFIC
Type of assistance:	Technical Co-operation	State Party:	JAPAN; the Prefectural Government of Kagoshima
		LDC/LIC:	Neither, but the beneficiary States Parties include 1 LDCs & 3 LICs.

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: Paid up to 1999.

Name of activity: Support to 7 participants from Asia Pacific Countries to attend the Kagoshima International Conference on World Natural Heritage (KICWNH), Kagoshima and Yakushima Island World Heritage site, Japan, 18-21 May 2000

World Heritage sites concerned: World Natural Heritage sites of the following Asia Pacific States Parties: Australia, Bangladesh, China, India, Indonesia, Nepal, New Zealand, Philippines, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Thailand, United States of America (the State of Hawaii) and Vietnam.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: Japan is a major donor and is increasingly contributing from its bi- and multi-lateral aid resources to promote the work of the World Heritage Convention in the Asia and the Pacific regions. Japan is a major contributor to the World Heritage Fund and does not request financing for World Heritage activities in Japan except to support the participation of specialists from developing Asia-Pacific States Parties.

<i>Training</i>	1998	Participation of Developing States Parties at the Multi-Coastal Agreements Meeting for Biodiversity Conservation [US\$ 20,000]
-----------------	------	---

Summary background and description of activity: At the second Meeting of the Regional Net work of World Heritage Managers in Southeast Asia, Pacific, Australia and New Zealand, held in Thailand in January 1998, the Japanese participants announced that they will host the first international conference focussing on the role of local governments on the conservation and sustainable use of World Natural Heritage in Kagoshima and Yakushima Island World Heritage site of Japan in the year 2000. The Prefectural Government of Kagoshima followed up on this announcement by publicizing the organization of the KICWNH at the 22nd session of the Committee held in Kyoto, during November – December 1998.

The Kagoshima Prefectural Government has already made several preparations for the KICWNH in May 2000. In 1999, it has: (a) communicated with Asia-Pacific States Parties to the Convention which have at least one natural property designated as World Heritage and identified participants representing local Governments for attending the Conference in May 2000; (b) hosted a Preparatory Meeting, from 12 to 14 October 1999 for the organization of KICWNH in May 2000 and supported the participation of one representative each from the State of Queensland (Australia), Sichuan Province (China), the State of Assam (India), the Province of Palawan (Philippines), Thailand and the World Heritage Centre at the Preparatory Meeting. The Meeting has defined the themes for the KICWNH as follows: (a) conservation of World Natural Heritage; (b) regional and community based sustainable use of World Natural Heritage; and (c) World Natural Heritage and youth. Co-chairs for the Committee responsible for each of the theme have been identified. The Preparatory Meeting also discussed the agenda for the KICWNH and reviewed the form and content of the declaration expected to be derived as an output of the KICWNH. The declaration will emphasize the commitment of local Governments, i.e. levels of Government immediately

answerable to the Central Governments of the States Parties to the Convention, to the conservation and sustainable use of World Natural Heritage sites.

The Kagoshima Prefectural Government has already earmarked funds for supporting 15 local Government representatives from 12 Asia-Pacific countries. This request for a financial contribution from the World Heritage Fund is for supporting seven additional participants representing local Governments of Indonesia (2) and India (2) and one Central Government official each from China, Nepal and Vietnam.

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): Local government, and a selected number of central Government representatives from Asia Pacific States Parties having at least one World Natural Heritage site.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget: US\$ 525,700

National or other input: approximately US\$ 500,000

The Prefectural Government of Kagoshima is meeting all preparatory and organizational costs of KIWCNH estimated at about US\$ 500,000

Amount requested from the Fund: US\$ 25,700

International travel, board and lodging for 3 days in Kagoshima and 3 days in Yakushima for the following participants:

2 from the Province of West Java, Indonesia	US\$ 7,800
2 from the State of Uttar Pradesh, India	US\$ 7,400
1 from Vietnam National Commission for UNESCO	US\$ 3,500
1 from Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation of Nepal	US\$ 3,600
1 from the Ministry of Construction, China	US\$ 3,400

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN has been consulted with from the earliest stages of the preparations for the KIWCNH and is expected to play an active role in the deliberations of KIWCNH. IUCN supports this request for US\$ 25,700 made by the Kagoshima Prefectural Government.

Comments of Secretariat: The Centre supports the granting the requested amount of US\$ 25,700 to the Kagoshima Prefectural Government for financing the participation of experts from developing States Parties.

<p>Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve the amount of US\$ 25,700 to support the participation of 7 representatives from developing States Parties, as described in this request from the Kagoshima Prefectural Government for the organisation of KICWNH in May 2000.</p>

1.ASIA.(d).II.

Type of heritage: NATURAL **Region:** ASIA & PACIFIC

Type of assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** NEPAL & IUCN NEPAL:
LDC/LIC: LDC.

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: Paid up to 1999.

Name of activity: IUCN/WCPA South Asia workshop, Sagarmatha National Park and World Heritage site, Nepal, May 2000.

World Heritage sites concerned: The Sundarbans (Bangladesh), Manas Wildlife Sanctuary and Kaziranga, Nanda Devi, Sundarbans and Keoladeo National Parks (India), Sagarmatha and Royal Chitwan National Parks and the nominated (in 1999) site of Shey Phuksundo National Park (Nepal) and the Sinharaja Forest Reserve (Sri Lanka) and several potential sites in the South Asian sub-region.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: This activity will benefit the whole South Asian sub-region and not only Nepal, so reference to previous contributions have not been made.

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned if any: Not applicable. Please see state of conservation report on Sagarmatha National Park, the venue of the proposed workshop in document WHC-99/CONF.208/4

Summary background and description of activity: IUCN's World Commission of Protected Areas (WCPA) has its regional working sessions in different parts of the world. As part of an MOU signed by the Centre and IUCN in 1996, IUCN/WCPA convenes workshops and dialogues during its regional sessions with a view to improving awareness of its network members on issues and problems concerned with the implementation of the Convention. In 1999, the Centre, with the Chairperson's approval provided assistance for a sum of US\$ 9,265 for the IUCN/WCPA session in Southeast Asia and US\$ 15,000 for a global IUCN/WCPA Task Force Meeting on "Evaluating Management Effectiveness - the case of World Natural Heritage". The organization of such sessions is useful in expanding the number of IUCN/WCPA Network members at the regional level who can contribute towards evaluation of nominations, state of conservation report preparation and to other services provided by IUCN to the Committee and the Centre.

The South Asia Members of IUCN/WCPA are expected to convene together for exchanging experience and information on protected area issues in May 2000. The session is to be hosted by His Majesty's Government of Nepal (HMG/N) and the IUCN Office in Kathmandu, Nepal and will be held at the Sagarmatha National Park and World Heritage site of Nepal. The major objectives of the South Asia session are to: (a) to review status of protected areas in the South Asian region; (b) explore new visions and ideas for protected area management for submission to the Fifth World Parks Congress in the year 2002; and (c) to review and develop local-level strategies for the sustainable management of natural World heritage sites in South Asia. Expected outcomes include: (a) a South Asia strategy for PA Management; (b) a report of the meeting describing South Asian experience and perspectives to the Fifth World Parks Congress; (c) an assessment and understanding of problems in managing World Natural Heritage in South Asia; and (d) local strategies for the sustainable management of World Natural Heritage in South Asia.

Direct target beneficiaries: Directors or Chief park Wardens and/or their staff from 9 World Heritage sites, and one mixed site nominated for evaluation in the year 2000 and other State Party representatives concerned with the implementation of the Convention.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget:	US\$ 20,000
Amount requested from the Fund	US\$ 20,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • International travel of site-managers (9) and other Government, Training-Institute and NGOs representatives (at least 6) from Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka who are concerned with implementation of the World Heritage and other international Conventions for biodiversity conservation: US\$ 12,000 • Local travel within Nepal for the participants mentioned in (a) between Kathmandu and Sagarmatha National Park and World Heritage site: US\$ 3,000 • Contribution to costs of workshop logistics and preparation US\$ 5,000 	

National or other inputs: Nepal based international organizations such as WWF, IUCN, World Mountains Institute, bilateral organizations like the US AID and in-kind support from HMGN and other Nepal Government sources is being sought to cover an additional sum of US\$ 45,000 needed for the organization of the activity.

Comments from advisory bodies: IUCN supports the organization of its IUCN/WCPA South Asia session and has committed to work with its Nepal Office to design specific workshops and dialogues to address World Heritage issues and problems and identify solutions and areas for regional co-operation among protected area specialists.

Comments of the Secretariat: The Centre recommends that the Chairperson approves the request submitted jointly by the HMGN and IUCN/Nepal for a sum of US\$ 20,000.

Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve a sum of **US\$ 20,000**.

LATIN AMERICA

1.LATIN.(c).I.

Type of heritage: NATURAL **Region:** LATIN AMERICA

Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** BRAZIL
LDC/LIC: Neither

Name of activity: Training in the implementation of the World Heritage Convention in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, Uruguay and Venezuela

World Heritage sites concerned: Designated and potential World Heritage sites of Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, Uruguay and Venezuela

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions for natural heritage:	[Total:	US\$ 55,000]
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i> 1999	Preparation of three nominations	[US\$ 18,000]
<i>Training</i> 1982	Wildlife management course	[US\$ 15,000]
1984	Seminar for management of wildlife zones	[US\$ 8,000]
1985	1 month course on protected zone management	[US\$ 4,000]
1991	10 day workshop	[US\$ 10,000]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any: See state of conservation reports on Iguacu National Park (Brazil) and Canaima National Park in document WHC-99/CONF.208/5 for the 23rd extraordinary session of the Bureau.

Summary background and description of activity: Brazil has nominated three sites to the World Heritage List in 1998 that are to be considered for inscription by the 23rd session of the Committee. It has nominated three additional properties in 1999 for consideration by the Committee in the year 2000. Over the next few years, Brazil intends to maintain a similar rate of nomination submission with a view to nominating about 35 natural or mixed properties to the World Heritage List. Brazil has also experienced significant threats to its designated World Natural Heritage site, i.e. Iguacu National Park, which has been the subject of discussions of the annual sessions of the Bureau and the Committee over the last 3 years. Brazil is offering to host a training workshop to bring together managers and other professionals concerned with the implementation of the Convention in 5 neighbouring countries to discuss:

- (a) implementation of the Convention – current trends and future potential;
- (b) case study experiences on identification, nomination and management of World Heritage sites;
- (c) review of tentative lists of natural and mixed properties; and
- (d) the future of World Heritage site management.

The training workshop foresees a field visit to the Iguacu National Park of Brazil.

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): Site managers and other professionals concerned with the implementation of the Convention in the six States Parties under consideration.

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget:	estimated to be	US\$ 45,000
Workshop organizational cost	US\$ 10,000	
Costs of allowances and honoraria to personnel	US\$ 4,000	
Travel costs	US\$ 4,000	
Publications and reports	US\$ 2,000	
Board and lodging of participants and miscellaneous costs	US\$ 25,000	

National or other input:
Amount requested from the Fund:

US\$ 15,000
US\$ 30,000

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN has welcomed Brazil's initiative to host the training activity but has proposed several changes to the objectives, themes and issues and plan for the workshop organization.

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre has contacted the State Party to discuss the revision of the proposal for the organization of the training workshop as proposed by IUCN. The World Heritage Centre is also seeking a detailed breakdown of the US\$ 25,000 to meet costs of board and lodging of participants, miscellaneous and other expenditures.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau, after reviewing the contents of the revised proposal and additional details concerning the budget, may wish to take an appropriate decision at the time of its 23rd extraordinary session.

1.LATIN.(c).II

Type of heritage: NATURAL **Region:** LATIN AMERICA

Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** VENEZUELA
LDC/LIC: Neither

Name of activity: Awareness Building Workshop for Stakeholders concerned with the conservation of the Canaima National Park of Venezuela

World Heritage sites concerned: Canaima National Park, Venezuela

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions for natural heritage: None

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any: See state of conservation report on Canaima National Park in document WHC-99/CONF.208/5 for the 23rd extraordinary session of the Bureau. The report of a Centre/IUCN mission to the site is contained in document WHC-99/CONF.204/INF.14 submitted to the 23rd session of the Bureau in July 1999.

Summary background and description of activity: The Canaima National Park was inscribed on the World Heritage List in 1994 when the Committee had requested the State Party to co-operate with the Centre and IUCN to define the boundaries of the World Heritage area. Since then the Committee has been concerned with a project for constructing power lines and about reports of unsustainable tourism, mining and timber extraction activities in the vicinity of the site. A Centre/IUCN mission, undertaken at the request of the Committee and the invitation of the State Party, resolved several of the Committee's concerns regarding threats to the integrity of the site. The mission also recommended that the Committee retain the whole of the 3,000,000 hectares nominated by the State Party in 1994 under the World Heritage designation. The Centre/IUCN mission recommended that the State Party organize workshops and seminars for raising awareness among local communities and other stakeholders of the international conservation significance of Canaima.

The Venezuelan authorities have proposed the organization of «awareness building days» among:

- (a) indigenous peoples of Kavanyen, San Francisco Yuruani and the Laguna de Canaima;
- (b) local authorities of Santa Elena Uairen;
- (c) regional authorities of the State of Bolivar; and
- (d) national authorities.

These awareness-raising events are aimed at:

- (a) establishing a stronger institutional and administrative presence in Canaima;
- (b) the development of a management plan for the protection of the site with the participation of local communities;
- (c) sensitizing the political leaders and authorities to the social and ecological vulnerability of the area and its national and international conservation significance; and
- (d) dissemination of information on the importance of Canaima National Park as a World Natural Heritage site

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): A range of stakeholders influencing the state of conservation of the Canaima National Park

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget:

US\$ 29,736.⁹⁹

National or other input:

Information not available

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund:

US\$ 29,736.⁹⁹

- Accommodation US\$ 10,304.⁴⁸

• Meals	US\$ 5,176. ⁵¹
• Transport	US\$ 10,335. ⁷¹
• Materials	US\$ 2,219. ⁰⁰
• Fuel	US\$ 222. ⁰⁰
• Miscellaneous and other costs	US\$ 1,479. ²⁹

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN has welcomed the State Party's initiative to organize the propose awareness raising events in line with the recommendations of the Centre/IUCN mission. IUCN has proposed several revisions to the request which are currently being negotiated with the State Party by the World Heritage Centre.

Comments of the Secretariat: In addition to co-operating with the State Party to revise the proposal, the World Heritage Centre is trying to obtain more detailed information on the basis of the cost estimations provided by the State Party and on national inputs to the organization of the awareness raising events.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau, after reviewing additional information expected to be submitted, and elements of a revised proposal, may take an appropriate decision at the time of its 23rd extraordinary session.

1.LATIN.(c).III.

Type of heritage: NATURAL **Region:** LATIN AMERICA

Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** COSTA RICA / CATIE
(CATIE is a regional training institute for Latin America)

LDC/LIC: Neither

Name of activity: Annual CATIE International Training Course for Latin American Protected Area Managers, Costa Rica

World Heritage sites concerned: The transborder site of Talamanca La-Amistad/La Amistad National Park of Costa Rica and Panama serves as the field site for CATIE's annual training courses for Latin American protected area managers.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: CATIE sponsored training courses have received World Heritage support from the early days of the implementation of the Convention in 1978 and the total amount of fellowships benefiting Latin American participants in various CATIE sponsored courses is estimated at about US\$ 200,000.

Summary background and description of activity: The protected area course at CATIE has been supported by the World Heritage Fund for a number of years. Specialists from CATIE have participated in the development of the Strategic Action Plan for Training Specialists in Natural Heritage adopted by the World Heritage Committee in 1995 and the course has increasingly focused on World Heritage issues. The present request concerns a four-week intensive training course in protected area management with a specific focus on World Heritage and related Conventions and its implementation in the Latin American region. Specific themes for training included in the training activity include: (a) World Heritage objectives responsibilities and assistance mechanisms; (b) problem identification, management design and implementation skills; (c) site administration and management; and (d) tourism management and involvement of local communities.

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): Latin American protected area managers, including 5 managers from World Heritage sites.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget: US\$ 20,000

- organizational expenses of US\$ 10,650
 1. hire of hall for the conduct of the workshop (US\$ 200);
 2. secretariat expenses (US\$ 750),
 3. stationery and photocopies (US\$ 400),
 4. materials for lecture presentation (US\$ 300) and
 5. food, lodging and pocket allowances of trainees for the five week period (US\$ 9,000);
- consultant fees US\$ 500
- honoraria for trainers US\$ 2,200
- international travel expenses US\$ 2,700
- local travel expenses US\$ 1,100
- tuition, insurance and other costs US\$ 2,000
- communications US\$ 650
- publication of proceedings US\$ 200

National or other input: WWF-USA, UNDP, GEF, USAID, GTZ, DFID, JICA, TNC and IUCN Offices in the region are providing support for fellowships to participants attending the course. CATIE's budget covers salaries of the core training staff and provides necessary facilities for the running of the course.

Amount requested from the Fund:

US\$ 20,000

Comments of the advisory bodies: IUCN supports the course and has requested that the course organizers pay particular attention to the following: (i) possible links to information and organizational needs of the Fifth World Congress on Protected Areas (South Africa, 2002); (ii) links with the Strategic Action Plan for Training Specialists in Natural Heritage as approved by the Committee in 1995; (iii) providing inputs for the preparation of a prototype of a World Heritage Training Module being undertaken by the James Cook University of Townsville, Australia in co-operation with the Centre and IUCN; (iv) prioritizing managers of new natural sites from the region that may be listed in 1999 for award of fellowships; (v) inform participants of the needs for periodic reporting and monitoring the State of Conservation of WH sites as proposed in the Operational Guidelines for the Convention; (vi) use state of conservation reports submitted by the Latin American trainees to update information available at the Centre, IUCN and WCMC; and (vii) better focus the course curriculum on management needs of WH sites.

Comments of Secretariat: The Centre fully supports the present request and will work closely with CATIE in the selection of World Heritage site managers and in incorporating other IUCN suggestions into course design.

<p>Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve a sum of US\$ 20,000 for the CATIE course under the natural heritage allocations for training for the year 2000.</p>
--

2. MIXED HERITAGE

ARAB REGION

2. ARAB.(a).I

Type of heritage: MIXED **Region:** ARAB

Type of assistance: Preparatory Assistance **State Party:** EGYPT
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of dues to the WH Fund (if applicable): Paid including 1999

Name of activity: Nomination of the St. Catherine Area and South Sinai as a mixed cultural landscape cum natural heritage site of Egypt

World Heritage sites concerned: Potential World Heritage site: St. Catherine Area and South Sinai area of Egypt

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: Previous contributions from the World Heritage Fund to Egypt under preparatory assistance, has been all for the benefit of cultural properties.

Summary background and description of activity: Greek (Roum) Orthodox Monastery of St. Catherine and the Mountains of Moses (Jebel Musa) were nominated by Egypt as attachment to a letter of 17 August 1998 to the Centre. However, earlier in the year a World Heritage meeting held in Cairo and Sinai from 28 May to 6 June 1999, the Egyptian authorities informed that they wish to nominate the site not only for its cultural value but also for its landscape and natural values by incorporating the south Sinai area. The Christian Greek Orthodox (or Roum Orthodox) Monastery of Sinai, known as St. Catherine is the most ancient Christian Monastery which presents an uninterrupted operation up to this very day. It is registered as a Byzantine Monument in the second part of the Egyptian Antiquities Organization. The building that is still preserved was built by order and at the expense of the Emperor Justinian in the midst of the 6th century A.D. However, Monasticism around the Summit of the Decalogue starts as early as the end of the 3rd century. Descriptions have already been provided as concerns the cultural and religious values of St Catherine. From the natural heritage and biodiversity point of view St. Catherine and the south Sinai area are located at the crossroads between Asia and Africa and combine an important combinations of Palaearctic and Afro-tropical biodiversity. The area is also thought to contain the highest rate of endemism in Egypt. These areas are now under pressure from tourists, collection of rare medicinal plants, hunting, and removal of fossils and rocks. The nomination of the site as a mixed site for inclusion in the World Heritage is seen as one of the steps for strengthening the protection of both natural and cultural heritage values of the site.

Direct target beneficiaries (if applicable): Site managers, professionals and other State Party, Arab-Regional and international experts committed to the conservation of the St. Catherine and south Sinai area.

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget: US\$ 19,500

National or other input: In Kind

In kind support through the provision of vehicles for field travel, fuel and maintenance costs and partial contribution towards meeting staff time costs of national experts, and of personnel from concerned Ministries, Government Departments and Local Administrations.

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund: US\$ 19,500

- Collection of pertinent documents (books, papers, slides, videos, tapes etc) US\$2,500
- Printing of final document (including reproduction) US\$ 500
- Secretariat - including computer rental US\$2,500
- Stationery US\$1,000

- Travel to St. Catherine for the principal consultant and other national experts US\$4,500
- Communications (mail, fax, telephone etc.) US\$1,000
- Honoraria for 3 national experts US\$3,000
- Workshop for stakeholders US\$2,500
- International travel and per diem for consultation with WHC staff US\$2,000

Comments of the advisory bodies: The site is included in the Tentative List of Egypt and hence is eligible for nomination to the World Heritage List as a mixed property. However the site's nomination in 1999 based only on its cultural values is currently being discussed with the State Party as suggested by ICOMOS in order to determine the country's position with regard to that nomination. If the project herein under consideration is to be implemented then it is the view of the Advisory Bodies that it would be preferable that the State Party withdraw the nomination it submitted in 1999 and re-submit the revised nomination as a mixed property before 1 July 2000.

Comments of Secretariat: The Centre recommends that the Chairperson approve this request, inviting the State Party to withdraw the nomination of St. Catherine it submitted in 1999 in order to re-submit the mixed site nomination of St. Catherine and south Sinai in the year 2000.

Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve a sum of **US\$ 19,500, inviting the State Party to withdraw its 1999-nomination of St. Catherine and to re-submit a nomination of the St. Catherine and south Sinai as a mixed property before 1 July 2000 for the consideration of the Bureau and the Committee in 2001.**

Budget breakdown:

1. Fieldworker allowances	\$3955
2. Airfares	\$4095
3. Freight costs	\$490
4. Vehicle hire	\$1057
5. Equipment	\$9520
6. Guides and labourers	\$441
7. Report production	\$2310
8. Map production	\$1400
9. Meeting costs	\$1050
10. Accommodation	\$155
11. Contingencies	\$1400
12. Consultants	\$5250

Total activity budget: **US\$ 31,123**

National or other input: The Papua New Guinea Museum has requested additional funding for this activity from the National and Morobe Provincial Government.

Amount requested from the Fund: **US\$ 31,123**

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS has expressed its full support for this request. ICOMOS has commented that the cultural aspects of the site of Bobongara should be considered, not just from an archaeological perspective, but also as a cultural landscape.

IUCN has noted the need for Papua New Guinea to undertake a national assessment that can lead to the preparation of a Tentative List of properties it intends to nominate in Papua New Guinea for inscription in the World Heritage List in future years. IUCN has checked the Protected Areas System Review of the Indo-Malayan Realm (MacKinnon, 1997) and notes that the Houn Peninsula is an important natural area, however only the protected area of Finisterre within the Peninsula has been rated as being of global significance. IUCN also comments that the request is mainly focused on the archaeological values of the area with little information provided on its natural values, except those values related to geomorphology.

Comments of Secretariat: The Centre recommends approval of an amount of US\$30,000 with a recommendation to the State Party that they give consideration to the preparation of the nomination of Bobongara as a mixed cultural and natural property or as a cultural landscape.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve of the amount of **US\$ 30,000 subject to the payment by the States Party of its outstanding contributions to the World Heritage Fund in 1998 and 1999 (US\$488), requesting the State Party to give consideration of preparing the nomination of Bobongara as a mixed site or as a cultural landscape.**

LATIN AMERICAN & CARIBBEAN REGION

2. LATIN.(a).I.

Type of heritage: MIXED **Region:** LATIN AMERICA

Type of assistance: Preparatory Assistance **State Party:** GUATEMALA
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of dues to the WH Fund (if applicable): Paid including 1999

Name of activity: Preparatory Assistance for the elaboration of a Tentative List of Cultural and Natural properties

Summary background and description of activities: The World Heritage Fund contribution will be needed to identify natural and cultural properties in Guatemala and include them on the Tentative List for subsequent nomination.

Project Plan:

- a) Selection of the Monuments and Sites to be included in the Tentative List of Cultural and Natural Heritage of Guatemala
- b) Selection and contract of specialists in matters as: Archaeology, History, Photography, Legislation, Natural Resources, Vernacular Architecture, Drawing, Computers, Topography, Geology, Environment and other specialities.
- c) Visit, initial study and documentation of sites to be considered and selected for the List
- d) Evaluation of the documentation by the National Commission to elaborate a National List of Cultural and Natural Heritage Sites.

The project is to be terminated within two months of the reception of the funds.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget: US\$ 24,500

National or other input: US\$ 9,500

- Institute of Archaeology and History with the support of the Ministry of Culture
- Drawing services and information resources US\$ 1,000
 - Secretarial services, communication, phone and fax US\$ 2,000
 - Technical computer services US\$ 1,000
 - Personal assistance support US\$ 500
 - Professionals in charge of Archaeological and Historic Sites
that will support investigation and documentation US\$ 5,000

Amount requested from the Fund: US\$ 15,000

- Air and ground transportation of the specialists in Guatemala
as well as accommodation US\$ 2,000
- 9 Professional consultants for technical and scientific support US\$ 12,000
- Photographic material, video material, drawing material US\$ 1,000

Comments of the Advisory Body: The request was transmitted to ICOMOS and IUCN on 8 November 1999.

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre supports this request.

<p>Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to take a decision upon receipt of further information provided by ICOMOS and IUCN.</p>

3. CULTURAL HERITAGE

Budget breakdown:

A. Supplies:

- Hardware and software		US\$	5,550
- Digital Camera		US\$	1,000
Total A		US\$	6,550

B. Consultants:

- Archaeologist	30 days	US\$	1,000
- Natural sciences	30 days	US\$	1,000
- Geologist	30 days	US\$	1,000
- Editor	30 days	US\$	1,000
- World Heritage site listing advisor	10 days	US\$	1,500
Total B		US\$	5,500

C. Transportation for team members:

- Air

Gaborone to Maun (4x276\$)		US\$	1,104
Maun to Tsolido (4x450\$)		US\$	1,800

- Ground

Maun to Tsolido (3x550\$)		US\$	1,650
Gaborone to Maun (4x450\$)		US\$	1,800
Total C		US\$	6,354

D. Accommodation for team members:

- Maun (10 daysx110\$)		US\$	1,100
- Tsolido (20 daysx20\$)		US\$	400
Total D		US\$	1,500
Total activity budget:		US\$	19,904

National or other input: Support staff and co-ordination for the preparation of the nomination file by the National Museum Monuments and Art Gallery.

Amount requested from the Fund:**US\$ 19,904**

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS supports this request, however, it is not convinced the preparation of the CD ROM is essential. It suggested that the grant be reduced by the relevant amount. ICCROM is pleased that Botswana has recently ratified the convention and supports this request for preparatory assistance. This request is seen as strong because the intended output is not only a nomination dossier, but also dissemination of the information gathered on a wider level (in the form of a brochure and video or CD ROM). In choosing the medium for distribution, however, the States Party may want to take into consideration which format (video or CD) would reach a wider audience within Botswana.

Comments of Secretariat: Botswana will produce the CD ROM, at its own cost, with the computer and software they will be purchased to prepare the nomination file.

<p>Action required: The Chairperson may wish to approve this request which is in line with the implementation of the Global Strategy in Africa, and aims at the preparation of a nomination file, for an amount of US\$19,094</p>

3. AFRICA.(a).II.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** AFRICA
Type of assistance: Preparatory Assistance **State Party:** GAMBIA
LDC/LIC: LDC

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: US\$796 unpaid for 1996, 1997, 1998 and 1999. A reminder was sent on 5 November 1999.

Name of activity: James Islands and the Albreda Juffure Santo Domingo Historic Zone.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions:		[Total	US\$ 65,000]
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1994	Preparation of Tentative List	[US\$ 15,000]
<i>Training</i>	1996	Pilot project for conservation of James Island	[US\$ 40,000]
	1996	ICCRUM Course for James & Albreda Islands	[US\$ 10,000]

Summary background and description of activity: James Island has been recognised as the major cultural site of Gambia, an excellent witness of the history of slave trade. The property is a National Monument inscribed on the Tentative List and its nomination was examined by the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee in 1996. At the time, "the Bureau recommended that the examination of this nomination be deferred to await the outcome of a comparative study of pre-colonial and early colonial trading settlements in West Africa.» It was recommended that «the State Party should also consider possible extension of the nominated area, as proposed by ICOMOS: James Islands and the Albreda Juffure Santo Domingo Historic Zone».

Since the Bureau session in 1996, technical expertise was provided by CRATerre-EAG through *in situ* training which allowed:

- assessment of both the state of conservation and the management of the site
- implementation of preventative conservation works
- improvement of the presentation of the site and the security of visitors
- development of guidelines for the maintenance
- supply of basic equipment
- improvement of conservation capacities in the Gambia

This request is for financing the follow-up action to address the ICOMOS recommendations. To extend the site, a management plan for the overall site and a new nomination file will be prepared. It is proposed to simultaneously follow-up and extend the activities carried out in 1997 at the complementary sites, Fort Bullen, CFAO Building, Six Guns bastion (this will be implemented with funding provided by the World Monument Watch). The present request is a project within the framework of the Programme AFRICA 2009.

The objective of the activity is to complete the nomination file to be submitted to the World Heritage Committee, to ensure adequate conservation measures, and to prepare a maintenance scheme and conservation plan.

The Gambian authorities would like to give the responsibility of preparing the nomination file to CRATerre-EAG, which will be working in close relationship with:

- National Commission for Arts and Culture, mainly represented by the Principal Cultural Officer
- the office of the President of The Gambia (for the Six Guns Bastion), and with the support of national professionals / experts, more specifically for the finalisation of the survey, and through consultation with other stakeholders of the site, mainly
- the staff in charge of the sites

- the Ministry of Tourism and tour operators
- local communities and other stakeholders that may be identified in the course of the process.

The main responsibilities of CRATerre-EAG would be to work with the Gambian authorities to :

- prepare an overall conservation plan for the property
- develop the conservation component of the nomination file
- provide training to Gambian nationals involved in the process
- provide all the necessary assistance for the preparation of the nomination file and review the drafts with the national team
- prepare 6 copies of the final nomination file for NCAC, including the technical documents, maps, slides, etc.

The main responsibilities of National Commission for Arts and Culture :

- identify the national team which should be composed of a historian, a lawyer, the site manager, etc.
- gather all the relevant documentation
- prepare the descriptive part of the file
- develop the justification for inscription and explain the legal protective mechanism which protect the property
- play a co-ordination role and ensure that each team member provide his input according to the working calendar
- participate actively in the definition of the conservation plan
- participate actively in the definition of the contents of the final file
- finalize the draft nomination

National experts will be contracted for surveying the sites, elaborating the descriptive and historic part of the file and assist in the legal aspects.

Timetable for activities:

1. February 2000 Beginning of NCAC work
2. April-May 2000 1st CRATerre-EAG mission (10 days) for discussions with the national team responsible for the preparation of the nomination file and precise definition of activities to be implemented at the sites, including a time schedule
3. June 2000 Preparation of the implementation of the conservation works by NCAC
4. July 2000 2nd mission of CRATerre-EAG (1 month) for the implementation of the works and review of the draft nomination file.
5. Aug.-Sept. 2000 Works will be continued by NCAC.
6. October 2000 3rd mission of CRATerre-EAG (10 days) for the finalisation of the nomination file.
7. November 2000 Circulation of the file to Africa 2009 namely ICCROM, the Nordic World Heritage Office, etc., for comments.
8. December 2000 Finalization of nomination file.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget:	US\$	48,500
National or other input:	US\$	18,500
National Council for Arts and Culture:	US\$	3,500
World Monument Watch for Six Guns Bastion (Banjul):	US\$	15,000
Amount requested from the Fund:	US\$	30,000
<i>Budget necessary for CRATerre-EAG technical assistance :</i>		
International travel 3x1,000	US\$	3,000
Per-diem 40x100	US\$	4,000
Fees 10x1,500	US\$	15,000
Final documentation (x6) including maps, plans, photographs	US\$	1,200

Communication	US\$	800
Administration and diverse	US\$	1,000
Subtotal	US\$	25,000
<i>Budget necessary for NCAC :</i>		
Communication	US\$	1,000
Administration	US\$	500
Local transport	US\$	1,000
Fees for national professionals / experts	US\$	2,000
Organisation of meetings	US\$	500
Subtotal	US\$	5,000

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS strongly supports this request and commends the decision to extend the project on the other side of the Gambia river. ICCROM is already aware of this request for preparatory assistance and the large amount of work that the Gambian authorities have already put into the preparation of this nomination dossier. In addition, it considers this request strong in the sense that it will not only produce a completed nomination dossier, but will also develop a management plan for the site and carry out some necessary conservation works as part of the overall project. ICCROM, therefore, supports this request within the framework of AFRICA 2009 and will be pleased to work with the Gambian authorities in finalizing the nomination dossier

Comments of Secretariat: This request was discussed at the Directors' Seminar organized in Mombassa in August 1999 with the partners of Africa 2009. The Secretariat recommends that this request be approved subject to the State Party paying its dues to the World Heritage Fund, per paragraph 121 of the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*.

Action required by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve the amount of **US\$ 30,000 subject to the State Party paying its dues to the World Heritage Fund**, for financing this activity which is in line with the implementation of the Global Strategy and aims at the preparation of a nomination file in accordance with ICOMOS recommendations.

3. AFRICA.(a).III.

Type of heritage : CULTURAL **Region:** AFRICA

Type of assistance: Preparatory assistance **State Party:** GUINEA
LDC/LIC : LDC

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund : The sum of US\$233 remains unpaid for 1998 and 1999. A reminder letter was sent on 5 November 1999.

Name of project : Establishment of a Tentative List of cultural and natural heritage sites

Previous contributions from the World Heritage Fund : [Total US\$ 8,357]
Training 1981 9 month course on conservation of monuments [US\$ 8,357]

Background and summary of activities : Guinea ratified the World Heritage Convention in 1979 and has no site inscribed on the List today. Following the 4th Global Strategy Meeting in Porto-Novo (Benin) in 1998, it was decided that a project to relaunch the World Heritage Convention in the Republic of Guinea would be implemented and to that Guinea would present a request for preparing its Tentative List, and a time schedule for preparing nominatin dossiers.

Objectives: This project is to inform site managers and national authorities responsible for heritage and preparation of the nomination dossiers.

Plan of project:

- (i) Information at local level for arranging different site missions: January 2000.
- (ii) Mission by international expert for identifying cultural sites: 2nd week February 2000.
- (iii) Mission by international expert for identifying natural sites : 4th week February 2000.
- (iv) Seminar of 3 days with responsible authorities of identified sites, with a view to define the responsibilities of national authorities in preparing the nomination dossiers.

Budget breakddown :

Total project budget : US\$ 35,000
National or other input : US\$ 5,000

- transport vehicle
- infrastructure
- communication
- other administrative costs

Amount requested from World Heritage Fund : US\$ 30,000

<i>A. For the Ministry of Culture (Guinea)</i>		US\$ 19,000
-Logistical and technical organization / site missions	US\$	2.701
-Transport	US\$	1.939. ²⁷
-Cost of mission:	US\$	3.280
i. Preparatory mission	US\$	1.960
▪ Perdiem two national experts : 90US\$/day/pers = 90x2x7	US\$	1.260
▪ Perdiem for driver : 25US\$ = 25x1x7	US\$	175
▪ Subsistence for 3 persons : 10US\$/day/pers = 10x3x7	US\$	210
▪ Board: 15US\$/day/pers, = 15x3x7	US\$	315
ii. Two missions to cultural and natural sites	US\$	1.320
▪ Perdiem 1 national expert: 4 dayx2=8 , = 90US\$x1x8	US\$	720
▪ Perdiem 1 driver : 25US\$x1x8	US\$	200
▪ Subsistence 2 persons : 10US\$/day/pers =10US\$x2x8	US\$	160
▪ Board 2 persons : 15US\$/day/pers = 15US\$x2x8	US\$	240
-Organisation of seminar	US\$	8.774

▪ Materials for office and didactic logistic	US\$	1.519
▪ Participation of 10 participants from Provinces	US\$	1.950
▪ Perdiems	US\$	2.944
▪ Cost of organization	US\$	2.361
▪ Elaboration and finalization of the documents including maps and slides	US\$	2.305. ⁷³

B. *Two missions of two international experts* US\$ 11.000

- 4 international travel 1.000US\$x4 US\$ 4.000
- Perdiem 14 day x2 (Conakry) 111US\$x14x2 US\$ 3.108
- Honorarium 14 day x2 = 139x14x2 US\$ 3.892

Comments of the Advisory Bodies : ICOMOS strongly supports this request. ICCROM is pleased that Guinea is working towards full involvement in the World Heritage Convention through the creation of a tentative list. ICCROM, therefore, supports this request. ICCROM understands that, while there is no explicit target date for submission of the Tentative List, it is understood that the submission will be made by the end of 2000.

Comments of the Secretariat : This request corresponds to the objectives for implementing the Global Strategy in Africa, and has been prepared with the assistance of the Secretariat, and will result in the achieving the first step for the preparation of nomination dossiers.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve the amount of **US\$ 30,000 subject to the State Party paying its dues to the World Heritage Fund**, for financing this activity.

3. AFRICA.(a).IV.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** AFRICA

Type of assistance: Preparatory Assistance **State Party:** KENYA
LDC/LIC: LIC

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: Paid up to 1999.

Name of activity: Lamu, preparation of a nomination file.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions:None for cultural proprieties.

Summary background and description of activity: Lamu is among the very few surviving 12th century Swahili towns which has been continuously inhabited, and retained its integrity and authenticity. It is inscribed on Kenya's tentative list. Kenya submitted in July 1999, 6 draft nominations. The World Heritage Centre advised to better plan the rate of these submissions. The Kenyan authorities have decided to submit on 1st July 2000, Lamu's nomination file.

Objective: to gather comprehensive data for the completion of the nomination file by June 2000.

The National Museums of Kenya (NMK) will co-ordinate the preparation of the studies and the nomination file, in consultation with the stockholders and with the international expertise of the Nordic World Heritage Office.

The project intends:

- to complete the survey of the built environment and assess its state of conservation, and understand the percentage of buildings requiring major repairs
- to prepare a comparative analysis of architectural forms (living house, religious and military buildings)
- the probation of the social set-up based on the layout of living houses
- to understand the rate of encroachment of the built environment by other human activities and physical environment., and prepare an up-dated management plan
- to create a database which will guide NMK for future preservation measures
- the process will also serve as a training opportunity that will enhance the National Museums of Kenya team skills in nominating other properties to the WH List.

Timetable of activities:

Phase 1: Gathering and first analysis of the available information by NMK in Lamu and Nairobi.
January February

Phase 2: Mission by International experts and NMK staff from Nairobi to prepare a work plan for the preparation of the technical documents and assign the duties and responsibilities of NMK teams in Lamu and Nairobi for the first draft of the nomination file. February

Phase 3: Completion by the National experts of the tasks identified in the workplan February -April

Phase 4: Second mission of the international experts to review drafts of the technical documents and justification section of the nomination file May

Phase 5: Completion and reproduction of the documents of then nomination file June.

Budget breakdown:

International expertise:

2 missions to Lamu, and follow up of first mission:

Travel :	US\$	3,000 (2 trips)
Perdiem: 2 weeks :	US\$	2,632
Fees: 3 weeks :	US\$	3,792
Subtotal:	US\$	9,424

National expertise:		
-2 trips to Lamu from Nairobi for NMK staff (transport 920\$ and 680 perdiem)	US\$	1,600
Books and maps:	US\$	500
Equipment: Computer	US\$	1,300
and Camera / films	US\$	1,100
Provision for preparation and duplication of technical documents in 6 copies: 3 to be sent to the World heritage Centre, 2 to be kept in Lamu, one in Nairobi	US\$	2,000
Subtotal :	US\$	6,500
Total activity budget:	US\$	15,924

National or other input in kind:

Local consultants, boot transport and professional staff	US\$	4,000
--	------	-------

Amount requested from the Fund:	US\$	15,924
--	-------------	---------------

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS and ICCROM support this request. Although a great deal of documentary evidence for Lamu already exists, there is a need to collect it all in the proper format and to update important planning mechanisms such as the management plan and database of structures. A strong point of this proposal will be the training of Kenyan staff to enable them to carry out future nomination work on other Kenyan cultural sites.

Comments of Secretariat: This request has been prepared by NMK, after a visit to Lamu by a representative of the Centre and the Director of the Nordic World Heritage Office in September 1998.

<p>Action required by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve this request which is in line with the implementation of the Global Strategy in Africa for an amount of US\$15,924.</p>
--

3. AFRICA.(a).V.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** AFRICA
Type of assistance: Preparatory Assistance **State Party:** UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA
LDC/LIC: LDC

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: Paid up to 1999.

Name of activity: Preservation of the Kondoa Irangi Rock Art Paintings

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions:		[Total	US\$ 117,500]
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1979		[US\$ 9,348]
	1979		[US\$ 4,353]
	1983		[US\$ 1,920]
	1996		[US\$ 10,550]
	1998	Zanzibar	[US\$ 15,000]
<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1983	Kilwa Kisiwani	[US\$ 6,500]
	1991	Olduvai equipment	[US\$ 48,130]
<i>Training</i>	1979	Architectural conservation course	[US\$ 12,168]
	1983	Fellowship travel cost	[US\$ 1,000]
	1989	Mural conservation fellowship	[US\$ 8,531]

Summary background and description of activity: North-central Tanzania contains the most impressive and unique concentration of rock art sites, mainly rock shelters with prehistoric paintings. The existence of this important cultural heritage resource has been known since the late 19th century. During the past seventy years, there have been some tireless efforts to record, document, and study the Rock painting of Kondoa. However, it is anticipated that a more detailed and systematic survey and recording programme may result in the identification of the location of additional sites in the area. Considering the diversity of this cultural heritage and the financial and material resources so far utilised in documenting and preserving this heritage, the Government of United Republic of Tanzania is not in position to cover all the costs and requires expertise for their conservation. This has prompted the application for funding the services of a consultant for the preparation of a management plan and its inscription on Tanzania's Tentative List, with a view to preparing a nomination.

There is an urgent need to undertake the re-evaluation of the preservation status and up-dating the documentation of the rock art sites of the Kondoa Masai escarpment which was recommended by Professor Anati in the 1970's to be nominated on the World Heritage List. Appropriate conservation, protection and management measures should be designed and implemented to prevent the sites and paintings from further destruction and the preparation of a nomination file to the World Heritage List is needed.

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget: US\$ 33,470

National or other input: US\$ 3,470

Contributions from the Ministry of Education :

- Honoraria payment to national consultants : US\$ 1,470
- Perdiem to assistant to consultant : US\$ 1,200
- Incidentals : US\$ 800

Amount requested from the Fund: US\$ 30,000

- Travel-local (hiring of vehicle) : US\$ 3,100
- National consultant's fees 35US\$ a day : US\$ 1,715
- National consultant's perdiem 25US\$ a day : US\$ 1,225
- Equipment documentation : US\$ 3,800

▪ Secretariat services :	US\$	840
▪ Finalization and reproduction of documents upon presentation of proforma invoices (survey report, draft management plan, basic documents, maps, photographs):	US\$	6,000
▪ First international mission (First mission of 2 UNESCO/ICCROM consultants to finalize work plan and assess expected results)	US\$	8,880
❖ Perdiem 75USx2x10 days :	US\$	1,500
❖ International travel (return tickets) 2,300x2 :	US\$	4,600
❖ Fees 139USx2x10 days :	US\$	2,780
▪ Second international mission (Second mission of 1 UNESCO/ICCROM consultant to review results and advise on finalization of nomination file)	US\$	4,440
❖ Perdiem 75USx1x10 days :	US\$	750
❖ International travel (return ticket) 2,300x1 :	US\$	2,300
❖ Fees 139USx1x10 days :	US\$	1,390

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: This request was sent to ICCROM for evaluation in its capacity of Secretariat of Africa 2009, and co-ordinator of a Rock Art project in Southern Africa. ICCROM supports this request for preparatory assistance for the Kondoa Rock Art Site. If the Committee decides to support this request, however, ICCROM would recommend that the following issues be clarified before a contract is drawn-up:

1. expected outputs should be clearly stated (for example, a completed survey of the area identifying all existing rock art sites, a draft management plan for the site, a completed nomination dossier, etc.);
2. a clear agreement on division of responsibilities between national and international consultants needs to be specified;
3. a suitable timetable of activities needs to be drawn-up to ensure that activities take place in a timely and orderly manner.

ICCROM will be pleased to work with the Tanzanian authorities to clarify these issues and on the implementation of the activities indicated.

Comments of Secretariat: Given ICCROM comments and the number of issues which need to be clarified, it is recommended that the Tanzanian authorities, as a first step, convene a national seminar to identify stakeholders, create a task force, and prepare a project together with a work plan. A UNESCO/ICCROM consultant should be designated as a resource person for the seminar. It is therefore recommended that US\$7,000 be approved at this time, which would cover the costs of the mission of the international expert and the organization of the national seminar.

Action required by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve an amount of US\$ 7,000 to finance a mission by an international expert to address the issues raised by ICCROM and for the organization of an initial national seminar to identify stakeholders, create a task force, and to prepare project work plan.

3. AFRICA (a).VI.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** AFRICA

Type of assistance: Preparatory assistance **State Party:** TOGO
LDC/LIC: LDC

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: An amount of US\$ 108 remains to be paid for 1998 and 1999. A reminder letter was sent on 5 November 1999.

Title of project: Establishment of a tentative list of cultural sites

Previous World Heritage Fund contribution: None for cultural heritage.

Summary background and description of the project: Togo ratified the World heritage Convention on 15 April 1998.

Objectives: Establish a tentative list of cultural sites likely to be nominated for inscription on the World Heritage List and constitute a data base on the selected sites and establish a timetable for inscription.

Project plan: Organise a scientific mission to the selected sites with a pluridisciplinary national team composed of representatives of the Direction of Museums, Sites and Monuments, the UNESCO National Commission, the Direction of Wildlife, Direction of Tourism, a historian, an archaeologist, an architect, a geographer, an anthropologist and an audiovisual technician.
Gather information and documentation.
The UNESCO consultant, with the assistance of this team, will make a final presentation of the documents.
Prepare a tentative list.

Timetable of planned activities:

Elaboration of the logistics, settling of administrative problems and purchase of equipment: January-May 2000.

Field work and final presentation of the documents: May 2000.

Submission of the tentative list: July 2000.

Budget details:

-Field work

Daily allowances (board and lodging) US\$ 39 pers/day x 10 pers. x 10 days	US\$ 3,900
Indeminities for field work US\$ 8 pers./day x 10 pers. x 10 days	US\$ 800
Accompaniment (guide, porters)	US\$ 319
Individual insurance	US\$ 1,046.57
Sub-total	US\$ 6,065.57

Field equipment

Photographic equipment – US\$240 x 2	US\$ 480
Canera rental: US\$ 20 x 10 days	US\$ 200
Purchase of GPS	US\$ 590.57
Purchase of video player	US\$ 200
Purchase of film: US\$ 6 x 10	US\$ 60
Slides: US\$ 20 x 3	US\$ 60

Purchase tapes: US\$ 3 x 10	US\$	30
Purchase video tape: US\$ 9 x 5	US\$	45
Sub total	US\$	1,665.57
-Equipment for data base (computer and programmes)	US\$	4,000
- Secretariat (preparation of technical dossiers)	US\$	3,139.34
- Consultant costs:		
Travel costs: Air travel Paris-Lomé-Paris	US\$	786,16
Fee: 10 days	US\$	1,618.60
Daily allowance (Lomé): 10 days x US 123	US\$	1,230
Sub-total	US\$	3,634.76
TOTAL	US\$	18,505.24
Total Project Budget	US\$	18,505

National or other input:

In nature, concerning costs involved with the preparation of the mission and the tentative list..

Amount requested from the Fund: US\$ 18,505

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS strongly supports this request. The apparent discrepancy between the level of this request and that from Guinea is explicable for two reasons: first the territory of Guinea is larger than Togo and secondly Guinea's request includes funding for a seminar, which is not foreseen in Togo. ICCROM is pleased that Togo has ratified the World Heritage Convention. The carrying out of an inventory and creation of a tentative list is an important first step in Togo's full participation in the World Heritage Convention and ICCROM, therefore, supports this request.

Comments of Secretariat: This request corresponds to the objectives of the implementation of the Global Strategy in Africa, and was prepared with the assistance of the Secretariat with the aim of encouraging the procedure for the preparation of nomination dossiers.

Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approved the amount of US\$18,085.

3. AFRICA.(c).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** AFRICA
Type of assistance: Regional Training **Presented by:** WHC/ICCROM/CRATerre EAG
Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: Not applicable.
Name of activity: Africa 2009 - Conservation of Immovable Cultural Heritage in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions:	[Total	US\$ 100,000]
<i>Training</i> 1999 Africa 2009		[US\$ 100,000]

Summary background and description of activity: AFRICA 2009 was developed as the operational step of the *Training Strategy for Immovable Cultural Heritage in Africa South of the Sahara* approved by the World Heritage Committee at its 20th session in Merida, Mexico in 1996. The programme should be seen as an instrument for carrying out the overall strategy of the World Heritage Committee for training immovable cultural heritage professionals in sub-Saharan Africa through a partnership between the World Heritage Centre, ICCROM (its advisory body for training matters), and CRATerre-EAG. This request should, therefore, be seen as funding for the AFRICA 2009 programme as a whole rather than as a simple request for funding for an ICCROM activity. A full description of the programme for 2000 and its activities over the past two years can be seen in document WHC-99/CONF.209/INF11.

The current request is for activities to be carried out within the framework of regional activities for the programme (*Projet Cadre*) in the year 2000. It contains only those activities for which funding is being sought from the World Heritage Fund. The rest of the programme for 2000 can be found in the above mentioned information document.

1. *Three Month Course in French* – Scheduled to take place in Porto Novo, Benin at the *Ecole du Patrimoine Africain* (EPA) from May through July 2000, the course will be aimed at senior managers responsible for immovable cultural heritage in their Ministries of Culture or other national organizations charged with conservation of cultural heritage. The course, based on the successful one completed in Mombasa, Kenya in 1999, will be designed around a hands-on management planning exercise at a site or sites in Porto Novo (which is on Benin’s World Heritage Tentative List). The total cost of the three month course has been estimated at approximately US\$165,000.

2. *Seminar on Documentation and Inventory* – Based on one of the recommendations of the Directors Seminar in Mombasa, Kenya a seminar will be held in Livingstone, Zambia in 2000 on the topic of *Development of Documentation Policies and Standardization of Documentation Systems in Sub-Saharan Africa*. The seminar will be bilingual (English and French) with an expected attendance of approximately 25 – 30 professionals. Discussions are currently underway to link this seminar to a course planned by SARAP on documentation of rock art sites. The total cost of this seminar has been estimated at approximately US\$50,000.

3. *Programme Development* – In addition to the regularly scheduled activities of the programme, there is also a need for the operational partners (UNESCO WHC, ICCROM, and CRATerre-EAG) to meet several times a year for working meetings on programme development and implementation. In addition, missions will also be necessary on behalf of the programme for the development and monitoring of «projets situés». In 2000, meetings are foreseen in Grenoble, France and Rome, Italy. Missions are tentatively scheduled for the development of future «projets situés». Decisions on expenditures of these funds will be taken in consultation with both the World Heritage Centre and CRATerre-EAG. The total cost of programme development has been estimated at approximately US\$15,000.

Calendar of Activities: Preparations for all of the activities for which assistance has been requested are already underway. The tentative timetable for implementation of the activities is as follows:

Training Course in French:	September through November 2000
French Speaking Directors Seminar:	July 2000
Documentation Seminar:	August 2000
Research Projects:	Throughout the Year
Updating of WWW Site (web site):	Throughout the Year
Technical Assistance:	Throughout the Year
Co-ordination Meetings:	First and Fourth Quarter 2000
Publication of Newsletter:	November - December 2000

Budget breakdown:

The total estimated cost for all of the activities of the programme for 2000 is US\$355,000. The request from the World Heritage fund is US\$ 80,000. The budget breakdown for the funds requested under the fund are as follows:

<i>Support for the French Course</i> (Total Cost Approximately)		US\$	165,000
Preparatory mission (2 persons x 2 weeks)			
Travel (2x US\$1,250)	US\$	2,500	
<i>Per diem</i> (2x14daysxUS\$42)	US\$	1,176	
Ground transportation	US\$	500	
Guest lecturers (8 persons)			
Travel (8xUS\$1,250)	US\$	10,000	
<i>Per diem</i> (8x7xUS\$42)	US\$	2,352	
Honoraria (8x5xUS\$150)	US\$	6,000	
AFRICA 2009 Programme Staff (3 persons)			
Travel (3xUS\$1,250)	US\$	3,750	
Monthly Allowance (3x3xUS\$500)	US\$	4,500	
Accommodation (3x3xUS\$500)	US\$	4,500	
Course opening and closing	US\$	2,000	
Publicity	US\$	1,000	
Resources/teaching materials	US\$	5,722	
Study tours	US\$	5,000	
Administrative costs (phone, fax, DHL, etc.)	US\$	3,000	
Final report	US\$	1,000	
Subtotal for French Course Requested from the WH Fund	US\$	53,000	
<i>Support for the Documentation Seminar</i> (Total Cost Approximately)		US\$	50,000
Participants (7 persons)			
Travel (7xUS\$1,500)	US\$	10,500	
<i>Per diem</i> (7x7xUS\$68)	US\$	3,332	
Administrative costs (phone, fax, DHL, etc.)	US\$	996	
Subtotal for the Seminar Requested from the WH Fund	US\$	14,828	
<i>Programme Development</i> (Total Cost Approximately)		US\$	15,000
Travel for Programme Development			
Travel to Rome and Grenoble (4 personsx1,000)	US\$	4,000	
<i>Per diem</i> (4x4xUS\$133)	US\$	2,128	
Travel to Africa (3xUS\$1,500)	US\$	4,500	
<i>Per diem</i> (3x7xUS\$74)	US\$	1,544	
Subtotal for Programme Development Requested from the WH Fund	US\$	12,172	
Total activity budget:	US\$	310,000	
National or other sources	US\$	230,000	
ICCROM (not including staff time)	US\$	30,000	
SIDA	US\$	100,000	
NORAD	US\$	70,000	
Norwegian Ministry of the Environment	US\$	30,000	

Total Amount Requested from the World Heritage Fund

US\$ 80,000

Fundraising Strategy

An additional US\$45,000 is still being sought by the programme for 2000. In 2001 an additional US\$90,000 will be needed in order to cover additional activities and a review of the pilot phase of the project. A strategy is currently under development to secure these funds from bilateral, multilateral, and private funding sources and foundations to cover costs through the pilot phase of the programme.

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: This request was prepared through the close co-operation of UNESCO World Heritage Centre, ICCROM and CRATerre EAG.

Comments of the Secretariat: This is the only African request in the field of training of cultural heritage for the year 2000. Africa 2009 is designed to meet the training needs at the regional and aid at the national level. through «projets situés» which have been requested by States Parties under "Preparatory Assistance". The excellent co-operation between the three partner organizations has led to the preparation of this request, which if approved would ensure the smooth implementation of the recommendations adopted at the Cultural Heritage Directors' Seminar held in Mombasa (30 August- 1 September 1999) which are presented in document WHC-99/CONF.209/INF11.

<p>Action required: The Bureau may wish to recommend that the Committee approve this request for training in sub-Saharan Africa for the amount of US\$ 80,000, bearing in mind that it will be implemented by the UNESCO World Heritage Centre, ICCROM and CRATerre EAG.</p>
--

3. AFRICA.(d).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** AFRICA

Type of assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** GHANA
LDC/LIC: LIC

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: US\$ 488 unpaid for 1998 and 1999. A reminder was sent on 5 November 1999.

Name of activity: Enhanced Management for the Forts and Castles of Ghana.

World Heritage site concerned: Forts and Castles of Ghana

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions:		[Total	US\$ 48,116]
<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1996	Fortress and Castles of Ghana	[US\$ 20,000]
	1999	Documentation for Forts & Castles of Ghana	[US\$ 40,000]
<i>Training</i>	1981	Architectural conservation course	[US\$ 15,816]
	1985	Stone conservation course	[US\$ 2,300]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by the Bureau or the Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any: Report of the 22nd session of the Committee paragraph VII.35

Summary background and description of activity: The World Heritage Committee approved US\$ 40,000 from the 1999 WH Fund budget for a project concerning the creation of a documentation centre for "Forts and Castles of Ghana", of which US\$ 10,000 was earmarked for equipment. The equipment will be purchased by the UNESCO Office, upon approval of the pro forma invoices by UNESCO procurement division. In 1999, the Chairperson of Ghana Museums and Monuments Board (GMMB) redefined the tasks and responsibilities of GMMB for the implementation of the project, and requested the Centre to cancel the contract which had been established for the project. GMMB submitted a new request on 8 October 1999.

Available documentation on the above mentioned World Heritage property is currently very limited. Lack of complete information has undoubtedly a negative impact on its management and conservation. No scientific conservation can be implemented to preserve the integrity of the site unless it takes into account the existing historical data and iconography materials. Therefore, GMMB is in an urgent need to gather the complete documentation on this property which is available in European archives, and set up a documentation centre. GMMB has currently no financial means to undertake the project. It will however provide and set up adequate facilities for the establishment of the documentation centre and ensure the sustainability of the WH Fund grant.

Objectives:

- i) To ensure efficient management and conservation
- ii) To document and offer access to information and encourage research;
- iii) To improve the presentation of the sites to the public.

Expected outcomes:

- i) To collect in one place all existing archives on the Forts and Castles of Ghana and encourage research
- ii) To facilitate the preparation of exhibitions, brochures and materials for the visitors
- iii) To develop and nurture the links between the different countries involved in this project through the development of scientific co-operation

Timetable of activities:

Phase I: Establishment of the documentation center and preparation of bilateral agreements January - June 2000

Phase II: July 2000 - July 2001 Duplication , organisation and transfer of documents to Ghana

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget:

US\$ 30,000

National or other input:

In Kind

GMMB contribution:

- i) provision of office space & provide furniture and lighting etc.
- ii) organization and provision to the documentation centre's existing archives
- iii) establishment of posts and recruitment and training of adequate staff
- iv) collection on the basis of bilateral agreements the relevant documents from the various countries which hold them
- v) provision of one secretary/assistant

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund:

US\$ 30,000

International services:

US\$ 7,000

National services:

US\$ 23,000

1. Establishment of the Experts Steering Committee (5 members) US\$ 1,000
creation and planning of meetings
Note: sitting fees for the bi-monthly meetings will be paid of by GMMB after the initial phase (5 meetings).
2. Planning seminar: with the participation of at least one expert on US\$ 4,200
foreign archives in this field. With the participation of representatives of the concerned Embassies in Ghana
3. Consultant for assisting GMMB, which has most of the US\$ 5,000
expertise, to prepare and set in place the overall organization of the Centre: bidding, lay out, equipment, functional organization and, mostly, training
4. Creation of a national team of documentalists to serve on the US\$ 4,800
project. Documentalist: Contribution from the project: 24 months salary.
Counterpart from GMMB: creation of a documentalist position for the Centre from the time of the inception of the project.
5. Expert time (national) to research the archives existing in Ghana US\$ 2,000
6. Communications, email, Fax and telephone with foreign US\$ 3,000
documentation centres (24 months)
7. Supplies US\$ 3,000

All the expertise required from a given country will be provided on the basis of bilateral agreements to be signed in the context of this project with the assistance of UNESCO Office in Ghana. This lump sum will be used in the course of the implementation to cover the cost of the duplication of documents and unforeseen services.

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS has studied this request, a revised version of one approved request by the World Heritage Committee in 1998. It considers that the redefined project is an improvement on that originally submitted, and ICOMOS has no hesitation in supporting it.

ICCROM strongly supports this proposal for the creation of a documentation centre in Ghana. Work on the Forts and Castles, and indeed on all heritage sites in Ghana must be based on the existing documentation available for those sites. The proposal has some very strong aspects to it. The creation of a steering committee to guide the project can be seen as positive, as well as the idea of starting the process with a seminar to plan activities. If this proposal is approved by the Committee, however, ICCROM would ask that the following points be clarified before a contract is issued for implementation.

1. The proposal is based on the ability of the GMMB to set up bilateral agreements with countries for the collection and transfer of documentation to Ghana. This supposes that individual countries will be willing to provide all professional services and other costs (other than duplication) to Ghana free of charge. Before a contract is issued to Ghana, ICCROM would advise the World Heritage Centre to ensure the likelihood that a substantial number of countries with documentation on the Forts and Castles will be willing to agree to such an arrangement and to agree that the work will be carried out within the time specified (July 2001).
2. The estimated amounts within the Budget Breakdown are not sufficiently itemized. For example, US\$1,000 is listed for the establishment of an Experts Steering Committee but it is not clear what these funds will be spent on. The same is true for the US\$4,200 for the Planning Seminar. These amounts should be better clarified.
3. The sum of US\$5,000 for a consultant, «to set in place the overall organization of the centre: bidding, lay out, equipment, functional organization, and mostly, training», seems to be a rather small amount of money. It would be useful to have a breakdown of how many man/months this amount would cover, and a better breakdown of the activities covered within this section. It would seem necessary to have the consultant participate from the very beginning of the project including the planning seminar.
4. It is not clear who would be on the Ghanaian team described in point 4 of the Budget Breakdown. The proposal calls for 24 months of salary for an archivist (documentalist) and then states that there will be a GMMB counterpart. Does this mean that the archivist will come from outside the GMMB? If so, from where? And, will he/she stay on with the Centre after the 24 months are up? Also, what is the relationship of the archivist to the Consultant mentioned in point 3?
5. In addition to the creation of the physical space for the documentation centre, ICCROM would like to ensure that the following outputs are realized by the project:
 - a set of procedures and policies for proper cataloging, handling, storage, and conservation of the materials;
 - a set of procedures and policies for allowing access to the materials by researchers, conservators, etc.;
 - a set of procedures and policies for the continued collection of materials both from Ghana and abroad, and especially from ongoing work that is carried out by the GMMB and others on the Forts and Castles site.

Comments of Secretariat: The Secretariat will request GMMB to answer the queries raised by ICCROM which were received on 29 October 1999.

Action required by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve the amount of **US\$ 30,000** subject to the State Party paying its dues to the World Heritage Fund, requesting the World Heritage Centre to clarify the issues raised by ICCROM before drawing up a contract for ensuring successful implementation of the project.

- Power Supply & Cable (25-45W Model): 2x270US\$	US\$	540
- Base Antenna with 100 Foot Cable: 2x600US\$	US\$	1.200
- Motorola GM 350 Mobile Radio	US\$	470
- Mobile Antenna with Mini-M Connector	US\$	50
- Batteries (3x910)	US\$	2.730
- Fob, documentation & airfreight	US\$	1.146
- Insurance	US\$	255
- PSI Charges 1,5% on CIF Value	US\$	212
- Clearing & Forwarding (if done by ICS(T) LTD)	US\$	283
- Installation/Material costs	US\$	2.000
- Travel costs & Sustenance expenses	US\$	1.000
- Commissioning Fees	US\$	1.800
Total activity budget:	US\$	19.426

National or other input: US\$ 5,400
Installation of radio calls, solar panels and accessories

Amount requested from the Fund: US\$ 19,426

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICCROM finds it a bit difficult to assess this request. In principle, ICCROM is not against assisting these sites, but more information would be needed in order to give clearer advice on the present proposal. Good communication is definitely a part of any successful management process. In addition, the remoteness of the sites in question is also not open to dispute. In order to give informed advice, however, it would be useful to have more information on:

- the current situation related to infrastructure at the sites;
- the current management practices at the sites;
- who would be using the equipment, both on the sites and in Dar es Salaam (for example the request asks for a radio to be placed in a vehicle in Dar, but it is not clear who's vehicle or how it would benefit the World Heritage Sites in question);
- how would the equipment aid in the better management of the sites.

ICCROM would advise the World Heritage Centre to seek clarification from the Tanzanian authorities in regard to these questions.

Comments of Secretariat: This request is not a priority for the implementation of the Global Strategy in Africa. It was evaluated by the procurement unit of UNESCO which requested additional information before clearing the above mentioned list of equipment. It recommended that the State Party purchases 3 batteries (US\$910 per unit) and establish a service and maintenance budget in order to replace the batteries at the end of their limited lifetime. The procurement division advised that the request should be approved for an amount of US\$16,696.

Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to request the Centre to seek the clarifications requested by ICCROM and re-examine the request in the light of the information which shall be provided by the Tanzanian Authorities.

3. AFRICA.(d).III.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** AFRICA
Type of assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** ZIMBABWE
LCD/LIC: LIC

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: Paid up to 1999.

Name of activity: Implementation of the Management Plan for Enhanced Protection of Khami Ruins.

World Heritage site concerned: Khami Ruins

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions:		[Total: US\$ 59,400]
<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1987	Equipment for Khami Ruins [US\$ 20,500]
	1998	Management plan for the Khami Ruins, through Africa 2009 activity [US\$ 38,900]

Summary background and description of activity: As outlined in the management plan, Khami Ruins is in a bad state of conservation. At present, the site is managed from Bulawayo, 22km away. Restoration works are not systematic and infrastructure is undeveloped. There is shortage of equipment for the conservation of the site. Because of some of the problems listed in the management plan, Khami has been included on the 100 Most Endangered Sites by the World Monuments Watch. This current request is to finance the implementation of the management plan, whose objectives are to:

- Provide adequate staff for the conservation of Khami Monument.
- Monitor the structures and restorations.
- Purchase of equipment to facilitate the conservation of the site.

A Strategic plan from 2000-2005 has been prepared, which is expected to provide adequate staff for effective management of the site. The project manager and all ancillary staff are expected to live on-site. Restoration will be carried out systematically after an analysis of the monitoring results. It is hoped that by the end of the project the condition of the site would be comparable to that of Great Zimbabwe World Heritage site.

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget: US\$ 50,300
National or other input: In Kind

The activities and the cost of this project are listed in the management plan. The State will provide funds for engaging staff as well as the development of the site's infrastructure. The Zimbabwe Government has already provided US\$100,000 towards the capital development aspect mentioned in the plan and is expected to supply additional manpower as per recommendation of the plan.

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund: US\$ 50,300

After the adoption of the Khami National Monument management plan, National Museums is proceeding with its implementation. There is a need to purchase equipment (below is a revised budget):

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|--------|
| • Tractor | US\$ | 9,000 |
| • Grass Mowers | US\$ | 2,000 |
| • Computer & accessories | US\$ | 4,000 |
| • 1 digital, 1 photographic cameras | US\$ | 1,500 |
| • 2 way radio com system | US\$ | 2,500 |
| • Total Station | US\$ | 4,000 |
| • 2 x 4 truck | US\$ | 20,000 |

• GPS	US\$	1,500
• Fire fighting equipment	US\$	2,000
• Conservation Equipment	US\$	3,500
• Connection to instrument	US\$	300

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS and ICCROM supported this request and referred to the site management plan developed for the site in 1998 within the framework of the AFRICA 2009 programme. They made two observations. First, all the equipment purchase should be scrutinized by UNESCO procurement section. Secondly, the World Heritage Centre should request clarification on the light of the item relating to the purchase of a 4x4 truck (US\$ 35,000 indicated in the previous budget).

ICCROM noted that US\$ 300 is to go towards setting up an internet connection for Khami. ICCROM feels that the internet is an important means of communication and networking and therefore supports this item. Zimbabwe should be asked, however, to ensure that they are willing to support the cost of connectivity after the year 2000. ICCROM would also recommend that Zimbabwe be asked to supply a report at the end of the year 2000 to cover conservation, monitoring, and management activities carried out at the site during the year.

Comments of the Secretariat: If the request is approved, the funds will be released through the UNESCO Harare Office after examination of the proforma invoices by the UNESCO Procurement Division. National Museums and Monuments of Zimbabwe clarified the issue of the truck and reduced the amount requested from the World Heritage Fund from US\$69,300 to US\$50,300, and requested a 2x4 truck instead of a 4x4 truck. They pointed out that the list of equipment is "not only consistent with the recommendations of the Khami Management Plan, but it was also based on experience at Great Zimbabwe. "

<p>Action required by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to recommend that the Committee approve a sum of US\$50,300, requesting the National Museums and Monuments of Zimbabwe to support the cost of connectivity of Internet after the year 2000 and to submit to the 25th session of the Committee, a report on the conservation, monitoring and management activities carried out at Khami in 1999 and 2000.</p>

ARAB REGION

3. ARAB.(c).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ARAB
Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC
LDC/LIC: Neither
Name of activity: 3-Day Seminar on the management of cultural tourism, sustainable development and the management of World Heritage sites

World Heritage sites concerned: Not specified.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions for cultural heritage:			[Total: US\$ 157,855]
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1978	List	[US\$ 2,500]
	1997	Implementation of the Convention in Syria	[US\$ 4,433]
	1997	Implementation of the Convention in Syria, Jordan, Lebanon	[US\$ 12,432]
<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1981	Damascus	[US\$ 65,190]
	1989	Palmyra	[US\$ 15,000]
	1992	Damascus	[US\$ 19,500]
	1994	Equipment for Damascus	[US\$ 17,000]
	1996	Bosra	[US\$ 20,000]
<i>Training</i>	1985	Stone conservation fellowship	[US\$ 1,800]

Summary background and description of activity:

Every form of World Heritage (city, architecture, archaeological, historic, folkloric, traditional craft etc.) is considered in its economic dimension, particularly in the context of development of tourism. This activity can contribute to safeguard and dynamize World Heritage, but it can be also cause of its deterioration. The public authorities have to be prepared to face increasing responsibility, but sometimes they do not have the solution nor the appropriate possibilities.

The World Heritage Sites have become major destination of world cultural tourism. It must be managed with particular attention.

This seminar will allow participants from Syria and other countries of the region to know how to identify, explain and recommend innovative and concrete approaches for tourism management.

OBJECTIVE: In the development of countries, "durable tourism" which guarantees the preservation of their heritage resources must be examined with a view to enable the institution in charge to better safeguard cultural heritage sites and promote tourism in a shared action.

The participants of the seminar will discuss how the sector of tourism can join the financing of the promotion and the development of cultural heritages. Utilization of institutional, legal and financial solutions will be examined.

This seminar will contribute to reinforce the quality of management, protection, safeguarding, maintenance, revitalization, financing and promotion of the cultural heritage sites in Arab countries.

This seminar will aim to ensure the harmonious development of cultural tourism in Syria and other Arab countries and encourage new actions like :

- the promotion of the meeting of different cultures, in past time and present, of Orient and Occident.
- the protection, revitalization and activation of different forms of cultural heritages in the region especially the World Heritage Sites.

- new methods of management and co-ordination of the public or private actions.
- procedures of inscription, follow-up and management of the World Heritage Sites.

EXPECTED THEMES:

- Influences of cultural heritage to tourism and economy.
- New "products" of the cultural tourism
- Generation of new resources in favour of the cultural heritage
- Management of World Heritage.

PROGRAMME:

- Seminar and Visit (3 days)
 - Seminar
 - General presentation
 - Case studies
 - Round tables
 - Discussions
 - Visit to the sites
 - Damascus
 - Bosra
- Optional visit in Syria (3 days)

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget: **US\$ 114,304**

National or other input: **US\$ 56,304**

Government of Syria US\$ 41,304

UNESCO Programme Participation US\$ 15,000

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund: **US\$ 58,000**

(a) Organizational:

Location of Hall and Staffs	US\$ 6,522
Official Dinner in Damascus	US\$ 2,174
Coffee breaks	US\$ 2,174
Caliquots (streamers)	US\$ 1,087
Documentation	US\$ 2,000
Communication	US\$ 500

(b) Personnel:

Scientific preparation	US\$ 6,000
Co-ordination travels	US\$ 3,000
Instructors	US\$ 6,000
Translation	US\$ 3,400

(c) Travels:

Air Fee (18 persons)	US\$ 14,400
Hotels for instructors (16 persons)	US\$ 12,800
Hotels for Guests	US\$ 11,955
Visit of sites	US\$ 15,218

(d) Publication:

Documents	US\$ 8,000
Printing	US\$ 18,000

(e) Miscellaneous: US\$ 1,074

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: The World Heritage Centre regional desk requested ICCROM to make comments on 16 November 1999.

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre supports this request but as there are some cost-saving budget items as well as unclear budget breakdown items such as «coffee breaks» for \$2,174 and a

«scientific preparation» for \$ 6,000. Nevertheless, the World Heritage Centre Arab regional desk supports this request and has recommended that the Committee approve \$ 45,000 for this request.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to consider further comments from ICCROM at the time of its session and formulate a recommendation to the Committee.

Request 3. ARAB.(c).II.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ARAB
Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** TUNISIA
LDC/LIC: Neither

Name of activity: Training and information international workshop on the project to preserve and restore of Carthage

World Heritage sites concerned: Carthage

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions for cultural heritage:			[Total: US\$ 419,093]
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1992	Nomination Thugga	[US\$ 15,000]
	1995	Sidi Bou Said Carthage Experts	[US\$ 12,129]
<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1980	Carthage	[US\$ 118,000]
	1984	Tunis colloque	[US\$ 10,000]
	1989	Carthage creation of a national park	[US\$ 5,603]
	1993	Carthage Sidi Bou Said monitoring consultations	[US\$ 2,633]
	1999	Management plan for Carthage	[US\$ 14,000]
<i>Training</i>	1982	These	[US\$ 7,871]
	1988	Wood conservation fellowship; Trondheim	[US\$ 2,857]
	1993	Maghreb conservation course for architects	[US\$ 50,000]
	1995	Maghreb conservation course for architects	[US\$ 50,000]
<i>Emergency Assistance</i>	1996	Maghreb regional architectural course	[US\$ 36,000]
	1981	Tunis	[US\$ 95,000]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any: None.

Summary background and description of activity: The project to preserve and restore of Carthage (PPMA : Plan de protection et de mise en valeur) is the first project initiated and implemented by Tunisia in accordance with the National Heritage Code promulgated in 1994 inspired by regulations and orientations of the World Heritage Convention. This project of Carthage is a result of collaboration between Tunisia and UNESCO through the World Heritage Centre. It is equivalent to a city planning with the archaeological and historic sites; it establishes the legal basis and regulation for its implementation.

This request will provide an occasion to share the formation and know-how gained during the undertaking of the project of the PPMA and will serve to extend this approach to other sites in the country and abroad.

5 days workshop and visit to the site of Carthage.

OBJECTIVES:

- Reflection of the way and method to develop and apply a similar project of PPMA.
- Examination of the perspective state of archaeological studies in the Site of Carthage according to the terms of the PPMA.
- Activation of the co-operation in the field of archaeology and promotion of the participation of international scientists.
- Enabling the scientists and administrators of archaeological sites to be work together and apply the PPMA.
- An event to promote awareness about the application of the project of preservation and restoration of Carthage will be held at the occasion of the workshop.

EXPECTED THEMES:

1. The Plan to preserve and restore of Carthage in context of the World Heritage Convention.
2. The Plan in context of the National Heritage Code.
3. Definition and the range of the Plan.
4. Methodological study.
5. Implementation of the Plan.
6. Training on the Plan.
7. Archaeological study in the Plan.
8. Perspective of the archaeological research of Carthage
9. International co-operation on Carthage

Budget breakdown:

No detailed budget breakdown was provided

Total activity budget:	US\$ 90,000
1. Location, Translation	US\$ 10,000
2. Secretariat	US\$ 3,000
3. Fieldwork	US\$ 2,000
4. Specialists	US\$ 33,000
5. International travels	US\$ 25,000
6. Domestic travels	US\$ 3,000
7. Publications	US\$ 10,000
8. Miscellaneous	US\$ 4,000

National or other input: Government of Tunisia	US\$ 40,000
---	--------------------

Amount requested from the Fund:	US\$ 50,000
--	--------------------

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICCROM was requested to make comments, on 16 November 1999 by the World Heritage Centre Arab region desk.

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre «Arab region desk supports this request from the Tunisian authorities and recommends that the Committee approve a reduced amount of US\$ 45,000 under Training budget, representing 50% of this important activity. This approval will conclude the works on the important site of Carthage and will be an occasion for an international presentation of the value of co-operation within the Convention. It will also enable the presentation of the PPMA to international and national specialists and the promotion of this type of management process for the other sites of Tunisia».

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to examine comments made by ICCROM at the time of its session and make a recommendation to the Committee.

Request 3. ARAB.(d).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ARAB

Type of assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** EGYPT
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of payment of dues to the World Heritage Fund: Paid up to 1999.

Name of activity: Revitalization Programme for Islamic Cairo

World Heritage site concerned: Islamic Cairo

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions:			[Total: US\$ 506,488]
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1979	Site listing	[US\$ 3,609]
	1998	Historic Cairo conservation strategy meeting	[US\$ 14,900]
<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1979	Islamic Cairo centre	[US\$ 30,000]
	1981	Cairo	[US\$ 25,949]
	1983	Restoration of monuments of Historic Cairo	[US\$ 50,000]
	1993	Restoration of Cairo after earthquake	[US\$ 50,000]
	1996	Restoration of Islamic Cairo	[US\$ 30,000]
	1997	Restoration of Al Sinnari house, Cairo	[US\$ 20,000]
	1998	Preparation of programme for Cairo	[US\$ 19,000]
	1999	Rehabilitation of Historic Cairo	[US\$ 120,000]
	<i>Training</i>	1988	Wood conservation fellowship; Trondheim
1989		Louvain fellowship	[US\$ 5,273]
1993		Louvain fellowship	[US\$ 10,000]
1994		Louvain fellowship	[US\$ 10,000]
1998		Egypt's World Heritage sites	[US\$ 19,900]
1998		Documentation of archaeological sites using IS	[US\$ 20,000]
<i>Emergency Assistance</i>	1992	Evaluation of earthquake effects	[US\$ 20,000]
	1994	Cairo	[US\$ 50,000]
<i>Promotional</i>	1998	Exhibition on Historic Cairo	[US\$ 5,000]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any:

22nd session of the Committee paragraph VII.43

23rd session of the Bureau Report paragraph IV.55

Reference paragraphs in Working Documents WHC-99/CONF.208/5 and WHC-99/CONF.209/14

Yes - see Working Document for sites in Egypt.

Summary background and description of activity: Cairo is one of the World's oldest Islamic cities with famous mosques, medersas, hammams and fountains and at the present time the largest Islamic living city. In order to resolve problems caused by urban growth and social changes, it needs an overall management plan and well-framed co-operation programme to revitalize its World Heritage Site which is on of the largest urban sites with 500 ha and more than 450,000 inhabitants.

The historic monuments are legally protected by Law No. 215 of 1951. The urban fabric of the site is placed under the direct responsibility of the Governor of Cairo.

The site of Islamic Cairo has been given national priority with a specific decision and coordination mechanism. A higher Committee regrouping the concerned Ministers and the Supreme Council of Antiquities Chairman, headed by the Prime Minister takes all the decisions relevant to the site. A

technical Committee composed of representatives of the concerned Ministries and chaired by the Governor of Cairo controls and coordinates activities in the site. The supreme Council of Antiquities remains responsible for the listed monuments within the site.

The state of conservation of the site as a whole has started to improve thanks to important infrastructure works (removal of fly-overs, opening of a tunnel to divert crossing circulation, installation of a new sewage system, contracting the restoration of several monuments, etc). These are still underway.

In 1999, the cooperation programme has resulted in the following activities :

- conception and approval of a set of priority actions of revitalization,
- reinforcing the coordination mechanism with the recruitment of a technical coordinator to the Governor of Cairo,
- completion of the restoration of Beit Sinanri and launching of the first pilot project of revitalization,
- launching of a second pilot project,
- beginning of preparation of a strategic study on the role of World Heritage in the overall development process of Egypt.

OBJECTIVES FOR 2000:

1. Co-ordination of interventions:

1.1 A Policy Advisor

A policy advisor will be posted next to the Interministerial Committee. He will co-operate with the Advisory Board of the Committee at decision-making level.

1.2 A Technical Coordinator

A technical coordinator interfaces already with the executive agencies intervening in Historic Cairo. He has been placed within the "Executive Committee for the Supervision of the Rehabilitation and Upgrading of Historic Cairo," chaired by the Governor, and has begun his coordination work between the various units working on the site.

1.3 An Architect-restorer

Seconded by France, in charge of the first pilot revitalization project.

2. Pilot Projects:

2.1 Beit Sinnari – Completion of the of technical training. Extension of the works on the quarter.

2.2 Second revitalization pilot project in the vicinity of the Al-Azhar Mosque to build on the variety of interventions in an attempt to create a common pattern between them.

Budget breakdown:

(No detailed budget breakdown)

Policy Advisor (senior coordinator)	US\$ 60,000
Technical coordinator	US\$ 30,000
Restoration Architect	US\$ 50,000
Structural engineer	US\$ 10,000
Missions to Cairo	US\$ 20,000
Missions to Paris	US\$ 5,000
Evaluation meeting	US\$ 10,000
Revitalization of quarters	US\$ 25,000
Beit Sinnari	US\$ 40,000

Total activity budget: US\$ 250,000

National or other input	US\$ 150,000
Contribution by Government of Egypt	US\$ 150,000
Contribution by UNDP to devise national strategy for World Heritage	(US\$ 90,000)

Amount requested from the Fund: **US\$ 100,000**

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICCROM nor ICOMOS were requested for evaluations and comments, after 16 November 1999 by the World Heritage Centre regional desk.

Decision of the Committee at its 22nd session in December 1998: «The Committee, at its 22nd session, approved US\$ 120,000 in 1999 for the 1st phase of this activity, stressing that this approval was an exceptional case. The Committee requested the State Party to submit a full report on the implementation of this activity after one year for presentation of the 23rd session of the Committee. Although fully supportive of the initiatives taken by the Egyptian authorities, a few Committee members questioned whether such a large grant should be approved, in view of the limited resources available from the World Heritage Fund. Furthermore, a member of the Committee expressed his concern, as a matter of principle, whether the Committee should commit itself to partially funding such a large project for a three-year period.

However, the Delegate of Morocco, supported by other Committee members, stressed that Islamic Cairo was one of the most endangered World Heritage sites, and taking into consideration previous grants to Egypt for protecting its heritage site, the Committee should continue to aid this State Party for rehabilitating Islamic Cairo. The Committee decided to approve US\$ 120,000 for the first year of the project, underlining that this was an exceptional case in view of the States Party's commitment to fund an equal amount of funds for the first year of activities, and the Committee further requested the State Party to submit a full report on the progress made in the implementation of this project to the 23rd session of the Committee.

The Observer of Egypt, thanking the Committee for the generous grant for the first year of activities, underlined the tremendous scale of the rehabilitation project for Islamic Cairo. He assured the Committee of his Government's commitment in implementing this hundreds of million dollar project, and stated that the technical assistance grant [from the World Heritage Fund] would represent a minimal portion within the total project budget.»

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre supports this request in principle, which was submitted on 4 October 1999. The World Heritage Centre Arab regional desk officer is prepared to clarify the budget details at the time of the Bureau.

<p>Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to examine comments from ICCROM and ICOMOS at the time of the 23rd extraordinary session and further information from the World Heritage Centre and thereafter make a recommendation to the Committee.</p>

Request 3. ARAB.(d).II.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ARAB
Type of assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** LEBANON
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of payment of dues to the World Heritage Fund: Paid up to 1999

Name of activity: Restoration works for the Monasteries of Ouadi Quadisha and the Forest of the Cedars of God

World Heritage sites concerned: Monasteries of Ouadi Quadisha and the Forest of the Cedars of God

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions for site concerned:			[Total: US 90,500]
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1996	Nomination of Quadisha	[US\$ 7,500]
<i>Training</i>	1998	Conservation of mural paintings	[US\$ 20,000]
	1999	Regional seminar on conservation of mural paintings	[US\$ 60,000]
<i>Promotion</i>	1999	Exhibition on Lebanese World Heritage sites	[US\$ 3,000]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any: This information will be presented at the time of the Bureau session.

Summary background and description of activity: The monastery of «Notre Dame de Quannoubine», which used to be the headquarters of Maronite Patriarch during several centuries (1440-1830), is the most important religious place of this site. The building of the monastery is actually in bad condition like some farmers' houses surrounding it. The rehabilitation to make them habitable year-long will allow the religious community to return to the Valley. It will also enable receiving visitors and organizing spiritual meetings there. Furthermore, the paintings in the Church of the Monastery bear special importance. They represent the morphology of the iconographic tradition and its influence by external styles. These pictures have suffered from accelerated degradation by humidity which soaks through the walls. Number of fragments of the mural paintings of twelfth or thirteenth century are threatened by rapid disappearance. Urgent operations of reinforcement and restoration are indispensable.

The hermitage of Deir El Salib situated near the village of Hadchit carved in the rock is in danger of collapsing. It includes some of the most beautiful pictures of twelfth century, which risk disappearing.

PROJECT PLAN: Restoration works : January - December 2000, followed by four campaigns of one month, to complete the project.

1. Monastery of Quannoubine:
 - Paintings
 - Restoration
2. Deir El Salib:
 - Paintings
 - Strengthening of the structure
3. Other paintings:
 - Church of Mar Assia : fragments of Ethiopian paintings
 - Hermitage of Mar Youhanna : fragments of paintings

-Hermitage of Mar Georgios : fragments of paintings

4. fund-raising for additional contributions to make permanent the dynamism in the valley (in the subsequent phase)

- Organize and increase the value of the micro-agricultural installation.
- Management of the road with sign posts and explanatory boards.
- Consolidation of the farmers' houses near the Quannoubine and transform them into rural lodgings.
- Ecological research of the valley.

EXPECTED OUTPUTS: The restoration work for the Quadisha Valley will revitalize the Valley and its monasteries as a sacred place and as a religious community from the old ages.

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget: (no detailed breakdown) US\$ 75,000

1) international specialists for restoration of the monuments (2 x 1 week)

2) national architect

3) treatment to the hygrometric problem in the Church of Quannoubine

4) consolidation of the structures of Quannoubine

5) first phase of restoration of the Monastery

sub total US\$ 40,000

6) restoration of the paintings of Deir El Salib and consolidation of the structure

7) consolidation of the paintings of the other hermitages.

sub total US\$ 10,000

8) fixation and restoration of the paintings of Quannoubine US\$ 25,000

National or other input: US\$ 25,000

Contribution by Patriarch

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund: US\$ 50,000

Comments of the Advisory Bodies:

ICOMOS supports this request (US\$ 50,000) in principle. However, it wishes to make two points. First, the project envisages work in the calendar year 2000, followed by four campaigns of one month each. It is not clear whether the latter are included in the request. If they are, it would seem more logical to make a first grant of \$35,000 for 2000 and a second grant of \$15,000 in 2001. Secondly, ICOMOS wishes to be consulted over the selection of the international expert.

ICCROM received the forwarded request from the Centre on September 29, 1999 by mail. The Centre's letter of transmission, dated 22 September 1999, refers to having received the request from Lebanon on September 21, 1999. The Lebanese document was signed and sealed on September 16, 1999. The ICCROM response has been prepared by Herb Stovel on October 6, 1999.

ICCROM finds the objectives of the proposed work highly laudable and comprehensively formulated. Those in charge of the work are clearly planning within a holistic framework giving attention equally to urgent stabilisation, to needed restoration, to the quality of the environment around the sites, and also to the up-grading necessary to improve use and "character" of the complex.

However it is very difficult to judge the plans for the execution of the project. No information is provided on how the work will be carried out, and who will be involved. The cost data is very general and not provided in the level of detail specified in the technical co-operation "notes". The calendar data is also extremely general.

While ICCROM can certainly support this request in principle, given the large size of the grant request (US\$ 50,000), it would seem helpful to request the Lebanese authorities to provide greater detail. Without

such detail, it is difficult to judge the appropriateness of the scale and utility of the funds requested. ICCROM would be happy to review such material on an urgent bases if it can be quickly supplied.

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre supports this request. In view of the comments of ICOMOS and ICCROM, and lack of a detailed budget breakdown for the project, it is recommended that funds be approved subject to the reception of detailed information concerning project implementation, budget breakdown, and international experts to be engaged. Subject to the positive evaluation by the Advisory Bodies concerning the information received by the State Party, the Committee may wish to approve the release of funds.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to recommend that the Committee approve the amount of **US\$ 50,000, subject to the State Party providing detailed information requested by the Advisory Bodies on the activity proposed, and upon positive review by the Advisory Bodies. The Bureau may wish to recommend that the Committee request that the Advisory Bodies, the State Party and the World Heritage Centre undertake consultations in order to work out the details of the activity proposal to bring about the maximum benefit from the assistance granted. Finally, the Bureau may wish to recommend that the Committee request that the State Party consult with the Advisory Bodies on the international experts to be engaged for this activity.**

Develop a sound legal framework for the protection and planning of the ancient canal towns in Jiannan Area.

Expected Outputs

Documentation on the historic canal towns in Jiangnan area;
Proceedings of the Expert workshop;
Draft nomination dossier for eventual submission;
Draft plan of action on the conservation and development of the historic canal towns in Jiangnan area.

Time-table for planned activities

October/November 1999, selection of national experts in China and the international experts (candidates from both sides are already available, co-ordination work will be undertaken by the National Center for Historic City Studies of Tongji University of Shanghai in co-operation with the Ministry of the Construction of China and the UNESCO World Heritage Center).

February/March 2000, International Expert Workshop (10 days) to be held at Tongji University (Shanghai) of China with a field visit to the historic towns of Jiangnan area, i.e.the Canal Towns of Zhouzhuang, Tongli and Luzhi in Jiangsu Province and the Canal Towns of Nanxun, Xitang and Wuzhen in Zhejiang Province and on-site training sessions.

April/May 2000, Finalization of the Proceedings of the meeting the draft nomination dossier; follow-up mission of one international expert to review the nomination dossier in April.

Participating agencies

The Ministry of Construction of China
National Administration of Cultural Heritage of China
The Chinese National Commission for UNESCO
National Center for Historic City Studies, Department of Urban Planning, Tongji University of Shanghai, China
Construction Commission of Jiangsu Province
Construction Commission of Zhejiang Province
Municipal authorities of Zhouzhuang, Tongli and Luzhi in Jiangsu Province and Nanxun, Xitang and Wuzhen in Zhejiang Province.

Budget breakdown:

(i) Organizational US\$ 13,500

Preparation of Workshop working documents (in English and Chinese for the participants)	US\$3,000
Workshop facilities & equipment	US\$2,000
Secretariat assistance	US\$2,000
Accommodation of the participants (\$35 x 15 persons x10 nights)	US\$5,250
Miscellaneous (communication costs, hospitality etc.)	US\$1,000

(ii) Travel US\$12,000

Travel of international experts (five return tickets from the region and Europe)	US\$6,000
Inter-city travel of Chinese experts	US\$2,000
Transportation for the participants (pick-up services, field visits etc.)	US\$4,000

(iii) Personnel US\$7,600

National consultancy services (two man/month) US\$3,600

Fees and honorarium to the national resource persons US\$1,500

Daily Subsistence Allowance for international experts US\$2,500

(iv) Production cost of nomination dossier with maps, video & photos US\$5,000

Total activity budget: US\$37,850

National input: An amount of US\$17,850 national contribution in cash will be made available to cover items (iii) & (iv)—costs of personnel and production of the nomination dossier and part of item (ii)- transportation for the participants and additional contributions in kind.

Amount request from the WH Fund: An amount of US\$20,000 is requested from the World Heritage Fund to cover item (i) and (ii)-- the organizational costs and a part of item (ii)--travel of international experts and inter-city travel of the Chinese experts.

Comments of Advisory Bodies: N/A

Comments of the Secretariat:

China today has already twenty-one cultural, natural or mixed sites on the World Heritage List of which cultural sites are mostly monumental. This expert meeting, aims to harmonize cluster nominations of historic town within the unique geo-cultural area in Jiangsu and Zhejiang provinces of China.

<p>Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve the amount of US\$ 20,000 for this activity, under the Preparatory Assistance budget for 2000.</p>

Month 8-9: consult international expert (1) to review the Tentative Lis, the draft nomination dossier, management plan, and revise and complete as appropriate.

Month 10-12: submit the Tentative List and nomination dossier to UNESCO WH Centre

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget: **US\$ 45,800**

National or other input: **In Kind & US\$ 15,800**

- 1. Salaries of national authorities: US\$ 5,500
- 2. Services of national experts: US\$ 2,000
- 3. Collection of documentation: US\$ 1,000
- 4. Hotel for national experts: US\$ 2,300
- 5. Working meeting with experts: US\$ 5,000

Amount requested from the WH Fund: **US\$ 30,000**

- 1. Computer equipment (requested in original request) **US\$ 7,000**
(Through UNESCO Equipment Purchasing Unit)
- 2. International experts for:
 - (a) nomination planning, drafting, and developing sustainable conservation and development management plan of site **US\$ 8,000**
(two missions x US\$ 4,000, including daily subsistence allowance, international airfare round trip, expert service fee for 7 working days each mission, reporting costs)
 - (b) tomb / mural painting conservation and management **US\$ 4,000**
(one missions x US\$ 4,000, including daily subsistence allowance, international airfare round trip, expert service fee for 7 working days, reporting costs)
- 3. National costs for preparing Tentative List and Koguryo Tombs group nomination
 - (a) reproduction of slides, photographs, research documentation, maps, management plans **US\$ 1,000**
 - (b) mapping, physical delineation (core, buffer zones) and signage of tombs **US\$ 1,000**
 - (c) preparation of a comprehensive, sustainable management plan of the tombs, including visit of two national experts (site-manager or department of archaeology director responsible for drawing up and implementing management plan) to United Kingdom (Stonehenge and Avebury/ English Heritage) and France (Vezere Valley, Carnac, Ministry of Culture and local authorities) **US\$ 9,000**

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: Comments were requested after the World Heritage Centre finalized the reformulated activity plan and budget with the DPR of Korean National Commission on 16 November 1999.

Comments of the Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre has closely co-operated with the State Party in reformulating this request, following the findings of the cultural expert after the 1999 training activity concerning the state of conservation of the fragile Koguryo Tombs. UNESCO Equipment Purchasing Unit has been consulted for the most economical prices for the computer equipment to be purchased. The DPR of Korea, has requested technical expertise for mural paintings conservaton as well as for drawing up a comprehensive management and conservation plan. The new plan of action for this activity was proposed and agreed upon by the State Party. The study tour to the United Kingdom and France, in particular, is highly recommended as exposure to existing exemplary management systems developed by other States Parties with similar cultural sites already inscribed on the World Heritage List, should benefit the enhancement of cultural heritage policy planning for

potential World Heritage cultural sites in DPR of Korea. Therefore, it is recommended that the Bureau approve US\$ 30,000 for this activity, requesting the State Party, WHC and the Advisory Bodies to continue co-operating in implementing the World Heritage Convention. The World Heritage Centre is prepared to facilitate the study tours, to bring the maximum benefit from the visits to the other States Parties.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve US\$ 30,000, requesting the State Party, World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies to closely co-operate in formulating the Tentative List and nomination dossier of the Koguryo Tombs, and in organizing the study tour of the national experts.

Request 3. ASIA.(a).III.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ASIA
Type of assistance: Preparatory Assistance **State Party:** INDIA
LDC/LIC: LIC

Status of dues to the WH Fund (if applicable): Paid up to 1999

Name of activity: Preparation of the tentative list format and nomination dossier of Padmanabhapuram Palace, Tamil Nadu

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: Preparatory Assistance for Indian nominations for cultural sites has not been provided in the past.

Summary background and description of activity: The Ministry of Human Resource Development has submitted a detailed and well planned request for preparing the Tentative List format and nomination dossier for the Padmanabhapuram Palace site, located in Tamil Nadu, in the Kerala State of India. This site, located in Southern India, was once the residence of the royal family of Travancore, and is now protected under the State Department of Archaeology of Kerala. It was originally constructed between 1400 and 1500 AD, and then expanded under Martanda Varma in the 18th century. The 6,5 acre-sized palace is set within a fort of 186,5 acres.

The palace site is a unique example of the vernacular architecture, representing the culmination of a variety of architectural styles of the southern Indian sub-continent. The palace complex is the finest example of the "Thachu Shastrum" ancient planning principal and a comprehensive building science which incorporates various architectural related sciences of climatology, building techniques, astronomy, astrology, mathematics, religious values, etc. The palace also houses the finest examples of wall paintings of the region, and the finest craftsmanship in woodworks, carvings, and polished lime flooring, most of which has already disappeared in the region.

This current request, proposes to prepare a comprehensive documentation of the Padmanabhapuram palace complex (by compiling existing documentation), and complete the Tentative List format, and the nomination dossier of this large site over a period of 3-4 months.

Budget breakdown:

1. Conservation architect (3 months x 1 man x US\$ 271/month)	US\$ 813
2. Researcher (3 months x 2 men x US\$ 189,7/month)	US\$ 1,138
3. Material conservator (1 month x 1 man x US\$ 271/month)	US\$ 271
4. Architect (1 month x 4 men x US\$ 135,5/month)	US\$ 542
5. Travel (8 men x 1 round trip x US\$ 487,8/man)	US\$ 3,903
6. Board (7 men x 1 month x US\$ 13,55/day)	US\$ 2,846
7. Lodging (3 men x 1 week x US\$ 40,65/day)	US\$ 854
8. Survey / stationary equipment	US\$ 542
9. Computer hardware and software Acad Etc.	US\$ 1,138
10. Photo documentation / slides	US\$ 1,044
11. Drawings and report production	US\$ 1,159
12. Miscellaneous processing charges	US\$ 750
Total activity budget:	US\$ 15,000

National or other input: Contributions in kind from the Government of India and the State Government of Kerala

Amount requested from the Fund: US\$ 15,000

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: Not sought.

Comments of Secretariat:

1. This request will cover the updating of the Tentative List of India and the preparation of comprehensive documentation crucial for the protection of this important site to include in the nomination dossier for submission to the World Heritage Committee.
2. The UNESCO Equipment Unit advised the World Heritage Centre that with such a modest amount, the computer purchased may be of inferior quality and therefore not appropriate for this activity. Therefore, the UNESCO Equipment Unit advised that a higher level computer be purchased for this activity with a budget of approximately US\$ 2,500. Therefore, an additional US\$ 1,362 should be made available to ensure that the computer purchased under this activity is of adequate quality.
3. The World Heritage Centre believes that the comprehensive documentation will allow the concerned authorities to develop a management plan for adequate protection of the site. Therefore, it is recommended that the development of a management plan be included in the activity to be carried out with assistance from the World Heritage Fund, should the request be approved.
4. In view of the concerted efforts of the relevant central and regional governments, and INTACH (Indian National Trust for Arts and Cultural Heritage, a prominent and active conservation NGO in India), for adequately protecting this site, the World Heritage Centre strongly supports this request.

Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approved the total amount of **US\$ 16,362** for **this activity, conditional to the Indian Authorities agreeing to develop a management plan parallel to the preparation of the comprehensive documentation of the site, to be submitted with the nomination dossier.**

Request 3. ASIA.(b).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ASIA

Type of assistance: Emergency **State Party:** VIETNAM
LDC/LIC: LIC

Name of activity: Emergency assistance for restoration work at Hue and Hoi An, following November 1999 flooding.

World Heritage sites concerned: Monuments of Hue, and Tentative List site of Hoi An

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions for site concerned: [Total: US\$ 169,203]

<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1992	Tentative List	[US\$ 15,000]
	1998	Hoi An nomination preparation	[US\$ 14,892]
<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1995	Seminar at Hue and Hanoi	[US\$ 20,000]
	1996	Evaluation of site boundaries of Hue	[US\$ 12,500]
	1998	Revision of urban regulations for Hue	[US\$ 35,000]
	1999	Hue & Hoi An project proposal presentation to European Union Asia Urbs for financing for 2 year decentralized co-operation	[US\$ 16,811]
<i>Emergency Assistance</i>	1998	Queen Mother's Palace (Hue) restoration following hurricane	[US\$ 50,000]
<i>Promotional</i>	1998	Promotion of WH Convention at Hue	[US\$ 5,000]

Previous deliberations by the Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of Monuments of Hue:

- WHC 18th Session (paragraph IX.22)
- WHC 19th Session (paragraph VII.49)
- WHC 20th Session (paragraph VII.70)
- WHC 21th Session (paragraph VII.54)
- WHC 22th Session (paragraph VII.43)

Hoi An is not yet inscribed on the World Heritage List (the nomination of this site will be decided upon by the Committee at its 23rd session.)

Summary background and description of activity : By letter of 10 November 1999, the Vietnam National Commission for UNESCO informed the Centre that the storm of early November caused serious damage in eight provinces in central Vietnam. This natural disaster, considered to be the most severe in 48 years caused considerable damage to the World Heritage site of Hue and to the Town of Hoi An which has been nominated for inscription and pending the Committee's decision at its 23rd session. An amount of US\$100,000 was requested under the emergency assistance of the World Heritage Fund, although neither the nature of assistance nor budget breakdown were specified.

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: The letter requesting funds was being transmitted to ICOMOS and ICCROM at the time of finalization of this working document, along with the comments of the Secretariat.

Comments of the Secretariat: As of 12 November 1999, the balance available under the Fund's emergency assistance was US\$ 20,471, thus insufficient to meet the amount requested by the Vietnamese authorities. The Centre responded to this request by offering to send a reactive monitoring mission to assess the damage and to assist the national and local authorities to draft a project proposal based on the prioritization of rehabilitation needs. Information on any developments will be presented at the time of the session.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to examine further information at the time of its session.

Request 3. ASIA.(c).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ASIA
Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** CHINA
LDC/LIC: LIC

Name of activity: Training course on Using GIS for the Preservation and Management of
Historic and Cultural Site in China

Previous World Heritage Fund contribution for this activity: [Total: US\$ 60,000]

Training 1998 International Conference for Mayors of Historic Cities in China and European Union
[US\$25,000]

Training 1999 Training Programme for Site Managers of World Heritage Cities in China
[US\$35,000]

Summary background and description of activity: In Southern Anhui Province of China, there are hundreds of traditional villages that still exist in their original conditions. Xidi and Hongcun villages are among the best. The villages, both located in Yixian County in Southern Anhui Province, are typical traditional clan-settlements developed from the early Ming Dynasty (1368--1644). These two sites were put on the Tentative List of cultural heritage nomination in 1997 and are currently in the process of cultural World Heritage nomination. The significance of the villages is reflected in the master planning which represented the spirit of clan-system, the art of environmental treatment depending on the Fengshui principles (Chinese geomancy on building construction), the ingenious craftsmanship and building technology, and the continuity of living tradition. Surrounded by mountains, the sites of the villages were carefully chosen by ancient geomancers according to the principles of Fengshui. Therefore, each of the houses was built in accordance with the same guidelines. A draft of the preservation plan was done and the local government is looking forward to co-operating with other institutions to improve the living condition of the local residents and the conservation of historic characteristics of these towns. For these reasons, the two sites will be chosen for field excursions.

a) Justification

GIS is a new technology popularly used in urban planning and management around the world. In the past few years, under the great effort of UNESCO, GIS was also used for cultural heritage preservation and management in Asia and the Pacific region. Several sample GIS models have been made in Vietnam, Laos and Nepal with the support and guidance from UNESCO.

Numerous historic properties and sites remain today, witnessing the important role they played in social and cultural development of the country. To protect these properties and with a view to improving the quality and efficiency in cultural heritage preservation and management in China, it is important to initiate a training course in the use of relevant new techniques such as GIS, for those who are working in the field of heritage preservation and management, research, training and monitoring. To this end, the Department of Architecture of Southeast University at Nanjing (SEU), China proposes to organize this training course between 10 and 30 August 2000.

b) Objectives

1. To train the site managers on the application of GIS for the preservation and management of historic heritage;
2. As an initial step, to establish a national GIS network of World Heritage site research, management and training;

3. To work on one WH site as a case study. For the trainees to learn how to link the knowledge of GIS with the traditional method of preservation which is still used and, ultimately, to improve the efficiency of site management and monitoring.

c) Themes of the training course

1. GIS, its development and application in the preservation and management of historic heritage;
2. Using GIS and other new techniques in day-to-day management of heritage, an institutional change may occur in site management and preservation;
3. Collective work by GIS network- the technical transformation makes it possible that people in different places and/or sites working together for common purposes.

d) Participating national agencies

National Administration of Cultural Heritage of China
Ministry of Construction of China
Chinese National Commission for UNESCO
SEU- UNESCO GIS Centre
National and provincial institutions
Concerned local authorities in Anhui Province

f) Tentative programme

1. 10-17: Lectures and GIS exercise at SEU--UNESCO GIS Centre on the following topics:
The meaning and development of GIS;
Using of GIS for heritage preservation management;
Heritage monitoring and documentation with GIS;
An easy GIS network establishment.
2. 18-25 August: Field-study in Hongcun and Xidi villages in Southern Anhui Province.
A site survey and data collection;
Data input and the on-site GIS establishment;
Use of the GIS network for site monitoring and management.
3. 26-28 August; Field study in some other historic cities along the Yangtze River (sites in Zhenjiang, Wuxi, Suzhou)
4. 29-30 August: Summary at SEU-- UNESCO GIS Centre
Exercise on the on-site GIS established in Zhenjiang Summary and agenda for future co-operation.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget: US\$68,520

I. Organizational costs

1. Costs for equipment and supporting facilities (**contributions to be made by national agencies**)

a) Rearrangement of meeting room of the training activity	US\$ 7,000
b) Photocopy service	US\$ 2,500
c) One slide projector and a screen for the course	US\$ 500
d) A set of computer with multi-media function	US\$ 7,500
e) Two sets of portable for GIS field exercise	US\$ 8,000
f) Three PCs	US\$ 5,600
g) Stationary	US\$ 500
h) Field exercise	US\$ 1,500
i) Miscellaneous	US\$ 400

Sub-total: US\$ 33,500

2. Accommodation costs (**contribution from the WH Fund**)

a) Accommodation in Nanjing for resource persons (12 X\$25x10 days) US\$ 3,000

b) Accommodation in Nanjing for trainees (30 X\$12X10 days)	US\$ 3,600
c) Accommodation for field visit (42ps X \$10X 10 days)	US\$ 4,200
Sub-total:	US\$ 10,800

II. Personnel

a) Fees for international experts (3 person X10 days X\$164)	US\$ 4,920
b) Honoraria for national resource persons (9 persons X \$200)	US\$ 1,800
c) Secretariat assistance (3 teachers and 7 students from the SEU)	US\$ 1,500
Sub-total:	US\$ 8,220

III. Travel

a) Travel costs of international experts (\$1,200 X 5 persons)	US\$ 6,000
b) Travel costs for national experts	US\$ 2,200
c) Inter-city transportation for all participants (Nanjing-Zhenjiang-Suzhou-Xidi-Hongcun etc.)	US\$ 2,800
Sub-total:	US\$ 11,000

IV. Preparation of working documents (with contributions from the national agencies)

a) Training materials for the participants	US\$2,000
b) Technique report for field exercise (including mapping, GIS data, documentation etc.)	US\$3,000
Sub-total:	US\$5,000

National input: **US\$ 38,520**

An amount of **US\$38,520** under Item I.1 and Item IV will be met by contributions from the national agencies and Southeast University of China.

Amount requested from the Fund: **US\$ 30,000**

An amount of **US\$30,000** (under Item I.2, Item II and III) is requested from the World Heritage Fund.

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: On 5 October 1999, the Centre asked both ICCROM and ICOMOS to review this request and provide the WHC with their comments. An evaluation of this request from ICCROM was received by the WH Centre on 18 October 1999.

ICCROM has the following comments on this proposal:

- the proposal is an interesting effort to apply (in a training context) a contemporary technology to the preservation of historic towns and villages in China;
- the strategy behind the application is also salutary, focussing on methods appropriate for two of the «best» villages, in order to be able ultimately to extend the benefits to hundreds of other villages
- although the villages chosen are not on the World Heritage List, they are on China's tentative list, and the lessons drawn will be relevant for the larger World Heritage system.
- the proponents' objectives show clearly their appreciation of using training sessions for larger purposes, such as network building
- ICCROM would stress (although this is acknowledged in the proposal) the fundamental importance of putting GIS technology and applications in their appropriate context in the course. GIS is only a recording and documentation tool, and by itself can not result in conservation. Conservation requires the commitment of human beings to a cause, and it is important to set the GIS programme in the larger context of efforts to promote and achieve that cause.

ICCROM then, in principle, believes this initiative should be strongly supported by the World Heritage Committee.

ICCROM has some questions which we believe however should be addressed in the preparation of a complete response to the request:

1. Nothing is said in the application under (e) (ii) about the identities or profiles of the trainee participants. The budget appears to indicate 30 participants. It would be useful to know more about the participants, their backgrounds, capacities and prospects, etc.
2. Approximately \$ 25,000 of the costs appear related to equipment purchase - photocopier, slide projector, screen, computer a-v equipment, 2 portable PCS, 3 office PCS, one printer. While the acquisition of this equipment is obviously beneficial for the university, its acquisition relates to objectives far beyond the scope of this training event, and may not warrant significant support from the WHFund. ICCROM assumes that the Southeast University contribution will go principally to covering these expenses?
3. The amount claimed for «re-arrangement» of a single classroom (\$7,000) appears very large. It would be useful to have some clarification on the nature of this expenditure.
4. The total costs related to «personnel», «travel», «publication» and «miscellaneous» (accommodation) exceed \$ 38,000. These are meant to facilitate participation of international trainers and Chinese participants, and to facilitate dissemination of materials, and seem well worth while. The claim for \$ 30,000 from the World Heritage Fund in this context then seems quite reasonable.
5. No costs are indicated for interpretation. Given the noted presence of international speakers, will interpretation not be necessary?
6. Has any consideration been given to providing an opportunity to include regional participants interested in GIS application, to expand the benefits beyond those gained by Chinese professionals?

While ICCROM strongly supports this proposal as relevant and worthwhile, ICCROM also believes the finalisation of the contribution from the World Heritage Fund should await clarifications to the questions noted above.

Comments of the Secretariat: This training activity will enable the Southeast University of China, as a focal point of UNESCO GIS Centre in China, to present to the trainees the development trends for cultural heritage preservation initiated by UNESCO. The Secretariat therefore supports this request, and recommends approval of the funds, subject to the State Party providing clarifications on the points raised by ICCROM. The budget breakdown for funds requested from the Fund appears reasonable and well planned.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve this request for an amount of US\$ 30,000, subject to further clarifications from the Chinese authorities on the points raised by ICCROM. The Bureau may further wish to recommend that consideration be given to providing an opportunity to include regional participants to expand the benefits beyond those gained by the Chinese professionals. The Bureau may wish to finally request the World Heritage Centre to closely monitor the implementation of this activity in consultation with the Chinese authorities and ICCROM.

Request 3. ASIA.(c).II.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ASIA
Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** LAOS
LDC/LIC: LDC

Name of activity: On-site training in Archaeological Survey and Documentation (Lao People's Democratic Republic)

World Heritage site concerned: Tentative List site: Plain of Jars

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions for cultural heritage: [Total: US\$ 126,782]

Preparatory Assistance :

1991 Nomination dossier of Luang Prabang : US\$ 6,540.

1994 Mission nomination of Luang Prabang : US\$ 15,000.

1996 Manual conservation : US\$ 7,342.

1999 Preparation of the nomination dossier of Vat Phu : US\$ 13,000.

1999 Preparation of the nomination dossier of the Plain of Jars : US\$ 15,000.

Technical Assistance :

1996 Conservation of traditional houses in Luang Prabang : US\$ 39,900.

Training Assistance :

1997 Training Programme for the Historic town of Luang Prabang : US\$ 25,000.

Promotion :

1998 Promotion of the World Heritage Convention in Luang Prabang : US\$ 5,000

Summary background and description of activities: This proposal for training assistance addresses the need to ensure a national and local level corps of trained archaeological site surveyors who are capable of undertaking the work needed to thoroughly survey and document a site such as the Plain of Jars through an intensive 3 months in field training session in archaeological site survey and documentation techniques, for 4 staff members from the Xiengkhouang Provincial Department of Information and Culture plus 4 staff members from the National Ministry of Information and Culture. In this way the jars fields and their constituent individual jars will be thoroughly surveyed and mapped in detail, which a significant number of local and national staff of the responsible Ministry and Department will be trained in techniques essential for the inventorying and protection of future archaeological sites which may be discovered within the Plain or any where throughout the country.

Techniques which will be taught included :

Use of Plane table, theodolite, and other field survey techniques applicable to archaeological sites; Mapping of sites and their contents using GPS (global positioning system) and GIS (geographical information system) techniques; In-field inventorying of archaeological finds (in this case jars themselves) including paper photographic and computerized techniques for data recording; Methods for systematic documentation of archaeological finds in situ; Recording of in situ finds in ways compatible with GIS mapping of the site as a whole; Techniques for rendering accurate drawing of site and finds; Site verification techniques involving sampling and statistical analysis.

In addition, trainees will be taught :

How to determine the likely underground archaeological catchment area of each sites (in this each jar field); Techniques for fencing of otherwise protecting the archaeological site; Ways to analyse ascertained and potential dangers to the preservation of the site and how to take remedial measures to mitigate these dangers.

A 12-week tentative programme has been outlined and is available for consultation.

Budget Breakdown:**Total activity budget:****US\$ 85,055****National or other contribution:****PROAP US\$ 2,000****Amount requested from the Fund:****US\$ 83,055****(i) Organizational**

Visa and all other logistical arrangements

estimated cost US\$10/day x 10 working days US\$ 200

Premises for on-site field office during duration of training

estimated cost : US\$200/month x 3 months US\$ 600

Provision of the existing paper and computer maps

estimated cost if purchased US\$ 4,000

Stationary and photocopying expenses US\$ 3,000

Survey equipment (Theodolite : US\$5,500 ;

Plane table : US\$1,000 ; Surveyor's rods :

US\$ 200 ; Lap-top computer bundled with software : US\$4,000) US\$6,700

(ii) Personnel (and Professional services)

Fee contract with Archaeological Assessments, (Hong Kong) Ltd, to undertake 3 month long on-site training of national counterparts during which period the known jar fields will be surveyed and data recorded at the individual-jar level. Archaeological Assessement (Hong Kong) Ltd, will provide a full-time on-site team of 3 trainers US\$ 9,000

Fee contract with Laotian national commission for provision of room and board for international training team, demining specialist, GIS experts, geologist, national counterparts and monitors while in field (Xieng Khouang)

(Training team : US\$ 20/day x 3 persons x 90 days US\$ 5,400 ;

National counterparts : US\$15/day x 8 persons x 90 days US\$ 10,800 ;

Demining specialists : US\$15/days x 4 persons x 30 days US\$ 1,800 ;

GIS specialist : US\$20/day x 1 person x 10 days x 2 trips US\$ 400 ;

Geologist US\$20/day x 1 person x 10 days US\$ 200 ;

Archaeologist : (national) US\$15/day x 1 person x 10 days US\$ 150 ;

National monitors : US\$15/day x 2 persons x 6 days x 2 trips US\$ 360 ;

UNESCO monitors : US\$ 20/day x 1 x 6 x 2 trips US\$ 240)

US\$ 19,350

Fee contract for GIS consultant (Paul Box) :

US\$50/day x 1 person x 20 days: US\$ 1,000

Fee contract for geologist (Heng Thung) :

\$50/day x 1 person x 10 days: US\$ 500

Provision of national archaeologist (Thongsa)estimated cost :

US\$50/day x 1 person x 10 days US\$ 500

Provision of 8 national counterparts estimated cost :

\$10/day x 8 persons x 90 days US\$ 7,200

Provision of specialist services from National demining

specialist team estimated cost : : US\$10 x 4 persons x 30 days US\$ 1,200

Driver estimated cost : US\$10/day x 90 days

US\$ 900

lunch while in field : US\$3/day x 1 person x 90 days US\$ 270

Administration and monitoring estimated cost :

US\$100/day x 20 days **PROAP US\$ 2,000**

(iii) Travel

International travel of 3 trainers

(Hong Kong – Vientiane – Xieng Khouang return) US\$ 2,100

3 UNESCO monitoring missions

(Bangkok – Vientiane – Xieng Khouang return),

travel x 1 person x 3 missions US\$ 930

DSA in Vientiane :

US\$100/day x 1 person x 2 days x 3 missions US\$ 600

2 Laotian Government monitoring missions

(Vientiane – Xieng Khouang return), travel x 2 persons x 3 missions US\$ 150

1 National archaeologist (Vientiane – Xieng Khouang return) US\$ 35

GIS specialist in country air travel (Vientiane – Xieng Khouang return) US\$ 90

Geologist in country air travel (Vientiane – Xieng Khouang return) US\$ 90

Laotian counterpart in country air travel

(Vientiane – Xieng Khouang return x 4 persons) US\$ 140

Road travel in Vientiane for International trainers and UNESCO monitor US\$ 200

On site travel by road in Xieng Khouang US\$ 2,700

(iv) Publication

US\$ 7,000

Publication of survey catalogue : US\$ 5,000

Translation of survey catalogue : US\$ 2,000

(v) Miscellaneous

US\$10,000

Geological sampling and analysis : US\$1,000

Fencing material : US\$ 6,000

Labour to install fencing : US\$ 3,000

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS supports this request. ICCROM wrote the following:

The goals of the project defined in the proposal are highly laudable. The fragile and insecure condition of this extremely important site demand urgent intervention of the kind described in the attached documents. The budget for the project is accurately constructed, and represents carefully plotted real costs to achieve project objectives. ICCROM has the strongest sympathy for the goals of the project.

It remains to be asked however whether it would be appropriate to use the World Heritage Committee training funds for this purpose, at least on the scale requested, at the present time.

- the activity is virtually unsupported by others (\$ 83,055 out of \$ 85,055 is requested from World Heritage Fund);
- the amount requested is approximately 20 % of available cultural heritage training funds for the year 2000;
- the site while very significant, and on the PDR Lao tentative list, is not yet on the World Heritage List.
- the beneficiaries of the training appear to be only those involved with this one site.

- this request is motivated in part by the failure of the UNESCO budget to support commitments made by the former UNESCO DG during a visit into the site. Should the World Heritage Fund be used to make up shortfalls occurring elsewhere in UNESCO budgets?

ICCROM believes that the Committee can recognize the importance of the project while safeguarding its limited training funds for more equitable distribution world wide in several ways.

- make a substantial commitment of training funds (say 1/3 of project costs), sufficient to attract spending by others interested in such a project (e.g. the World Monument Fund).
- since this project will also result in a completed site survey, it may be conceived of, at least in part, as constituting a technical cooperation request. Perhaps a training allocation of approximately US\$ 30,000 could be supplemented by a request for technical cooperation funds from the World Heritage Fund.
- as suggested by the Centre, perhaps the project could be phased to spread costs over a longer period. It is difficult to see however how this could be done in practical terms. Any phasing of the project over time, given the nature of the activity envisioned, will result in a large increase in overall funds needed for the project, since the travel of all participants and consultants will need to be repeated for each phase of the work.

In conclusion then, ICCROM recommends that the Committee approve up to \$30,000.

From funds available for training assistance to support this project. ICCROM believes that the project is important and deserves support in general, and further recommends that the Committee explore the extent to which a measure of support could be provided for the survey work described, in the context of technical cooperation, and links made to other potential sources of funds.

Comments of the Secretariat: As indicated by ICCROM, in view of the limited financial resources available under the overall training budget, and the very high amount requested by the State Party, the Secretariat (the WH Centre and the UNESCO Regional Office in Bangkok), while recognizing the importance of this activity, recommends considerable reduction of the budget. It recommends the Committee to approve the amount of up to US\$ 30,000, and to request the State Party to revise the budget in co-operation with the UNESCO Bangkok Office, or to find co-financing from other sources.

<p>Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve US\$ 30,000 for this activity, requesting the State Party to revise the budget in co-operation with the UNESCO Bangkok Office, or to find co-financing from other sources.</p>
--

Request 3. ASIA.(c).III.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ASIA
Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** NEPAL & NORWAY
LDC/LIC: Nepal is an LDC
Name of activity: Culture, Heritage Management and Tourism: Models for Co-operation Among Stakeholders. Workshop to elaborate models of co-operation

World Heritage site concerned: World Heritage cultural sites or Tentative List sites in urban areas in China (numerous), India (numerous), Japan, Laos (Luang Prabang), Nepal (Kathmandu Valley) Norway, Philippines (Baroque Churches), Sri Lanka (all inscribed sites), Vietnam (Hue), and potential World Heritage cultural sites in Bhutan, Fiji, Malaysia.

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions to the requesting State Parties:

Nepal: *A total of US\$ 240,374 has been provided as assistance from the World Heritage Fund for safeguarding Kathmandu Valley site since its inscription in 1979. Moreover, other UNESCO extrabudgetary funds, either from Funds-in-Trust arrangements or private contributions, have been allocated for the benefit of the Kathmandu Valley site.*

Norway:

<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1995	Youth Forum in Bergen.	[US\$ 30,000]
<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1994	Subregional meeting	[US\$ 10,000]
<i>Educational, Information & Promotional</i>	1999	World Heritage seminar / summer camp	[US\$ 10,000]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned:

23rd session of the Bureau Report paragraph IV.69 (WHC-99/CONF.209/4) for Kathmandu Valley. Numerous references for other sites in other States Parties.

Reference paragraphs in Working Documents WHC-99/CONF.208/5 and WHC-99/CONF.209/14

Yes - see Working Document for sites in China, India, Nepal.

Summary background and description of activity:

As the fastest growing and one of the most profitable industries in the world, tourism offers unparalleled opportunities for the economic development of local communities living in or near heritage sites in Asia and the Pacific.

Where traditional economic activities are in decline, employment in tourism related activities can offer many new opportunities.

Past experiences however have shown that unplanned tourism development, although it may be profitable in the short term, can do irreversible damage to humankind's most precious heritage sites.

Local communities, heritage conservationists and tourism industry professionals operating in this region are acutely aware of the problems confronting them, and in particular that the development of tourism as

an economic sector is being threatened by the lack of articulation of interests and co-operation between the parties involved.

A project entitled «Culture Heritage Management And Tourism: Models For Co-operation Among Stakeholders», funded by the Government of Norway and implemented through the office of the UNESCO Regional Advisor for Culture in Asia and the Pacific, will address these concerns directly.

As *ACTION 1* of this project, the Norwegian Government has selected 11 heritage sites¹ from the Asia-Pacific region to undertake in-depth studies on the impact of tourism on heritage preservation. All sites selected, with the exception of Thimpu, Bhutan, are either World Heritage Sites or listed on their country's tentative list.

ACTION 2 of this project will be the implementation of a workshop on heritage conservation and tourism, for which World Heritage Training Funds are sought under this application. Representatives from each case study team will attend a 14-day workshop in Bhaktapur, Nepal in April 2000 to present findings collectively.

This current request is to co-finance the heritage tourism workshop (*ACTION 2* of the project: Culture, Heritage Management and Tourism: Models for Co-operation Among Stakeholders) in conjunction with the Government of Norway. The workshop addresses the need to open and structure avenues of communication between the tourism industry and those responsible for the conservation and maintenance of cultural heritage properties throughout the Asia-Pacific region.

As an integral component of the overall project, the workshop will allow representatives from heritage sites from throughout the region the opportunity to establish locally managed, site specific heritage and tourism strategies which can be utilised and developed on a long-term basis, offering increased economic opportunities while preserving natural and cultural heritage.

To meet the objectives listed above, the workshop will maintain a strong focus on themes, issues and problems surrounding the establishment of sustainable tourism industries.

A full detailed project proposal is available.

Dates and duration of training activity: 1 April – 15 April 2000 (two weeks)

Target Beneficiaries: This project brings together a wide range of government, inter-government and non-government organizations, responsible for heritage conservation, tourism development and public policy, working in conjunction with UNESCO and private sector and academic interests at the selected heritage sites. blah blah

Budget breakdown:

(a) Organizational:

- conference organizational expenses US\$ 18,000
- case study preparation US\$ 24,000

(b) Personnel:

- UNESCO/PROAP preparation and monitoring missions and related costs US\$ 5,000

(c) Travel:

- workshop travel and Daily Subsistence Allowance for 26 regional participants

¹ Bhaktapur, Nepal; Hoi An, Vietnam; Luang Prabang, Lao PDR; Lijiang, China; Kandy, Sri Lanka; Thimpu, Bhutan; Leh, India; Levuka, Fiji; Melaka, Malaysia; Shirakawa-go, Japan; Vigan, Philippines

from 10 case study sites	US\$ 26,000	
▪ travel, Daily Subsistence Allowance and honoraria for three expert international consultants for duration of workshop	US\$ 9,000	
(d) Publication:		
▪ pre-workshop document preparation and publicity	US\$ 3,000	
Total activity budget:		US\$ 85,000
National or other input:		US\$ 68,000
Government of Norway	US\$ 68,000	
Government of Nepal	in kind	
Amount requested from the Fund:		US\$ 17,000

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICCROM and ICOMOS find this proposal to be worthy of very strong support. The theme (increasing dialogue between tourism and conservation sectors) is extremely worthwhile, particularly for the sites, which are the subject of the workshop. The approach to the organization of this workshop is pragmatic and interactive, likely to invite full involvement of invited participants. The amount requested from the Fund appears very modest relative to the total costs of the project, and value for money assured. The workshop, while likely to build capacity within participating individuals is also very much results-oriented, and intended to lead to action plans for implementation in various situations.

ICCROM and ICOMOS have raised questions which the World Heritage Centre will read out at the session.

Comments of Secretariat:

The World Heritage Centre fully supports this request which has been prepared by the Regional Adviser for Culture in the Asia Pacific Region. The funds approved will complement other extrabudgetary sources raised, and the request is an example of how the Fund can be used in a catalytic manner.

Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approved the total amount of **US\$ 17,000** for this activity, requesting the Regional Adviser for Culture in the Asia Pacific Region to clarify the questions raised by the Advisory bodies.

Request 3. ASIA.(c).IV.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ASIA

Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** REPUBLIC of KOREA
LDC/LIC: Neither, but the other
LDC/LIC States Parties from Asia
will benefit from this request.

Name of activity: Preservation and Integrated Development of the World Heritage Fortress Cities

World Heritage site concerned: Fortress of Hwasong & other World Heritage Fortress Cities in the world (October 8-10, 2000)

Previous World Heritage Contributions, if any: [US\$ 20,000]
Training 1998 Monitoring training workshop involving local community members
[US\$ 20,000]

Summary description: During the last few decades, concern for preservation of cultural heritage has expanded to include cultural landscape, historic areas and natural environment. The central and local governments are increasingly recognizing the necessity to integrate their policies for the preservation of cultural heritage into the overall community development plan in order to develop sustainability by the community and to enhance cultural life of the community members while maintaining the authenticity of the site.

UNESCO and other major international partners in this field such as ICCROM and ICOMOS emphasize that most preservation problems that the World Heritage Cities confront stem from the lack of planning control and outdated legal and administrative systems. In order to deal with complex aspects of preservation of cultural heritage, it is most urgent to provide policy-makers in central and local government with knowledge and information relating to the establishment and implementation of 'integrated preservation' of cultural heritage.

In this context, the City of Suwon, home to the Hwasong Fortress, a World Heritage site, proposed to the UNESCO World Heritage Centre in March 1999 at the Nara Seminar the organization of an international conference on the World Heritage Fortress Cities to seek measures for the integrated preservation of these cities, with close cooperation of the UNESCO World Heritage Centre and the Korean National Commission for UNESCO.

The objectives of the organization of an «International Fortress Cities Seminar», are the following:

- to provide the officials at the decision making level in administration and/or urban planning of the World Heritage Fortress Cities (hereinafter, «Cities») with methodologies to establish policies for the preservation of the Fortress aimed to integrate conservation in the overall city development plan including population, transportation, environment and welfare;
- to enhance public awareness on the integrated preservation of the Cities;
- to promote the participation of NGOs and civilians in the preservation activities for the Cities;
- to strengthen the implementation of the World Heritage Convention in its Member Countries which have cultural heritage on the World Heritage List, by encouraging local and central governments to develop or adopt further advanced policies for the preservation of the World Heritage sites in their territory;
- to exchange experiences and information among participating Cities; and,
- to establish an international network among the World Heritage Fortress Cities

Themes and issues to be discussed:

KNCU staff \$2,000*2person*3months= \$12,000
 City of Suwon \$1,500*3person*3months= \$13,500
 temporary assistants \$600*4persons*1month= \$2,400
 • Preparatory Meetings: \$500*5times= \$2,500 \$2,500

(b) Personnel: US\$ 37,700

• Accommodations: \$100*25persons*5days=\$125,000 \$12,500
 • Meals: \$14,800
 opening & farewell: \$50*70persons*2times=\$7,000
 other meals: \$20*30persons*13times=\$7,800
 • Honoraria: \$3,200
 international/national consultants & trainers: \$250*8persons=\$2,000
 moderators and rapporteurs: \$200*2persons*3times=\$1,200
 • Interpretation: \$600*4persons*3days=\$7,200 \$7,200

(c) Travel: US\$ 57,200

• Airfare of participants: \$56,000
 \$4,000*5persons=\$20,000
 \$1,800*20persons=\$36,000
 • Transportation : \$400*3days=\$1,200 \$1,200

(d) Publication: US\$ 7,400

Translation: \$4*300pages*2(English and Korean)=\$2,400
 proofreading: \$10*300pages=\$3,000
 diting & Printing: \$10*200ea.=\$2,000

(e) Miscellaneous: US\$ 2,500

Photography & recording: \$500*3days=\$1,500
 Communication & mailing \$500
 Others: \$1,000

National or other input: In Kind & US\$ 122,500
Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund: US\$ 25,000

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICCROM was requested to evaluate this request, and it responded as follows:

Proposal (a) is a very well thought out and useful contribution to the development of the capacity of professionals involved with the management of historic cities in the region, and deserves strong support. The problem statement, the articulation of objectives, and the analysis of themes/issues constitute a well formulated and coherent response to contemporary conditions in historic cities. The context (fortress cities) is very legitimate and offers a useful means to encourage profitable exchange.

The request to the World Heritage Committee (\$ 25,000) is a relatively modest part of the total budget proposed (\$ 150,000).

ICCROM believes strongly that this request should be supported. Indeed, given the coincidence of the aims for this programme with those of ICCROM's ITUC (Integrated Territorial and Urban Conservation) programme, ICCROM would be happy to contribute funds from its own budget to enhance scientific development of this event (approximately \$ 5,000 to support a workshop planning event) if that were deemed feasible by the authorities. ICCROM has however a number of reservations about the details of the proposal which we feel are worth examination before finalisation of the allocation:

1. The cost of the training course appears very high for an event of a week's duration, e.g., US\$ 3,000. for stationery and placards. While the budget prepared may reflect legitimate local costs, ICCROM would be happy to share its experiences in training event costing to assist local authorities in verifying the accuracy of their budget.
2. While the event preparations and associated costs of the event have been presented in great detail, no costs have been allocated to advance preparation for the course. ICCROM believes this to be essential, and given the theme of this course, would be happy to offer some budget support for a modest training event planning meeting.
3. While this event is presented as an international event, the identity of the invited participants and the cities from which they come is not clear. How many Fortress World Heritage Cities are there? Which cities are participants expected from?
4. It is curious that the organization of World Heritage Cities is not mentioned here. Obviously they have a role to play and a strong interest, and it would seem advisable that they are involved in project formulation and development.
5. The budget includes costs of \$ 7,400 for a publication. It is not explained what this publication will be, or what its relations is to the related request for \$ 10,000 for publication (Promotional Activity).
6. While the congress is presented as international, many of the objectives and problems appear to be formulated in terms of the local situation, e.g. problem 1: «how to deal with cases in which residents live inside or very close to the Fortress». Local examples can be used to enhance understanding of international principles and practices, and ICCROM believes it would be useful to make explicit the need to balance concern for both in formulation of the event.

Again, ICCROM is very supportive of this request in principle. We feel however it would be very useful to see the proposal adjusted if necessary to suit the comments made.

Comments of the Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre developed this activity with the State Party as a direct follow up of the past 3 international seminars organized (Suzhou, Valencia, Nara) for enhanced management and development of World Heritage historic cities. Therefore, the World Heritage Centre fully supports this request for organizing the conference, while requesting the State Party to take into consideration the comments of ICCROM. With regards to funding, the World Heritage Centre is of the opinion that the funds made available from the World Heritage Fund should facilitate the participants from the Developing States Parties. In view of the limited budget, it recommends US\$ 20,000 to be approved.

<p>Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve US\$ 20,000 as a contribution for the organization of the International Fortress Cities Seminar and to facilitate the participation of Developing States Parties' experts, requesting the State Party to take into due consideration, the comments of ICCROM.</p>

Request 3. ASIA.(d).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ASIA
Type of assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** PAKISTAN
LDC/LIC: LIC

Status of payment due to the World Heritage Fund: Paid up to 1999.

Name of activity: Research and documentation to prepare a comprehensive management plan for the Shalamar Gardens, (following ICOMOS recommendations and the request of the Bureau of the World Heritage Committee).

World Heritage site concerned: Fort and Shalamar Gardens of Lahore
(This request concerns the Shalamar Gardens only)

Previous contributions from the World Heritage Fund: None for the Shalamar Gardens.

Reference to previous deliberations and state of conservation reports in working documents:

Report of the 23rd session of the Bureau

Working Document WHC-99/CONF.208/5 (State of conservation of World Heritage sites)

Background and summary description: This request was submitted as a Preparatory Assistance request. However, due to the nature of the activities described and the limited funds available under the Preparatory Assistance budget, the World Heritage Centre has processed it as a Technical Co-operation request.

- a) The Fort and Shalimar Gardens of Lahore World Heritage site is located within a rapidly urbanizing city. The two sites composing the World Heritage site are visited by almost 2 million visitors per year, and are loved by the local community, as well as Pakistanis and foreign visitors. However, the Shalamar Gardens face serious conservation problems today, which led to the UNESCO reactive monitoring mission by ICOMOS and IFLA (International Federation of Landscape Architecture) expert (Dr. Robert de Jong) in October -November 1998. The report was attached as Annex A to this request.
- b) Following this mission, the recommendations of the mission were accepted by the Northern Circle of Archaeology (responsible authority of Shalamar Gardens and Lahore Fort). In line with the recommendations, the Department of Archaeology wishes to undertake the first phase of scientific research to prepare a technical co-operation request for undertaking conservation measures following international conservation norms.
- c) The activities to be carried out with the international assistance requested will be the following:
 - (i.) carry out archaeological garden research in the two southern quadrants of the upper terrace to look into the possible presence of the original layout of the 16 «registered» squares per square and into the (possible) presence of water channels and tanks in each of the two squares, as discussed during the mission;
 - (ii.) to carry out soil research to look into the possible presence of seeds of the original plants planted within the garden (to be carried out in cooperation with the National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (NIBG), Faisalabad);
 - (iii.) to research the geometrical principles of the design and the structures to obtain an understanding of the dimensions and measurement systems applied at the Shalamar Gardens, based on which a meticulous three-dimensional survey can be made of the ensemble.;
 - (iv.) to draw up a planting plan, with the cooperation of the University of Engineering and Technology of Lahore, on the basis of scientific research and in accordance with the principles of the historic Mughal garden architecture.

- d) The Department of Archaeology, Northern Circle will organize the four activities listed above and implement them with the co-operation of appropriate research institutions. The activities will be carried out within 7 months, after the financial assistance is made available.
- e) Upon completion of the activities listed in point c), a technical co-operation for:
- (i.) drawing up a restoration plan or a revitalization plan for one square as a trial, based on internationally recognized restoration principles;
 - (ii.) carrying out a pilot project on one square based on such a plan to gain practical experience.

will be prepared and submitted to the World Heritage Committee for approval. This is following the UNESCO reactive monitoring report recommendation.

- f) Upon receipt of the financial assistance, if and when approved by the Chairperson of the World Heritage Committee, the Northern Circle of the Department of Archaeology will immediately carry out the activities.

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget:		US\$ 13,500
National or other input:		US\$ 3,500
In kind: Salaries of the Department of Archaeology personnel:	US\$ 3,000	
In Cash: Communications and liaison costs:	US\$ 500	
Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund:		US\$ 10,000

- (i.) carry out archaeological garden research in the two southern quadrants of the upper terrace to look into the possible presence of the original layout of the 16 «registered» squares per square and into the (possible) presence of water channels and tanks in each of the two squares, as discussed during the mission;
 - (a) for layout 10 labourers, 2 working month to excavate (1 archaeologist, 1 garden expert, material) US\$ 1,500
 - (b) for collecting seeds: 1 working month to collect seeds (5 labourers, 1 archaeologist) US\$ 500
- (i.) to carry out soil research to look into the possible presence of seeds of the original plants planted within the garden (to be carried out in cooperation with the National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (NIBG), Faisalabad);
 - (a) 1 working month: scientific research by the National Institute US\$ 1,000
 - (b) Department of Archaeology mission to Faisalabad US\$ 2,000
- (i.) to research the geometrical principles of the design and the structures to obtain an understanding of the dimensions and measurement systems applied at the Shalimar Gardens, based on which a meticulous three-dimensional survey can be made of the ensemble;
 - (a) 2 working months US\$ 1,000
- (ii.) to draw up a planting plan, with the cooperation of the University of Engineering and Technology of Lahore, on the basis of scientific research and in accordance with the principles of the historic Mughal garden architecture;
 - (a) 3 working months US\$ 2,000
- (iii.) production and compilation of reports (photographs, layout, editing, etc.) US\$ 2,000

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: The request and the each activity outlined above is directly and accurately following the detailed ICOMOS mission recommendations. Therefore, the request was not forwarded to ICOMOS for review.

Comments of the Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre formulated this request following the ICOMOS mission and in close co-operation with the State Party during a follow-up mission in February 1999. The budget is reasonable and has been planned in an economical manner to make maximum use of existing research institutions in Pakistan to reduce costs. The Bureau, at its 23rd session, requested the State Party to submit an international assistance request to undertake the ICOMOS recommended actions, and the State Party submitted the request thereafter. In view of the on-going conservation work undertaken by the national authorities which are implemented on the basis of fund-availability and not following a comprehensive management plan, based upon archaeological research, the activity proposed under this request is important to ensure that the authenticity and integrity of the Shalamar Gardens is retained. Therefore, the World Heritage Centre fully supports this request.

Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve US\$ 10,000 for this request.
--

Request 3. ASIA.(e).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** ASIA
Type of assistance: EDUCATIONAL, INFORMATION & PROMOTIONAL **State Party:** REPUBLIC of KOREA
LDC/LIC: Neither
Name of activity: Publication of 'Illustrated World Heritage Fortress Cities'
World Heritage site concerned: Fortress of Hwasong & other World Heritage Fortress Cities in the world

Previous World Heritage Contributions, if any: [None for this site]

Summary Description: The City of Suwon, home to the Hwasong Fortress World Heritage site, proposed to the UNESCO World Heritage Centre in March 1999 at the Nara Seminar the organization of an international conference among the World Heritage Fortress Cities to seek measures for the integrated preservation of these cities, with close cooperation of the UNESCO World Heritage Centre and the Korean National Commission for UNESCO. The conference will include mayoral meetings and training programmes. As part of this project, documentation is required for materials related to the preservation of the World Heritage Fortress Cities including old and recent photos, maps, research papers, policies, and useful data. In particular, materials showing changes to the Fortresses and the Cities will be valuable documents to chronicle the historical transformation of the heritage itself and the concept of urban planning.

Objectives

- to provide a synthesis of valuable visual and written information concerning the preservation of the World Heritage Fortress Cities in the format of an illustrated book;
- to give a thorough presentation of the concept of the historical transition in preservation theory, policies and utility value of the World Heritage Fortresses and the Cities;
- to seek future direction of the preservation and revitalization of the World Heritage Fortress Cities through experts' analyses and suggestions; and,
- to enhance public awareness of the significance of 'integrated preservation' of not only the World Heritage Fortress Cities but World Heritage sites in general and of the 1972 Convention.

The publication will include:

- photos and maps which show the old and the current conditions of the Cities and the Fortresses;
- introduction to the current policies for the preservation and urban planning of the Cities;
- experts' analysis and suggestions regarding the future direction in the preservation of the Cities;

Tentative timetable

Collection of materials	November, 1999 - March, 2000
Translation & Proofreading	March-May, 2000
Editing/layouts/cover design	June-July, 2000
Printing	August, 2000
Distribution	September-October, 2000

Participating agencies

- City of Suwon
- Korean National Commission for UNESCO
- Other international organizations specialized in the preservation of the fortress cities

Budget breakdown:

Total project budget:		US\$ 18,700
Secretarial Assistance:	\$2,000*2persons*2months= \$8,000	
Communication:	\$500	
Translation:	\$4*200pages*2(English and Korean)= \$1,600	
Proofreading:	\$10*400pages= \$4,000	
Editing & Printing:	\$70*300ea= \$2,100	
Cover design:	\$500	
Mailing:	\$10*200ea.= \$2,000	
National or other input:		US\$ 8,700
In kind contributions will be made by National Commission and City of Suwon		
Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund:		US\$ 10,000

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICCROM was requested to evaluate this request, and they responded as below:

Proposal (b) is a very worthwhile proposal to publish comparative information concerning Fortress World Heritage Cities. Such a publication would undoubtedly provide useful data and perspectives for those involved in management of such cities, and for treating their particular problems.

However, ICCROM has a number of questions:

1. Why is this separated from the training event from which it is derived?
2. How does it relate to the publication costs described in the training event?
3. What is the role of the Organisation of World Heritage Cities, or the constituent cities themselves in fostering and promoting such a publication? It seems likely that they would be interested to sponsor – at least in part - a publication which would showcase their interests.
4. The budget contains some «soft» amounts, e.g., the \$ 8,000 allocated for secretarial assistance (about 40 % of the total budget) which need clarification.

ICCROM, while fully supportive of this request in principle, believes that it should be re-formulated to take into account the above points.

ICCROM would be delighted to assist the Korean authorities in reviewing the points made here, and in re-working the materials included in the presentation. As noted above ICCROM would also be delighted to be closely associated with course development and delivery through its ITUC programme, and would be prepared to contribute financially, in order to support a pre-course planning meeting.

Comments of the Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre supports the initiative of the Governmental and Local Authorities concerned, for the production of a publication on World Heritage Fortress Cities, which could be used as one of the background documents to the training activity planned for October 2000 on enhanced management for fortified / walled cities or, as a post-conference publication. In light of the comments made by ICCROM, the Chairperson is recommended to approve the US\$ 10,000 for this activity, requesting that the State Party clarify the points raised by ICCROM, in close co-operation with the World Heritage Centre.

Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve **US\$ 10,000 for this activity, requesting that the State Party clarify the points raised by ICCROM, in close co-operation with the World Heritage Centre.**

EUROPE & NORTH AMERICA REGION

Request 3. EUROPE.(c).I.

Type of Heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** EUROPE
Type of Assistance: Training Assistance **State Party:** HUNGARY
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of dues to the WH Fund: Paid up to 1999

Name of activity: *Integrated Urban Conservation Training Workshop and Seminar for Central European Historic City Managers*

Previous WH Fund contributions: [Total: US\$ 15,000]
Preparatory Assistance 1999 World Heritage Cities in Eastern & Central Europe
(carried out by Mr Dennis Rodwell, Consultant) [US\$ 15,000]

Summary background and description of activity: In the period 1996-98, ICCROM's ITUC programme, working in partnership with the *Ministry of Culture of Lithuania*, the *Canadian Urban Institute* and the *Municipality of Vilnius* held a number of «integrated urban conservation» pilot training activities (workshops, seminars) focusing on the needs of historic city managers in the transition economies of the Baltic States, Belarus and the Ukraine. In North-Eastern Europe, the training activities supported have included a needs assessment, two 5 day training introductory workshops for managers from the region, a seminar «New Construction in Historical Environments» and country-specific training reports now under preparation for each of the region's states. In 1999, the (Lithuanian) *Academy for Cultural Heritage* carried out a regional survey of urban conservation training needs and a follow-up analytical meeting (July 1999, Vilnius), and is preparing for a one day seminar in Vilnius on economic incentives (Nov. 5, 1999) and a longer multi-theme regional course (4 days) in Kaunas, Nov. 7-10,1999.

These activities now permit ICCROM and its partners to test these approaches for application and adaptation within the region and beyond. The survey prepared by the consultant Dennis Rodwell in 1999 (sponsored by Hungary and supported from the World Heritage Fund for an amount of US\$ 15,000) looking at conditions and training needs in Eastern European historic cities confirmed the importance of such training and the particular objectives addressed by the training.

To take advantage of the relevant lessons gained in the experiences of the recent North-Eastern Europe training programmes treating urban conservation in transition economies, Hungary and ICCROM would like to collaborate in carrying out a training workshop (1 week) and associated seminar (1 day) in late June 2000 in Hungary. It will be organized in association with the organizers of the Eger summer course.

The workshop, as in the North-Eastern Europe precedents, would constitute an introduction to integrated urban conservation in the transition economies of Eastern Europe. The associated one-day seminar would examine management issues for urban archaeology.

Objectives:

- Integrated Urban Conservation Workshop: to increase the ability of managers to integrate concern for heritage conservation in management planning and decision-making

- Urban Archaeology Seminar: to strengthen awareness of managers, administrators, decision-makers, political leaders of the importance of integrating care for archaeological resources in urban management, and to increase awareness of the available options for so doing

Themes, issues and problems to be discussed:

Integrated Urban Conservation Workshop

- Clarifying conservation goals within historic cities: integrated conservation, sustainable urban development, protection, authenticity, etc.,
- Integrating conservation objectives with other legitimate municipal objectives (e.g. housing, transport, employment, etc.),
- Conservation and heritage values; defining significant values; whose values? dynamic vs. static values; the context of historic cities, authenticity for historic cities
- Comparative legal frameworks: appropriate legal tools for post-socialist transition economies; dealing with privatization and new modes of property ownership,
- Comparative economic frameworks: incentives, grants, revolving funds, low-interest loans, tax credits and other mechanisms appropriate for use in post-socialist «transition economies»,
- Increasing the involvement of citizens in the meaningful identification and care of urban cultural heritage, and
- Achieving conservation goals: the role of human communications and inter-personal relations; conflict resolution and management, negotiation, facilitation.

Urban Archaeology Seminar

- Recognizing the importance of archaeological resources in urban development as a means for enhancing historic cities,
- Identifying archaeological potential; anticipating the presence of significant archaeological resources in planning, and
- Managing conflicts between archaeological values and development benefits: case studies, examples of successful resolutions participating agencies.

The following authorities and organizations are participating:

- Hungarian Ministry of Cultural Heritage
- Hungarian Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- Hungarian National Board for the Protection of Historic Monuments
- Hungarian World Heritage Committee and its Secretariat
- Hungarian National Committee of ICOMOS
- ICCROM (International Centre for the Study of the Preservation and Restoration of Cultural Property)
- ICOMOS International Historic Towns Committee (Secretariat in Eger)
- Organisation of World Heritage Cities

Profiles of resource persons and trainers and trainee participants

resources persons

- experienced international trainers in the field of integrated urban conservation in «transition economies», particularly those involved in the northeastern Europe training activities (including Herb Stovel, Leo van Nispen, Kirsteen Thomson, Jim Johnson, Hans Jacob Roald, Michel Bonnette) and experienced regional trainers in this field in Central Europe.

trainee participants

- participants should be drawn from among general practice managers (those whose decisions affect heritage values) and specialists in the region's historic cities. (The goal is to establish a dialogue between «managers» and «professionals», and hence both must be present.)

Tentative programme:

Workshop (5 days)

- Day 1 - Exploration of conservation goals
- Day 2 - Exploration of various tools available to reach goals
- Day 3 - Application: «situation analysis» determining your own situation and options for response
- Day 4 - Application: case studies; economic and legal frameworks; public participation
- Day 5 – Relational skills: negotiation, conflict resolution, facilitation

• Seminar (1 day)

- case studies in relation to awareness (importance of urban archaeological resources), means of identifying archaeological potential, managing conflicts between archaeology and urban development
- development of a set of principles useful in guiding decision-making around conflicts

The urban archaeology seminar will be organized in Budapest. The *Integrated Urban Conservation Workshop* will be based in Eger and Nosvaj, given the quality of the training facilities, their cost advantages over comparable space in Budapest, the credibility and positive associations held by the Eger summer course, and the organizational experiences of the Eger summer course team.

Budget Breakdown:

Total project budget:

US\$ 61,240

• Organizational costs:

US\$ 15,000

Workshop (5 days)

1. Meeting facilities (hall and equipment)	US\$ 2,000
2. Reproduction of training materials, workshop handouts	US\$ 2,000
3. Translation of materials	US\$ 1,500
4. Interpretation	US\$ 3,000
5. Refreshments	US\$ 500
SUBTOTAL:	US\$ 9,000

Seminar (1 day)

1. Meeting facilities (hall and equipment)	US\$ 500
2. Seminar handouts	US\$ 300
3. Translation	US\$ 300
4. Interpretation	US\$ 750
5. Refreshments	US\$ 150
SUBTOTAL:	US\$ 2,000

Logistical support:

1. Administrative time	US\$ 3,000
2. Office expenses (fax, telephone)	US\$ 1,000
SUBTOTAL:	US\$ 4,000

• Personnel:

US\$ 6,200

Workshop

1. specialists outside region (6) fees (nominal US\$ 500) 4 x US\$ 500*	US\$ 2,000
2. specialists within region (6) fees (nominal US\$ 500) 6 x US\$ 500	US\$ 3,000

Seminar

speakers' honoraria 12 x US\$ 100*	US\$ 1,200
------------------------------------	------------

*some speakers (e.g. ICCROM) will not take fees

• **Travel:** **US\$ 26,340**

Workshop (accommodation in Nosvaj; 5 days)

1. specialists outside region:		
air fares and transit costs	6 x US\$ 800=	US\$ 4,800
accommodation and per diems	6 x US\$ 50 x 5=	US\$ 1,500
2. specialists within region:		
air fare/car/train travel	6 x US\$ 200=	US\$ 1,200
accommodation and per diems	6 x US\$ 50 x 5=	US\$ 1,500
3. trainee participants:		
air fares/car/train travel	30 x US\$ 200=	US\$ 6,000
<u>accommodation and per diems</u>	<u>30xUS\$50 x 5=</u>	<u>US\$ 7,500</u>
SUBTOTAL:		<u>US\$ 22,500</u>

Seminar (1 day)

specialists		
accommodation and per diems	12 x US\$ 120	US\$ 1,440
<u>invited participants</u>	<u>10 x US\$ 120</u>	<u>US\$ 1,200</u>
SUBTOTAL:		US\$ 2,640

Field events and visits during workshop

bus rental and refreshments	2 x US\$ 600	<u>US\$ 1,200</u>
-----------------------------	--------------	-------------------

• **Publication:** **US\$ 9,500**

Participants in both seminar and workshop will receive a number of already published documents, and collections of workshop and seminar materials.

Workshop

It is desired to produce a post-workshop manager's handbook for integrated urban conservation, distilled from course materials used in previous northeastern Europe training workshops and prepared for this workshop:

• development of the handbook	US\$ 4,000
• preparation of illustrative material	US\$ 1,000
• printing	US\$ 1,000

Seminar

Proceedings of the seminar will be published:

• development of papers/editing/layout	US\$ 1,500
• printing	US\$ 1,000

Workshop and seminar evaluation

• Preparation and production of post-seminar and post-workshop evaluations	US\$ 1,000
--	------------

• **Miscellaneous** **US\$ 4,200**

• <u>Workshop planning and development</u>	
travel costs for planning session in Budapest involving ICCROM key specialists, and Hungarian authorities	
	US\$ 3,000
• <u>Bus rental</u>	
to bring specialists and some invited participants from Budapest to Nosvaj, and return	
	US\$ 1,200

National or other input:

In Kind and US\$ 27,400

• <u>National agencies</u>	
In Kind and US\$ 5,000	
• logistical support provided by Secretariat of World Heritage unit, Eger Summer course secretariat	
	US\$ 3,000

- contributions to editing of post workshop and post-seminar publications by Ministry of Cultural Heritage staff, National Board (Protection of Historic Monuments), ICOMOS Hungary US\$ 1,000
- organisational support from ICOMOS National Committee US\$ 1,000

The Hungarian Ministry of Cultural Heritage US\$ 9,900

- office expenses (fax, telephone) US\$ 1,000
- bus rental/Budapest → Nosvaj and return US\$ 1,200
- field events and visits during workshop US\$ 1,200
- translation/interpretation support US\$ 5,050
- training materials, workshop/seminar handouts (part.) and event refreshments US\$ 1,450

ICCROM US\$ 12,500

- workshop planning and development US\$ 3,000
 - development of the post-workshop managers handbook for integrated urban conservation US\$ 6,000
 - workshop and seminar evaluation reports US\$ 1,000
 - printing of post-seminar proceedings US\$ 1,000
 - reproduction of training materials (part.) US\$ 1,500
- (ICCROM will also contribute approximately US\$ 5,000 in staff time not noted in the budget above, for development, delivery and evaluation of the event.)

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund: US\$ 33,840

- part of organizational costs (meeting facilities for seminar/workshop) US\$ 2,500
- personnel costs US\$ 6,200
- travel for specialists/trainee participants in both seminar & workshop US\$ 25,140

Comments of the Advisory Bodies:

ICCROM (which is a co-funding partner) supports this request. The budget is very carefully specified. The training activity offers an opportunity to bring new approaches into historic city management in the region over the next several years.

Comments of the Secretariat: None

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to recommend that the Committee approve the amount of **US\$ 33,840** for this activity.

3. EUROPE.(d).I.

Type of Heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** EUROPE
Type of Assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** GEORGIA
LDC/LIC: LIC

Status of dues to the WH Fund: Paid up to 1997. Dues for 1998 and 1999 have not been paid, although the State Party has informed the World Heritage Centre of its intention to pay its dues before January 2000.

Name of activity: Study and Development of the Mtskheta Heritage and Tourist Master Plan

World Heritage site concerned: City-Museum Reserve of Mtskheta

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions to site concerned:			[Total: US\$ 65,580]
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i>	1993	Tentative List	[US\$ 1,580]
<i>Technical co-operation</i>	1997	<i>Master Plan for Mtskheta</i>	[US\$ 18,000]
	1999	<i>Master Plan for Mtskheta</i>	[US\$ 19,000]
<i>Training</i>	1996	Regional workshop on vernacular architecture	[US\$ 27,000]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any:

Summary background and description of activity:

The *City-Museum Reserve of Mtskheta* was inscribed in 1994 in the World Heritage List. The consultant of UNESCO, Mr Bernard Bizet, elaborated with financial assistance from the World Heritage Fund upon request from the Government of the Republic of Georgia, the outline of a *Master Plan for Mtskheta* (presented in January 1999) and the "Terms of References for 9 Actions" (presented in June 1999).

This request refers to the first proposed action mentioned in the "Terms of References for 9 Actions". The preparation of a *Heritage and Tourist Master Plan* in the Mtskheta area will point out the main components of local development. It will define the principal use and characteristics of the zones, which have to be protected and improved in respect of this policy. It will point out necessary limitations of ground-usage and provide guidelines for the future development of the sites, monuments, constructions and the essential elements of the Mtskheta landscape.

The aims of the Master Plan are:

- Protection of the cultural and historic heritage resources of Mtskheta,
- Exploring and improving the heritage resources,
- Promoting tourist activities respectful of these heritage resources, and
- Establishing a landscape regulatory reference for construction and rehabilitation.

The Master plan will also be used as a basis for other development decisions regarding to monuments or sites of archaeological and historical interest in Mtskheta.

Budget Breakdown:

Total project budget: US\$ 75,000

A working group of five experts (urbanist/ architect, archaeologist, museum expert, tourism expert and expert on legal-institutional framework and training needs) will elaborate the Master Plan.

- fee and travel costs for 5 experts a US\$ 10,400:US\$ 52,000
- logistic support on site: US\$ 5,000

• preparation of the final report:	US\$ 7,500	
• presentation of the outcome of the study:	US\$ 10,500	
National or other input:		In Kind & US\$ 40,000
• UNDP - SPPD (part of expert fees)	US\$ 40,000	
• National contribution: The State will provide the necessary logistic and organizational support as well as national experts to work with the international working team.		
Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund:		US\$ 35,000
part of costs involved in elaboration of Master Plan		

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS supports this request. ICOMOS expressed some concern about the lack of a Master Plan when site was inscribed in the World Heritage List in 1994. As the political situation in the country is more stable and tourism is beginning to play a significant role in the economy, the need for a Master Plan is much greater. Therefore, ICOMOS supports this request for partly funding of a project that has already substantial support from UNDP-SPPD.

Comments of the Secretariat: The implementation of the first proposed action based on previous work and studies already carried out, is a very important step for the definition of the future development of this site and its surrounding region.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to recommend that the Committee approve this request for the amount of **US\$ 35,000, subject to the State Party paying its outstanding dues for 1998 and 1999.**

3. EUROPE.(d).II.

Type of Heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** EUROPE

Type of Assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** LATVIA
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of dues to the WH Fund: Paid up to 1999

Name of activity: *Regional Seminar on Authenticity and Reconstruction Work in Riga*

World Heritage site(s) concerned: *Historic Centre of Riga* and cultural sites in the region

Previous WH Fund contributions for cultural heritage: [Total: US\$ 36,500]

The following contributions have benefited Riga where the regional seminar is expected to take place.

<i>Preparatory assistance</i>	1996	Old Town of Riga nomination	[US\$ 7,500]
<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1998	1 st Phase information system for Riga inventory	[US\$ 20,000]
<i>Monitoring</i>	1999	2 nd Phase information system for Riga inventory	[US\$ 9,000]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any:

Summary background and description of activity: Reconstruction of buildings is an important factor for the value of the World Heritage sites in this region. The key scientific issue in analysis of reconstruction is *authenticity*, one of the cornerstones of the evaluation process for cultural sites nominated for inscription in the WH List. Sites are expected to meet the test of authenticity in design, material, workmanship and setting. The *Operational Guidelines* notes that reconstruction is not to be accepted on the WH List. However, less clear indications are made concerning the examination of the legitimacy of newly reconstructed structures within already inscribed sites.

A *Regional Seminar on Authenticity and Reconstruction Work* of three days in Riga, will bring together regional and international experts and leading national and municipal politicians/ decision makers and administrators from the region. The *Latvian National Commission for UNESCO* in close consultation with the *State Inspection for Heritage Protection of Latvia* will be responsible for the project. ICCROM will contribute by planning, participation and by publication of the proceedings.

The objectives of the training activity are:

- Strengthen the understanding of political leaders and decision-makers,
- Preparation of guidelines which would establish principles useful in future analysis of reconstruction projects, and
- Strengthen the scientific framework for consideration of reconstruction projects and thus to make a contribution to the analysis of authenticity within the WH Convention.

Timeframe:

Jan. 2000: Setting-up of a regional working group to develop the action plan for the realization of the seminar.

Oct. 2000: 3 day seminar in Riga, Latvia

Budget Breakdown:

Total project budget: US\$ 50,000

National or other input: US\$ 25,000

National input:

- logistic support: US\$ 5,000
- staff time: US\$ 5,000

ICCROM input:

- expert participation and publication: US\$ 10,000
- staff time: US\$ 5,000

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund: US\$ 25,000

- travel, per diem and accommodation: 20x US\$ 650 = US\$ 13,000
- speakers: travel, accommodation and per diem: 8x US\$ 1,000= US\$ 8,000
- translation and interpretation in the seminar: US\$ 4,000

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS and ICCROM were asked for advice on 20 October 1999. Their evaluations will be presented at the time of the Bureau / Committee sessions.

Comments of the Secretariat: The reconstruction of monuments causes different problems with regard to World Heritage sites in the region (identification and nomination of property, state of conservation). The organization of a seminar on reconstruction is therefore a very useful and important contribution for a better implementation of the World Heritage Convention in this region.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish examine the comments of the Advisory Bodies and take a decision accordingly.

3. EUROPE.(d).III.

Type of Heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** EUROPE

Type of Assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** LATVIA
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of dues to the WH Fund: Paid up to 1999

Name of activity: Restoration of the Interior of the *Reutern House*, Old Riga

World Heritage site(s) concerned: *Historic Centre of Riga*

Previous WH Fund contributions for site concerned: [Total: US\$ 36,500]

Preparatory assistance 1996 Old Town of Riga nomination [US\$ 7,500]

Technical Co-operation 1998 1st Phase information system for Riga inventory [US\$ 20,000]

Monitoring 1999 2nd Phase information system for Riga inventory [US\$ 9,000]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any:

Summary background and description of activity: The *Historic Centre of Riga* was inscribed in 1997 in the World Heritage List. As a historical and architectural monument of the 17th century the *Reutern House* is a unique building in the ancient part of the city of Old Riga. Built in 1685, it started a new type of dwelling house in Riga. Owned by the state, it was running to ruins and was renewed with the care of the *Latvian Union of Journalists* in the period of 1980-90. In 1990 it became a journalist's house and an active centre of cultural and social life of Riga.

The project includes the restoration of the historical interiors of the house and repairs of the façade, roof and renewal of the ventilation, fire and security alarm systems as well as communication systems.

Activities undertaken with national financing (*The State Agency of Real Estate*): Expenditures for repairs of the façade, roof, communication systems, renewal of ventilation, fire and security alarm systems

Activities undertaken with World Heritage Fund financing: Restoration of the most significant and damaged parts of the interior: the hall "Rococo", the halls "Lira", the "Brown Hall", the "Green Hall", and the cellar.

Time frame:

February-March 2000: ventilation, fire and security alarm systems

April-August 2000: restoration of the interior

July-September 2000: repairs of the roofs and façade

Budget Breakdown:

Total project budget: US\$ 65,000

National or other input: US\$ 40,000

1. repair of the facade: US\$ 11,864.⁰⁰

2. repair of the ventilation: US\$ 6779.⁶⁶

3. renewal: fire and security alarm system US\$ 12,881.⁷⁷

4. repair of the roof US\$ 3,389.⁸³

5. repair of the communication system US\$ 5,084.⁷⁴

Amount requested from the World Heritage Fund: US\$ 25,000

Restoration costs for

1. Hall "Rokoko" US\$ 1,527.⁴⁵

2.	Hall "Baroque"	US\$ 852. ²⁰
3.	Hall "Lira"	US\$ 1,268. ¹³
4.	"Brown Hall"	US\$ 1,658. ⁶⁴
5.	Cellar	US\$ 9,087. ⁰⁰
6.	Entrance-Hall	US\$ 4,518. ⁹⁹
7.	Additional works	US\$ 3,012. ¹³
8.	Miscellaneous	US\$ 1,013. ⁶⁰

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS, upon examining the detailed budgetary breakdown submitted by the State Party, stated that the [Reitern's House in Old Riga] is a meticulous piece of work and the levels of funding requested are eminently reasonable. ICOMOS therefore strongly supports this request.

Comments of the Secretariat: None.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish approve the amount of US\$ 25,000 for this request.

3. EUROPE.(d).IV.

Type of heritage:	CULTURAL	Region:	EUROPE
Type of assistance:	Technical Cooperation	State Party:	TURKEY
		LDC/LIC:	Neither

Status of dues to the WH Fund (if applicable): Paid up to 1999

Name of activity: Completion of the documentation of the buildings and monuments within the city walls

World Heritage site concerned : Historic Areas of Istanbul

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions:

Technical co-operation

- 1983 Hagia Sophia mosaic conservation, Historic Areas of Istanbul (US \$ 30,000)
- 1987 Photogrammetry equipment, Historic Areas of Istanbul (US\$ 31,247)
- 1988 Equipment, Historic Areas of Istanbul (US\$ 29,902)
- 1991 Hagia Sophia mosaic conservation, Historic Areas of Istanbul (US\$ 20,000)
- 1994 Hagia Sophia, Historic Areas of Istanbul (US\$ 20,000)
- 1994 Hagia Sophia mosaic restoration, Historic Areas of Istanbul (US\$ 80,000)
- 1999 Conservation work of Hagia Sophia mosaic, Historic Areas of Istanbul (US\$ 50,000)
- 1999 Creation of the World Heritage House of Istanbul, Historic Areas of Istanbul (US\$ 30,000)

Training

- 1987 Stone conservation training, Historic Areas of Istanbul (US\$ 12,000)

Assistance for educational, information and promotional activities

- 1999 Promotion of the World Heritage Convention (US\$ 5,000)

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned :

- WH Bureau 16th session (paragraph VI.44)
- WH Committee 16th session (paragraph VIII.2)
- WH Bureau 17th session (paragraph VIII.3)
- WH Bureau 18th extraordinary session (paragraph IV.1)
- WH Committee 18th session (paragraph IX.22)
- WH Bureau 21th extraordinary session (paragraph III.C)
- WH Committee 21th session (paragraph VII.55)
- WH Bureau 22nd session (paragraph V.67)
- WH Bureau 22nd extraordinary session (paragraph III.C)
- WH Committee 22nd session (paragraph VII.43)
- WH Bureau 23rd session (paragraph IV.85.2)

Reference paragraphs in Working Documents WHC-99/CONF.208/5 and WHC-99/CONF.209/14 :

Yes – see Working Document

Summary background and description of activity: The request, submitted by the Istanbul Technical University and endorsed by the Turkish Permanent Delegation to UNESCO, is for the completion of the documentation of the buildings and monuments within the city walls.

The documentation is an essential tool for the management of the World Heritage Site. In an era of rapid social and economic change, coupled with increased urbanisation and population pressure from people moving from the countryside into the city, the nature of the use of the buildings and the

population which inhabits them is changing. Expectation of the enhanced lifestyles puts pressure on the historic building stock. Furthermore, in seeking to improve the services within the living historic city, it is essential that Istanbul Municipality is fully briefed on the importance of every historic building, monument and area, so that it can exercise its protective management duties to the full.

The objective :

To provide Istanbul Municipality, the Monuments Council, other concerned authorities and general public, including property owners, with up-to-date information on all monuments and historic buildings within the walled city, including relevant historical background. The inventory of the historic city will be a public document to ensure its maximum usefulness and the involvement of the population in planning and conservation.

Expected outcome :

Enhanced management of the World Heritage Site through the provision of a comprehensive, flexible and updatable inventory, which can be used not only by the planning authorities but also by the general public.

Budget breakdown:

1/ National Input

- Provision of computer equipment :	US\$ 10,000
- Training project staff :	US\$ 5,000
- Academic supervision : US\$ 500 x 12 x 2 =	US\$ 12,000
- University support staff : US\$ 750 x 12 x 2 =	US\$ 18,000
- Project office US\$ 500 x 12 x 2 =	US\$ 12,000
- Digit maps:	US\$ 10,000

Total paid nationally in kind : US\$ 67,000

2/ Input requested from the World Heritage Fund :

- Missions x 2 for International Expert advising on documentation project	
air fares x 2 @ US\$ 400 :	US\$ 800
TA x 4 @ US\$ 24 :	US\$ 96
DSA 2 x 7 days @ US\$ 181 :	US\$ 2,534
fee 2 x 7 days @ US\$ 139 :	US\$ 1,946
Total for missions of International Expert :	US\$ 5,376

- 2 National Inventory Professionals

2 x 24 x US\$ 1,000 : US\$ 48,000

- Upgrading computer hardware : US\$ 5,000

Total requested under the World Heritage Fund : US\$ 58,376

Amount requested from the Fund:

US\$ 58,376

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS has reviewed and supported this request. ICOMOS found the view of the Secretariat well founded. The needs of Istanbul in this respect are very great and extremely urgent. The Secretariat has highlighted an essential aspect of this request : it must be related to the preparation of the conservation plan for the entire historic centre of Istanbul. ICOMOS therefore fully endorses the recommendation of the Secretariat that the budget should be modified to US\$ 35,208, to cover the update of the inventory and preliminary activities related to the conservation plan.

ICCROM believes this request should be seen in the context of recent discussions of the conservation of Istanbul's historic centre within the Committee and the Bureau.

As noted by the Centre, the authorities were requested during the 23rd Bureau to accelerate development of a «conservation plan» for the historic area of Istanbul. The Centre believes that the inventory should be understood as an operational support in the development of the conservation plan. This seems logical and very much in line with the direction of recent Bureau and Committee discussions of the state-of-conservation of Istanbul.

Concerning the project application itself, ICCROM supports the importance of carrying out the documentation activity described. Further, there is considerable urgency attached to the request; because of delays in implementation of the Detailed Conservation Plans for Fatih and Eminou Districts, the building approval process continues to be handled by the understaffed Regional Council, frequently resulting in delays to approvals, and related illegal demolitions and constructions. The documentation activity proposed here is critically needed for the completion of the conservation plans for the Historic Area of Istanbul.

However ICCROM feels many questions relevant to the project budget remain, concerning the technical details of the proposal:

- it is not clear what the inventory «product» will consist of, whether descriptive material, stereophotogrammetric images, or historical data.
- it is therefore not clear what professional skills are required to carry out the documentation.
- it is not clear how the allocation of time and skills mentioned in the proposal has been decided upon. Normally documentation studies are pegged to required «levels» of documentation, upon which resource allocations are subsequently based, and these have not been made clear here.

After consultation with WH Centre staff, adequate clarification on these points has been obtained.

As a result, ICCROM would recommend that the request be approved at a lower level of funding, sufficient to pay for the involvement of one (not two) national experts over two years. The Centre has also accompanied its own recommendations with a suggestion to increase the number of advisory missions from three to two. ICCROM believes that this is an important means of ensuring the highest quality of results, and fully supports this suggestion. ICCROM believes that it would be important to use these missions to ensure that proper relations are established between the documentation provided, the conservation plans being developed and the long term management of the historic area. ICCROM would be more than pleased to contribute its urban conservation expertise, particularly as the project begins, to ensure an appropriate orientation of the work, and the widest possible understanding of project intentions and processes among those involved in urban management in Istanbul.

ICCROM believes accordingly that an allocation of approximately US\$ 35,000. would permit completion of the documentation to standards adequate to ensure the operational functionality of the conservation plan.

Comments of Secretariat: The Secretariat believes that this request for completion of the documentation of the buildings and monuments within the Istanbul's city walls foreseen in the State Party request is well-founded, however, should be carried out with a focussed objective of preparing the conservation plan for the Historic areas of Istanbul.

The Bureau of the World Heritage Committee, at its 23rd ordinary session decided the following: (Extract from the report of the Rapporteur of the 23rd ordinary session Bureau of the World Heritage Committee.)

"Despite the 1996 declaration by the Regional Council for the Monuments and Sites placing the entire historic peninsula of Istanbul as a conservation area, a new Urban Development Plan for Conservation (at 1:5000 scale) and the Detailed Conservation Plan of Fatih and Eminonu Districts (at 1:1000 scale) have not been adopted, within one year, as provided under the law. This delay in the adoption of the Plan has resulted in the prolongation of the so-called "transitional phase" under which all building requests in the

entire historic peninsula must be approved by the Regional Council. The limited staff of the Regional Council causing delays in the building approval process has in turn, led to illegal construction activities.

The Bureau of the World Heritage Committee requested, at its 23th extraordinary Session, the State Party to encourage the early preparation of the Urban Development Plan for Conservation by Greater Istanbul Metropolitan Administration and the Detailed Plan for Conservation by the Municipalities of Fatih and Eminonu and for the official adoption of these Plans to ensure the protection of the World Heritage areas."

Therefore, the Secretariat strongly recommends that this request for international assistance (technical co-operation), submitted by the Istanbul Technical University and endorsed by the Turkish Permanent Delegation to UNESCO should be revised to focus on technical support required for the preparation of the Urban Development Plan for Conservation by Greater Istanbul Metropolitan Administration and the Detailed Plan for Conservation by the Municipalities of Fatih and Eminonu as requested by the Bureau. This request would therefore be the first stage in the preparation of these Conservation Plans. The revised project would enable the updating of the inventory but more for operational needs in the preparation of the conservation plan.

The Secretariat suggests the following modifications to the budget amounting to a total of US\$ 35,208.

Revised Budget :

2/ Input requested from the World Heritage Fund :

- Mission x 3 for International Expert to assist the Greater Istanbul Metropolitan Administration to prepare the Conservation Plan, and to assist Eminonu and Fatih Districts to prepare a Detailed Conservation Plan of both Districts :

air fares x 3 @ US\$ 400 :	US\$ 1200
TA x 3 @ US\$ 96 :	US\$ 288
DSA 3 x 7 days @ US\$ 181 :	US\$ 3,801
fee 3 x 7 days @ US\$ 139 :	US\$ 2,919
Sub Total :	US\$ 8,208

- National Experts (W/M)
24 x US\$ 1,000 : US\$ 24,000

- Upgrading of computer hardware : US\$ 3,000

<p>Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to recommend that the Committee approve the reduced amount of US\$ 35,208 under this request, following the reduced budget breakdown suggested by the World Heritage Centre and endorsed by the Advisory Bodies.</p>

3. LATIN.(b).II.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** LATIN AMERICA

Type of assistance: Emergency Assistance **State Party:** GUATEMALA
LDC/LIC: Neither

Name of activity: Clean-up and preventive measures in Antigua Guatemala

Summary background and description of activity:	Total: US\$ 80,710
<i>Technical Co-operation</i> 1979	[US\$ 60,710]
1994	[US\$ 20,000]

An Emergency Request received 28 July 1999 for US\$ 35,241 could not be answered for lack of funds.

In 1998 Hurricane Mitch degraded the mountainsides surrounding the city of Antigua Guatemala, in part by landslides that destroyed the vegetation cover. This leads to increased water off-run that is saturated with mud from the loose soil cover of the slopes. The constantly humid condition of the walls and the re-deposition of earth around architectural structures of the city endangers the integrity of its World Heritage values considerably.

To counter the negative effect of the present condition and to avoid further deterioration a 70 day programme for the implementation of clean-up and preventive measures was drawn up. The following points are its main objectives:

1. The cleaning of natural and artificial canals as well as wall foundations from re-deposited mud and debris
2. Building of terraces on the hill-sides to weaken the force of the rain-water currents.
3. Protection and re-enforcement of canals
4. Construction of diversion canals to lead water away from buildings.

To facilitate the completion of these tasks and save time and money otherwise used for workers, a Skid-Steer Loader (Bobcat 453 D-Series) is needed. The financial means for this purchase are requested from the World Heritage Fund, under a separate Technical Co-operation request, which has been combined with this emergency assistance request as the activity is one and the same.

Budget Breakdown:

Total activity budget:	US\$ 20,216
National or other input:	in kind
Amount requested from the fund:	US\$ 20,216
• General clean-up:	Q 1,750
• Removal of sediments from canals:	Q 3,850
• Removal of debris to dumping sites:	Q 5,000
• Re-canalisation of water currents:	Q 28,500
• Building of terraces on the hill-sides to weaken the force of the rain-water currents:	Q 6,900
• Erection of retention walls:	Q 27,400
• Re-forestation:	Q 1,500
Subtotal for work:	(Q 79,400) US\$ 10,089
Skid-Steer Loader (Bobcat 453 D-Series)	US\$ 10,127

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: Documents were transmitted to ICOMOS for information on the 19 October 1999. A revised version was transmitted for evaluation on the 10 November 1999.

Comments of Secretariat: The WHC supports this request.

Action by the Chairperson: Upon examination of comments of the Advisory Bodies, the Chairperson may wish to approve this request for an amount of **US\$ 20,216**, asking the Secretariat to assist the State Party in obtaining the most economical rate for the purchase of the Skid Steer Loader with the assistance of the UNESCO Equipment Purchase Unit. The Chairperson may also further wish to request the State Party to report to the Bureau at its 24th session on the impact of the international assistance provided, and the state of conservation of the site.

3. LATIN.(b).III.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** LATIN AMERICA

Type of assistance: Emergency Assistance **State Party:** MEXICO
LDC/LIC: Neither

Name of activity: Emergency measures to repair and consolidate the Monastery of Tochimilco, Puebla, damaged by an earthquake in south-central Mexico

Previous World Heritage contributions to site concerned: [None for this site]

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any:

Summary background and description of activities: An earthquake measuring 6.7 on the Richter scale affected the whole south-central area of Mexico on 15 June 1999, causing damage to 1,485 historic buildings. Major damage was done to the Monastery of Tochimilco, Puebla, which is part of the "Earliest 16th-Century Monasteries on the Slopes of Popocatepetl" World Heritage Site, which was inscribed in 1994. The vault on the main nave of the monastery as well as the external walls and associated structural elements show cracks, crevices and fissures. Some elements have collapsed and the structural stability is compromised in some places. A detailed list of damages to other buildings of the region, in which the two World Heritage Sites "The Earliest 16th-Century Monasteries on the Slopes of Popocatepetl" and the "Historic Centre of Puebla" are located, was also included in the assistance request.

To repair the damage already caused as well as to prevent further deterioration of the affected buildings the following activities have been undertaken by the Mexican authorities:

- Emergency visits to the affected area to confirm damages and determine preventive measures
- General inventory of damage and general estimate of costs
- Defining co-ordination mechanisms at all government levels with the concurrence of society
- Creation of guidelines for immediate attention: propping up of structures with stability elements
- Definition of a structural rehabilitation project and restoration and replacement of architectural elements
- Elaboration of restoration projects for specific parts of the building and its artistic objects
- Setting of priorities for works.

The contribution from the World Heritage Fund is needed to finance the implementation of the previously planned structural rehabilitation and restoration project.

Budget Breakdown:

Total activity budget (estimate): **US\$ 650,000**

Emergency stability measures and protection US\$ 150,000

Structural rehabilitation measures US\$ 400,000

Restoration works US\$ 100,000

National or other input:

Mexico Federal Government: **US\$ 500,000**

Puebla State Government: US\$ 40,000

Community Organisations: US\$ 10,000

Amount requested from the fund: **US\$ 100,000**

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: In view of the severe damage to this monument and the urgent need for stabilisation and eventual restoration, ICOMOS strongly supports this request.

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre agrees with ICOMOS and recommends

approval of this request. However, the Secretariat has requested the State Party to provide a detailed budget breakdown and clarification and identification of the tasks planned with the World Heritage Fund contributions. The Centre recommends approval of the request, subject to the Chairperson approving the budget breakdown and clarifications transmitted by the State Party.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to examine further information available at the time of its session and take a decision.

3. LATIN.(c).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** LATIN AMERICA

Type of assistance: Training **State Party:** BRAZIL
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of dues to the WH Fund (if applicable): Paid including 1999

Name of activity: Evaluation of regional training programme in heritage preservation (CECRE) at Federal University of Bahia

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions for cultural heritage: [Total: US\$ 257,250]

The course to be financed with this request has received US\$ 257,250 of World Heritage Fund training assistance since 1988, normally through the provision of 6 international professors and up to 10 fellowships for international students. Each course has been evaluated with an overall evaluation undertaken in 1995.

<i>Training</i>	1988 Bahia course	[US\$ 54,500]
	1990 Bahia course	[US\$ 29,750]
	1993 Bahia course	[US\$ 40,000]
	1994 Evaluation of Bahia courses	[US\$ 18,000]
	1996 CECRE inter-regional course	[US\$ 65,000]
	1998 CECRE inter-regional course	[US\$ 50,000]

Summary background and description of activities: This request was submitted as a preparatory assistance request, but due to the nature of the activity to be funded, the Secretariat has processed it as a training assistance request.

The Specialised Courses on conservation of Monuments and the Rehabilitation of Historic Cities (CECRE) are held at the Federal University of Bahia (Brazil) every other year. The course is a ten-month post-graduate course for architects, urbanists and engineers from Brazil and Latin America and the Caribbean. The tenth CECRE course took place in 1998 with a total of 184 students trained. CECRE, a centre of excellence for training in Latin America and the Caribbean, needs to adapt to changing needs and requirements in the region in its field of competence. In order to review the CECRE programme (planning for future post-graduate courses, setting up of tailor-made short courses) it is proposed by the national institute for historic heritage (IPHAN) and the course co-ordinator to undertake an Assessment Exercise with the following elements:

- a) Institutional strengthening of CECRE
- b) Logistics and possible use of annex facilities
- c) Local personnel (capacity building and strengthening)
- d) Adjusting of curriculum of CECRE for the year 2000
- e) Strategy for funding
- f) Dissemination and selection strategy
- g) Develop guidelines for tailor-made short courses.

Budget Breakdown:

Total activity budget: US\$ 14,800

National or other contribution: In Kind.

Amount requested from the Fund: US\$ 14,800

Budget requested from World Heritage Fund to facilitate participation in a one-week assessment meeting of:

1 senior consultant (2 weeks, travel, DSA, report preparation)	US\$ 6,500
1 international professor (1 week, travel, DSA)	US\$ 3,500
1 national co-ordinator (3 weeks)	US\$ 2,000
1 professor from Brazil (1 week)	US\$ 500

1 ex-student from Brazil
1 or 2 ex-students from LAC

US\$ 700
US\$ 1,600

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICCROM expressed the opinion that this request should be considered under training assistance rather than preparatory assistance.

ICCROM is of the opinion that the request should be approved but it makes detailed observations and recommendations:

- Brazil has received a lot of Training Assistance over the years. In times of rising demand, the funds might have to be distributed more equally, taking into account the requests of other institutions and/or States Parties.
- The CECRE course does not benefit World Heritage directly.
- It should be made sure that the consultant involved in the process is a recognised training expert.

Certain aspects should be included in the planning of future courses:

- World Heritage Funds might not always be available.
- The regional training strategy that will be prepared for Latin American historic sites by ICCROM
- Better regional co-ordination

Comments of Secretariat: The Secretariat considers the CECRE courses to be very successful. Furthermore it maintains that the World Heritage assistance is effective in the sense that it facilitates a recognised national programme to be of a regional scope and significance. A selection criterion for regional participants has always been that they have a direct responsibility for cultural heritage management and preservation, in most cases also for World Heritage sites. Therefore the Secretariat strongly supports this request, which will help the CECRE courses to adopt and respond to emerging new needs in the region.

<p>Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve the amount of US\$ 14,800 from the Training budget, requesting the State Party to take into account the comments of ICCROM in planning future courses and consider seeking funds from other sources in the future.</p>

3. LATIN.(c).II.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** CARIBBEAN

Type of assistance: Training Assistance **State Party:** DOMINICA
LDC/LIC: Neither

Name of activity: Workshop to prepare training course on Cultural Heritage for English, French and Dutch speaking Caribbean

World Heritage site(s) concerned: World Heritage cultural sites in the English, French and Dutch speaking Caribbean

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions: None for cultural heritage.

Summary background and description of activity: This request was originally submitted as a preparatory assistance request, but in light of the substance, the Secretariat has processed it as a training request.

The Caribbean islands possess a rich vernacular and historic architectural heritage combined with sites of natural significance. Most Ministries of Education/Culture and/or the Environment and Tourism and Employment do not have the adequate human resources for heritage management. It is necessary therefore to prepare the basis for a regional course for architects, engineers, urban/environmental planners and practitioners and tourism professionals working in governmental and non-governmental organisations in the English, French and possible Dutch speaking Caribbean. Courses of this nature have taken place for the Spanish speaking Caribbean, but Dominica has never received any related World Heritage funds.

The objective of this request, submitted by the Minister of Tourism, Ports and Employment of Dominica, is to prepare a training course on cultural heritage for the English, French and possibly Dutch speaking Caribbean. To this end, a four-day workshop will be organised.

Budget Breakdown:

Total activity budget:	US\$ 15,000
1 International workshop co-ordinator and reporter (1 week, travel and DSA)	US\$ 5,400
1 International Expert on course curricula (5 days travel, stay)	US\$ 3,000
3 Caribbean consultants in heritage, architecture, natural resources and tourism (5 days, travel, DSA)	US\$ 6,000
Report in English and French	US\$ 600
National or other input:	In Kind
Government contribution (value US\$ 7,500)	
Amount requested from the fund:	US\$ 15,000

Recommendation from Advisory Body: ICCROM supports this request in principle. ICCROM feels, however, that the proposed budget could be used more effectively. It is not clear why an international workshop "co-ordinator" should require twice as much funding as an international "expert". Co-ordination in any event is an activity that generally should be provided by local officials. If the Government of Dominica is offering a "free stay" for 2 participants, then perhaps this should be reflected in budget reductions involving invited experts; such reductions, if feasible, could permit greater participation from Caribbean experts. The budget costs associated for travel and costs of Caribbean experts also seem somewhat high at 2,000 US dollars per person.

A further contradiction in the project documents with possible budget implications is the duration of the workshop. It is described as being from 10-14 days; budget support is sought for experts for only "1 week" or "5 days".

The organisers, since they are interested in both natural and cultural heritage in the Caribbean, should investigate whether a more accurately drawn budget could support involvement of international conservation professionals from both fields. Alternatively, the use of a training expert from either cultural or natural heritage field both as expert and as "co-ordinator", could increase the capacity of the budget to bring in useful expertise. IUCN, ICOMOS, ICCROM should be consulted in order to offer suggestions for suitable experienced training specialists.

Invited experts should also have up-to-date contact with World Heritage mechanisms since much of the long familiar processes are now rapidly changing (merging of criteria, reconsideration of integrity/authenticity, global strategy, regional training strategies, the impact of the Kakadu debate, etc.).

The request from Dominica says nothing about the facilities, which would be used for the workshop. It would be useful to obtain details concerning the proposed physical arrangements for the workshop from the responsible authorities.

Comments of the Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre recommends approval of this request, suggesting that the Dominican authorities consider ICCROM's observations in further developing the activity. The Secretariat will ensure that participants' fees will be defined in accordance with UNESCO standards.

<p>Action by the Chairperson: The Chairperson may wish to approve an amount of US\$ 15,000 for this activity under the Training budget, requesting the State Party to consider ICCROM's observations in further developing the activity, and requesting the Secretariat to ensure that the participants' fees are defined in accordance with UNESCO standards.</p>

3. LATIN.(d).I.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** LATIN AMERICA

Type of assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** COLOMBIA
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of dues to the WH Fund (if applicable): Paid up to 1999

Name of activity: Integral Conservation of the Cloister of San Pedro Claver in Cartagena de Indias

World Heritage site(s) concerned: Cloister of San Pedro Claver in Cartagena de Indias

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any: [check]

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions to site concerned:	[Total: US\$ 48,000]	
<i>Preparatory Assistance</i> 1990 Legislation for Cartagena	[US\$ 5,000]	
<i>Technical Co-operation</i> 1988 Cartagena	[US\$ 14,000]	
	Cartagena Master Plan	[US\$ 19,000]
<i>Training</i> 1995 Cultural institutions meeting in Cartagena	[US\$ 10,000]	

No previous World Heritage Fund contributions were provided to the Cloister of San Pedro Claver, Cartagena de Indias. However, the World Heritage site of which the cloister forms a part, has received International Assistance as indicated above.

Summary background and description of activity: The Cloister of San Pedro Claver has been the object of a proposal for the development of an Integral Conservation Project that has reached a preliminary stage due to multiple efforts of the Society of Jesus in Colombia and of the Direction of National Heritage of the Ministry of Culture. At present, according to results produced by the first or preliminary studies, the state of conservation of the Cloister is diagnosed as acceptable. However, the greatest problems are of a structural order, which is a decisive element when planning programmes of preventive consolidation (or first aid).

A conservation proposal for the Cloister that houses the Modern Art Museum as well as the Caribbean Navy Museum and is of great socio-cultural and touristic importance has been drawn up. The programme is geared at the intervention and conservation of the cultural site with the following goals:

1. Understanding of the cultural essence contained in the Cloister and of the way in which such essence is associated with the surrounding context.
2. The use of new resources and strategies for the analysis of the Cloister as an object to be protected and recognised for its cultural values.
3. The use of different interpretative tools as part of the management and/or action process.
4. The way of approaching conflicts arising between conservation and urban development.

The contribution from the World Heritage Fund will be used for the completion of the final stage of the Integral Conservation Project:

- Architectural proposal for the conservation
- Production of drawings and models
- Structural analysis of the buildings
- Laboratory based soil and structural tests
- General installations project
- Landscape gardening project
- Compilation of an inventory of the present museum

- Preparation of an intervention model
- Management and public relations
- Urgent works

All of the results of the projects referred to above will be channelled into the preparation of a model of integral conservation for historic buildings which in turn will contribute in the future to the development of a methodology for their architectural restoration.

Budget Breakdown:

Total activity budget: **US\$ 110,300**

National or other input: **US\$ 50,300**

The first stages of the project were financed with US\$ 50,300 from national funds (Society of Jesus in Colombia, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana and The Ministry of Culture).

Amount requested from the Fund: **US\$ 60,000**

A very detailed time plan was attached but no budgetary breakdown was included.

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: ICOMOS is sympathetic to this request, since the property in question is a major feature of the historic ensemble. The project will also constitute a model for future integrated conservation projects in Colombia. However, it feels that it cannot comment without a budgetary breakdown, closely related to the timetable.

Comments of Secretariat: The World Heritage Centre has sent a letter on 15 October 1999, requesting a detailed budget breakdown. Following previous decisions by the Bureau that no request will be considered without a detailed budget breakdown, the World Heritage Centre recommends that the Bureau examine this request at the time of its session, only if further information concerning the budget is received.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to examine further information at the time of its session and thereafter make a recommendation to the Committee.

3.LATIN.(d).II.

Type of heritage: CULTURAL **Region:** LATIN AMERICA

Type of assistance: Technical Co-operation **State Party:** CUBA
LDC/LIC: Neither

Status of dues to the WH Fund (if applicable): Paid up to 1999

Name of activity: Consolidation and rehabilitation of the third cloister of the Convent of Santa Clara, Havana

World Heritage site(s) concerned: Old Havana and its Fortifications

Previous World Heritage Fund contributions to site concerned: [Total: US\$ 184,255]

<i>Technical Co-operation</i>	1985	Consolidation / restoration of Plaza Vieja and its surroundings	[US\$ 20,478]
	1993	Consolidation / restoration, Old Havana	[US\$ 55,000]
	1998	Conservation of Morro-Cabana, Havana	[US\$ 28,777]
<i>Training</i>	1996	Regional course for urban rehabilitation	[US\$ 30,000]
	1998	Preventive conservation training activity	[US\$ 30,000]
<i>Emergency Assistance</i>	1993	Consolidation of the Plaza Vieja	[US\$ 20,000]

This particular building has not yet received any International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund until now, though the site has benefited from assistance, as indicated above.

Reference paragraphs of previous deliberations by Bureau or Committee concerning state of conservation of site(s) concerned, if any:

Summary background and description of activity: Old Havana and its Fortifications was inscribed in the World Heritage List on 14 December 1982. With its first cloister built from 1638 to 1643, the Convent of Santa Clara was the oldest monastery of enclosure nuns constructed in Havana and the most ancient and significant earthen construction in the country, covering 12,000 m², that occupies four blocks - reflected on its interior through the four cloisters - in the historic centre of Havana. The First and Second cloister have been restored from 1982 to the present, by the Ministry of Culture. The recovery of the Third cloister is needed by the Cuban National Centre for Conservation, Restoration and Museology (CENCREM), housed in the first two cloisters, to extend and create new restoration workshops and related spaces, as well as avoiding the risk of collapse, which poses a real danger to surrounding streets.

The National Conservation Centre (CENCREM) was created in the eighties with important support from the United Nations system. No World Heritage assistance has been provided, however, for the restoration and rehabilitation of the convent buildings.

The contributions from the World Heritage Fund will be used mainly for the payment of imported materials and special needs mainly used during the second phase of the programme. The objectives are:

- Repairs and re-constructions on arches and walls
- Re-construction of horizontal structures now missing
- Re-construction of four Moorish ceilings (North Wing)
- Construction of missing roofs in North and West areas
- Re-construction of missing galleries at upper and lower levels
- Construction of staircases

Budget breakdown:

Total activity budget:	350,000 Pesos & US\$ 95,000
A) Materials and equipment (to be purchased with foreign currency)	
Government contribution	US\$ 65,000
World Heritage Fund contribution	US\$ 30,000
B) Local materials, labour, design and supervision etc.	350,000 Pesos Cubanos

National or other input:	350,000 Pesos & US\$ 65,000
Materials and equipment (to be purchased with foreign currency)	
Local materials, labour, design and supervision etc.	

Amount requested form the Fund:	US\$ 30,000
Hard Wood	US\$ 12,800
Birch Pine Wood (auxiliary uses)	US\$ 9,800
Scaffold Systems and Props	US\$ 6,750
Construction Tacks	US\$ 395
Other uses	US\$ 255

Comments of the Advisory Bodies: This request relates to the purchase of structural materials and scaffolding for the rehabilitation of the third cloister, which is in a ruinous condition. The Convent is one of the most important buildings in Old Havana; it also houses the National Conservation Centre (CENCREM), which needs additional space for workshops and other facilities. The request relates to Phase 2 of the overall programme, scheduled for completion by the end of 2001. The request is well prepared and ICOMOS strongly supports it.

Comments of Secretariat: The Secretariat fully agrees with ICOMOS' comments, and recommends approval of the request.

Action by the Bureau: The Bureau may wish to approve the amount of US\$ 30,000 for this activity.
