Kathmandu Valley

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Kathmandu Valley

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Hanuman Dhoka Durbar Square	27.704 / 85.308	5.09	6.47	11.56	1979
Patan Durbar Square	27.673 / 85.325	5.3	13.55	18.85	1979
Bhaktapur Durbar Square	27.672 / 85.428	3.61	10.71	14.32	1979
Swayambhu	27.714 / 85.293	32.63	25.18	57.81	1979
Bauddhanath	27.721 / 85.364	1.27	2.83	4.1	1979
Pashupati	27.711 / 85.349	83.55	11.55	95.1	1979
Changunarayan	27.716 / 85.43	35.92	0	35.92	1979
Total (ha)		167.37	70.29	237.66	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Hanuman Dhoka Durbar Square Monument zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	2006	
Patan Durbar Square Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	2006	
Bhaktapur Durbar Square Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	2006	
Swayambhu Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	2006	
Bauddhanath Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	2006	
Pashupati Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	2006	
Changunarayan Monument Zone. Proposed redefinition of core and buffer zone boundary	2006	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. UNESCO Kathmandu

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×

2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))	×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks	×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

No

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years? Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	

2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.

2.7.4 The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s) No

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

No

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Located in the foothills of the Himalayas, the Kathmandu Valley World Heritage property is inscribed as seven Monument Zones. These monument zones are the Durbar squares or urban centres with their palaces, temples and public spaces of the three cities of Kathmandu (Hanuman Dhoka), Patan and Bhaktapur, and the religious ensembles of Swayambhu, Bauddhanath, Pashupati and Changu Narayan. The religious ensemble of Swayambhu includes the oldest Buddhist monument (a stupa) in the Valley; that of Bauddhanath includes the largest stupa in Nepal; Pashupati has an extensive Hindu temple precinct, and Changu Narayan comprises traditional Newari settlement, and a Hindu temple complex with one of the earliest inscriptions in the Valley from the fifth century AD. The unique tiered temples are mostly made of fired brick with mud mortar and timber structures. The roofs are covered with small overlapping terracotta tiles, with gilded brass ornamentation. The windows, doorways and roof struts have rich decorative carvings. The stupas have simple but powerful forms with massive, whitewashed hemispheres supporting gilded cubes with the all-seeing eternal Buddha eyes.

As Buddhism and Hinduism developed and changed over the centuries throughout Asia, both religions prospered in Nepal and produced a powerful artistic and architectural fusion beginning at least from the 5th century AD, but truly coming into its own in the three hundred year period between 1500 and 1800 AD. These monuments were defined by the outstanding cultural traditions of the Newars, manifested in their unique urban settlements, buildings and structures with intricate ornamentation displaying outstanding craftsmanship in brick, stone, timber and bronze that are some of the most highly developed in the world.

Criterion (iii): The seven monument ensembles represent an exceptional testimony to the traditional civilization of the Kathmandu Valley. The cultural traditions of the multi ethnic people who settled in this remote Himalayan valley over the past two millennia, referred to as the Newars, is manifested in the unique urban society which boasts of one of the most highly developed craftsmanship of brick, stone, timber and bronze in the world. The coexistence and amalgamation of Hinduism and Buddhism with animist rituals and Tantrism is considered unique.

Criterion (iv): The property is comprised of exceptional architectural typologies, ensembles and urban fabric illustrating the highly developed culture of the Valley, which reached an apogee between 1500 and 1800 AD. The exquisite examples of palace complexes, ensembles of temples and stupas are unique to the Kathmandu Valley.

Criterion (vi): The property is tangibly associated with the unique coexistence and amalgamation of Hinduism and Buddhism with animist rituals and Tantrism. The symbolic and artistic values are manifested in the ornamentation of the buildings, the urban structure and often the surrounding natural environment, which are closely associated with legends, rituals and festivals.

Integrity

All the attributes that express the outstanding universal value of the Kathmandu Valley are represented through the seven monument zones established with the boundary modification accepted by the World Heritage Committee in 2006. These encompass the seven historic ensembles and their distinct contexts. The majority of listed buildings are in good condition and the threat of urban development is being controlled through the Integrated Management Plan. However the property continues to be vulnerable to encroaching development, in particular new infrastructure.

Authenticity

The authenticity of the property is retained through the unique form, design, material and substance of the monuments, displaying a highly developed traditional craftsmanship and situated within a traditional urban or natural setting. Even though the Kathmandu Valley has undergone immense urbanization, the authenticity of the historic ensembles as well as much of the traditional urban fabric within the boundaries has been retained.

Protection and management requirements

Brief identification of attribute

The designated property has been declared a protected monument zone under the Ancient Monument Preservation Act, 1956, providing the highest level of national protection. The property has been managed by the coordinative action of tiers of central government, local government and non-governmental organizations within the responsibilities and authorities clearly enumerated in the Integrated Management Plan for the Kathmandu World Heritage Property adopted in 2007.

The implementation of the Integrated Management Plan will be reviewed in five-year cycles allowing necessary amendments and augmentation to address changing circumstances. A critical component that will be addressed is disaster risk management for the property.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost	
--	-----------	-------------	-----------------------	------	--

3.2.1	Architectural design	×		
3.2.2	Art	×		
3.2.3	Value	×		
3.2.4	Traditional Materials		×	
3.2.5	Integrity	×		
3.2.6				
3.2.7				
3.2.8				
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value No

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×					\rightarrow	

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			→	
 4.1.3 - Industrial areas Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not relevant				

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×					
Negative X	×		×		N			
4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities								

1.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×				1	
Negative								

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow				
Negative										
 4.2.2 - Underground transp Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2 Relevant, Negative, Poten 										
Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant						
4.2.3 - Air transport infrastr Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2 • Not relevant										
Relevant			× Not relevant							
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infr Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2 Relevant, Negative, Curre 	2011):	9								
Relevant			X Not relevant							
 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside 										
Relevant			× Not relevant							
4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively										

. No

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside 	
X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				1
Negative							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				1
Negative							

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant	evant				
	Impact	Impact		Origin Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×					
4.4.3 - Surface water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):								

Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				/

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			1

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow		
4.4.6 - Input of excess ene Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07, • Not relevant								

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

× Not relevant

Treatment plant for purification of Bagmati river water is functioning very well but still not in full fledge. Therefore the river water will be clean after full functioning of treatment plant.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.9 - Subsistence huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively No	selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.6. Physical resource extraction	
4.6.1 - MiningPrevious answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - QuarryingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gas	

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant
 4.7.2 - Relative humidity Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.3 - Temperature Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	

4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

× Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow		

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	역 Current 역 Potential		 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	

O Positive				
🤤 Negative 🗶	×	×	8	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				1
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

×	Not	relev	ant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			1

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow		

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×		8				
 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/ Relevant, Negative, Curre 									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (04/07/Not relevant	2011):								
Relevant			× Not relevant						
4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/ • Not relevant	2011):								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/	2011):								

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	t				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→				

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×		8			

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant					Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow				

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact O		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×	×		→			

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):
 - Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant					Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×	×	×			\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×					
Negative									

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×					
Negative									

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant	X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×			1			
Negative										
4.13.6 - Human resources										
X Relevant			1	Not relevant						

Trend of impact

Origin

Impact

Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			/
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (04/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			7		
Negative									

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Researches have been carried out increasingly . Some have low and some have high impacts.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend		
4.1 Buildings and Development								
4.1.1 Housing								
	0	4				→		
4.1.2 Commercial development								
	0	9		۲		→		
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	9		٢				
	0	9		٢		$\mathbf{N}_{i,j}$		
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9		٢				
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure								
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	9		٢		→		
4.3 Services Infrastructures								
4.3.4 Localised utilities	٢	9		٢				
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	٢	4		۲				

4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	٢	4		۲		
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
	0	4		۲		
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	0	9		٢	Ċ	
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
	0	9		٢		→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.5 Dust						
	0	9	9		Ċ	
4.7.7 Pests						
	0	9		٢		→
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	0	9		٢		\$
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	9		۲		1
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
	0	9		٢		→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	0		9	٢	Ċ	1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٩	9		٢		→
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	0	9	9	٢		→
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	0	9		٢		N
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	9	9	9	٢	Ċ	→
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide	6		~			
4.44 E Exercise and elitetics/Dependition	9		4	٢		7
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition			~		100	
	9		4	٢	Ċ	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						

		0		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors							
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		٢	9	9	۲		→
4.13.2 Legal framework		٢	9		٢		→
4.13.3 Governance		\odot	4		٢	٢	
4.13.4 Management activities		٢	9		٢	Ċ	
4.13.5 Financial resources		٢	9		۲	Ċ	
4.13.6 Human resources		٢	9		٢	۴	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		\odot	4	9	٢	٢	
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities		٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	
Legend Current Potential ORGANIC	Ositive	 Insid 	de		C Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact	t	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Ho	ising				
		0	4		→
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond				

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	

Name	Impact Origin		Impact		Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development					
	0	9		۲	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	ile - Area antected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static

Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	4		٢	
		0	9		۲	\$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					

	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
		_		_	
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	pretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	٢	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure	0 9		٩	→	
Snatial sc:	Ile - Area affected by the factor					
opullar ool	Restricted					
×	Localised					
^	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tomporal						
remporal	One off or rare					
×						
^	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
	apact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.4 Localised utilities	٢	9		٢		1

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			

Increasing

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major	linear utilities	٢	4		٢		1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
opullaroou							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.4.2 Grour	nd water pollution				
		٢	9	۹	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				
Nome		Immert		Origin	Trend
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend



Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	9	9		٢	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted Localised Localised Extensive Widespread Cerrore of the impact No of for rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	Localised Extensive
Extensive Widespread Temporal	Extensive
Widespread Femporal	
Temporal Occurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Y Frequent On-going Impact - try tent attributes	Widespread
Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes	X One off or rare
On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes	Intermittent or sporadic
Impact - Impact on the attributes	Frequent
	On-going
	Impact - Impact on the attributes
n naguneant	X Insignificant

	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0	9		٢	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.5.3 Land	conversion				
		٢	9	٢	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	t response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.5 Dust							
		0	9	9		۴	1
Spatial sca	Ie - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						

	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests						
	٢	9		۲		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by	the factor
Spallal Scale - Alea allecteu D	y the lactor

opullar soc	
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	0	4		٢		\$

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.2 Soci	4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		9		۲		
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
	9	9		٢		\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years

× Decreasing

Static
Increasing

Name	lame				Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	ity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
		0		9	٢	Ċ	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
							_
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	4		٢		→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			

One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic

×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Name		Impact			Trend
4.9.1 Illega	activities					
		0	9	9	۹	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name			Impact			Trend
4.9.2 Delib	erate destruction of heritage					
		0	9		٢	N
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend			
4.11.2 Eart	11.2 Earthquake						
			4	9	٢	٢	→
Spatial sca	spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						

×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.11.4 Ava	lanche/Landslide				
		0	4	0	2
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.5 Erc	sion and siltation/Deposition					
		9	4	٢	٢	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - I	npact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	◊ (wildfire)		~			
		9	4	٢	Ċ	+
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	9			→
	4.15.1 management system/management plan				3		
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	4		۲		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca	ile - Area anecteu by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gov	4.13.3 Governance		9		۲	Ċ	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

ame		Impact			Origin	
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	4		٢	Ċ	1

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
Spatial Scale - Alea allected by the factor	

Spatial Sca	ile - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		
4.13.5 Financial resources		4		۲	Ċ		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Hum	4.13.6 Human resources		9		۲	Ċ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		t		Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		9	9	٢	Ċ	1

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.13.8 Hig	h impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

•	
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor No

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1		×			
4.18.1.2		×			
4.18.1.3		×			

4.18.1.4	×	
4.18.1.5	×	

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property No

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The principal legal framework consists of the Ancient Monuments Preservation Act (1956), the Town Planning Implementation Act (1972), and the Guthi Samsthan Act (1976). The legal protection of the properties varies depending on the character of the areas and the authorities responsible of each nominated area. Building construction and land use are generally subject to municipal and Department of Archaeology by-laws.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property? An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property? An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice There are separate legal tools for different authorities that works for individual institution however, the Ancient Monument Preservation Act, 1956 works in an integrated approach

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

No

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

No

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations
A management plan
no

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

As per the Integrated Management Framework within the Ancient Monument Preservation Act 1956, which includes the traditional approach of management system as well.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Kathmandu Valley World Heritage Site Integrated Management Framework	N/A	Available	2007	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. N/A

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

No

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Within the government framework, which is based on the previously mentioned acts, rules and IMF.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities		×			
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples			×		
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	No				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	No				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above No comment

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan No comment

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	3 %	3 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	84 %	84 %

6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	2 %	2 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	1 %	1 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above $\ensuremath{\mathsf{No}}$

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are not secure

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Government sources are however not secured, but it has been managed only through the annual government budget.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	%
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	%
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor

Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Several series of capacity building workshops and trainings have been conducted within the departmental human resources in conservation and management of the world heritage property.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Lack of human resource is a big issue however, few of the skilled manpower still works to cover these all.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

After 2015 earthquake, there are significant researches have been carried out; but still need to carry out many researches linked with several conservation and management issues.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Poor
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Political Parties

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better

understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs
Other specific groups
Political Parties

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building No

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

181181.00 / 218052.00 / 196528.67 / 161890.00 / 88659.33 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries	
Fourism industry	
/isitor surveys	
10	

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Entry Tickets number Visitor's registration National Government data management system Nepal Tourism Board Surveys and researches

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

50 / 50 / 20 / 15 / 10 / 1000 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Nepal Tourism Board Tourism Department Hotel Association of Nepal Travel Agency Association of Nepal

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors,

tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7 N_{O}

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

As per the multiple system prepared by individual site managers

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

no

No

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property No

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Site observation reports Site update reports Annual report State of Conservation report

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

After 2015 earthquake, World heritage committee has recommended few points related to the renovation and rehabilitation of cultural heritage of Kathmandu Valley; such as co-ordination mechanism, rehabilitation activities etc, which are seriously implementing in the sites by the Government of Nepal.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Reports from the site Managers Information from Department of Archaeology Reports provided by the Department of Archaeology Reports provided by Municipal Offices and other authority /offices in the sites

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need	
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does integrate a human rights-based approach In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	

6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure								
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×							
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property								
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff								
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects								
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned								
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building								
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs								
9	Visitor Management								
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation								
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made								
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation								
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×							
10	Monitoring								
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value								
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved								
Pleas	Please select 4 more issues.								
D Ple	Please save this question to reflect changes								

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1 Buildings and Development

4.1.1	Housing	Medieval urban fabric	Action taken as legal System	syste Mun	ular monitoring em (site level, icipal level and artment level)	,	Regular Basis		Site Offices, Municipalities, Department of Archaeology			
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Accommodation and associ infrastructures have been l in traditional system		I as per by-laws	By Site Off Municipalit of Archaeo	ies, Departr	nent	Regular Basis	Muni	ite Offices, cipalities, Depari chaeology	no tment	
4.4	Pollution											
4.4.4		Air pollution										
4.5	Biological res	source use/modification										
4.5.3		Land conversion										
4.7	Local condition	ons affecting physical fabr	ic									
4.7.5	Dust	Dust covered the monuments	Cleaning	vorks	Site Office	es	Regular	Basis		s, Municipalities, t of Archaeology		
4.7.7	Pests	Affected on structural members	Cleaning and treatments	chemical	By site of	fices	Regular			es Site Offices, es, Department o y	No	
4.7.8		Micro-organisms										

4.8	Social/Cultura	I uses of heritage								
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Migration of Local Indigenous people	Awareness Campaig for stopping migratic	•	Site Offices, Municipalities, Department of Archaeology				Site Offices, Municipalities, Department of Archaeology	
4.11	4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events									
4.11.2	Earthquake	Cultural heritage were badly affected	Conservation Preservation Restoration and Renovation works are done	Site Offices, Municipalities, Department of Archaeology	nicipalities, Department		Regular Basis Municip Departm Archaec		Renovation going on in progressive	
4.11.5			ion and ion/Deposition							
Question	not completed									

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

Protective Measures

5.2

5.2	Protective Measur								
	Actions		Timeframe		Lead agency (and others involved)		More info / comment		
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Awareness progra Meetings with site co-coordinating wo (CWC) for implement	managers and orking committee	Regular Basis		By Department of Archaeology		NO	
5.3	Management	System/Manageme	nt Plan						
5.3.7	No use has been made of the co-ordination for workin Policy Document on the of climate change is in Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property			As per need		Department of Archaec Authority (Ministry of H Population)		No	
5.3.9			Works as per Integrate Management plan and (IMP and IMF)		Regular basis ramework		Site offices Municipaliti of Archaeology	es Department	No
5.3.17	In a limited Arrangement of awarer programs with participa different community, get system of the social groups World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water,		ation of	As per schedule	a planned	Department of Archaec Municipalities	ology	no	

including respect for cultural diversity within and around the tworld Heritage propertyincluding including world Heritage propertyincluding within and around the propertyincluding world Heritage world Heritageincluding world Herita		food, me plants) In a limit manner, manager system of World He property contribut social in and equi improvir opportur all, irres of age, s disabilit ethnicity religion econom other sta In a limit manner, manager system of World He property integrate human rights-ba approace In a limit manner, manager system of World He property contribut fostering inclusive econom develop and to enhance livelihoo In a limit manner, manager system of World He property contribut fostering inclusive econom develop and to enhance livelihoo In a limit manner, manager system of World He property contribut fostering inclusive econom develop and to enhance livelihoo In a limit manner, manager	ed the ment of the paritage does te to clusion ity, ng mities for pective sex, y, r, origin, or ic or atus ted the ment of the paritage does te to a a sed h ted the ment of the pritage does te to a a sed h ted the ment of the pritage does te to the ment of the pritage does te to to te to te to							
61.7 esources party meet the management needs of the World HeritageLinited resources are involve in management, supervision, documentation worksRegular BasisDepartment of Archaeologyno9Visitor Management propertyNet resources supervision, documentation worksNet resources propertyNet resources property9.12The presentation and interpretation of d the propertyPresentation through booklets book lead Through Art for students In academic coursesRegular BasisDepartment of Archaeology Municipalities lead Through Art for students In academic coursesNeed to do better in coming days		property contribu conflict preventi includin respect cultural within at around to World H	does te to on, g for diversity nd the eritage							
61.7 esources party meet the management needs of the World HeritageLinited resources are involve in management, supervision, documentation worksRegular BasisDepartment of Archaeologyno9Visitor Management propertyNet resources supervision, documentation worksNet resources propertyNet resources property9.12The presentation and interpretation of d the propertyPresentation through booklets book lead Through Art for students In academic coursesRegular BasisDepartment of Archaeology Municipalities lead Through Art for students In academic coursesNeed to do better in coming days	6.1	Funding								
9.12 9.12 The presentation through booklets book leaf Through Art for students In academic courses Art for students In academic courses of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements of the New York and the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements of the New York and the New Y	6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage			gement,	Regular B	asis	1	Department of Archaeology	no
presentation leaf Through Art for students In coming days and academic courses interpretation of interpretation of is acceptable is acceptable but is acceptable is acceptable improvements is acceptable is acceptable	9	Visitor Managemen	nt							
avoid be useds	9.12	presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements	leaf Through Art fo	or students In	Regular B	asis		Department o	f Archaeology Municipalities	

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level Formulation and practicing of Coordinating Working Committee; consisting all site managers of related institutions of the Protected Monument Zones - Kathmandu Valley World Heritage Property as per the Integrated Management Framework - meeting held in regular basis at least once in every two months - It is using as a formal platform of all stakeholders, institutions of management mechanism for discussion, experience sharing and come to conclusion in any issues within the property

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Management

Governance

Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans	
Awareness raising	
Advocacy	

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
Staff from other World Heritage properties

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies in the implementation**.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 25 / 50 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire? All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Poor
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.