# Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal

#### Comment

PRE-HISPANIC TOWN OF UXMAL, KABAH, SAYIL, XLAPAK Y LABNA

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Uxmal	20.362 / -89.77	87.45	3758.64	3846.09	
Sayil	20.178 / -89.652	286.21	0	286.21	
Kabah	20.248 / -89.647	949.76	0	949.76	
Labna	20.173 / -89.579	736.38	0	736.38	
Total (ha)		2059.8	3758.64	5818.44	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal - Boundary of the Uxmal component	1995	
Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal - Boundary of the Sayil component	1995	
Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal - Boundary of the Kabah component	1995	
Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal - Boundary of the Labna component	1995	
Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal - Maps of the Inscribed property	2018	

# 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. <u>Uxmal (Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia INAH)(spanish only)</u>
- 2. Architecture, Restoration and Imaging of Maya Cities

### Comment

Lugares INAH Uxmal: https://lugares.inah.gob.mx/es/zonas-arqueologicas/zonas/1895-uxmal.html?lugar\_id=1895 Sayil: https://lugares.inah.gob.mx/es/zonas-arqueologicas/zonas/1894-sayil.html?lugar\_id=1894 Kabah:

https://lugares.inah.gob.mx/es/zonas-arqueologicas/zonas/1888-kabah.html?lugar\_id=1888 Labna:

https://lugares.inah.gob.mx/es/zonas-arqueologicas/zonas/1889-labná.html?lugar\_id=1889 Xlapak:

 $https://lugares.inah.gob.mx/es/zonas-arqueologicas/zonas/1897-xlapak.html?lugar\_id=1897-xlapak$ 

# 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	×	
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	×	
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal

2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks	×

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Yes

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

  Not applicable
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 2 of 44

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### **Brief synthesis**

The ruins of the ceremonial structures at Uxmal represent the pinnacle of late Maya art and architecture in their design, layout and ornamentation, and the complex of Uxmal and its three related towns of Kabah. Labná and Savil admirably demonstrate the social and economic structure of late Maya society.

The archaeological site of Uxmal is located 62 kilometers south of Merida, forming the centre of the Puuc ("hill" or "chain of low mountains") region which covers some 7500 km<sup>2</sup> in the south-western part of the Mexican state of Yucatan. The region was a centre for trade and the exchange of ideas - and probably also people - with other parts of Mexico.

The 16th century A.D. Maya history known as *The Books of Chilam Balam* dates the foundation of Uxmal to the later 10th century A.D. Archaeological investigations and radiocarbon dating suggest that the main structures in the complex, including a series of hydraulic works, such as reservoirs for storing rainwater (the *chultunoob*), were built between the 8th and 10th century A.D. During this period Uxmal grew from a peasant town into a political and administrative centre with up to 20,000 inhabitants. The existence of a town wall reflects a situation of conflict, probably due to the strengthening of other urban centres that eventually contested Uxmal's control of the region; Uxmal was abandoned by its inhabitants after the 10th century A.D. and became no more than a place of pilgrimage until the conquest by the Spanish.

Unlike most other prehispanic towns, Uxmal is not laid out geometrically. Its space is organized in relation to astronomical phenomena, such as the rising and setting of Venus, and adapted to the topography of the site, made up of a series of hills. The main characteristic of Puuc architecture is the division of the facades of buildings into two horizontal elements. The lower of these is plain and composed of carefully dressed blocks broken only by doorways. The upper level, by contrast, is richly decorated with symbolic motifs in a very plastic style; the individual blocks make up a form of mosaic. There are sculptures over the doorways and at the corners of the upper level, almost invariably composed of representations of the head of Chaac, the rain-god.

Some of the most important buildings at the site are the Pyramid of the Soothsayer, the Quadrangle of the Nuns, the Governor's Palace, the House of the Tortoises, the Ball Court, as well as the still not extensively investigated Southern Complex, which includes the Great Pyramid and the Pigeon House.

Important buildings at the other sites are the Palace at Sayil, and the Gateway Arch at Labná. Kabah, which in the region is only second to Uxmal in size and connected to the latter by a *sacbe* or raised causeway, has the Palace of the Masks or Codz Pop. However, investigation at these sites is still in its beginnings and holds great potential for the future.

Criterion (i): The ruins of the ceremonial structures at Uxmal, Kabah, Labná and Sayil represent the pinnacle of late Maya art and architecture in their design, layout, and ornamentation.

Criterion (ii): The richness of the iconography in Uxmal's buildings is a tangible expression of the complex Maya cosmogony and of the intimate relation they held with their environment. The art and architecture at Uxmal and its neighbouring sites furthermore bears witness to the migration of styles from the Rio Bec and Chenes region, as well as from central México.

Criterion (iii): The greatness of the monuments and the magnificence of the architectural styles found at Uxmal reveal the importance of this city as a capital for economic and socio-political development of the prehispanic Maya civilization. The complex of Uxmal and its three related towns of Kabah, Labná and Sayil admirably demonstrate the social and economic structure of late Maya society before it disappeared in the Terminal Classic Period.

### Integrity

Due to the region's remoteness and sparse population, the monuments, especially at Labná and Sayil, are still very well preserved. This becomes evident when comparing the buildings with photos and drawings from the late 19th and early 20th century.

The earliest reports on the state of conservation and on cleaning and protection work at Uxmal were produced in 1913 and 1914. Systematic archaeological work began in 1940, carried out by US archaeologists, and has continued since that time, carried out by Mexican specialists in association with conservation and restoration activities. Work on reforestation and tidying up of the whole area has also been in progress over recent years. A new system of signage has been introduced and the museum was set up in 1986, along with better parking facilities.

#### Authenticity

Conservation activities at Uxmal have respected and incorporated original materials such as lime, and made use of advanced technological resources in order to preserve the original majesty of the buildings, and assure structural and decorative precision of the restorations. Vaults and walls that were damaged by time and climatic conditions were re-erected. However, the work carried out over some seventy years at Uxmal has been confined to consolidation and anastylosis, well within the parameters laid down in the 1964 Venice Charter.

### Protection and management requirements

The 1972 Federal Law on Monuments and Archaeological, Artistic, and Historical Zones establishes public ownership of all archaeological properties, even if these are situated on privately owned lands. This applies in the case of the Hacienda Uxmal, where part of the archaeological zone is located. The buffer zone is defined

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 3 of 44

by the decree of 1994. Part of the buffer zone is owned by the Municipality of Santa Elena, but the major part belongs to the privately owned Hacienda Uxmal.

Management of the heritage aspects of the site is carried out by the Yucatan Regional Centre of the National Institute for Anthropology and History (INAH). Matters relating to land-use, urban development and the environment are the concern of the Ministry of Social Development (SEDESOL).

There is an INAH staff of 22 people at Uxmal, responsible for guardianship and maintenance work. Research and conservation is carried out by specialists from the Regional Centre at Merida. The SEDESOL Regional Centre is also involved in land-use and environmental aspects of management. The Cultural Institute of the State of Yucatan is responsible for the management of the site museum and its service unit, established in 1986 with a permanent staff of 25 employees. There is no specific management plan for Uxmal, because it was considered that the lack of threat from, for example, urban development meant that normal INAH maintenance and research programmes constituted adequate provisions. However, the authorities now consider it a matter of priority to establish a management plan for Uxmal and the *Ruta Puuc*. As a part of this plan it is considered important to unite the efforts of all three levels of government and to offer opportunities for capacity building for a new generation of professionals in the area of architecture and restoration. An important aspect to be considered in this context is the inclusion of social and environmental perspectives that will help to preserve social sustainability as well as cultural and natural resources of the sites, as a legacy for future generations.

#### Comment

There is an INAH staff of 29 people at Uxmal, responsible for guardianship and maintenance work. Since 2011, the State Government of Yucatan decreed the Reserva Biocultural del Puuc, which covers an area of 135,848-85 hectares, among which are the archaeological zones declared a World Heritage Site. The Patronato de las Unidades de Servicios Culturales y Turísticos del Estado de Yucatán (CULTUR) is responsible for the services area with an staff of 33 people.

# 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Los monumentos arqueológicos	×			
3.2.2	La decoración de los monumentos	×			
3.2.3	El entorno	×			
3.2.4	La interacción con otras regiones	×			
3.2.5	El núcleo de los sitios PM	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Research and restoration is focused on anticipating impacts on the carrying capacity of the sites, these tasks are carried out with the help of women and men from the communities adjacent to the heritage sites. In order not to alter the criteria that gave rise to the declaration, the monuments are restored with native materials.

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 4 of 44

### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×				7
Negative							

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The signage actions that will be carried out inside the site will be carried out in accordance with INAH regulations. Regarding the negative impacts, they are generally external, which are found within the limits of the buffer zone of the established area and outside of them, with the construction of the Mayan train, the impacts are potentiated, so care must be taken that they are not negative.

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant
Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The property would be positively affected as long as the polygon declared World Heritage is not affected.

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 5 of 44

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.4. Pollution

### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 6 of 44

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The fact that the propierties are tens of kilometers from urban areas minimizes the impacts of this section.

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

# 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 7 of 44

# 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.6. Physical resource extraction

### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				1

### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

<b>X</b> Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				,

### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 8 of 44

### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

<b>X</b> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×	×	×	×			7

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

<b>X</b> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			1

### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×			,	
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			7	

# 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×			$\Rightarrow$	

# 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<b>≭</b> Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
Negative X	×		×	×			1

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 9 of 44

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Weather elements affect monuments, however, we are managing the tools and methodology to be able to measure these impacts

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			1
Negative							

### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×			-
Negative							

### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The participation of the communities in the conservation and research activities in the heritage site has a positive impact since identity and knowledge of heritage values are recovered.

### 4.9. Other human activities

### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 10 of 44

### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The negotiations and talks carried out have allowed the factors in this section not to affect the property.

### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			P	

### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		$\rightarrow$	

### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 11 of 44

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×	×	×			7

### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

They are potential factors that can be reflected in the deterioration of monuments.

### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X No.	ot relevant
----------------	-------------

### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Not relevant

# 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		→	

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 12 of 44

# 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

# 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✗ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×				7	
Negative								

#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive    ★	×	×		×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

### 4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant	Not relevant

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 13 of 44

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×			×		$\rightarrow$			
Negative									

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×	×	×				,			
Negative										

### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

★ Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×		•					
Negative										

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>			
Negative									

### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶		×	×				1		
Negative									

# 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

levant	✗ Not relevant
--------	----------------

# 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

# 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

# 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impac	pt .	Origin	Trend	
------	-------	------	--------	-------	--

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 14 of 44

4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•		9	•		7
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
		q	q	•		7
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		<b>A</b>		<b>(</b>		_
4.7.3 Temperature						
4.7.5 remperature		<b>A</b>			<i>(€</i>	20
4.7.4 Radiation/Light		4	4	•	G	
		<b>A</b>	<b>6</b> 78	<b>(</b>	<b>₹</b>	4
4.7.5 Dust		7	-1	G	G	
		<b>A</b>		•	<b>(</b> \$	7
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	0	9	9	<b>©</b>	Œ	_
, ,		9	9	•	œ.	7
4.7.7 Pests						
		q	q	<b>(</b> )		$\rightarrow$
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		<b>A</b>		<b>(</b>	(E	_
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage		·				
	<b>②</b>	9			<b>(</b> \$	a
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		7			G	
	_					
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	<b>O</b>	9			C	
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
		9	9	•	Œ	1
4.10.3 Drought						
		9	9	•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		9	9	•	C	1
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
		9	9		C	$\rightarrow$
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•	9	9	•		-
						$\Rightarrow$
4.13.2 Legal framework	•	9	9		<b>G</b>	
4.13.2 Legal framework	•	9	9		F	
4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance	<ul><li> </li><li> </li></ul>	9	9		*	<b>→</b>
		•	9		G	<b>→</b>
4.13.3 Governance		•	9	•	G	→ <i>/</i>
	<b>③</b>	q		•	G	→ <i>&gt;</i>

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 15 of 44

4.13.5 Financial re	.13.5 Financial resources				<b>O</b>	q	9	•		•
4.13.6 Human reso	.13.6 Human resources							•		<b>→</b>
4.13.7 Low impact	4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						9	•		1
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>	<ul><li>Insi</li></ul>	de		Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

# 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

# 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
	retative and visitation facilities	impaci		q	Origin		Trend	
4. 1.5 Interp	relative and visitation racinities			4	©			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
×	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

# 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend	

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 16 of 44

			q	q	•	7
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
**	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Relati	ive humidity				-	
			q		•	<i>p</i>
0	Association of the contract of					
Spatial Sca	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	scale - Occurence of the impact					
Tomporur C	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					

4.7.1 Wind

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 17 of 44

×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temp	erature		9	9	•	<b>F</b>	_
			4	4	Q	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.4 Radiation/Light				

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 18 of 44

			4	7		G	•
Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		<b>1</b>			0		Torond
4.7.5 Dust		Impact			Origin		Trend
			9	q	•	F	7
			•	•	4	4	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
Tampagala	Widespread						
i emporai s	Scale - Occurence of the impact						
~	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Prequent On coing						
Impost I	On-going						
impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water	Major It response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity Medium capacity No capacity and / or resources elopement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing  (rain/water table)  e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going static to the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major  High capacity Medium capacity Modium capacity Medium capacity and / or resources	<b>O</b>	9	9	<ul><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	E E	-
			9	9	<b>Q</b>	G.	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend

	•	- 3	
4.7.7 Pests			

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 20 of 44

			9	9	•	$\rightarrow$
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	organisms	Impact			Origin	Trend

			9	•	Œ	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	- Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Lile - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 21 of 44

Insignificant Minor

×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

# 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	2 Society's valuing of heritage  tial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  poral scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  act - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  aggement response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources	Trend				
4.8.2 Socie			9		Œ	-
Spatial sea	le - Area affected by the factor					
opana. oo						
¥						
remporars						
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage  Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted Localised Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent  On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity						
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	illipaci	Origin	Heliu

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 22 of 44

4.8.5 Identi	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	•	9			<b>G</b>	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

# 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Stor	4.10.1 Storms						
			q	q	•	<b>(</b>	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 23 of 44

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Drou	gnt		q	<i>-</i> 3	•	n <b>d</b>	_
			4	4	<b>Q</b>	G	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 24 of 44

Name	Impact		Origin	Origin			
4.10.6 Tem	perature change						
			q	q	•	Œ	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

# 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impac	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
		<b>9 9</b>		9		$\rightarrow$
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 25 of 44

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

# 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin	Tre
4.13.1 Ma	.13.1 Management system/Management plan		q	q	•	,
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact -	mpact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 26 of 44

×	Increasin

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.2 Legal framework	<b>् न</b> न			F	<b>→</b>	

Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
×	Widespread			
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going On-going			
Impact - Imp	oact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
×	Major			
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
.13.3 Governance		9			<b>C</b>	$\rightarrow$

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
×	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 27 of 44

×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Mana	gement activities	•	q	9	•	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 28 of 44

Increasir

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.13.5 Financial resources	<b>()</b>	9	4	•		•

Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal so	ale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going On-going			
Impact - Imp	act on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
×	Major			
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
×	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Deve	elopement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact Origin		Impact		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	<b>O</b>	9		•	$\rightarrow$

Snatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
opatiai sca	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 29 of 44

×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	<b>O</b>	9	•	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 30 of 44

### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

# 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The archaeological monuments	×			
4.18.1.2	The decoration of the monuments	×			
4.18.1.3	The environment	×			
4.18.1.4	Interaction with other regions	×			
4.18.1.5	The Core of World Heritage Sites	×			

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

# 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property Work will be done to publicize the limits of the property.

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

La Loi fédérale du 4 mai 1972 sur les Monuments et les Zones archéologiques, artistiques et historiques établit la propriété publique de tous les biens archéologiques, même s'ils sont situes sur des territoires privés. Ceci s'applique l'Hacienda Uxmal renfermant une partie de la zone archéologique. La zone tampon est couverte par un décret de 1994.

Source: Evaluation des Organisations consultatives

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1917 / Political Constitution of the United Mexican States / National / 1939 /

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** 

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

# 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 31 of 44

The necessary steps have been taken with the social actors for the correct application of the legislation.

# 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

# 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

### If 'Other', please specify

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Management Plan for Uxmal and the Puuc Route to meet the operational, maintenance and conservation needs of the World Heritage properties.

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

# 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

# 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

# 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

# 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

The risks that occur in the goods have been identified and work has been done to reduce their impact. Planning and actions have been carried out such as selective pruning, spaces between the vegetation and the property (guardarraya) and monitoring of risk factors.

# 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 32 of 44

If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×		
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries		×			
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The management plan involves activities and training to achieve participation and benefits for the communities located in the vicinity of the property.

# ${\bf 5.3.19 \cdot Comments, conclusions \ and/or \ recommendations \ related \ to \ the \ management \ system/plan}$

The participation of the communities within the management plan has been one of the central axes for the conservation of the property. Since the training allows the revaluation of the identity and a direct economic impact for the communities.

### 6. Financial and Human Resources

# 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 33 of 44

6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	80 %	80 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	20 %	20 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

The federal government contributes to the salaries of the personnel in charge of the operation (administration and surveillance), however, for conservation projects in the last 5 years, the state government contributed 3 million to carry out the second restoration season in the Governor's Palace, Uxmal (2019).

### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

There is **no budget** for the effective management of the World Heritage property **despite an identified need** 

### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are not secure

### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

That the states parties and the authorities must take seriously the responsibilities that must be taken for the investigation and conservation of a heritage site.

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

# 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 34 of 44

Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Not available
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not available
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 35 of 44

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

# 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not provided but needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	Wifi/telephone network

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

In the middle of the jungle, it is necessary to have services such as a telephone network and Wi-Fi to be able to implement new interactive signs with a QR code in the visitor's route.

#### 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

168,389 / 119,024 / 315,921 / 284,762 / 266,610 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Local registries

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

\$52 / \$7 / \$9 / \$15 / \$5 / \$10 /

# 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

gasoline price, bus price, local registries

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 36 of 44

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

### If a different system, please specify

Comentarios

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

# 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

The state provides monetary compensation to the community

#### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The state government collects around \$31,033,531.12 USD for payment in service units in the archaeological zones, of which nothing returns for the conservation of the heritage asset.

### 10. Monitoring

# 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

# 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 37 of 44

Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Poor
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

# 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	There is <b>no budget</b> for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need	×
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are <b>not secure</b>	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented	×
9.11	Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is <b>no contact</b> between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property	
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is <b>not adequately presented and interpreted</b>	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a <b>small amount of monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
□ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 38 of 44

# 12. Summary and Conclusions

# 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

# 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
	Wind						
Relative humidity	Criterion ii	monitoring		Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature		INAH	This activity will allow us to obtain real data on the climate impact on assets.
Temperature	Criterion ii monitoring		Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature		Minimum 1 year	INAH	This activity will allow us to obtain real data on the climate impact on assets.
Radiation/Light	Criterion ii	monitoring			Minimum 1 year	INAH	This activity will allow us to obtain real data on the climate impact on assets.
	Dust						
Water (rain/water table)	registry of pluvial		Data recovery	available	CONAGUA		agement to obtain all data.
	Pests						
	Micro-organ	isms					
Climate chang	ge and severe weathe	er events					
Storms	Criterion ii	Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.	Data recovery	available	CONAGUA		nagement to obtain fall data.
Temperature change	change registry of pluvial		Data recovery	available	CONAGUA		nagement to obtain fall data.
	humidity  Temperature  Radiation/Light  Water (rain/water table)  Climate change Storms	Relative humidity  Temperature  Criterion ii  Radiation/Light  Criterion ii  Dust  Water (rain/water table)  Pests  Micro-organ  Climate change and severe weather  Storms  Criterion ii	Relative humidity  Criterion ii monitoring  Temperature  Criterion ii monitoring  Radiation/Light  Criterion ii monitoring  Dust  Use Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Pests  Micro-organisms  Climate change and severe weather events  Storms  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Temperature change  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Temperature change  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.	Relative humidity  Criterion ii monitoring  Periodic record and Temperatur  Temperature  Criterion ii monitoring  Periodic record and Temperatur  Radiation/Light  Criterion ii monitoring  Periodic record and Temperatur  Dust  Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Pests  Micro-organisms  Climate change and severe weather events  Storms  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery  Temperature change  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Temperature change  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery	Relative humidity  Criterion ii monitoring Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Criterion ii monitoring Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Radiation/Light Criterion ii monitoring Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Dust  Dust  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Pests  Micro-organisms  Climate change and severe weather events  Storms Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery available  Temperature Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery available  Temperature Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.	Relative humidity  Criterion ii monitoring Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Criterion ii monitoring Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Criterion ii monitoring Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Dust  Dust  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Pests  Micro-organisms  Climate change and severe weather events  Storms Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery available ConaGUA registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery available ConaGUA registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Temperature Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery available ConaGUA consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.	Relative humidity  Criterion ii monitoring  Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Criterion ii monitoring  Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Radiation/Light  Criterion ii monitoring  Periodic record of Humidity and Temperature  Dust  Dust  Dust  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Pests  Climate change and severe weather events  Criterion ii Consultation of the local registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery available CoNAGUA Man raint registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery available CoNAGUA Man raint registry of pluvial precipitation to correlate the data of temperature and humidity.  Data recovery available CONAGUA Man rain raint rain

# 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

# 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	awareness work	Medium term	INAH	The community by uses and customs knows that the patrimonial area should not be impacted.
5.3	Management System/I	Management Plan			

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 39 of 44

5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Implement the relevant actions		Medium term		INAH	Comply with the	e document guidelines
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Improve the necessary actions to reduce risks.		Medium term		INAH	Achieve better s	systematization and better the property.
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	Start talks to raise awareness of the importance of the good		Medium term		INAH	start talks	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	Fully implement the management system		Medium term		INAH	Achieve the obj	ective to better preserve the
6.1	Funding							
6.1.3	There is no budget for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need	carry out negotiations with national ar state governments to obtain resource meet the objectives		Short term		INAH	Effective mana budget	agement depends on the
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are <b>not</b> secure	Gestionar con las entidades nacional estatales. Realizar los trabajos que se adecuen al presupuesto existen.		corto plazo		INAH	Es necesario o una correcta g	garantizar la financiación para Jestión.
8	Education, Information	on and Awareness Building						
8.2	There is a Marplanned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	anage resources	Short	Term	INAH	C		btain resources for this management of the heritage
9	Visitor Manageme	nt						_
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented	Implement the relevant actions	Me	dium term		INAH		ry to rethink the operation the impact on the heritage

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 40 of 44

9.12	The	Take steps to obtain the resource.	Medium term	INAH	This action will strengthen the
	Outstanding	Creation of helper instances			Outstanding Universal Value
	Universal Value				
	of the property				
	is <b>not</b>				
	adequately				
	presented and				
	interpreted				

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Negative
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 41 of 44

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Gender equity in conservation and maintenance work, in which inhabitants of the surrounding communities actively participate in the work. Native materials for restoration that avoid secondary effects on architectural elements, preventing elements of outstanding universal value from being compromised.

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	
Capacity Building	

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Poor
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

### 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

Awareness raising

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3. Timing and resources

### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

# **15.3.2 -** Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

# 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

# 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

12 hours / 6 hours / 80 hours /

# 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

Additional resources	No	Yes

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 42 of 44

15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

That it be possible to answer in Spanish

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

# 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### Name of World Heritage property

Reason for update: PRE-HISPANIC TOWN OF UXMAL, KABAH, SAYIL, XLAPAK Y LABNA

# Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Reason for update: There is an INAH staff of 29 people at Uxmal, responsible for guardianship and maintenance work. Since 2011, the State Government of Yucatan decreed the Reserva Biocultural del Puuc, which covers an area of 135,848-85 hectares, among which are the archaeological zones declared a World Heritage Site. The Patronato de las Unidades de Servicios Culturales y Turísticos del Estado de Yucatán (CULTUR) is responsible for the services area with an staff of 33 people.

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 43 of 44

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Pre-Hispanic Town of Uxmal 44 of 44