

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Central Sikhote-Alin

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Sikhote-Alin Nature Preserve	45.333 / 136.167	401600	0	401600	2001
Gorallj Zoological Preserve	45.129 / 136.756	4749	0	4749	2001
Bikin River Valley	46.683 / 136.661	1160469	129509	1289978	2018
Total (ha)		1566818	129509	1696327	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Central Sikhote-Alin - maps of inscribed property	2001	-
Central Sikhote-Alin - Maps of the approved extension	2018	-

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- [Greenpage Russia](#)
- [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)
- [Natural Heritage Protection Fund](#)
- [Sikhote-Alin Nature Biosphere Reserve \(in Russian\)](#)
- [Natural Heritage Protection Fund](#)

Comment

Up-to-date URLs are Natural Heritage Protection Fund, Sikhote-Alin Nature Biosphere Reserve (in Russian), and Natural Heritage Protection Fund.
 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
 Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
 No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
 No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
 No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	-

2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Sikhote-Alin Mountains are a remote mountain range in the temperate zone of the Russian Far East stretching across some 1,000 kilometres along the Sea of Japan to the northeast of Vladivostok. The serial property brings together three protected areas in the central part of the mountain range, inscribed in two steps. The strictly protected Sikhote-Alin Zapovednik (401,428 ha) was inscribed in 2001, jointly with the much smaller Goralij Wildlife Reserve on the coast (4,749 ha), which includes some 2,900 ha of marine territory. In 2018, the inscription of Bikin National Park added some 1.2 million hectares as a serial extension, thereby almost quadrupling the property's surface area to an impressive 1,566,818 ha. The property is situated within the "Primorye Centre of Plant Diversity" at the biogeographic meeting point of fauna and flora from the taiga, temperate forests and the subtropics. It harbours representations of some of the globally most diverse and intact temperate mixed and broadleaf forests, known as the Ussuryskaya or Ussun Taiga, part of the Manchurian Forests. Building upon an earlier traditional use zone, Bikin National Park grants far-reaching resource use rights to indigenous peoples who were instrumental in preventing large-scale logging in the middle and upper reaches of the Bikin River Valley prior to the declaration of the national park. The livelihoods and culture of local communities and the indigenous Udege, Nanai and Orochi peoples continue to be closely linked with the forest landscape.

Beyond its sheer size, the serial extension is significant as World Heritage protection now applies to both main slopes of the range, which are markedly distinct in terms of relief, climate, vegetation, landscape and biodiversity. The altitudinal gradient ranges from sea level to some 1,900 m.a.s.l., adding further ecosystem and habitat diversity. The mountains are renowned for extraordinarily high numbers of plants and invertebrates by the standards of temperate regions and a high degree of endemism. The Amur Tiger, the world's largest cat also known as the Siberian Tiger, is the most spectacular representative of the fauna. The Sikhote-Alin Mountains are home to almost the entire remaining population of this endangered and culturally revered tiger subspecies, the undisputed flagship and umbrella species of the region.

Criterion (x): Despite ongoing large-scale logging in the region, much of the Sikhote-Alin Range continues to be covered in natural vegetation with large remnants of intact forests in its less accessible reaches. The property boasts intact representations of one of the world's most diverse temperate forest landscapes on both the eastern and western slopes of the central Sikhote-Alin Mountains. Forest types vary according to aspect and along the altitudinal gradient from sea level to almost 2,000 m.a.s.l., transitioning into tundra vegetation in the highest elevations. The combination of glacial history, location, climate and relief has permitted the evolution of highly diverse temperate forests with unique species assemblages showing boreal, temperate and subtropical faunal and floral elements, recognized as a global "Centre of Plant Diversity". The recorded 1,200 species of vascular plant species, including some 180 trees and shrubs, is extraordinarily high for a temperate forest and comprises numerous endemics. The more than 400 documented vertebrate species include an impressive 65 mammal species. For several of these, the mountain range is either the southernmost distribution limit, for example for Wolverine, or the northernmost, such as for the majestic Amur Tiger. For the latter, and many other species, Central Sikhote-Alin is of critical conservation importance. Further notable and charismatic species include the long-tailed Goral Goat, Siberian Musk Deer, both Himalayan Black Bear and Brown Bear, Lynx, as well as spectacular, endangered birds like the Blakiston's Fish-Owl, the world's largest owl, the scaly-sided Merganser and the red-crowned Crane.

Integrity

When the Sikhote-Alin Zapovednik was first established in the 1930s, it was the largest strictly protected area in Russia and encompassed what is today Bikin National Park within its boundaries. Following major reduction in size in the 1950s, the zapovednik was subsequently enlarged again to its present size. The combination of large surface area, remoteness, difficult access and longstanding protection status has ensured the effective conservation of the zapovednik as a significant example of the eastern slope of the mountains facing the Sea of Japan. While the Goralij Wildlife Reserve adds important complementary coastal and marine conservation values to the serial property, it is more vulnerable to threats and edge effects. The inscription of Bikin National Park as a serial extension has

strongly increased both the scale and ecological representativeness of protected lands. The roadless national park's large scale, relative isolation and spatial configuration in line with the natural boundaries of the middle and upper reaches of the Bikin River watershed make for a high degree of naturalness and integrity. Historic human impacts include high levels of trapping for the fur trade and escape of farmed American mink into the wild decades ago.

Protection and management requirements

The three protected areas jointly constituting the serial property are all state-owned in their entirety. The main drivers of forest loss and degradation in the region, industrial logging and mineral exploration and extraction, are legally excluded throughout the property. The history of the Sikhote-Alin Zapovednik dates back to the 1930s; like all federal strictly protected areas in the Russian Federation, it is administered by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment in line with federal protected area legislation and specific regulations, whereas the relatively small Gorali Wildlife Reserve is administered by a regional Hunting Department as a species management area. The more recent extension followed the creation of Bikin National Park in 2015 and the approval of corresponding regulations in 2016. A noteworthy particularity of Bikin National Park is the granting of far-reaching subsistence hunting and harvesting rights to indigenous peoples within substantial zones of the national park based on longstanding negotiation predating the establishment of the national park. The expectation is that indigenous peoples have strong incentives to restrict resource use to sustainable levels and play an important role in terms of defending their resources against poaching and illegal harvesting. The indigenous use rights are not derived from national park status per se, but based on corresponding stipulations in the applicable decree, which makes them potentially vulnerable to legal and policy changes. The overarching coordination of the management of the three components of the serial property is of utmost importance, fully taking into account that each has its own management category and that two governmental levels are involved. The small Gorali Wildlife Reserve is the most vulnerable component due to its small size and comparatively easy access.

The Amur Tiger has been fully protected from hunting since 1947. Past population collapses, in part due to poaching, are a reminder of the vulnerability of this iconic flagship species. Permanent and major efforts within and beyond the property are needed to prevent the extinction of the species, including, but not limited to, law enforcement. Some poaching, illegal fishing and illegal harvesting are reported, requiring decisive management responses. Other concerns include fires, the importance of which may increase in light of anticipated climate change and improved access to the mountain range. As pressure on timber, medicinal plants and wildlife in the broader forest region is expected to further increase, the future integrity of the property will depend not only on the effectiveness of managing the three component parts, but on coordinated management of the entire serial property and its buffer zones. Similarly, the consolidation of the growing protected area network in the region is an investment in the integrity of the property; some of the protected areas in the region may be considered as potential further serial extensions of the property in the future. Efforts to maintain connectivity at the landscape level, including but not limited to effective buffer zone arrangements and measured land and resource use are needed to ensure the future integrity of the property and the survival of its flagship, the Amur Tiger. It is clear that the latter requires the continued involvement of all sectors and governmental levels, as well as of local communities and indigenous peoples.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Primary and natural cedar, cedar-spruce, fir-spruce forests, cedar shrub thickets and stone birch forests with associations of rare, endemic and relic plants.				
3.2.2	The evolution of highly diverse temperate forests with unique species assemblages showing boreal, temperate and subtropical faunal and floral elements, recognized as a global "Centre of Plant Diversity".				
3.2.3	Very favorable biotopes for the inhabitation of Amur tiger, white-breasted bear and the Far Eastern forest cat, which inhabit the territory almost everywhere.				
3.2.4	The populations of the rare, endemic and relic plants, among which Fori rhododendron, spiky yew, primula jesoana, abelia korea and others.				
3.2.5	The rare, endemic and relic birds, among which fish owl, scaly merganser, mandarin duck, white-tailed eagle, Steller's sea eagle, osprey, black stork etc.				
3.2.6	The unique natural complexes and unique landscapes of the coast of the Sea of Japan with very favorable biotopes for the inhabitation of Amur goral.				
3.2.7	The rare, endemic and relic birds, among which fish owl, scaly merganser, mandarin duck, white-tailed eagle, Steller's sea eagle, osprey, black stork etc.				
3.2.8	Preserving the culture, traditions and customs of indigenous minor (the territory of the Bikin national park).				
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

● Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Interpretative and visitation facilities have no effect on the facility and its attributes, and were mistakenly chosen as a factor of influence in the Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014).

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Transportation Infrastructure does not affect the facility and its attributes, and was mistakenly chosen as a factor of influence in the Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014).

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Renewable energy facilities and major linear utilities do not affect the facility and its attributes, and were mistakenly chosen as a factor of influence in the Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014).

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Groundwater contamination does not affect the facility or its attributes and was mistakenly chosen as a factor of influence in the Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014).

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The collecting/harvesting of wild plants and animals (forestry, fishing, hunting, gathering) and harvesting domesticated species (silviculture, agriculture, aquaculture) do not affect the facility or its attributes and were mistakenly as a factor of influence included in the Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014).

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant . Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant . Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Local conditions affecting physical fabric (as wind, relative humidity, and rain/water table) do not affect the facility or its attributes and were mistakenly included as a factor of influence in Cycle 2 (31/07/2014).

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant . Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant . Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Illegal activities do not affect the facility or its attributes and were mistakenly included as a factor of influence in the Previous answer for Cycle 2 (31/07/2014).

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

. Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
. Positive							
. Negative	x		x	x		-	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

. Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
. Positive							
. Negative	x		x	x		-	

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

. Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
. Positive	x		x	x			
. Negative	x		x	x		-	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

. Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
. Positive							
. Negative	x	x	x	x		-	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

. Relevant		. Not relevant					
------------	--	----------------	--	--	--	--	--

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant Not relevant

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant Not relevant

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant Not relevant

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Negative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric			
4.7.7 Pests	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events			
4.10.1 Storms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.10.2 Flooding	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.10.6 Temperature change	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events			
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.13 Management and institutional factors			

4.13.4 Management activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.13.5 Financial resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.13.6 Human resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Legend Current Potential Negative Positive Inside Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic
- Frequent
- On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

- Insignificant
- Minor
- Significant
- Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

- High capacity
- Medium capacity
- Low capacity
- No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

- Decreasing
- Static
- Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic
- Frequent
- On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

- Insignificant
- Minor
- Significant
- Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

- High capacity
- Medium capacity
- Low capacity
- No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

- Decreasing
- Static
- Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.2 Flooding	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1 Exceptionally rare forest types of the temperate forests with unique species assemblages showing boreal, temperate and subtropical faunal and floral elements, reflecting the geology, climate, relief, and location and with an outstanding high endemism of the species inhabited here.	.			
4.18.1.2 Very favorable biotopes for the inhabitation of Amur tiger, white-breasted bear and the Far Eastern forest cat, which inhabit the territory almost everywhere.	.			
4.18.1.3 Preserving the culture, traditions and customs of indigenous minor (the territory of the Bikin national park).	.			
4.18.1.4 The Amur tiger population.	.			
4.18.1.5 The population of the Amur goral.	.			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

The object consists of 3 parts with different status of specially protected natural territories: Documents and legal acts, that determine the status: 1. Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Preserve. All-Russia Central Executive Committee's and Council of People's Commissars of the RSFSR Decree "About the approval of the network of full Nature Preserves with the whole-state importance" of 10.02.1935; Primorski Regional Council of the Workers' Deputies Decision "About the border regulations of the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Preserve" No. 376 of 14.04.1976; Resolution of the 20th Session of the UNESCO General Conference about appropriation to the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Preserve the status of biosphere Preserve, 1978; Decree of the Head of Administration of the Primorski Region "About the widening of the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Preserve", No. 344 of 12.07.1994; Order of the Government of the Russian Federation of 29.02.1996 No. 298 "About the growth of the territory of the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Preserve by 40232 ha"; Regulations for Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Preserve. Approved by Ministry of Nature resources and ecology of Russian Federation No. 712 of 07.08.2003. 2. Gorali Zoological Reserve. It was established by the Decision of the Primorski Regional Council of the Workers' Deputies "About the regulation of the boundaries of the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Preserve" No. 376 of 14.04.1976; Regulations for Gorali Zoological Reserve. Approved by Administration of Primorie region No. 169 of 28.07.2008. 3. National Park "Bikin". Documents and legal acts, that determine the status: Order of the Government of the Russian Federation of 03.11.2015 No. 1187 "On the Establishment of the Bikin National Park"; Order of the Government of the Russian Federation of 30.03.2016 No. 546. "National Park Bikin Management"; Regulations for National Park "Bikin". Approved by Ministry of Nature resources and ecology of Russian Federation No. 429 from 12.08.2016. Protection is also regulated: Federal Law No. 33-FZ of 14.03.1995 "On Specially Protected Natural Areas"; Federal Law No. 7-FZ of 10.01.2002 "On Environmental Protection"; Resolution of the Council of Ministers - Government of the Russian Federation of August 10, 1993 N 769 "On Approval of the Regulations on National Natural Parks of the Russian Federation" Federal Law "On Internal Sea Waters, Territorial Sea and Contiguous Zone of the Russian Federation" of July 31, 1998, No. 155-FZ; Forest Code of the Russian Federation of January 29, 1997, No. 22-FZ.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory-including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1999 / "About the widening of the territory the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Preserve" / RF Government Resolution No. 621 adopted June 10, 1999 / Widening of the territory the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Preserve / None / Widening of the territory the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Preserve / <https://www.consultant.ru/search/?q=%D0%9F%D0%BE%D1%81%D1%82%D0%B0%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%B2%D0%BB%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%B8%D0%B5+%D0%BF%D1%80%D0%B0%D0%B2%D0%B8%D1%82%D0%B5%D0%BB%D1%8C%D1%81%D1%82%D0%B2%D0%B0>

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

<input type="checkbox"/>	A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property
<input type="checkbox"/>	A management plan
<input type="checkbox"/>	An annual work plan or business plan
<input type="checkbox"/>	A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan
An environmental management framework
An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan 2019-2023 for Central Sikhote-Alin	N/A	Available	2019	^
Management Plan	N/A	Available	2018	^

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is **not relevant** to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities		-			
5.3.15.2	Local authorities		-			
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		-			
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples			-		
5.3.15.5	Women		-			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups		-			
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				-	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				-	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				-	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				-	
5.3.16.5	Women				-	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				-	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					-
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					-
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					-
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					-
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					-
5.3.16.12	NGOs					-
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					-
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					-
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					-
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					-
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					-
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					-
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					-

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	82 %	82 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%

6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	13 %	13 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	5 %	5 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	56 %	53 %
6.1.6.2	Women	44 %	47 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Indigenous peoples
Women
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

5740 / 5221 / 4402 / 4472 / 4337 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

25 / 0 / 20 / 2 / 45 / 5 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Feedback and questionnaires are used.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Accommodation, transportation services, meals.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Tourism provide direct jobs to the community such as tour guides, in the hospitality industry (hotels, cafes and restaurants). Indirect employment generated through transportation services, through agriculture, through food production, and souvenirs retail.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		-		
10.3.3	Character of governance		-		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		-		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		-		
10.3.6	Capacity development		-		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor

Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain
5.3	Management System/Management Plan
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented
6.1	Funding
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs
9	Visitor Management
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made
10	Monitoring
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved
Please select 0 more issues.	
Please save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
4.7.7	Pests	Criterion (x): organisms such as <i>Biscogniauxia maritima</i> (Xylariaceae, Ascomycota), along with climate change, are causing the decline of oak forests.	Monitoring changes in stand area and its vital state.	Monitoring changes in stand area and its vital state.	Since the mid-1970s.	Sikhote-Alin State Natural Biosphere Reserve (Science Department)	Permanent sample areas, orthophotos (UAV imagery), space imagery data (from 1986 to present), geoinformation programs are used for monitoring.	
4.10	Climate change and severe weather events							
4.10.1	Storms	Criterion (x): Hurricane winds lead to changes in forest ecosystems.	Monitoring changes in stand area and its vital state.	Monitoring changes in stand area and its vital state.	Since the 2016.	Sikhote-Alin State Natural Biosphere Reserve (Science Department)	Permanent sample areas, orthophotos (UAV imagery), space imagery data (from 2016 to present), geoinformation programs are used for monitoring.	
4.10.2	Flooding	Criterion (x): sharp rise in river levels and flooding of valley ecosystems.	Monitoring changes in stand area and its vital state.	Monitoring changes in stand area and its vital state.	Since the 1953.	Sikhote-Alin State Natural Biosphere Reserve (Science Department)	None	
4.10.6	Temperature change	Criterion (x): changes in ecosystems due to global temperature change	Monitoring of ecosystems.	Monitoring of ecosystems.	Since the 1940.	Sikhote-Alin State Natural Biosphere Reserve (Science Department)	None	
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Criterion (x): wildfire causes vegetation change	Monitoring change of ecosystems.	Monitoring change of ecosystems.	Since the 1940s.	Sikhote-Alin State Natural Biosphere Reserve (Science Department)	Permanent sample areas, space imagery data (from 1986 to present), geoinformation programs are used for monitoring.	

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Implementation of the Committee's recommendations.	Timeframe Starting in 2024.	Lead agency (and others involved) World Heritage property, State and Federal Administrations	More info / comment None
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Implementation of the Committee's recommendations.	Starting in 2024.	World Heritage property, State and Federal Administrations	None
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	Implementation of the Committee's recommendations.	Starting in 2024.	World Heritage property, State and Federal Administrations	None
6.1	Funding				

6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Preparation and approval of financial development plan of the Central Sikhote-Alin.	Starting in 2024.	World Heritage property, State and Federal Administrations.	Such preparations is already underway.
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Development and approval of the staffing table.	Starting in 2024.	World Heritage property, State and Federal Administrations.	None

7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	Prepare and approve management plans for improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value of Central Sikhote-Alin	Starting in 2024.	World Heritage property, State and Federal Administrations	None
-----	---	---	-------------------	--	------

9 Visitor Management

9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	In strategy eco-tourism to include measures aimed at cooperation whis tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation.	Starting in 2024.	World Heritage property, tourism business	None
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Expand the Management Plan of the World Heritage property Central Sikhote-Alin in order to improve the presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.	Starting in 2024.	World Heritage property	None

10 Monitoring

10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	Include in the Management Plan of the World Heritage property Central Sikhote-Alin the monitoring directed towards management needs	Starting in 2024.	World Heritage property	None
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	Development of the management plan. Bring external experts to help to solve this problem.	Starting in 2024.	World Heritage property	None

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

Not applicable (sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x (natural World Heritage properties))

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention	
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value	
The property's Outstanding Universal Value	
Monitoring and reporting	

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	No follow-up
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans	
Awareness raising	
Advocacy	

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff	
--	--

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

176 / 176 / 176 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		-		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		-		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Poor
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

No

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.