

# Cabo Verde

1. Le chapitre 1 indique le nom de l'État partie avec l'année de ratification ou d'acceptation de la Convention du patrimoine mondial et cherche à obtenir des informations sur les groupes ou institutions impliqués dans la préparation de la section I du Rapport.

## 1.1 - État partie

Cabo Verde

## 1.2 - Date de ratification/accesion/adhésion à la Convention concernant la protection du patrimoine mondial, culturel et naturel

28/04/1988

## 1.3 - Groupes et institutions participant à la préparation de la section I du Rapport périodique

Institutions gouvernementales chargées du patrimoine culturel et naturel
Commission nationale pour l'UNESCO
Points focaux des autres conventions/programmes internationaux
Coordonateurs/gestionnaires de sites du patrimoine mondial
Communautés locales
Organisations non gouvernementales
ICOMOS national/régional
UICN national/régional
ICCROM international/régional
Experts extérieurs

2. Synergies avec les autres conventions, programmes et recommandations pour la conservation du patrimoine culturel et naturel

### 2.1. Accords multilatéraux sur l'environnement

**2.1.1 - D'après les informations dont dispose le Centre du patrimoine mondial, outre la Convention du patrimoine mondial, votre État est/n'est pas partie aux accords suivants/en attente d'adhésion. Vérifiez et modifiez les données si nécessaire.**

		Non partie	Partie	En cours d'adhésion
2.1.1.1	Convention concernant la protection du patrimoine mondial, culturel et naturel		×	
2.1.1.2	Convention sur la diversité biologique (CDB)		×	
2.1.1.3	Convention sur le commerce international des espèces de faune et de flore sauvages menacées d'extinction (CITES)		×	
2.1.1.4	Convention sur la conservation des espèces migratrices appartenant à la faune sauvage (CMS)		×	
2.1.1.5	Convention de Ramsar sur les zones humides (Ramsar)			
2.1.1.6	Traité international sur les ressources phytogénétiques pour l'alimentation et l'agriculture (TIRPGAA)			
2.1.1.7	Convention internationale pour la protection des végétaux (CIPV)			

**2.1.2 - D'après les informations dont dispose le Centre du patrimoine mondial, votre/vos bien(s) du patrimoine mondial est/sont également désigné(s) (en tout ou en partie) site(s) Ramsar dans le cadre de la Liste des zones humides d'importance internationale (Liste de Ramsar). Vérifiez et modifiez les données si nécessaire.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.1.3 - Votre État partie a-t-il l'intention de proposer l'inscription d'un/de bien(s) du patrimoine mondial (en tout ou en partie) sur la Liste des zones humides d'importance internationale au cours des trois prochaines années ?**

Non

**2.1.4 - Indiquez le(s) bien(s) du patrimoine mondial que votre État partie a l'intention de proposer pour inscription (en tout ou en partie) sur la Liste des zones humides d'importance internationale au cours des trois prochaines années.**

Le État de Cap Vert ne pas encore du Bien instrit sur la liste du patrimoine mondial.

**2.1.5 - Indiquez les autres accords multilatéraux régionaux ou internationaux sur l'environnement dont votre État est signataire pour le patrimoine naturel.**

Convention - Lutte contre la désertification Paris, 17 juin 1994 Assemblée nationale: ratifiée par la résolution 98 / IV / 95. /

Convention-cadre sur les changements climatiques, New York, 9 mai 1992, ratifiée par la résolution 72 / IV / 94. /

Convention sur le contrôle des mouvements transfrontières Bâle, le 22 mars 1989, ratifiée par la résolution 74 / IV / 94. /

Convention sur la protection de la couche d'ozone, Vienne, 22 mars 1985, ratifiée par décret n ° 6/97. /

Convention des Nations Unies sur le droit de la mer, Assemblée nationale: loi n ° 17 / II / 87 du 3 août 10 août 1987 /

## 2.2. Conventions culturelles de l'UNESCO

**2.2.1 - Le tableau ci-après indique la/les convention(s) culturelle(s) de l'UNESCO à laquelle/auxquelles votre État partie est partie, non partie, ou en cours d'adhésion. Vérifiez et modifiez les données si nécessaires.**

		Non partie	Partie	En cours d'adhésion
2.2.1.1	Convention concernant la protection du patrimoine mondial, culturel et naturel		X	
2.2.1.2	Convention de La Haye de 1954 pour la protection des biens culturels en cas de conflit armé	X		
2.2.1.3	Deuxième protocole relatif à la Convention de La Haye de 1954 pour la protection des biens culturels en cas de conflit armé (1999)	X		
2.2.1.4	Convention de 1970 concernant les mesures à prendre pour interdire et empêcher l'importation, l'exportation et le transfert de propriété illicites des biens culturels	X		
2.2.1.5	Convention de 2001 sur la protection du patrimoine culturel subaquatique		X	
2.2.1.6	Convention de 2003 pour la sauvegarde du patrimoine culturel immatériel		X	
2.2.1.7	Convention de 2005 sur la protection et la promotion de la diversité des expressions culturelles	X		

**2.2.2 - Indiquez les conventions ou accords régionaux ou internationaux concernant le patrimoine culturel auxquels votre État partie est partie ou en cours d'adhésion**

Traités de l'Organisation mondiale de la propriété intellectuelle (OMPI), en 2018 /

Convention sur la protection du patrimoine culturel subaquatique, en 2008 /

Convention pour la sauvegarde du patrimoine culturel immatériel, en 2018 /

**2.2.3 - D'après les informations dont dispose le Centre du patrimoine mondial, les biens du patrimoine mondial suivants situés dans votre État partie sont inscrits sur la liste des biens culturels sous protection renforcée au titre du Deuxième protocole relatif à la Convention de La Haye de 1954 pour la protection des biens culturels en cas de conflit armé (1999).**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.2.4 - Votre État partie a-t-il l'intention de demander une protection renforcée pour l'un quelconque de ses biens du patrimoine mondial au titre du deuxième protocole relatif à la Convention de La Haye de 1954 pour la protection des biens culturels en cas de conflit armé au cours des trois prochaines années ?**

Non

**2.2.5 - Indiquez les biens pour lesquels l'État partie compte demander une protection renforcée.**

## 2.3. Programmes de l'UNESCO

**2.3.1 - Le tableau ci-après indique le(s) programme(s) de l'UNESCO au(x)quel(s) votre État partie participe. Vérifiez et modifiez les données si nécessaire.**

		Non	Oui
2.3.1.1	Programme intergouvernemental sur l'Homme et la biosphère		X
2.3.1.2	Géoparcs mondiaux UNESCO		X

**2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?**

No

**2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:**

**2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?**

No

**2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).**

Aucune candidature /

2.4. Merci de répondre aux questions suivantes qui évaluent la synergie au niveau de la mise en œuvre de ces conventions et programmes au sein de votre État partie.

**2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?**

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			X
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			X
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)	X		
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)	X		
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	X		
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)	X		
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)	X		
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		X	
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		X	
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	X		
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage			X
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			X
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions			X
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme			X
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks	X		

**2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):**

Communiquent par de: workshop, atelier, e-mail, etc.

**2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

No

**2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

No

2.5. Deux Recommandations de l'UNESCO concernent particulièrement la mise en œuvre de la Convention du patrimoine mondial : Recommandation de 1972 concernant la protection, au niveau national, du patrimoine culturel et naturel et Recommandation 2011 concernant le paysage urbain historique. Cette question suppose de réfléchir à la manière dont l'État partie les a prises en compte dans le développement des politiques nationales de protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel.

**2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?**

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	X	

### 2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

Au niveau d'application de commandation de 1972: inventaire de patrimoine au niveau national; liste indicative em, dossier de candidature de ex camp de concentration a patrimoine mondial. Au niveau d'application de recommandation de concernant le paysage urbain historique, Le Cap-Vert a accueilli l'organisation d'un atelier régional sur le paysage urbain historique.

### 3. Liste indicative

#### 3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
The World Heritage List: Future priorities for a credible and complete list of natural and mixed sites by IUCN
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
Other global comparative analyses
World Heritage Resource Manual 'Preparing World Heritage Nominations'

#### 3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

#### 3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

No

#### 3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among States Parties and communities

#### 3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

Ex camp de concentration de Tarrafal et Cultural Landscap oh Corda/Ribeira da Torre/Paul

#### 3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Poor
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Not applicable
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

#### 3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

#### 3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

Not applicable

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

**3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)**

**4. Propositions d'inscription**

**4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities/residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Poor
Landowners	Fair
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Fair
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

**4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?**

Yes

**4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	High
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	High
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	High
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	High
Improved presentation of sites	High
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	Some
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	Some
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	High
Increased number of tourists and visitors	High
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	High
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

**4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.**

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	High
Contributing to inclusion and equity	High
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	High

Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	High
Achieving gender equality	High
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	High
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	High
Ensuring conflict prevention	Not applicable
Protecting heritage during conflict	Not applicable
Promoting conflict resolution	Not applicable
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Not applicable
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

**4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).**

5. Le chapitre vise à recueillir des informations sur le cadre juridique de protection, conservation et présentation du patrimoine culturel et/ou naturel et sur son efficacité. Le chapitre porte sur les exigences spécifiques de l'article 5 de la Convention du patrimoine mondial et permet de vérifier dans quelle mesure la « Recommandation de 1972 concernant la protection, au niveau national, du patrimoine culturel et naturel » est mise en œuvre. Il permet également d'évaluer si les dispositions de la politique de 2015 sur le patrimoine mondial et le développement durable sont appliquées.

5.1. - Principaux textes de la législation nationale en matière de protection, conservation et mise en valeur du patrimoine culturel et/ou naturel de l'État partie

**5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage**

5.2. Commentaire sur la législation en vigueur

**5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force**

5.3. Autres législation(s) en vigueur

**5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.**

5.4. Contribution d'autres législations et/ou réglementations à d'autres niveaux à l'identification, la conservation et la protection du patrimoine culturel et/ou naturel

**5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.**

From the legislation the state will have to proceed in the inventory of the cultural and natural heritage, as well as create the conditions for its protection and valorization and inclusion of the communities.

5.5. Adéquation du cadre juridique pour l'identification du patrimoine culturel et/ou naturel de votre État partie

**5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?**

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	X	X

5.6. Adéquation du cadre juridique pour la conservation et la protection du patrimoine culturel et/ou naturel de votre État partie

**5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?**

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	X	X

## 5.7. Application du cadre juridique

### 5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture			X	
5.7.1.2	Nature			X	

## 5.8. Commentaires sur l'application du cadre légal

### 5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

## 5.9. Politiques générales donnant une fonction au patrimoine culturel et naturel dans la vie des communautés

### 5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.</b>		
There <b>are specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but there are some deficiencies</b> in their implementation.		X
There <b>are specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities that <b>are effectively implemented.</b>	X	

## 5.10. Exemples de politique générale donnant au patrimoine une fonction dans la vie des communautés

### 5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

heritage enhancement programs and projects by empowering communities especially at the gender and youth level

## 5.11. Intégration de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable

### 5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	High
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	High
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	High
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	High
Achieving gender equality.	High
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	High
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	High
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Not applicable
Protecting heritage during conflict.	Not applicable
Promoting conflict resolution.	Not applicable
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Not applicable
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

## 5.12. Recommendation de l'UNESCO concernant le paysage urbain historique (HUL), adoptée en 2011

**5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Adapt the application of the Recommendation and its approach to the States Party's specific context
<input type="checkbox"/>	Encourage the HUL approach across its territory
<input type="checkbox"/>	Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the identification and protection of historic layering in urban environments
<input type="checkbox"/>	Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the harmonious integration of contemporary intervention into the historic urban fabric
<input type="checkbox"/>	Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the integration of urban heritage conservation strategies into national development policies and agendas
<input type="checkbox"/>	Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for monitoring the implementation of the Recommendation and its impact on the conservation and management of historic cities

## 5.13. Intégration de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel dans des programmes de planification d'ensemble/à plus grande échelle

**5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?**

There are policies that are effectively implemented.

## 5.14. Usage des politiques et stratégies agréées par le Comité du patrimoine mondial ou l'Assemblée générale des États parties à la Convention du patrimoine mondial pour définir des politiques ou stratégies nationales de protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel

**5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?**

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

There is adequate coordination and integration of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

## 5.15. Politiques nationales spécifiques développées à partir des politiques et stratégies relatives au patrimoine mondial

**5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies**

## 5.16. Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'élaboration des politiques générales (questions 5.1 à 5.15)

**5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)**

## 6. Inventaires/listes/registres du patrimoine culturel et naturel

**6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?**

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Regional/provincial/state	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Local	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Other	Not applicable	Not applicable

**6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?**

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Inventories/lists/registers capture the <b>full</b> diversity of heritage.	X	
----------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	--

### 6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are not actively used</b> for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are sometimes used</b> for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are frequently used</b> for the protection of heritage.	X	X

### 6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
The State Party <b>does not involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party <b>plans to involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party <b>sometimes involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party <b>regularly involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	X	X

### 6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>sometimes used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>frequently used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	X	X	X

### 6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

7. État des services relatifs à l'identification, la protection, la conservation et la mise en valeur du patrimoine culturel et naturel

#### 7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

#### 7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

In general, **cooperation exists** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but there are still deficiencies**.

#### 7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
In general, <b>cooperation exists</b> between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> .	X	X
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

#### 7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>some cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies.</b>		X
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	X	

## 8. État des ressources financières et humaines

### 8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Major source of project funding
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	Minor source of project funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of project funding
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

### 8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture		X
8.2.2	Nature		X

### 8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.	X	X
The available budget is <b>acceptable</b> but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.		
The available budget is <b>adequate</b> to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

### 8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	0.0014
8.4.2	Natural	0.7

### 8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	80 %	80 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	0 %	0 %
8.5.3	Local	20 %	20 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are <b>below optimum</b> .		
Human resources are <b>adequate</b> to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	X	X

## 8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

### 9. Développement des compétences

#### 9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	High priority	High priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	Medium priority
Technical and scientific issues	Medium priority	Medium priority
Traditional conservation processes	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	Medium priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Medium priority	Medium priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	Medium priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable development	Medium priority	Medium priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	Medium priority	Medium priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	Medium priority	Medium priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Gender balance in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	Medium priority	Medium priority

#### 9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Nomination process	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority

Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Technical and Scientific issues	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	Not applicable	Not applicable	High priority
Sustainable development	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Building environmental and social resilience	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority

### 9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.</b>		✗
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.</b>		✗
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities.</b>		✗
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.</b>		✗
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.</b>		✗

### 9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is a **national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation.**

### 9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

10. Le chapitre 10 vise à recueillir des informations sur des mesures, des politiques et des législations spécifiques ayant pour objet la protection, la conservation, la présentation et la gestion du patrimoine mondial.

### 10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

cultural heritage law / 2020 / Cultural and Natural / National /

**10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.**

**10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is **adequate capacity** within services to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties.

**10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?**

By providing financial resources and incentives for sustainable tourism related activities

By developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

By providing capacity building for site managers

By facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

**10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.**

**10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?**

There is a **regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects which is implemented **but it needs improvement**.

**10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.**

**10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?**

There is a **national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management that is **effectively implemented**

**10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?**

There is **capacity** at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but it could be improved**.

**10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?**

No

**10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources**

## 11. Coopération internationale

**11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.**

Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes

Bilateral and multilateral agreements

Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities

Financial support

Contributions to private organizations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage

Sharing expertise for capacity building

Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

Distribution of material/information

**11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?**

Yes

**11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.**

## 12. Éducation, information et sensibilisation

**12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?**

There **are strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage **but there are some deficiencies in its implementation**.

## 12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Youth	Fair
General public	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Private sector	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

## 12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

There are heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but there are deficiencies in implementation.**

## 12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Medium
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	High
Youth forums	High
Skills-training courses for students	High
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	High
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Medium
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

## 12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party **participates** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

## 12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

## 13. Conclusions et actions recommandées

### 13.1. Mise en œuvre de la *Convention du patrimoine mondial* par l'État partie

<b>Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>natural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>cultural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage</li> </ul>
<b>Identification of heritage</b>
<b>National inventories</b>
<b>Tentative List</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List</li> </ul>
<b>Effectiveness of legal framework</b>
<b>Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community</b>
<b>Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies</b>

- There is **no integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:
- There is **limited integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:

#### Larger-scale planning

#### Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation

#### Financial status

- The available budget is **inadequate** for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage
- The available budget is **inadequate** for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage

#### Human resources

#### Capacity development

- The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the national level**
  - The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level**
  - The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy to **identify capacity building priorities**
  - The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by fundraising to support capacity building programmes**
  - The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage**
- There is a **national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation**

#### Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties

#### Research on World Heritage properties

#### International cooperation

Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:

- Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes
- Bilateral and multilateral agreements
- Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities
- Financial support
- Contributions to private organizations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage
- Sharing expertise for capacity building
- Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars
- Distribution of material/information

#### Education, information and awareness building

### 13.2. Actions visant à mettre en œuvre la *Convention du patrimoine mondial* (d'après le tableau 13.1)

#### 13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

2.4	<b>Coopération et synergies entre les conventions et programmes auxquels votre État est partie/associé/compte adhérer</b>	
2.4.3	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>natural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	✘
2.4.4	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>cultural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	✘
3	<b>Liste indicative</b>	
3.3	The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List	✘
8	<b>État des ressources financières et humaines</b>	
8.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage</li> <li>• The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage</li> </ul>	✘
9	<b>Développement des compétences</b>	
9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	✘

9.4	There is a <b>national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some <b>deficiencies in implementation</b>	X
<b>11</b>	<b>Coopération internationale</b>	
11.1	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes</li> <li>• Bilateral and multilateral agreements</li> <li>• Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities</li> <li>• Financial support</li> <li>• Contributions to private organizations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage</li> <li>• Sharing expertise for capacity building</li> <li>• Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars</li> <li>• Distribution of material/information</li> </ul>	X
Veuillez sélectionner 0 points supplémentaires		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

### 13.3. Évaluation des actions prioritaires

#### 13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

2.4 Coopération et synergies entre les conventions et programmes auxquels votre État est partie/associé/compte adhérer						
		Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
2.4.3	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>natural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	creation of a national strategy for capacity building and involvement in state departments responsible for conservation of the natural heritage	yes we are in the identification, in the planning of the processes until the implementation and monitoring	Ministry of Culture and the Ministry of Environment	two years	yes
2.4.4	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>cultural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	promotion of articulation mechanisms	training and periodic meetings	Ministry of Culture and the Ministry of Environment	2 years	yes
<b>3</b>	<b>Liste indicative</b>					
3.3	The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List	Training of national technicians in the use of Upstream	yes we intend	Cultural Heritage Institute	one year	no
<b>8</b>	<b>État des ressources financières et humaines</b>					

8.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage</li> <li>• The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage</li> </ul>	creation of financing funds for the conservation of world heritage and provision of more financial resources by the state and international cooperation	Yes. But the State party seeks in international cooperation a strategy to guarantee the implementation of the strategy	State and international cooperation	four years	yes
-----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------	-----

9	<b>Développement des compétences</b>					
---	--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The State Party is <b>using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</b></li> <li>• The State Party is <b>using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</b></li> <li>• The State Party is <b>using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities</b></li> <li>• The State Party is <b>using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</b></li> <li>• The State Party is <b>using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	development of regional and subregional cooperation activities for the world heritage	Yes. in public policy strategies for the heritage sector, the state party has made resources available for its valorization, but also resorting to partnerships with national and international entities	State party	two years	no
9.4	There is a <b>national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some <b>deficiencies in implementation</b>	Development of appropriate plans in the interest of stakeholders	yes, there is a strategy, but its implementation depends on the financial capacity of the institutions	state party	2 years	yes

11	<b>Coopération internationale</b>					
----	-----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

11.1	<p>Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes</li> <li>• Bilateral and multilateral agreements</li> <li>• Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities</li> <li>• Financial support</li> <li>• Contributions to private organizations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage</li> <li>• Sharing expertise for capacity building</li> <li>• Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars</li> <li>• Distribution of material/information</li> </ul>	participation in international programs on world heritage, involving the state, technicians and communities	all of these strategies have been adopted by the state party	State party	four years	yes
------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	------------	-----

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor complété

#### 13.4. Actions supplémentaires pour mettre en œuvre la *Convention du patrimoine mondial*

##### 13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

Creation of strategic plans for heritage education, indicating concrete actions to raise community awareness. Creation of a strong legal framework for both cultural and natural heritage. Improve coordination between government sectors

#### 14. Bonnes pratiques dans la mise en œuvre de la *Convention du patrimoine mondial*

##### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

##### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

#### 15. Évaluation de l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques

##### 15.1. Institution principale chargée des communications avec l'UNESCO sur la *Convention du patrimoine mondial*

##### 15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?

NatCom

##### 15.2. Pertinence et objectifs de l'exercice du Rapport périodique

##### 15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Good
-------------	------

Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies	Fair

### 15.3. Les quatre objectifs des Rapports périodiques

#### 15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
15.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> by the State Party			✗
15.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time			✗
15.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property			✗
15.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation			✗

### 15.4. Proposez des suggestions pour améliorer l'exercice du Rapport périodique

#### 15.4.1 -

### 15.5. Utilisation des données

#### 15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Updating management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy
Reporting for other conventions/conservation mechanisms
Reporting on implementation of Sustainable Development Goals
Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention

### 15.6. Délais et ressources

#### 15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

No

#### 15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
15.6.2.1	Gathering data	3	5
15.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	3	7
15.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	1	2

#### 15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

#### 15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.6.4.1	Human resources	✗	
15.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training	✗	

#### 15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

### 15.7. Format et contenu du questionnaire du Rapport périodique

#### 15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All of the required information was accessible

#### 15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Using the questionnaire	good
Understanding the questions	good

**15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement**

15.8. Formation et conseils

**15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM International/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor
Category 2 Centres	Poor
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

**15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources**

15.9. Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'évaluation de l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques

**15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.