

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Golden Temple of Dambulla

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Sri Lanka

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

561


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1991

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Golden Temple of Dambulla	7.857 / 80.649	0	0	0	1991
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Dambulla Planning Scheme	31/10/1989	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
3. [Travel Guide \(Embassy of Sri Lanka\)](#)

Comment

www.archaeology.gov.lk www.ccf.lk/dambulla

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

'the Retrospective SOUV was submitted to WHC by 1 February 2011 and it is under review by Advisory Body'.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(vi)

Section II - Golden Temple of Dambulla (561)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criteria I large-scale religious statuary out of the natural rock within the caves outsized polychrome sculpture extensive interior surfaces with mural paintings Criterion VI: continued state patronage and skilful refurbishment of interiors, sensitivity in adding and re-modeling of the sculpture, sympathy in re-painting of murals.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

No proposals, as the SOUV was submitted very recently.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Defining the SOUV can be stated as an important step leading to a proper management of the property. By paying attention to the attributes identified a proper management plan could be prepared.














































































































3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

		Name	Impact				Origin
3.1		Buildings and Development					
3.1.2 Commercial development							
3.1.3 Industrial areas							
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2		Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
3.3		Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4 Localised utilities							
3.4		Pollution					
3.4.4 Air pollution							
3.4.6 Input of excess energy							
3.7		Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2 Relative humidity							
3.7.3 Temperature							
3.7.4 Radiation/light							
3.7.5 Dust							
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.7 Pests							
3.7.8 Micro-organisms							
3.8		Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.10		Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6 Temperature change							
3.11		Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)							
3.13		Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3 Management activities							
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.1.3	Industrial areas	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	extensive	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.3.4	Localised utilities	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.4.6	Input of excess energy	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.4	Radiation/light	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.5	Dust	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	widespread	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

No additional comments.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The property urgently needs a Buffer zone identified according to the OUV, in order to minimize the over enthusiastic developments carried out by persons living inside and immediate surroundings.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of

Section II - Golden Temple of Dambulla (561)

Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Presently the property and the surrounding is protected under several Acts and regulations of Department of Archaeology, Urban Development Authority, Local Government rules etc. A major development plan for Dambulla is also under discussion to develop it as a major economic hub, hence identification of a buffer zone is urgent for better protection of the site. Since this is a living monument venerated by Buddhists, there is a potential danger of enthusiastic developments detrimental to OUV.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Draft Management Plan, which is prepared needs to be adopted.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There are no changes to the legal status since last Periodic Report

Section II - Golden Temple of Dambulla (561)

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	90%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Presently the property's scientific aspects is managed by the Central Cultural Fund under the authority of Department of Archaeology through the sale of tourist tickets. The temple authority also charge a visitor fee, but it has no contribution to site management.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
-----------	------

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Seasonal	
----------	--

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No Special comments

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and

Section II - Golden Temple of Dambulla (561)

decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Guide maps, Tourist information, Hand books

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Further Scientific research on wall paintings is essential to monitor their condition and also research on visitor behaviour would be helpful to management of the property.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location** and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Not needed
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

It is proposed to develop and up grade the present Mural Painting Museum at Dambulla as a Centre for Conservation Training, Research and Public Education on Mural Paintings.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

There are no proper visitor management documents as yet but once the draft management plan is adopted this issue would be effectively addressed. The property is visited by large number of locals and foreigners annually thus there is an urgent need of such documents.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

Section II - Golden Temple of Dambulla (561)

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Visitors to the property especially the locals are not using the available information and presentations to the maximum, though foreign visitors are equipped better through tourist agencies.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Although some developments have been done, the world heritage values of the property are being maintained.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Proper monitoring system should be implemented to monitor adverse developments in and around the property.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	No direct effects on criteria but such development activities in the buffer zone must be controlled.	Buffer zone must be defined and regulations to be imposed Educate and build awareness among all relevant stakeholders regarding the values of World Heritage	Continuous monitoring is needed to ensure effectiveness of laws and regulations.	2 years for establishment and continuous after that.	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund, Urban Development Authority, Sri Lanka Tourism Development Authority, National Physical Planning Department	No additional comments.
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	No direct effect on the criteria except the adverse developments due to religious needs but these some times are temporary occur on festive seasons.	regulations must be imposed	continuous monitoring	continuous	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund, Urban Development Authority, Temple Authority	No additional comments
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	Traditional rituals and prayers are carried out by Buddhist pilgrims on daily basis which is a positive factor in strengthening Criterion VI. Excessive burning of oil and incense, flower offerings may cause adverse effects on ancient murals	Monitor the religious activities especially during peak seasons.	Number of visitors per enclosure at a time should be monitored.	On going and it should be continuous.	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund	No additional comments
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	Criterion I: Visitors, especially the foreigners recognize importance of the property being a masterpiece, but most locals identified it as a religious place. But if present religious observances are controlled it would affect Criterion VI.	Display effective signage and informative panels on property's value as a World Heritage.	Visitor behaviour and attitudes should be assessed and monitored.	2 Years	Central Cultural Fund, Department of Archaeology	No additional comments
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Criterion VI Traditional knowledge on mural paintings, colour pigments, technology etc are fast disappearing creating a vacuum.	Gather information on traditional artists and obtain their experience and knowledge to revive the field. Recognize them and train young conservators under their guidance.	Monitor the success of approaches through surveys.	2 years for initial implementation and continuous	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund	No comments
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Criterion I Criterion VI	Visitor management should be done properly to minimize adverse effects on ancient materials.	Regular monitoring should be done to assess the affect of the increasing of humidity due to the large gathering of visitors.	Continuous	Central Cultural Fund, Department of Archaeology	Since the carrying capacity of the painted caves is very limited, number of visitors should be controlled accordingly.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	Buffer zone effective to manage the values of the property should be identified.	1 year	Central Cultural Fund, Department of Archaeology, National Physical Planning Department, Urban Development Authority	No additional comments
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	Presently the property is managed through revenue collected from the sale of tourist tickets. This is not a secure source as tourist arrivals, which depend on several other factors may fluctuate. More secure funding source to be established.	3 years	Central Cultural Fund, Department of Archaeology	No additional comments
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	Securing up to date equipment and research facilities needed for monitoring the conditions inside the painted caves and mural paintings.	3 years	Central Cultural Fund, Department of Archaeology	No additional comments
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Increase human resource input considering the prioritized work. Improve facilities at the property to facilitate research activities.	3 years.	Central Cultural Fund, Department of Archaeology	No additional comments.
4.4.15	No capacity development plan or programme is in place	Presently conservation is being carried out by officers of the Central Cultural Fund. Training and revitalizing traditional methods of conservation and maintenance would be beneficial to local people and helpful to improve their values on heritage.	5 years	Central Cultural Fund, Department of Archaeology	Once the Centre for Conservation Training, Research and Public Education on Mural Painting is established this would be addressed more effectively.
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.5	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	Public should be made aware about the OUV of the property.	As soon as possible	Central Cultural Fund, Department of Archaeology	OUV of the property should be properly reached the public to feel them that it is a World Heritage Site.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

State of Conservation of the property is intact though some adverse structures have come up around the property boundary. Central Cultural Fund under the authority of the Department of Archaeology is carrying out daily maintenance work and monitor the condition of murals, sculptures and structures within the property.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Although there are some developments around the site, World Heritage values are still intact

Section II - Golden Temple of Dambulla (561)

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Donors
External experts
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Present system is good, if it could provide the previous compilation together with questionnaire.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: 'the Retrospective SOUV was submitted to WHC by 1 February 2011 and it is under review by Advisory Body'.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

Periodic reporting should be done within regular intervals