

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Haeinsa Temple Janggyeong Panjeon, the Depositories for the *Tripitaka Koreana* Woodblocks

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Korea, Republic of

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

737



Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1995

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Haeinsa Temple Janggyeong Panjeon, the Depositories for the Woodblocks	35.8 / 128.1	0	0	0	1995
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing the location of the monument within the designated Historic Sites and Scenic Area, scale 1:25000	01/10/1994	
Haeinsa Temple. Map of the protected area.	01/01/1994	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Ministry of Culture and Sport

Comment

CULTURAL HERITAGE ADMINISTRATION International Affairs Division 189, Cheongsang-ro, Seo-gu, Daejeon Republic of Korea +82 42 481 4738

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Kisang Lee
Hapcheon County

Cultural Heritage Division

- Eun Sil HWANG
Gyeongsangnam-do Province Government

Comment

Gwangun Seo Hapcheon County Cultural Heritage Division +82-55-930-3177 seo7461@korea.kr Monk Seongan Haeinsa Temple Research Institute 10 Chiin-ri Gaya-myeon Hapcheon-gun +82 55-934-3000 panzone@hanmail.net

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

2. [Tripitaka Koreana at Haeinsa Temple \(Cultural Properties Administration\) - including virtual tour](#)
3. [Cultural Properties Administration](#)
4. [Korea National Tourism Organization](#)

Comment

Haeinsa Temple <http://www.haeinsa.or.kr/home.html>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Entire area of the Haeinsa Temple and Mt. Gayasan is protected as a National Park of Korea.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Significance

The World Heritage Committee inscribed this site on the World Heritage List on the basis of criteria (iv) and (vi), in recognition that the Korean version of the Buddhist scriptures (Tripitaka Koreana) at the Haeinsa Temple is one of the most important and most complete corpus of Buddhist doctrinal texts in the world, and is also outstanding for the high aesthetic quality of its workmanship. The buildings in which the scriptures are housed are unique both in terms of their antiquity so far as this specialized type of structure is concerned, and also for the remarkably effective solutions developed in the 15th century to the problems posed by the need to preserve woodblocks against deterioration.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(iv) The Janggyeongpanjeon, depositories for the Tripitaka Koreana woodblocks (vi) Haeinsa Temple as the Dharma Temple of Korean Buddhism, and the Tripitaka Koreana Woodblocks.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

SOUV was not adopted at the time of inscription and the RSOUV is currently being reviewed by ICOMOS.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

		Name	Impact				Origin
3.1		Buildings and Development					
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.7		Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind							
3.7.2 Relative humidity							
3.7.3 Temperature							
3.7.4 Radiation/light							
3.7.5 Dust							
3.7.7 Pests							
3.8		Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9		Other human activities					
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.10		Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms							
3.10.6 Temperature change							
3.11		Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2 Earthquake							
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide							
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)							
3.12		Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
3.13		Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3 Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.5	Dust	restricted	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	restricted	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	increasing
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, and it is not needed

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

As a World Heritage property there was no buffer zone designated at the time of inscription. However according to the National Cultural Heritage Protection Act, there are Heritage areas and protection zones designated for the site. Outside these boundaries the entire Mt. Gayasan where the temple is situated is a national park.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Under the provisions of Article 4 of the Cultural Property Presentation Law, the Tripitaka Koreana woodblocks are designated National Treasure No 32 and the Haeinsa Changgyeong P'ango (depositories) as National Treasure No 52. Article 6 of this Law, supported by Article 3 of the statutory regulations pertaining to it, designate the 1858 ha area around the temple complex, including Mount Kaya, as an Historical Site

Scenic Area. These designations impose strict constraints on any change to their current status.

Haeinsa Temple is also registered as a "Buddhist temple with historical significance" under the terms of Article 3 of the Traditional Buddhist Temple Preservation Law. The surrounding area is designated as Mount Kaya National Park Under Article 14 of the National Park Law, a Cultural Property Preservation Zone under Article 18 of the Urban Planning Law, and a Natural Environment Preservation zone under Article 13 of the Law of National Land Use Management.

Comment

The Cultural Property Presentation Law needs to be modified as the Cultural Heritage Protection Act and with amendments being made to the relevant acts mentioned above, some text needs to be modified.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The responsible national agency is the Office of Cultural Properties of the Ministry of Culture and Sports. Collaborating institutions are the Ministry of Construction (Taegu Regional construction and Management Office), the Ministry of Home Affairs (Korea National Parks Authority), and the Ministry of Environment (Taegu Regional Office of Environment). There is no specific management plan for this

site, which is covered by management policies of the collaborating institutions under the terms of the various Statutory designations.

Comment

The Cultural Heritage Administration is responsible for the policy and allocation of budget for the heritage. The Cultural Heritage Division of the Hapcheon-gun County is the local office responsible for the management of the heritage and the Haeinsa Temple monks are the residents and daily managers of the heritage.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The management of the temple is undertaken according to the relevant legal instruments and the overall plans of the management entities, but an independent management plan is to be established within 2-3 years.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and /

or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The monks residing in the temple are simultaneously the residents, local community and the landowners. They play a major role in managing and conducting research on the heritage.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	70%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	20%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

not applicable

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High

Community outreach	High
Interpretation	Medium
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Haeinsa Temple <http://admin81258.cafe24.com/eng/main/>

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined **but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

None.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

None

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.5	Dust	(vi) The Tripitaka Koreana Woodblocks	Constant care and monitoring of dust levels being implemented. Visitor restriction into the depositories.	Daily monitoring, monitoring data being analysed regularly.	Continuous monitoring and management being implemented.	Cultural Heritage Administration Hapcheon-gun County	
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	(vi) Haeinsa Temple as the Dharma Temple of Korea.	Active education for the monks residing in Haeinsa Temple of the heritage values and the local communities recognizing the temple and its heritage status as the symbol of their identity.	Monitoring on the number of monks residing in the temple being conducted.	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration Hapcheon-gun County Haeinsa Temple	Haeinsa Temple is the Dharma Temple of Korea and with changes in traditional belief rituals and lesser monks devoting to the religion has made some changes in the traditional methods of heritage protection and management.
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	(vi) Haeinsa Temple as the Dharma Temple of Korean Buddhism	Active education for the monks residing in Haeinsa Temple of the heritage values and the local communities recognizing the temple and its heritage status as the symbol of their identity.	Constant monitoring of local population and community related activities	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration Ministry of Culture, Sports and Tourism Hapcheon-gun County Haeinsa Temple	
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	(iv) Depositories of the Tripitaka Koreana Woodblocks (vi) Haeinsa Temple	Restriction on visitor access to the depositories.	Number of visitors to the heritage.	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration Hapcheon-gun County Haeinsa Temple	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.6	Temperature change	(vi) Depositories of the Tripitaka Koreana Woodblocks	Constant monitoring of the humidity, temperature change and analysis of the conditions.	24 hour monitoring of temperature, humidity and weather changes.	Continuous	Cultural Heritage Administration Hapcheon-gun County Haeinsa Temple Korea Meteorological Administration	
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species						

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	A comprehensive management plan for the Haeinsa Temple Depositories is to be established during the period of 2012-2013.	The management plan will be established on a mid- to long-term basis of 5 years, and will be continuously updated.	Cultural Heritage Administration Hapcheon-gun County Haeinsa Temple		

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

monitoring of the climate for the conservation of the property

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Negative
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts

Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
--

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise