Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II - Fort and Shalamar Gardens in Lahore (171)

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Fort and Shalamar Gardens in Lahore

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details State(s) Party(ies)

Pakistan

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

171

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 1981

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Lahore Fort, Lahore , Punjab , Pakistan	0/0	0	0	0	1981
Shalamar Gardens, Lahore , Punjab , Pakistan	0/0	0	0	0	1981
Total (ha)		0			

1.4 - Map(s)

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Director General
 Directorate of Archaeology and Museums, Government of the Punjab, Lahore

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1. <u>1001wonders.org : visit this site in</u> panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
- 2. <u>Shalimar Garden (City of Lahore)</u>
- 3. <u>The Fortress Gardens of Lahore (Gardens of the Mughal Empire)</u>
- 4. <u>Lahore Fort (GetPakistan.com)</u>
- 5. Welcome to Pakistan
- 6. Moghal Gardens (World Heritage Review)
- 7. <u>Lahore Fort (City of Lahore)</u>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable) Comment

- 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 2.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance
- 2.2 The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed (i)(ii)(iii)
- 2.3 Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion
- 2.4 If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised
- 2.5 Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3. Factors Affecting the Property
- 3.14. Other factor(s)
- 3.14.1 Other factor(s)

Page 1

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

3.1.1 Housing 3.1.2 Commercial development 3.1.3 Industrial areas 3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities 3.2 3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure 3.3 3.3.4 Localised utilities 3.3.5 Major linear utilities	Name Building Transpo Services		Pevelo	9	②②	Origin
3.1.1 Housing 3.1.2 Commercial development 3.1.3 Industrial areas 3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities 3.2 3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure 3.3 3.3.4 Localised utilities 3.3.5 Major linear utilities	© Transpo		न न न न Infras	9	②②	S
3.1.3 Industrial areas 3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities 3.2 3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure 3.3 3.3.4 Localised utilities 3.3.5 Major linear utilities	Transpo		infras	eq eq etructu	<u>•</u>	S
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities 3.2 3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure 3.3 3.3.4 Localised utilities 3.3.5 Major linear utilities	Transpo		infras	in the structure of the	4	F
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure 3.3 3.3.4 Localised utilities 3.3.5 Major linear utilities	Transpo	rtation	Infras	tructu	4	18
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure 3.3 3.3.4 Localised utilities 3.3.5 Major linear utilities	Transpo	rtation	9	structu	re	
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure 3.3 3.3.4 Localised utilities 3.3.5 Major linear utilities		0	-	6	1	7
3.3.4 Localised utilities 3.3.5 Major linear utilities	Services			7		C
3.3.4 Localised utilities 3.3.5 Major linear utilities	Services			A		C
3.3.5 Major linear utilities		Infras	structu	res		
·						C
3.4			Ŋ	9		C
	Pollution	า				
3.4.2 Ground water pollution			Ą		•	F
3.4.3 Surface water pollution					•	3
3.4.4 Air pollution			Ą	9	()	
3.4.5 Solid waste				A		Œ
3.4.6 Input of excess energy			M	A		CF
3.7	Local co	nditio	ns affe	cting p	hysica	al fabric
3.7.1 Wind			Ą		•	
3.7.2 Relative humidity			Ą		(
3.7.3 Temperature			A	A	•	
3.7.4 Radiation/light			Ŋ	ø	•	
3.7.5 Dust			M	a	•	
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			ø	g	(CF.
3.7.7 Pests			ø	g	(4
3.7.8 Micro-organisms			g	A	(
-	Social/cı	ultural		of herit	4	
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system			M	A	(
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	(1)		M	A	(Œ
3.9	Other hu					
3.9.1 Illegal activities						C
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage			Ą	9	•	C
3.9.4 War				A	•	Œ
3.9.5 Terrorism				A	•	Œ
3.9.6 Civil unrest				A	(Œ
3.10	Climate	chang	e and	severe	weath	er events
3.10.1 Storms				4	<u>()</u>	
3.10.6 Temperature change			Ŋ	9	•	
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts			M	g	•	
	Sudden	ecolog	gical o	r geolo	gical e	vents
3.11.2 Earthquake			9	4	<u>()</u>	C
3.11.6 Fire (widlfires)				9	()	
3.13	Manager	ment a	nd ins	titutior	nal fact	ors
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities					(Œ

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II - Fort and Shalamar Gardens in Lahore (171)

					Name	Impac	t			Origin
3.13.2 High	.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities							A	(0)	
3.13.3 Mana	3.13.3 Management activities						A	A	•	F
Legend	egend Current Potential Negative OPositive						<	 Out	tside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	extensive	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	decreasing
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.1.3	Industrial areas	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure	•	•	•	•	•
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4.4	Air pollution	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.4.6	Input of excess energy	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fa	bric	•		•	•
3.7.1	Wind	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.4	Radiation/light	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.5	Dust	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather e	vents				
3.10.6	Temperature change	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological ever	its				
3.11.2	Earthquake	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

-

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

- U

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property is **inadequate**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **no effective capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

-

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The property's Management Plan describes the system of administration of the site.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

yes

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

Section II - Fort and Shalamar Gardens in Lahore (171)

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

	_
Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	00%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	00%
Governmental (National / Federal)	00%

Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	100%%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	00%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	00%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	00%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	00%
Other grants	00%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Emergency restoration work at Lahore Fort	1981	44000.00	œ
Emergency restoration work at Shalimar Gardens	1981	12000.00	œ
Signage on-site at the Fort and Shalimar Gardens of Lahore concerning the World Heritage Convention and its relation to the Fort and Shalimar Gardens sites.	1999	5000.00	CE CE
Archaeological, soil and design research and the preparation of a planting plan for the Shalamar Gardens, for preparing a restoration plan.	1999	10000.00	æ
Fort and Shalamar Gardens, Lahore	2000	50000.00	œ
Total		121000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the longterm

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some *ad hoc* maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

				•	•	_	•	
Full-time								100%
Part-time								00%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	00%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	00%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are inadequate for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and

decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are not shared at any level

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and** *ad hoc* education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not presented or interpreted**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

Page 7

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	Exhaust of the auto mobiles has negative effect on the fabric of the World heritage sites.	The environmental Protection Agency of Punjab Government has been trying its level best to controle the problem. other then that the Department of archaeology and City district Government has prepared a plan to divert the roads passing by the Sites.	Protection Agency and City Government.		Department of Archaeology, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Government of the Punjab and City Government, Lahore.	No
3.7	Local condition	ns affecting physical fa	bric				
3.7.7	Pests						
3.8	Social/cultural	uses of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	no	no	no	no	no	no
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	No	Department of Archaeology, Government of the Punjab,	Department of Archaeology, Government of the Punjab,	All the time	Department of Archaeology, Government of the Punjab,	no
3.10	Climate change	e and severe weather e	events		•	•	•
3.10.6	Temperature change						
3.11	Sudden ecolog	ical or geological ever	nts				
3.11.2	Earthquake	Earthquakes can destroy the buildings and the architectural elements	Hazards mitigation	Department of Archaeology, Government of the Punjab, continuously monitoring the buildings and takes all the necessary steps for the safety of World heritage.	All times	Department of Archaeology	No

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.2 Pro	I.2 Protective Measures					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.2.5	No effective capacity / resources to enforce legislation	The Antiquity Act 1975, is implemented through the City District Government and Provincial authorities.	At the earliest possible.	Department of Archaeology, Government of the Punjab, Provincial Government.	-	
4.3 Ma	nagement Syste	m / Management Plan				
4.3.9	Indigenous peoples have no input into decisions relating to management	Indigenous peoples have no input into decisions relating to management.	-	-	-	
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	-	-	-	-	

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II - Fort and Shalamar Gardens in Lahore (171)

		Many Researches were carried and some are to be done in the property .	within 2 years	Department of Archaeology	No
4.6 Edu	cation, Informat	ion and Awareness Building			
		There is a limited education and awareness programme	Within next 3-years	Department of Archaeology.	No
4.7 Visi	tor Management	t			
	management of visitor use of the property	A visitor management Plan about the property was prepared and this could be under implementations by Department of Archaeology, Government of the Punjab.		Department of Archaeology, Government of the Punjab	-

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The World Heritage Properties are in good state of Conservation.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Positive
Positive
Positive
No impact
Positive
No impact
Positive
Very positive
Positive
Positive
Positive
Positive
Positive
Positive
Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

It is absolutely great way of Documentation of the existing conditions and will be helpful for updating the current status of the site for present and future.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

Site Ma	nager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff fro	m other World Heritage properties

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

ves

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

This should be kept ongoing.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

The Periodic Reporting Exercise was very useful and good learning.