Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II - Mausoleum of Khoja Ahmed Yasawi (1103)

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Mausoleum of Khoja Ahmed Yasawi

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details State(s) Party(ies)

Kazakhstan

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1103

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 2003

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates		Buffer zone (ha)		Inscription year
Mausoleum of Khoja Ahmed Yasawi	43.293 / 68.274	0.55	88.15	88.7	2003
Total (ha)		0.55	88.15	88.7	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title		Link to source
Maps showing the inscribed property and its buffer zone	30/01/2002	æ

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 8 House of Ministries, Orynbor Str., Astana 010000, Kazakhstan, Tel.: +7 7172 74-01-07, TelFax: +7 7172 50-30-51, mkis@mininfo.katelco.kz:

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

 Maulen Zharylkasynuly Sadykbekov State Cultural and Natural Reserve Azret-Sultan of the Ministry of Culture of RK

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1. <u>1001wonders.org : visit this site in</u> panophotographies 360 x 180 degree images
- 2. <u>View photos from OUR PLACE the World</u> Heritage collection

Comment

www.azretsultan.kz

- 1.8 Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)
- 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 2.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance
- 2.2 The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed (i)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Mausoleum itself with its architectural design; design of spatial and structural arrangements and workmanship; vaults and domes structures; large part of the external decoration of Timurid period; original remains of the wall paintings in interiors; stalactites (muqarnas) of the ceilings; unfinished parts – a documentary evidence of the construction methods of Timurid period; the tomb of Hoja Ahmed Yassawi; the site with burials and remains of the old town of Turkestan (Yasi)

- 2.4 If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised
- 2.5 Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3. Factors Affecting the Property
- 3.14. Other factor(s)
- 3.14.1 Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.1	Buildings	s and De	evelopm	ent		
3.1.1 Housing			9		(Œ
3.1.2 Commercial development	①		A	9		3
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	0		E)	9		F
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	(A	A	(F
3.2	Transpor	rtation Ir	nfrastru	cture		
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	(9		•	G.
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	0		9	9		F
3.3	Services	Infrastr	uctures			•
3.3.5 Major linear utilities	((
3.4	Pollution)				
3.4.4 Air pollution			9	9	•	(5
3.4.5 Solid waste						G.
3.5	Biologica	al resou	rce use	modific	ation	
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	0		9	9	(
3.7	Local co	nditions	affecti	ng phys	ical fab	ric
3.7.2 Relative humidity			9	9	•	
3.7.3 Temperature			9	9	•	
3.7.4 Radiation/light	((
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)					•	
3.7.7 Pests			Ą	A	(
3.8	Social/cu	ıltural us	ses of h	eritage		
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	(A		•	G
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	(•	G.
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	0		9	9	(F
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	0		9	9	(F
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	(1)		Ą	A	(E
3.9	Other hu	man act	ivities			
3.9.1 Illegal activities			A			G.
3.10	Climate o	change a	and sev	ere wea	ther ev	ents
3.10.6 Temperature change			9	9	•	
3.12	Invasive/	alien sp	ecies o	r hyper-		nt species
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species			9	9	•	F
3.13 Management and institutional fac			actors			
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities	0		9	9	•	C
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities	0		E)	9	•	F
3.13.3 Management activities	(A	9	(F
Legend	•	Inside)	Œ٥	Outside	•

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	•	Management response	Trend
3.1	3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II - Mausoleum of Khoja Ahmed Yasawi (1103)

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	extensive	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	localised	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.4.5	Solid waste	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.5	Biological resource use/modification		•	•	•	•
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fa	bric				
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.4	Radiation/light	widespread	on-going	insignificant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change	widespread	on-going	insignificant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abund	lant species			·	·
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	localised	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Mainly a lack of financial and human resources limits the resistanwe to these factors effectively and in time

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Inadequacies in the buffer zones of the World Heritage property make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The term "buffer zone" should be included into the national "Law on cultural and historical heritage protection and use"

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Mausoleum of Ahmed Yasawi is a national monument, included on the List of National Properties of Kazakhstan, and protected by the decree 38 of 26.01.1982. The site of the mausoleum is included in the Protection Zoning Plan (1986) of the city of Turkestan, prepared under the supervision of the Ministry of Culture, by the State Institute for Scientific Research and Planning on Monuments of Material Culture (NIPI PMK, Almaty). The plan has been approved by the Committee of Culture, and confirmed by the decree 628 of 22.11.1988. The adjacent secondary monuments and the archaeological remains of the

medieval town of Yasi are included in the 'Azret-Sultan' State Historical and Cultural Reserve Museum (decree 265, 28.08.1989). The museum was opened in 1990.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is **inadequate** to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

"Buffer zone" is not defined in the current State legislation, this makes difficulties to enact/enforce and coordinate different restrictions and regulations of existing different zones of the property protection.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

At the national level, the management of the site is under the responsibility of the Committee of Culture of the Ministry of Culture, Information and Public Accord. Locally, the care is the responsibility of the 'Azret-Sultan' State Historical and Cultural Reserve Museum. The museum staff prepares the annual programmes and plans for the repair and management of the property. There is a long-term development plan (2000) for the whole city of Turkestan, respecting the protection zoning. The Tourism Department of the South Kazakhstan Region has a regional plan for tourism, including Turkestan as a main destination. A five-year management plan for the property has been prepared by the 'Azret-Sultan' Museum. This plan includes guidelines for safeguarding, research, conservation, monitoring, and maintenance of the property, as well as for the development of the planning control zone and the nature protection area, in order to preserve important views from and to the mausoleum.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Under preparation is a new management plan (5 year plan), at this time 1 year plans are in use. Project plans for the protection zones of the monuments of the city if Turkestan are at the legal adoption phase.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is only partially being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer

zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) Governmental (National / Federal) Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	90%
Governmental (National / Federal)	90%
	90%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	10%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

There was no international assistance received from WHF in this period

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

Section II - Mausoleum of Khoja Ahmed Yasawi (1103)

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The site would benefit from cooperation and technical assistance with international experts who could show new equipment and technologies which could be used in order to conserve the monument and the site up to international standards.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Non-existent
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

	· .
Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Not available

Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

We are in a great need of international help and collaboration in the form of trainings and workshops to help us modernize and improve out management and conservation abilities.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared with local participants and some national agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1.Туѿкбаев М. «Иѿторичеѿкаѿ топографиѿ и развитие городов и поѿелений Туркеѿтанѿкого оазиѿа (XIII-XIX вв.)», плматы, 2009 г. 2. «Қожа пхмет Яѿауи мұраѿы мен ілімінің зерттелу мәѿелелері» ІІІ халықаралық ғылымитәжірбиелік конференциѿ, 2010 жыл 7-8 қазан. плматы, 2010 жыл. 3. «Қожа пхмет Яѿауи мұралары мен ілімінің зерттелу мәѿелелері» атты ғылыми-практикалық конференциѿ материалдарының жинағы, 15-16 қараша 2007 жыл. Түркіѿтан қалаѿы, 2008 жыл. 2. «Қожа пхмет Яѿауи мұраѿы мен ілімінің зерттелу мәѿелелері» атты халықаралық конференциѿ, 29 қыркүйек – 1 қазан 2008 жыл. плматы, 2008 жыл. 4. Доѿай Кенжетай «Қожа пхмет

Яѿауи дүниетанымы», «прыѿ» баѿпаѿы, плматы, 2008 жыл. 6. Светқали пұржан «Әулиелер әуезі», плматы, 2009 жыл. 7. п.Әбдірәѿілқызы, п.пѿқарбекова «Қожа пхмет Яѿауи библиографиѿлық көрѿеткіш», плматы, 2008 жыл. 8. Д.Мұѿтапаева «пѿыл мұра — қаѿиетті Тайқазан», плматы, 2008 жыл. 9. Б.Қорғанбек «Қожа пхмет Яѿауи еѾімімен байланыѾты аңыз, әпѾана, хикаѾлар», плматы, 2009 жыл. 10. М.Садықбеков, Ж.пұрбеков, Ә.Муминов, С.Моллаканағатұлы, М.Қожа «Қожа пхмет Яѿауи кеѾенеѾі», плматы, «Эфект», 2010 жыл. 11. п.пазаров «Түркіѿтан поѿзиѿѿы», плматы, 2008 жыл. 12. «Құран кітаптары», «Әзірет Сұлтан» мемлекеттік тарихи-мәдени қорық мұражайы, мұражай қорындағы жазба е жерткіштер коллекциййы. 13. «Білезіктер», «Әзірет Сұлтан» мемлкеттік тарихи-мәдени қорық мұражайы, мұражай қорындағы әшекейлік бұйымдар коллекциййы. 14. «пѾханалық ыдыѾтар», мұражай қорындағы археологи\(\varpi\)лык бо\(\varpi\)ү\(\varpi\)ыз кыш ыды\(\varpi\)тар коллекци\(\varpi\)\(\varpi\)ы. «Әзірет Сұлтан» мемлекттік тарихи-мәдени қорық мұражайы. 15 «Тиындар», «Әзірет Сұлтан» мемлекеттік тарихи-мәдени қорық мұражайы, мұражай қорындағы тиындар коллекциѾѾы. 16. «ЯѾауи әлемі», 2009 № 1 (1) «Әзірет Сұлтан» мемлекттік тарихи-мәдени қорық мұражайы 2009 жыл. 17. «ЯѾауи әлемі», 2010 жыл № 1 (2) «Әзірет Сұлтан» мемлекттік тарихи-мәдени қорық мұражайы. 18. «Яѿауи әлемі», 2010 жыл, № 2 (3) 19. «Яѿауи әлемі», 2010 жыл № 3-4 (5) 20 «Яѿауи әлемі», 2011 жыл №5 21. «ЯѾауи әлемі», 2011 жыл №6

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and** *ad hoc* education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, but it could be improved

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Funding has increased and some training has been put in place but these are not sufficient. Much more could be done to conserve the site and train site managers in up to date conservation practices. We have also been unable to establish limits on construction outside of the buffer zone. At the moment this is out of the control of site managers as well as the Ministry of Culture.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	Inappropriate and out of scale development in and around the buffer zone is deteriorating the visual integrity of the property.	A new plan defining protection zones around the property is elaborated and integrated with the city master plan. UNESCO also had a mission to the site to assess development problems.	The new zoning plan sets benchmark levels for development which will empower site managers to stop inappropriate use of the buffer zone.	We hope the new zoning plan will take effect this year or next.	The Ministry of Culture in collaboration with local authorities.	It is unlikely that existing detrimental structures will be removed. Our efforts are focused on preventing further construction.
3.1.2	Commercial development						
3.7	Local condition	s affecting physical fa	bric				
3.7.3	Temperature	Temperature fluctuations threaten the physical fabric of the monument,, both the interior and exterior surfaces.	Regular conservation works maintain the structure assisted by research on best practice conservation for similar materials.	Temperature inside the building is monitored continuously. Conservation work also examines the influence of temperature on the structure to make sure conservation practices and materials are appropriate.	On going	Site Managers of the "State historical and cultural museum-reserve Azret Sultan", Specialized restoration organization of the Ministry of Culture "Kazrestavratziya"	The combination of work of temperature and humidity creates a significant threat. Humidity inside the structure, particularly in the winter, is a serious threat to the physical fabric of the building.
3.7.4	Radiation/light	Sunlight is increasing weathering on external site surfaces.	Regular conservation efforts are made to maintain the property.	The "State museum- reserve Azret Sultan" is responsible for monitoring and tracking any long term effects from light/radiation.	On going	State historical and cultural museum-reserve Azret Sultan	It could be useful to establish research on radiation/light affecting on historical and conservation materials
3.9	Other human ac	ctivities					
3.9.1		Illegal building construction such as the new mosque and high rise building on the buffer zone boundary and a new museum in the regulated zone (close to the buffer zone) have all had a negative impact on the visual integrity of the site.	A new zoning plan has been drafted to clarify the illegal nature of this consrtuction	The new zoning plan sets benchmark levels for development which will empower site managers to stop inappropriate use of the buffer zone.	We hope the new zoning plan will be put into effect this year or next.	The Ministry of Culture in collaboration with local authorities.	It is unlikely that existing detrimental structures will be removed. Our efforts are focused on preventing further construction.
3.10	<u> </u>	and severe weather e	events			I	
3.10.6	Temperature change	Global climate change increases daily and seasonal fluctuation of temperature change. The increased heat and dryness make negative impact on the physical fabric and increase weathering and erosion of the site.	conservation,	Temperature assessment and historical comparison on a regular basis.	On going	State museum-reserve Azret Sultan	It could be useful to establish research on temperature changes and affecting on historical and conservation materials

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

oiziz Gaiiiiiai y	managomont Hoodo		
4.2 Protective Measure	s		
	Actions	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II - Mausoleum of Khoja Ahmed Yasawi (1103)

4.2.3	The legal framework in the buffer zone is inadequate	adaquately protected legally. The	Hopefully, it will get legal recognition and will come in force this year.	State reserve-museum Azret Sultan Projecting filial of "Kazrestavratziya" of the Ministry of Culture, Ministry of Culture.	should be put in national
4.3 Mai	nagement Syster	m / Management Plan			
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	The new 5 year Management Plan is under elaboration		Sultan in cooperation with the State	In the meantime we use 1-year plans and follow recommendations of the previous Management Plan
4.6 Edu	ıcation, Informat	tion and Awareness Building			
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	State Museum Reserve Azret Sultan organizes conferences and research at the site. Booklets, movies and other publications are available to visitors as part of the site museum. Thematic excursions and performances are also organized for visitors.	On going		Additional educational and informational programmes would benefit the site but would require additional funding. An international festival is planned for the future.
4.7 Vis	tor Managemen	t			
4.7.5	There is little or no contact with the tourism industry	State reserve-museum is working in close collaboration with tourism industry as it grows.	On going.	State reserve-museum Azret Sultan	The local tourism industry is just developing.
4.8 Mo	nitoring				
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	We plan to make a more comprehensive programme to manage the property monitoring	In the coming years	State reserve-museum Azret Sultan	The program will consider different aspects of management and conservation.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions.**

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

ves

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It would be helpful to do reporting more often - every two years.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise