

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Khajuraho Group of Monuments

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• India

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

240

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1986

1.3 - Geographic Information Table









Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Protected Temple Area. Western Group.	24.853 / 79.921	?	?	0	
Chausath Jogini Temple. Western Group	24.849 / 79.919	?	?	0	
Chopra or square tank. Western group.	24.856 / 79.921	?	?	0	
Laguan Mahadeva Temple. Western Group.	24.851 / 79.913	?	?	0	
Brahma Temple. Eastern Group.	24.849 / 79.934	?	?	0	
Colossal statue of Shri Hanuman. Eastern Group.	24.851 / 79.93	?	?	0	
Ghanti Temple. Eastern group	24.846 / 79.935	?	?	0	
Javari Temple. Eastern group.	24.849 / 79.937	?	?	0	
Kakra Marh. Eastern group	24.853 / 79.949	?	?	0	
Vamana Temple. Eastern group	24.852 / 79.936	?	?	0	
Adinath Temple. Eastern Group	24.845 / 79.938	?	?	0	
Parsvanatha Temple. Eastern group	24.845 / 79.938	?	?	0	
Santinatha Temple. Eastern group	24.845 / 79.938	?	?	0	
Duladeo Temple. Southern group	24.839 / 79.933	?	?	0	
Chaturbuj Temple. Southern group	24.825 / 79.933	?	?	0	
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

According to the Retrospective Inventory project, the State Party will be submitting the required Maps along with the details regarding Clarification of Boundaries.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
-------	------	----------------

Site plan of the Temples of Khajuraho (Western Group)	10/01/1986	
Site plan of Vanama, Javari and Brahma Temples	10/01/1986	
Site plan of Hanuman Temple at Khajuraho	10/01/1986	
Site Plan of Ghantai Temple at Khajuraho	10/01/1986	
Site Plan of Khahra Marh at Khajuraho	10/01/1986	
Site Plan of Jain Temples at Khajuraho	10/01/1986	
Site Plan. The Temples of Khajuraho. Southern Group; Temple of Duladeo	10/01/1986	
Site Plan. The Temples of Khajuraho. Southern Group; Temple of Chaturbuj	10/01/1986	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Government of India Ministry of Culture Archaeological Survey of India

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Superintending Archaeologist
Archaeological Survey of India

Comment

The UNESCO terminology is not directly applicable in Indian context. However the Superintending Archaeologist could be equated as a Property Manager and Conservation Assistant posted at the site is defined as a Site Manager of the property. kindly correct the address..... "lind" is to be lInd- floor,

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. The Official Website of Ministry of Tourism

Comment

The ASI official website sites gives the information on world heritage sites in India including the other protected monuments and related information. www.asi.nic.in

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

A part from being listed as world heritage site by the UNESCO, the monuments/sites in India are protected under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (AMASR) Act 1958, Rules 1959, Gazette notification of 1992, Amendment and Validation Act 2010, as centrally protected monuments.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Statement of significance is being revalidated by making a retrospective statement of outstanding universal value which has been submitted to the world heritage centre by the state party.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(iii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criteria (i) the esoteric energy represents both the tangible and intangible character of the period under review. Criteria (iii) the temples represents the refinement of technology under the Chandelas which reached its pinnacle before the on sought of Islamic tradition.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The RSOUV as drafted by state party has been submitted to the world heritage centre for review and adoption.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

RSOUV has been submitted by the state party for adoption.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

There is no other factors.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

3.1 Factors Summary table							Name	Impact			Origin	
3.1							Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing												
3.1.2 Commercial development												
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure												
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities												
3.2							Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure												
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure												
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure												
3.3							Services Infrastructures					
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities												
3.3.4 Localised utilities												
3.4							Pollution					
3.4.5 Solid waste												
3.7							Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.3 Temperature												
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)												
3.7.7 Pests												
3.7.8 Micro-organisms												
3.8							Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses												
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage												
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system												
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation												
3.9							Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities												
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage												
3.10							Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms												
3.13							Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities												
3.13.3 Management activities												
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside						

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.5	Solid waste	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

The geographical region (Bundelkhand) is a semi arid region with high level of temperature change between winter and summers (min 6 max 48 degrees) which can potentially impact and cause scaling of the surface (sand stone). Due to urbanization and touristic development the traditional knowledge has become masked but the traditions are continuing which are visible during local festivals.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

As per the Gazette notification of Govt. of India, a Protected area for the Monuments have been defined which is considered as World Heritage Property. However 300 Mtr (100+200) from that demarcated area may tentatively be considered as a buffer zone since no clear buffer zone exists for the property.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Comment

a. Apart from being listed as world heritage site by the UNESCO, the monuments/sites in India are protected under AMSAR Act 1958, Rules 1959, Gazette Notification of 1992, amendment and validation act 2010 as centrally protected monuments/sites. b. Madhya Pradesh Bhumi Vikas Rules 1984 (regulate unprotected heritage site), c.Clause 17 of section 49 of Madhya Pradesh Panchayati Rajya Adhiniyan 1993(for preservation and maintenance of our monuments. d.Khajuraho Development Plan 2011

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Though no buffer zone is demarcated however there is a clear need to have monument specific bylaws with regard to street design, façade and height of the housing and their strict regulations etc.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

- a. A coordination committee under the chairmanship of District Collector has been constituted for the better up keep of the World Heritage property.
- b. The Town & Country Planning, Government of Madhya Pradesh on June 1995, has framed a Khajuraho Development plan.

Comment

A management module perfected over years exists at the site which incorporate traditional and customary management practices.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Management plan has not been framed as yet. However the site referred is being managed through a management module within the legal frame of existing Archaeological Acts and amendments.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer

zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The management system in place is sensitive to the immediate demands of the world heritage property and liaisons' with the local stakeholders for optimum management of the site. However in long terms an integrated management plan (IMP) is envisaged.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

A significant change has been brought out by bringing an amendment to the AMASR Act 1958. titled as Amendment and validate Act 2010.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No international assistance has been requested for the site.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The infrastructure at the site is to be further upgraded. However the management can generate sufficient financial resources when required.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	29%
Part-time	71%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	29%
Seasonal	71%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	00%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Research, conservation and community outreach program are being carryout with full vigor. However the local skills cannot be sustained permanently due to the seasonal nature of work.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management

needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

a. World Heritage Series Guide book titled KHAJURAO published by Archaeological Survey of India(ASI). b. Academic research has been undertaken and a concept plan has been framed for visitor facilitation and its cultural impact assessment is being carried out. www.asi.nic.in

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Random research has been conducted on the World Heritage Property with special focus on art , architectural and iconography including the historicity of the site. However not much work has been done on the property vis a vis its World Heritage Listing.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Not provided but needed
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The site is academically known for its art and architecture. However it being a World Heritage site has to be marketed across the section of people in India.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The visitor statistics monitored through the tickets sold at all India level and visitor book is kept at the site to record the suggestion and comments of visitors.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

At present no visitor management plan is in place and fee collected is not plough back into the management of the site directly. However an ASI-NCF-IOF, a public private partnership project, has been envisaged which would address the visitor management and other related facilities at the site.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

No specific comments have been made by the committee however the ICOMOS during its nomination had recommended that the property be protected in its entirety after the definition of a wide perimeter.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Within the existing management system the property is being monitored aptly.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	No	As per the AMASR ACT 1958 and subsequent amendment the Site Manager (ASI) is regularly monitoring unauthorised construction activities and local authorities are approached for implementing the same. A National Monument Authority is constituted.	Archaeological Survey of India and Local administration.	As per the provisions prescribed in the Act.	Archaeological Survey of India.	Active coordination is required amongst the stakeholders.
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not come under the purview of site management.
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure	No	The authorities have been approached to have no fly zone over the world heritage site. However Induced vibration studies have been carried out to monitor the effect of the air flight over the property.	Archaeological Survey of India and Director General of Civil Aviation.	N/A	Archaeological Survey of India and Director General of Civil Aviation.	More cooperation is required amongst the concern agency.
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	No	As per the AMASR ACT 1958 and subsequent amendment the Site Manager (ASI) is regularly monitoring unauthorised construction activities and local authorities are approached for implementing the same. A National Monument Authority is constituted.	Archaeological Survey of India.	N/A	Archaeological Survey of India and various departments of State Government of Madhya Pradesh.	More cooperation is required amongst the stakeholders.
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.5	Solid waste	No	N/A	Local authorities.	N/A	Local authorities.	The local inhabitants need to be educated on the solid waste disposal and the local authority also be motivated to have an awareness campaign and create appropriate infrastructure for collecting and disposing the solid waste.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.3	Temperature	No	Appropriate plantation has been done to minimise the effect.	Archaeological Survey of India.	N/A	Archaeological Survey of India(Horticulture Branch)	Since the property is located in semi arid zone and temperature fluctuation is a natural phenomenon.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones
--

		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	Additional land has been acquired around the property which is being fenced for developing the ambience.	ongoing	Archaeological Survey of India, Local govt, Nagar panchayat.	Fencing is required to preempt any encroachments and to get the site integrated.
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	Need to be improved.	N/A	ASI, other stakeholders and NGOs.	Only the ASI, the major stakeholder is undertaking awareness programmes however other stakeholder also need to create awareness with regard to the management and upkeep of the world heritage property.
4.6.4	World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities	Broad based education programs are carried out by different agencies both formal and informal.	ongoing	ASI, Dept of Culture, Dept of Tourism, etc	Need to be made more focused.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The overall integrity and authenticity of the property has been sustained however there is potential threat to the property as listed in the periodical report which could be countered by creating a wide base awareness on the concept of world heritage and the obligations of the state party among the stakeholders.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The world heritage status for the property has a potential for a greater impact in the region which needs an integrated and functional management plan, addressing the sensitivities of the property among the stakeholders.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Others

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The periodical reporting formate need to be region specific in tune with the socio-economic and political conditions. However the format is apt in addressing the major issues.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: Statement of significance is being revalidated by making a retrospective statement of outstanding universal value which has been submitted to the world heritage centre by the state party.

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: According to the Retrospective Inventory project, the State Party will be submitting the required Maps along with the details regarding Clarification of Boundaries.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

The periodical reporting exercise reviews in detail the positive, negative and potential issues affecting the site which need to be closely monitored for the effective management of the world heritage property in accordance to the operational guidelines for the implementation of the world heritage convention. The data would be an effective tool to judge the parameters periodically to monitor the health of the world heritage property so that preventive measures can be adopted.