

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Imperial Palaces of the Ming and Qing Dynasties in Beijing and Shenyang

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

•China

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

439bis

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1987, 2004

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
The Imperial Palace of the Ming and Qing Dynasties in Beijing	39.5 / 116.267	?	?	0	1987
The Imperial Palace of the Qing Dynasty in Shenyang	0 / 0	?	?	0	2004
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

The Imperial Palace of the Qing Dynasty in Beijing North latitude 39°54'59", east Longitude 116°23'27" 72 1377 1449 1987 The Imperial Palace of the Qing Dynasty in Shenyang North latitude 41°47'39"-41°47'49", east Longitude 123°26'49"-123°27'03" 12.93 153.1 166.03 2004

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing the Imperial Palace in Beijing	29/12/1986	
The protection scope and construction control zone of the imperial palace of the Qing Dynasty in Shenyang	22/01/2003	

Comment

The retrospective inventory is under preparation and will be submitted before 1 Feb, 2012.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Beijing Municipal Administration of Cultural Heritage Kong Fanchi Address 36,Fuxue Hutong, Dongcheng District, Beijing China Telephone 86 10 6405 0203 Fax 86 10 6402 8060 - 8819 Email wenbaochu@126.com Liaoning Provincial Department of Culture Wu Yanliang Address 48, Lane House Marshal, Shen He District, Shenyang, Lianning Province, China China Telephone 86 24 2485 4046 Fax 86 24 2486 9072 Email lnwenwu@163.com

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

•Zheng Xinmiao
The Palace Museum (Beijing)

Comment

The Palace Museum (Beijing) Zheng Xinmiao Address "4 Jingshan Qianjie, Dongcheng District Beijing, China" China Telephone 86 10 85007391 Fax 86 10 85007394 Email palacemuseum@yahoo.com.cn The Imperial Palace Museum (Shenyang) Sun Qiren Address "171, Shenyang Road, Shen He District, Shenyang, Lianning Province, China" China Telephone 86 24 2486 3082 Fax 86 24 2484 4192 Email qirensun@163.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in
[panophotographies](http://panophotographies.com) - 360 x 180 degree images

Comment

www.dpm.org.cn

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

International symposium on the concepts and practices of conservation and restoration of historic buildings in East Asia Xi'an declaration on the conservation of the setting of heritage structure, sites and areas Beijing memorandum on the conservation of Caihua in East Asia

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The retrospective statement of OUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Center for examination before February 1st, 2011.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

I. The Forbidden City, covering an area of 106 hectare, is the largest and best preserved ancient palace complex existing in the world. II. Some religious buildings are a testimony of the integration and exchange in architecture among the Manchu, Han, Mongolian and Tibetan. III. The over a million precious royal heritages and collections IV. The architectural space plan with a central axis, symmetrical design and the layout of the outer court in the front and the inner court at the rear.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The SOUV was not required nor submitted at the time of inscription.

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or
recommendations related to Statement of
Outstanding Universal Value**

It is not easy to make a concise and accurate summary of
OUV

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

none

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

		Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1		Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing							
3.1.2 Commercial development							
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2		Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.2.5 Underground transport infrastructure							
3.3		Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure							
3.3.4 Localised utilities							
3.3.5 Major linear utilities							
3.4		Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution							
3.4.3 Surface water pollution							
3.4.4 Air pollution							
3.7		Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind							
3.7.2 Relative humidity							
3.7.3 Temperature							
3.7.4 Radiation/light							
3.7.5 Dust							
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.7 Pests							
3.7.8 Micro-organisms							
3.8		Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9		Other human activities					
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.10		Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms							
3.10.6 Temperature change							
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts							
3.11		Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2 Earthquake							
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)							
3.12		Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
3.13		Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities							

					Name	Impact			Origin
3.13.3 Management activities									
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside		Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.1.2 Commercial development	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.2.5 Underground transport infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.3 Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4 Localised utilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.3.5 Major linear utilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4.3 Surface water pollution	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.4.4 Air pollution	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1 Wind	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	decreasing
3.7.2 Relative humidity	extensive	frequent	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.3 Temperature	extensive	frequent	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.4 Radiation/light	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7.5 Dust	extensive	on-going	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.7 Pests	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.7.8 Micro-organisms	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	widespread	on-going	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.9 Other human activities					
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.10.6 Temperature change	extensive	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.13 Management and institutional factors					
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

none

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

None

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Imperial Palace of the Ming and Qing Dynasties in Beijing has been declared a major national preservation of cultural relics by decree promulgated by the State Council of the PRC, in 1961. It is protected under the Constitution of the PRC, the Criminal Law of the PRC, the Cultural Relics Protection Law of the PRC, the Environment Protection Law of the PRC, and other relevant acts.

The Palace of the Qing Dynasty in Shenyang is owned by the central government and protected as a national cultural heritage site by the Law of the People's Republic of China on Protection of Cultural Relics (listed in 1961).

Comment

Supplement: Law of the people's republic of China on protection of cultural relics Regulations for the implementation of the law of the people's republic of China on protection of cultural relics Principles for the conservation of heritage sites in China China world cultural heritage management principles China world cultural heritage monitoring and checking principles International symposium on the concepts and practices of conservation and restoration of historic buildings in East Asia

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

None

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Palace Museum in Beijing is directly affiliated to the Ministry of Culture, and directly administered by the state.

All projects concerning refurbishing ancient buildings have to be examined and approved by the state office responsible for cultural relics before they can be performed.

The local authorities in charge of the daily management of the Shenyang Palace are the Shenyang City and the Shenyang Imperial Palace Museum.

The following plans have been approved and are being implemented:

- 2002-2005 Plan on ancient architecture maintenance projects using World Bank loans of Shenyang Imperial Palace.
- Plan on comprehensive environmental improvement in the vicinity of the Shenyang Imperial Palace Museum.
- Tourism development plan of Shenyang Imperial Palace.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Regulations for the management of the Palace Museum The Master Conservation Plan of the Palace Museum Master conservation plan for Qianlong garden Regulations for the protection of the imperial palace, Fu tomb and Zhao tomb in Qing dynasty in Shenyang

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

None

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

None

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	6%
Governmental (National / Federal)	94%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

not applicable

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

None

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

None

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Zheng Xinmiao, Conservation everlasting for the eternal gugong: written during the lead-up to China's first cultural heritage day. Palace Museum Journal, No.3, 2006 vol.125
<http://www.dpm.org.cn/shtml/360/@/18788.html> Jin Hongkui, The setting of Forbidden City and its protection, proceedings of 15th Icomos general assembly and scientific symposium, volume1, pp92, China,2005

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

No

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location** and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Strengthen the relationship between the museum function and heritage site

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

the related document is under preparation

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

No

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management

**needs and / or improving understanding of
Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated** programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

None

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

More up-to-date information of the monitoring field is needed, while some training and instructions would be very helpful.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	Some impact on the criteria 3 and 4: air pollution such as sulfur dioxide and total suspended particulates destroy the inner fabric of stone and some other materials.	Relevant sample analysis and experimental protection will be made in terms of the different materials. Measuring the parameters of the atmosphere and environment, establishing a database for monitoring the environment.	Establishing the monitoring system of the environment and routinizing inspection	2011-2015	The leading party: the Palace Museum	No comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.4	Radiation/light	Some impact on the criteria 3 and 4: ultraviolet radiation and visible light destroy the inner fabric of colored paintings and some other materials.	Measuring the parameters of the radiation and light, establishing monitoring database.	Establishing the monitoring system of the environment and routinizing inspection	2011-2015	The leading party: the Palace Museum	No comment
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	The values of the heritage site are partially compromised for its functions as a museum.	On the premise of scientifically protecting the site, strengthening management and using it in a sustainable manner.	Perfecting the management system	2011-2015	The leading party: the Palace Museum	No comment
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Some impact on the criteria 3 and 4: architectural elements are destroyed by human actions.	The number of visitors was controlled within 80,000 each day during 1-3 May, 2011. Group booking and visiting in different time are encouraged. Large number of visitors flow in the Forbidden City, two-visiting route will be turn to the single route.	Establishing flow control system	2011-2015	The leading party: the Palace Museum	No comment
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.6	Temperature change						
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts						

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Establishing the monitoring system for the species and routinizing inspection.	2011-2015	The leading party: the Palace Museum	No comment	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

No comment

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

no comment

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

no comment

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The retrospective statement of OUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Center for examination before February 1st, 2011.

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: The Imperial Palace of the Qing Dynasty in Beijing North latitude 39°54'59", east Longitude 116°23'27" 72 1377 1449 1987 The Imperial Palace of the Qing Dynasty in Shenyang North latitude 41°47'39"-41°47'49", east Longitude 123°26'49"-123°27'03" 12.93 153.1 166.03 2004

• Map(s)

Reason for update: The retrospective inventory is under preparation and will be submitted before 1 Feb, 2012.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or
recommendations related to the Assessment of the
Periodic Reporting exercise**

no comment