1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details State(s) Party(ies)

Ukraine

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1330

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2011

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans	48.297 / 25.925	8	244.85	252.85	2011
Total (ha)		8	244.85	252.85	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title		Link to source
Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans - map of inscribed property	29/06/2011	æ

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, Ministry of Culture of Ukraine.

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Stepan Melnychuk

Rector of Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivsti National University

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing) Comment

http://www.chnu.edu.ua/index.php?page=ua/zaginf/05%20une sco

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage(1972); International Charter for the Conservation and Restoration of Monuments and Sights(1964). Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (1985)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

Situated within the boundaries of the town of Chernivtsi, on the river promontory, named Mount Dominic, the architectural ensemble comprises the former Residence of the Metropolitans with its St. Ivan of Suceava Chapel; the former seminary and Seminary Church, and the former monastery with its clock tower within a garden and landscaped park. The Residence, with a dramatic fusion of architectural references, expresses the 19th century cultural identity of the Orthodox Church within the Austro-Hungarian Empire during a period of religious and cultural toleration. In the 19th century, historicist architecture could convey messages about its purpose and the Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans is an excellent example.

Criterion (ii): Chernivtsi architectural ensemble of the Residence of the Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans reflects social, economical and cultural influences on the development of architecture and urban planning since ancient times, the Middle Ages, absolutism and the Gruender period. The complex represents a version of 19th century historicist architecture and planning.

Criterion (iii): The Residence bears exceptional testimony to the cultural tradition of the Orthodox Church which is signified by the use of Byzantine forms for the domed cruciform church, while the decorative patterns, incorporated in the tiled roofs of the complex signify the folk culture of the people. The prosperous Bukovinian Metropolitanate with episcopacies on territories of Southern and Central Europe ceased to exist in 1940

Criterion (iv): The ensemble of the Residence, combining elements of national, Byzantine, Gothic and Baroque architecture, is an outstanding example of 19th century historicist architecture, design and planning, expressing the cultural identity of the Orthodox Church within the Austro-Hungarian Empire.

Integrity

The condition of integrity is satisfactory. The property includes within its boundary all elements necessary to express its cultural value and all of the components are adequately preserved.

Authenticity

The conditions of authenticity are generally adequate. The original shaped wooden ceiling of the Synod Hall was lost to fire in 1942 and was replaced in the 1950s. The roof has been gradually replaced using quality colour-glazed roof tiles manufactured according to the original patterns and imported from Austria. The change of function of the ensemble, initially being the Residence of Metropolitans and becoming a university did not unduly affect its authenticity.

Protection and management requirements

The Residence of the Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans was declared a National Park in 1945. The property on its 8 ha site was transferred to Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University under the Ministry of Education of Ukraine in 1955. The nominated property and its buffer zone are protected at both regional and national level by regulations and laws. A protection contract is signed annually with the Chernivtsi City Council, covering the responsibilities of the University to the property in terms of use and maintenance. The State funding "Comprehensive program on Preservation of historical architecture in Chernivtsi for 2009-2015" provides

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II-Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans

a basis for the systematic conservation and management of the property and for implementing protection measures in compliance with national standards for the protection of World Heritage sites. The General Development Plan for Chernivtsi gives main outlines for proper management of the property with special attention to the growth of tourist infrastructure as one of the major branches of the municipal economy. The outline Management Plan prepared in 1998 is to be reviewed every five years. A separate Tourism management plan is to be developed for the property to tackle the long-term consequences of the tourism pressure. A conservation plan will be developed for the gardens and park behind the Residence.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (ii): Chernivtsi architectural ensemble of the Residence of the Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans reflects social, economical and cultural influences on the development of architecture and urban planning since ancient times, the Middle Ages, absolutism and the Gruender period. The complex represents a version of 19th century historicist architecture and planning, Criterion (iii): The Residence bears exceptional testimony to the cultural tradition of the Orthodox Church which is signified by the use of Byzantine forms for the domed cruciform church, while the decorative patterns, incorporated in the tiled roofs of the complex signify the folk culture of the people. The prosperous Bukovinian Metropolitanate with episcopacies on territories of Southern and Central Europe ceased to exist in 1940. Criterion (iv): The ensemble of the Residence, combining elements of national, Byzantine, Gothic and Baroque architecture, is an outstanding example of 19th century historicist architecture, design and planning, expressing the cultural identity of the Orthodox Church within the Austro-Hungarian Empire.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The property includes within its boundary all elements necessary to express its cultural value and all of the components are adequately preserved.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	lmp	act		0	rigin
3.1	Buildings and Development				•	
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	0		Ą	9	•
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	0		A	9 (. C
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution				A	C
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)				A	C
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	0		A	9 (•
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	0		A	9 (•
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation			A	A	F
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake				A	F
3.11.6	Fire (widlfires)				9 (9 (5
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species				9 (9 (5
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	0		A	9 (•
3.13.3	Management activities	0			9	•
Legend	Current Potential Negative Positive Inside		C	Outs	ide	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	-	Management response	Trend
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status There is a buffer zone

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries of buffer area of the Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans are adequate for the maintenance of its outstanding universal value.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Residence of the Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans was declared a National Park in 1945. The property on its 8 ha site was transferred to Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University under the Ministry of Education of Ukraine in 1955. The nominated property and its buffer zone are protected at both regional and national level by regulations and laws.

Comment

http://whc.unesco.org/uploads/nominations/1330.pdf Pages 89-114

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in its implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Due to the absence of legislative regulation of the preservation of UNESCO cultural heritage in Ukraine, the Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans is protected in accordance with Ukraine's law "On Preservation of Cultural Heritage".

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

A protection contract is signed annually with the Chernivtsi City Council, covering the responsibilities of the University to the property in terms of use and maintenance. The State funding "Comprehensive program on Preservation of historical architecture in Chernivtsi for 2009-2015" provides a basis for the systematic conservation and management of the property and for implementing protection measures in compliance with national standards for the protection of World Heritage sites. The General Development Plan for Chernivtsi gives main outlines for proper management of the property with special attention to the growth of tourist infrastructure as one of the major branches of the municipal economy. The outline Management Plan prepared in 1998 is to be reviewed every five years. A separate Tourism management plan is to be developed for the property to tackle the long-term consequences of the tourism pressure. A conservation plan will be developed for the gardens and park behind the Residence.

Comment

A Center for the UNESCO Site Management has been established in Chernivtsi National University aiming at coordination, supply, planning and monitoring of the measures focused on effective management. The scientific and technical documents for the restoration and renovation work are regularly elaborated. Restoration and maintenance work is done according to the management plan of the object. Regeneration of the utility yard and the expansion of the green plantations are included into future plans.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available		Link to source
Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans property management plan	N/A	Available	29/06/2011	B

Comment

"Comprehensive program on Preservation of historical architecture in Chernivtsi for 2009-2015" (Approved by 35 session of city council decision V calling), Annual working plan, General plan Development of Chernivtsi, Management Plan 2013-2018

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is only partially being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The regulation system in action provides the defense of the Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans sufficiently, according to the existing legislation.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	2%
Governmental (National / Federal)	54%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	7%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	37%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

"Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans" has not received International Assistance from the World heritage Fund.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are not secure

Section II-Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

The World Heritage property does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The legislation of Ukraine does not cover complex financing for the World Heritage sites.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	30%
Part-time Part-time	70%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	10%
Volunteer	90%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium

Community outreach	Low	
Interpretation High		
Education	High	
Visitor management	High	
Conservation	High	
Administration	Medium	
Risk preparedness	Medium	
Tourism	High	
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium	

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The process of staff training to provide effective preservation of the object Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans is in progress and is being held on a satisfactory level.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for most key areas but there are gaps

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared with local partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Yuriy Fedkovych Chernivtsi National University The Residence of the Orthodox Metropolitans of Bukovyna and Dalmatia/ S.Melnychuk, T. Marusyk, M. Chuchko [and other]; CHNY. – Chernivtsi: Nashi Knygy, 2012 – p.142

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Considering that Chernivtsi National University is the teaching and research institution, scientific research and project are carried out on the appropriated level, in accordance with the fields of the department.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted but improvements could be made

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase

Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The monitoring of tourists carried out in accordance with University's regulation acts. Much work has been carried out in Chernivtsi National University for the correction and adaptation of the management plan for the UNESCO site considering demands for the tourism infrastructure development and improvements of excursion facilities.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II-Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans

Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

The recommendations World Heritage Committee formulated at 35 session, lie in the basis of the major principles of the site regulation.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

By the resolution of the University president, a working committee has been formed to examine the technical state of the object. The committee works every half year to thorough examine both the territory and the buildings.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	Cummary .	actors arresting	шетерен				
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation	Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	The University lacks parking spaces. Criteria and attributes are not affected.	to appear in the future.	By the resolution of the University president, a working committee has been formed to examine the technical state of the object.	Ongoing	Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University	This problem will be resolved in the nearest future.
3.8	Social/cultural	uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	There is a problem with a lack of tourist visits. Criteria and attributes are not affected.	popularization via conferences, seminars and other events. Free of charge excursions	By the resolution of the University president, a working committee has been formed to examine the technical state of the object.	Ongoing	Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University	The University assumes systematic measures for the property recognition and popularization via mass media, teaching staff and students, educational and public institutions.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Ma	nagement Syste	m / Management Plan			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.6	Few of the work plan activities implemented	A Center for the UNESCO Site Management has been established in Chernivtsi National University named after Yuriy Fedkovych aiming at coordination, supply, planning and monitoring of the measures focused on effective UNESCO property management.	Ongoing	Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University	Much work has been carried out in Chernivtsi National University named after Yuriy Fedkovych for the correction and adaptation of the management plan for the UNESCO site considering demands for the tourism infrastructure development.
4.4 Fir	ancial and Huma	n Resources			
4.4.3		Involvement of sponsors, patrons and charity funds will be planned in future.	Ongoing	Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University	The legislation of Ukraine does not cover complex financing for the World Heritage sites.
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	Involvement of sponsors, patrons and charity funds will be planned in future.	Ongoing	Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University	The legislation of Ukraine does not cover complex financing for the World Heritage sites.
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities				
4.5 Sc	ientific Studies a	nd Research Projects			
4.5.3	No active outreach of research results to national or international agencies	The University develops research and popularization via conferences, seminars and other events. Publications, monographs, tourist and information support will be set up in the nearest future.	Ongoing	Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University	In the nearest future the expansion of the inter-university relations and international cooperation within the framework of our innovative scientific, research directions can directly rely on the program of UNESCO Chairs.
4.7 Vis	sitor Managemen	t			
4.7.5	Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	Much work has been carried out for the correction and adaptation of the management plan for UNESCO property considering demands for the tourism infrastructure development and improvements of excursion facilities.		Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University	In the near future all the possibilities of close cooperation with the tourism industry will be studied.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The preservation of the Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans is carried out on a high level.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

ves

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

500 characters in comments are not enough for coverage details.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value		
Monitoring and reporting		
Management effectiveness		

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise