

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

L'viv – the Ensemble of the Historic Centre

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

• Ukraine

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

865bis

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998


### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Vysokyi Zamok and Pidzamche, Seredmistia , Ukraine	49.842 / 24.032	?	?	0	1998
Ensemble of St.Yuri – the Dragonfighter Church , Ukraine	49.839 / 24.014	?	?	0	1998
<b>Total (ha)</b>			<b>0</b>		

#### Comment

The boundaries of the property have been laid down on the digital topographical plans M 1: 2000 in coordinates relative to the city of Lviv and transformed into the coordinates WGS-84 (into grad and UTM-metre measurement system). This allowed detalizing the boundaries and measuring the accurate area: 865-001-142 ha, 865-002-8 ha (in total -150ha). We ask you to take into consideration this total area, as it is outlined by the boundaries described in the nomenclature issued in 1998.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Boundaries of the Historic Area of the City of Lviv	15/01/2008	

#### Comment

Digital topographical plans M 1: 2000 in coordinates relative to the city of Lviv with the indicated property were transformed into the coordinates WGS-84 (into grad and UTM-metre measurement system). This allowed detalizing the boundaries and measuring the accurate area of the property of the World's Haritage: 865-001-142 hectares, 865-002-8 hectares (in total -150hectares). The maps of the object was made in 2013 and transmitted to WHC in April 2014.

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Municipal authority - Lviv City Council, Ministry of Culture of Ukraine

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Andriy Sadovyy  
City Mayor of Lviv  
City Mayor of Lviv

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [All About L'viv](#)

#### Comment

The official portal of the Lviv City Council <http://city-adm.lviv.ua> The official touristic web-site of the city <http://lviv.travel>

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

The Venice Charter for the Conservation and Restoration of Monuments and Sites (1964), The Riga Charter on Authenticity and Historical Reconstruction in Relationship to Cultural Heritage (2000), Washington Charter for the conservation of historic towns and urban areas(1987), The European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage (Valetta,1992), Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (1985).

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted as inscribing the property on the World Heritage List in 1998, the changes cover the specification of the total area outlined by the boundaries at which the property was inscribed. The maps with the specification of the total area were devised in 2013.Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was transmitted to WHC in April 2014.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(v)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(II) – The synthesis of architectural and artistic traditions of the Eastern Europe with Italian and German traditions. The most historical and architectural monuments of Ukraine are situated in Ukraine. The special artistic value is represented by the architectural esembles. (V) – The favourable geographic position of Lviv for trade and political development. The co-existence of different ethnical and religious groups. The unique urban architectural land scape.

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement should not be revised. The specification of the total area, nominated in 1998, is submitted.

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Office of Preservation of Historical Heritage of the Lviv City Council submitted the maps with the delimitation of

boundaries and total area of the property for approval of the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine. After this they were transmitted to WHC in April 2014.

### **3. Factors Affecting the Property**

#### **3.14. Other factor(s)**

##### **3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing		⊖		🚩		🌿	
3.1.2	Commercial development		⊖	🚩	🚩		🌿	
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕		🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕		🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure		⊖	🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		⊖	🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>							
3.3.4	Localised utilities		⊖	🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.2	Relative humidity		⊖	🚩		🏠	🌿	
3.7.3	Temperature		⊖	🚩		🏠	🌿	
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)		⊖	🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	⊕		🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage		⊖		🚩	🏠		
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		⊖	🚩	🚩	🏠		
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	⊕	⊖	🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>							
3.9.1	Illegal activities		⊖	🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage		⊖	🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>							
3.10.6	Temperature change		⊖	🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)		⊖		🚩	🏠	🌿	
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>							
3.13.3	Management activities	⊕		🚩	🚩	🏠	🌿	
<b>Legend</b>	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	🏠 Inside	🌿 Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>				
3.1.2	restricted	frequent	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>				
3.2.1	extensive	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.2.4	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>				
3.3.4	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>				
3.7.2	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.6	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>				

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	extensive	frequent	significant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

The rich architectural ensemble is affected by the considerable impact of variable natural phenomena.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries of the buffer zone correspond to the boundaries, determined at the moment of acceptance to the object on the World's Heritage List. In 2013 the specification of the total area of the object in coordinates of Lviv, delimited by the nomenclature of 1998, was made.

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

An area of 3000ha, with the 120ha of the nominated property at its centre, was designated as a National Historical and Architectural Reserve on 12 June 1975 by Resolution No 297 of the UkrSSR Council of Ministers, under the provisions of the 1970 Law on Monuments of History and Culture. It is further covered by the 1978 UkrSSR Law on the Preservation and Use of Monuments of History and Culture. The designated Reserve includes 2007 historic monuments: 214 of

these have been designated National Landmarks by resolution of the Council of Ministers (209 of these are located within the nominated area) and the remainder have been declared as being of local importance by resolution of the Oblast Administration. This legislation imposes strict controls on any activities proposed within the protected area which may have an adverse impact on the qualities for which it was designated.

Le bien comprend des composantes distinctes dont les délimitations sont bien définies:

- L'ensemble de la Ville médiévale qui est composé de: (a) la colline avec le Haut château (Visoki zamok); (b) la zone qui l'entoure (Pidzamtche) et (c) Ville moyenne (La Seredmista);
- L'ensemble du monastère „St. Yura”.

Les documents législatifs concernant le bien:

- Loi sur la préservation du patrimoine culturel (2000) et une série de lois d'amendement et d'avenants à la Loi, qui modifient l'ancienne Loi sur la préservation des monuments de la culture en République socialiste soviétique d'Ukraine (1993);
- Loi sur l'amendement de quelques actes législatifs en Ukraine pour la préservation du patrimoine culturel (N° 2518-VI du 9/09/2010). Des amendements ont été apportés à quelques lois existantes:
  - o Au Code d'Ukraine de délits administratifs: de nouvelles prérogatives sont données aux réserves historico-culturelles pour pouvoir imposer des amendes pour les infractions commises sur les territoires des réserves et dans leurs zones de protection;
  - o Au Code foncier d'Ukraine: le rôle des zones de protection et des régimes en vigueur dans ces zones sont définis; l'infraction de ces régimes entraîne des sanctions;
  - o À la loi sur la préservation du patrimoine culturel: un nouvel acteur dans le système de gestion est créé – „les administrations des réserves historico-culturelles”, avec un rôle pour «assurer la préservation du régime» des réserves; pour prendre des mesures de protection et de préservation des sites, y compris contre les infractions; pour participer à l'installation de publicités sur le territoire des réserves et de leurs zones de protection.
- Loi sur la préservation du patrimoine architectural (2004);
- Loi sur les fondements de l'urbanisme (1992);
- Loi sur l'activité architecturale (1999);
- Loi sur la réglementation de l'urbanisme (2011), qui modifie réellement l'actuel système de préservation du patrimoine culturel (voir la suite);
- Arrêté du gouvernement (N° 318 du 13.03.2002) qui adopte la manière d'établir les délimitations et les régimes d'utilisation des aires historiques au sein des localités, ainsi que les restrictions des activités économiques sur les aires en question. Les Aires historiques réglementées par la Loi sur la préservation du patrimoine culturel (2000) sont approuvées par le Ministère de la culture sur la base de «Plans de base historiques et architecturaux» pour les territoires respectifs. Le document exige aussi de présenter des «justifications historiques et architecturales» avant chaque intervention dans l'aire historique. Ces Justifications prévoient des restrictions pour la nouvelle construction;
- Décret du Président d'Ukraine (2011) qui transfère vers la sphère de gestion du Ministère de la culture toutes les réserves qui sont propriété d'Etat et qui relèvent actuellement d'autres organes centraux du pouvoir exécutif;
- Arrêtés du Ministère de la culture relatifs aux dispositions des «justifications historiques et architecturales»;
- Décision du Conseil municipal de Lviv (N° 1311/09.12.2005) approuvant les délimitations de l'Aire historique et les règles locales de bâtir.

**Comment**

The territory of the object include: 401 monuments of architecture and urban building (including buildings which are also historical monuments), 10 monuments of history and monumental art, 1 archaeological monument, 2 monuments of landscape architecture. The territory of the city of Lviv include 2487 monuments, in particular: 2088 monuments of architecture and urban building, 11 archaeological monuments, 293 historical monuments, 87 monuments of monumental art, 8 monuments of landscape architecture

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

The responsibility for the resources of ensuring the adherence to law and managing of the property of the World's Heritage lays on the Central State Body for preservation of cultural heritage (Ministry of Culture of Ukraine). The municipality lacks responsibilities and financial resources for ensuring the proper maintenance and management of the object.

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

The entire nominated property is state-owned. Overall supervision is the responsibility of the Directorate for the Protection and Restoration of Architectural Monuments of the National Committee on Urban Building and Architecture of Ukraine. At local level management is devolved to the L'viv Oblast State Administration and the L'viv City Executive

Committee, the latter working through its Directorate for the Protection of the Historic Environment. The overall General Programme of Regional Development has as one of its main features the functional reorientation of L'viv: it will be converted from a basically industrial city into a centre for tourism, culture, and education. This programme involves the creation of zones in the historic centre to attract foreign investment, and hence rehabilitation and restoration of historic buildings and upgrading of the infrastructure. Public utilities are to be privatized, thereby providing an additional source of funding for restoration work.

Le cadre institutionnel du système de gestion comprend les organes suivants de préservation à trois niveaux administratifs.

Au niveau central

Le Ministère de la culture – l'organe central de préservation selon la Loi sur la préservation du patrimoine culturel en vigueur. Après la réforme administrative de 2011 qui a supprimé «Le Service d'Etat pour le patrimoine culturel national», un «Département du patrimoine culturel et des biens culturels» a été créé au sein du Ministère.

Au niveau régional

Une Direction de la préservation du patrimoine culturel auprès de l'administration régionale (aux effectifs d'une dizaine de personnes), qui relève du gouverneur de la région.

Au niveau municipal

Une Direction de protection de l'environnement historique (aux effectifs de 17 personnes) qui fait partie du Département d'urbanisme au sein de la Municipalité et qui relève du maire de Lviv. Cette direction remplit des fonctions au niveau régional dans le domaine du patrimoine culturel sur l'Aire historique. Elle exerce également un suivi du respect des régimes dans les zones aux monuments historiques de portée locale; elle élabore des données initiales pour les constructions à venir sur l'Aire historique (des conditions urbanistiques et techniques, des restrictions à la construction, des cahiers des charges), sur la base des «justifications historiques et architecturales» approuvées par le Ministère de la culture.

Les Organisations non gouvernementales (le Comité national de l'ICOMOS; la Société ukrainienne de protection des monuments de l'histoire et de la culture – sa filiale Lviv; la Fondation "Préservation du patrimoine historique et architectural de Lviv"; l'Union des architectes; l'Union des artistes; Association des amis de Lviv etc.) et les organisations de la société civile des habitants font preuve d'une importante activité.

**Comment**

The object is managed by the Office of Preservation of Historical Heritage of the Lviv City Council. The plan of zoning of the object is to be completed in 2014. The implementation of the first stage of the development of basic historic-architectural plan of the territory of the object is planned. The complex strategy of the development of Lviv is acting for the years 2012-2025. There are state building norms and regulations and heritage protecting legislature.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

The law of Ukraine "About preservation of cultural heritage", The Program of social and economic development of the city, The Integrated conception of the development of the central part of Lviv.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /**

**municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Poor

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Department of the Historical Heritage Preservation of the Lviv City Council manages the World Heritage property.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective**

measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	20%
Governmental (National / Federal)	1%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	73%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	1%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	5%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

Was not provided

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

The funding of the local budget is not sufficient for the maintenance of the site in the proper condition.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The co-workers of the Department, who currently work on the management of the property, undergo various professional development trainings in heritage management and preservation.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

The Functions of Social Spaces of Lviv, the Development in Time and Space, p.54-62// The experience and perspectives of the development of the cities of Ukraine. The numeric aspects of city development, №24/Kyiv 2013/Dipromisto, p.172. ; The Preservation and Protection of Historical Silhouettes of the City. The Scientific Report of the LNTU of Ukraine, № 23.9. Lviv 2013, p.09-73

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

Published and circulated: Lviv–UNESCO Heritage, the Last Hidden Pearl of Europe(2013), The Tourism Products of Lviv(2012), The Guide on City Space Planning(2011) The Integrated Conception of the Inner City(2011), The Program of Revitalization of Lviv – Pidzamche for 2012-2025(2011) The documentation of international competitions(2011,2013), The Procedure of Placement of Signs 2011

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor



**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Adequate

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

The information centers for visitors function on the territory of the property. The plaques with the emblems of the World heritage and historical document have been fixed on the 36 monuments. The co-workers of the city council lead numerous awareness programs. There are guides for property and buffer zone preservation (the guide for city planning, the rules of conduct in the historical area).

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

The Program of the Development of the Tourism Sector of the City (approved by the Regulation of the City Council dated 10.03.2011 № 243). City, Overcountry and International Program of Promotion (approved by the Regulation of the City Council dated 16.02.2012 № 1263), <http://touristinfo.lviv.ua/uk/b2bProgram>

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

The methodology of visitors calculation: the state statistical reports, sociological surveys and marketing researches of the participants of the tourism market, consultations, involving international experts, [http://www.city-institute.org/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=253:2012-04-02-13-40-34&catid=14:2011-02-15-08-55-28&Itemid=221](http://www.city-institute.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=253:2012-04-02-13-40-34&catid=14:2011-02-15-08-55-28&Itemid=221)

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined **but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Poor

NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

Recommendations of the World Heritage Committee are being implemented by the municipality within the limits of its authority.

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

The municipality conducts constant monitoring for taking decisions about revitalization and restoration of the property.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
<b>3.1.2</b>	<b>Commercial development</b>	Unique urban architectural landscape, preservation of architectural monuments	Setting limits for the height of buildings on the stage of historical and city development grounding, the priority works on preservation and conservation of separate architectural and artistic elements	Current monitoring	constantly	Municipality, NGOs, International Council on Monuments and Sites	Providing recommendations and demands on preservation of historical landscape and skyline of the city. In 2010 the Master Plan of Lviv was developed and adopted. The plan of zoning of the territory of the administrative districts is being developed
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
<b>3.2.1</b>	<b>Ground transport infrastructure</b>	Technical condition of the architectural monument	Building parking lots, transport junctions, road replacement, circular highroads, broadening the network of electric transport, creation of 5 pedestrian zones, bicycling infrastructure	constant	constant	Municipality, NGOs	The research of transport flows, arrangement of bus network, placing limitations for driving private automobile transport in the central part of the city
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
<b>3.3.4</b>	<b>Localised utilities</b>	Unique urban architectural landscape, technical condition of the architectural monument	The restoration of outer and inner sewerage systems, limitation of fixing communications and satcom antennas, mobile phone towers, Initial works on preservation and conservation or separate architectural and artistic elements	constantly	constantly	Municipality	During road repairs the utility networks are complexly replaced
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
<b>3.7.2</b>	<b>Relative humidity</b>	The preservation of architectural monuments	Supporting the optimal level of humidity inside the buildings by waterproofing, ventilation, heat conditioning, careful restoration of authentic windows, stonework, mural paintings	Periodic	At the time of research conducting	Owners, users, municipality	The local programs on renovation and restoration of authentic windows and gates of buildings, restoration of stonework, balconies and facades
<b>3.7.6</b>	<b>Water (rain/water table)</b>	The preservation of architectural monuments	Repair and restoration of roofs, gutters, utility networks (water conduits and collectors)	current	annually	Owners, users, municipality	The repair and restoration works are being conducted with the aim of maintenance of monuments in good conditions
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
<b>3.8.5</b>	<b>Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community</b>	The amount of the ethnical groups with different cultural and religious traditions which constitute different, but interconnected communities of the city – the preservation of the housing function of the inner city	Balancing the migration processes, creating the societies of homeowners, the improvement of communal services, the realization of the municipal program of social and economic development	current	annually	District municipal administrations	For social cohesion of citizens the different cultural and sporting events and local programs of social care are conducted

## 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>
--

		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.4.3	<b>The budget is inadequate for management needs</b>	There are the Complex City Development Strategy 2012-2025, the Concept of Integrated Development of Downtown, the Program of Socio-Economic Development, some projects are implemented - restoration of balconies, gates, sculptures, upgrading infrastructure	annually	Municipal authorities	Planning annual state funding as well as attracting grants and international technical assistance is necessary
4.4.4	<b>Existing sources of funding are not secure</b>	Diversification of funding sources is required	annually	municipal authorities, central authority of cultural heritage protection, economic entities, special funds, international organizations	In addition to planned annual funding from local and state budgets, attracting economic entities, special funds, international technical assistance and grants is necessary
4.4.12	<b>Human resources inadequate for management needs</b>	increasing the number of personnel of the governing body	2014-2015	municipal authorities	increasing the number of personnel is necessary for the enhancing of administrative activity

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

For improvement of the condition of the property the annual target funding from the state budget is necessary.

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Not applicable
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

The World Heritage property is in good condition.

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

Data in the questionnaire is not structured for the different types of properties. More detailed comment to the content of the paragraphs should be provided.

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very poor

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

**Most** of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	None
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Unsatisfactory
Advisory Bodies	None

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted as inscribing the property on the World Heritage List in 1998, the changes cover the specification of the total area outlined by the boundaries at which the property was inscribed. The maps with the specification of the total area were devised in 2013. Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was transmitted to WHC in April 2014.

**• Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: The boundaries of the property have been laid down on the digital topographical plans M 1: 2000 in coordinates relative to the city of Lviv and transformed into the coordinates WGS-84 (into grad and UTM-metre measurement system). This allowed detalizing the boundaries and measuring the accurate area: 865-001-142 ha, 865-002-8 ha (in total -150ha). We ask you to take into consideration this total area, as it is outlined by the boundaries described in the nomenclature issued in 1998.

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: Digital topographical plans M 1: 2000 in coordinates relative to the city of Lviv with the indicated property were transformed into the coordinates WGS-84 (into grad and UTM-metre measurement system). This allowed detalizing the boundaries and measuring the accurate area of the property of the World's Haritage: 865-001-142 hectares, 865-002-8 hectares (in total -150hectares). The maps of the object was made in 2013 and transmitted to WHC in April 2014.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**

The periodic report was worked out by the structural bodies of Lviv City Councils. Consultations and recommendations were provided by the National Focal Point.