

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Catalan Romanesque Churches of the Vall de Boí

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Spain

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

988

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2000


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Eglésia de Sant Feliu, Barruera , Catalunya , Spain	42.505 / 0.804	1.28	0	1.28	2000
Eglésia de Sant Joan de Boí, Boí , Catalunya , Spain	42.528 / 0.834	0.88	0	0.88	2000
Eglésia de Santa Maria, Taüll , Catalunya , Spain	42.517 / 0.851	1.73	0	1.73	2000
Eglésia de Sant Climent, Taüll , Catalunya , Spain	42.517 / 0.85	0	0	0	2000
Eglésia de Santa Maria de l'Assumpció, Coll , Catalunya , Spain	42.443 / 0.746	0.46	0	0.46	2000
Eglésia de Santa Maria, Cardet , Catalunya , Spain	42.484 / 0.777	0.39	0	0.39	2000
Eglésia de la Nativitat, Durro , Catalunya , Spain	42.503 / 0.822	2.01	0	2.01	2000
Ermítage de Sant Quirc, Durro , Catalunya , Spain	42.502 / 0.824	0.03	0	0.03	2000
Eglésia de Santa Eulàlia, Erill la Vall , Catalunya , Spain	42.53 / 0.832	1.2	0	1.2	2000
Total (ha)		7.98	0	7.98	

Comment

Les coordonnées sont légèrement différentes à celles établies précédemment et la surface de chaque bien individuel devrait être mise à jour. Etant donnée la quantité de données, on enverra les coordonnées corrigées dans un CD apart. Par ailleurs, même s'il existe une zone de protection individualisée pour chaque bien, la zone tampon du bien en série inscrit sur la Liste du Patrimoine Mondial est commune à tous les biens individuels.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Catalan Romanesque Churches of the Vall de Boí - maps of inscribed property	02/12/2000	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Laura de Miguel Riera
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico

- Esther Rodríguez
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Cristina Castellà Figuera
Consortio Patrimonio Mundial de la Vall de Boí. Centro del Románico de la Vall de Boí
Técnica del Consortio Patrimonio Mundial de la Vall de Boí

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [La Vall de Boí. Patrimoni de la Humanitat \(only in Spanish\)](#)
2. [La Vall de Boí \(spanish only\)](#)
- 3.

Comment

Il faudrait remplacer les données existantes par les suivantes :
<http://www.centreromanic.com/>
<http://www20.gencat.cat/portal/site/Patrimoni/menuitem.6a2dec9a300f68a8cd0181dfb0c0e1a0/?vgnextoid=ae9f4a3876b0110VgnVCM1000000b0c1e0aRCRD&vgnnextchannel=ae9f4a3876b0110VgnVCM1000000b0c1e0aRCRD&vgnnextfmt=default>
<http://www.mcu.es/patrimonio/MC/PatrimonioMundial/BienesD ec/ListadoBienes/IglesiasRomanicasCatalanas.html>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

La Déclaration de valeur universelle exceptionnelle a été présentée par l'État partie en 2012 et elle doit être examinée par l'ICOMOS.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(ii) Influences lombardes sur l'architecture et le décor sculptural : 1. Le plan des églises : basilical ou d'une nef aux absides semicirculaires. 2. Le soigneux travail en pierre visible sur les parements des murs. 3. Les tours-clochers à plan carré. 4. Le décor sculptural avec des frises crénelées, arcatures aveugles et bandes lombardes. Peintures murales à Sant Climent de Taüll. (iv) L'utilisation ininterrompue des églises par la communauté dans un cadre architecturale presque intact.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.2	Relative humidity								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.9	Other human activities								
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
3.11.2	Earthquake								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
3.13	Management and institutional factors								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

L'impact potentiel des infrastructures de transport de surface concerne uniquement un des neuf éléments du bien en série, l'église de Santa Eulàlia à Erill la Vall.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

All the churches enjoy full protection under state, regional, and local heritage laws and other provisions. The villages and individual buildings are covered by strict town and country planning provisions, regulating such matters as the height, roof-line, and building materials of new constructions and renovations, which ensures that the settings of the churches are preserved intact. In general, provision seems to be about as all-embracing as possible or desirable.

The relevant statute is the Cultural Heritage Law (No 9/93) of the Generalitat of Catalunya, which protects both historic

monuments and ensembles and historic and archaeological areas. Part of the valley, six of the villages (including the defences of Boí but not the village of Taüll), and all the churches are protected in this way. The historic and artistic heritage of the valley has been catalogued: the documentation and record of the architecture and art are excellent.

In addition, provisions are in place not merely to try to prevent bad consequences of tourism but positively to promote "good tourism." The main agreement is the Programme of Tourist Excellence in the Vall de Boí. It is recognized in the nomination that the single most serious threat to the integrity of the valley would be the release of mass visiting, and the intention is to prevent this happening. A document on tourism development strategies states that these must be compatible with the objectives of the protection and conservation of natural and cultural resources.

Comment

La protection du site est assurée par la Loi 9/1993, du 30 septembre, du patrimoine culturel catalan, dans le cadre de laquelle les neuf biens individuels inscrits ainsi que six des villages qui les accueillent sont classés dans la catégorie de protection plus haute. En outre, les « Normes subsidiaires de planification dans la commune de la Vall de Boí » adoptées en 2000 constituent le cadre pour l'aménagement urbain dans le territoire où s'inscrit le bien du patrimoine mondial.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Mayor of the Vall de Boí is the all-important local figure in terms of decision-taking, implementation, and monitoring. Both the regional government and the Generalitat of Catalunya, particularly its Department of Culture, also play a direct role in terms of policy generation and implementation, finance, planning, and tourism. Within the surrounding landscape management of the countryside is on the whole by the local farmers continuing to farm their land in the traditional manner. Planning control is strict outside the villages, in effect prohibiting new development, and there is not available land for sale.

Comment

Plan de gestion présenté lors de la candidature. Création du Consortium Patrimoine Mondial de la Vall de Boí (Gouvernement de Catalogne, province de Lleida, comarca Alta Ribagorça, municipalité Vall de Boí, diocèses d'Urgell et de Lleida), qui assure la gestion directe du bien. Loi 9/1993 du patrimoine culturel catalan établit les procédures pour l'autorisation de travaux dans le bien. Normes subsidiaires de planification dessinent le cadre pour l'aménagement urbain dans le territoire.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Loi 9/1993 du patrimoine culturel catalan. Normes subsidiaires de planification dans la commune de la Vall de Boí (dernière modification 2005). Statuts du Consortium Patrimoine Mondial de La Vall de Boí. Plan de gestion de l'Ensemble Roman Catalan de La Vall de Boí.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists **despite an identified need**

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair

Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

À propos du point 4.3.6, il y avait un plan de travail annuel jusqu'à une date récente, mais les derniers se sont révélés inutiles face aux coupes budgétaires. À propos du point 4.3.10 il faut noter qu'il n'y a pas de secteur industriel dans la zone du bien.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	29%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	4%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	36%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	31%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

Il n'y a pas eu d'Assistance Internationale du Fonds du Patrimoine Mondial.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	45%
Part-time	55%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	68%
Seasonal	32%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Non-existent
Promotion	Non-existent
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Non-existent

Education	Non-existent
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Not available
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Not available
Education	Not available
Visitor management	Not available
Conservation	Not available
Administration	Not available
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Le bien est géré par du personnel local ayant les compétences techniques et il n'y a pas besoin de plan ou programme de développement du savoir faire local.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

AAVV 2009. La Vall de Boí, mil anys d'art romànic, Llibres de Matrícula. CAMPS, J, DECTOT, X. (coord.) 2004. Obres mestres del romànic. Escultures de la vall de Boí, Barcelona: MNAC. CASTIÑEIRAS, M., CAMPS, J. 2008. El romànic a les col·leccions del MNAC, Barcelona: MNAC i Lunweg Edicions (expo MNAC - Musée de Cluny). PAGÈS, M. 2002. "Noves pintures a Sant Climent de Taüll", Butlletí del MNAC, 5.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed

Other	Not needed
-------	------------

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Au point 4.6.4, il faut dire que même si le statut de patrimoine mondial a eu une incidence importante, cela pourrait être amélioré.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Pour le point 4.7.1 il faut signaler que la faible augmentation du nombre de visiteurs il y a trois ans est le fruit de la campagne menée entre décembre 2010 et novembre 2011 à l'occasion du 10ème anniversaire de l'inscription sur la Liste du patrimoine mondial.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.6	No annual work / action plan exists	On travaille pour améliorer la situation économique, ce qui permettrait de faire un plan de travail fiable.	À partir de 2015.	Consortium Patrimoine Mondial de La Vall de Boí.	Sans commentaires.
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs	Hausse des tarifs d'entrée, quête de financement, une nouvelle muséographie grâce aux nouvelles technologies à Sant Climent de Taüll, pour attirer le public.	2014, 2015	Consortium Patrimoine Mondial de La Vall de Boí.	Sans commentaires.
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	La source essentielle de financement étant les visites, les actions sont les mêmes que pour le point précédent.	2014, 2015	Consortium Patrimoine Mondial de La Vall de Boí.	Sans commentaires.
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Dès que la situation budgétaire remonte, on adaptera les ressources humaines aux besoins de la gestions.	À partir de 2015.	Consortium Patrimoine Mondial de La Vall de Boí.	Sans commentaires.
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	La disponibilité de ressources et de personnel suffisant permettrait d'améliorer le programme d'éducation et sensibilisation.	Inconnu.	Consortium Patrimoine Mondial de la Vall de Boí.	On ne peut pas prévoir quand la situation économique sera meilleure.
4.6.5	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	Incorporation immédiate aux visites guidées, au site web et à la prochaine réédition des brochures informatives.	2014-2015.	Consortium Patrimoine Mondial de la Vall de Boí.	Pas de commentaires.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very poor
State Party Representative	Very poor
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: La Déclaration de valeur universelle exceptionnelle a été présentée par l'État partie en 2012 et elle doit être examinée par l'ICOMOS.

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Les coordonnées sont légèrement différentes à celles établies précédemment et la surface de chaque bien individuel devrait être mise à jour. Etant donnée la quantité de données, on enverra les coordonnées corrigées dans un CD apart. Par ailleurs, même s'il existe une zone de protection individualisée pour chaque bien, la zone tampon du bien en série inscrit sur la Liste du Patrimoine Mondial est commune à tous les biens individuels.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise