

1. World Heritage Property Data**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Royal Monastery of Santa María de Guadalupe

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Spain

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

665


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1993

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Royal Monastery of Santa María de Guadalupe	39.453 / -5.327	1.1	43.65	44.75	1993
Total (ha)		1.1	43.65	44.75	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Royal Monastery of Santa Maria de Guadalupe - Map of the inscribed property	01/12/2011	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Laura de Miguel Riera
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Esther Rodríguez
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Javier Cano Ramos
Dirección General de Patrimonio Cultural de la Junta de Extremadura
Director del Centro Cultural de Bienes Culturales

Comment

Javier Cano Ramos Director del Centro de Conservación y Restauración de Bienes Culturales Avda. Valhondo, s/n Edificio III Milenio, Módulo 4, 2ª planta 06800 Mérida Spain
Téléphone: +34 924 00 83 94 Email: josejavier.cano@gobex.es

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**Comment**

<http://www.monasterioguadalupe.com/>
<http://www.mcu.es/patrimonio/MC/PatrimonioMundial/BienesD ec/ListadoBienes/SantaMariaGuadalupe.html>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value****2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance****Comment**

Approved in Decision 38 COM.8E (2014).

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (iv): an ensemble of religious architecture spanning some six centuries; Criterion (vi): symbolises two significant events in world history that occurred in 1492: the final expulsion of the Muslim power from the Iberian Peninsula and the discovery of the American continent. Its influence on the evangelisation of the Americas was substantial; Santa María de Guadalupe became a powerful symbol of the Christianisation of much of the New World. The Monastery remains a centre of pilgrimage.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

It is not necessary to review the Universal value since it has been recently approved in the Decision COM.8E 38 (2014).

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was approved in Decision 38 COM.8E (2014) so it is not necessary to make new contributions in this regard.

3. Factors Affecting the Property**3.14. Other factor(s)****3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

No other factors have been identified that may involve a direct positive or negative effect on the site.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure						
3.6	Physical resource extraction						
3.6.4	Water (extraction)						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.5	Dust						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7	Pests						
3.7.8	Micro-organisms						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.5	Dust	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	frequent	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Factors negatively affecting the property have been evaluated in the management Plan and actions have been foreseen in this document in order to correct or eliminate them. Therefore, current problems caused by dust, water, pests or micro-organisms will be solved or restricted.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Regarding the knowledge of the buffer zone limits of the WH property by local residents, we should say that, even if they don't know the boundaries, the limits correspond to those of the Historical Ensemble and due to the fact that the Monastery is well valued by locals, therefore it could be said that limits are respected.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Law 16/1985 of 25th June, on Spain's Historical Heritage.

Law 2/1999 , 29th March, on the Historical and Cultural Heritage of Extremadura.

The Monastery of Guadalupe enjoys the maximum protection established under the law, since it has been declared an official Cultural Property (also known by its Spanish initials, BIC). These properties have a buffer zone sufficient for their protection. The affected zone includes the space—whether built up or no—that gives environmental support to the property, and whose alteration could affect its values, its contemplation, or its study. Moreover, city planning regulations are also applied, protection mechanism protecting the monument from damage through human actions, and updating its security measures, and regulations regarding architectural barriers.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 8, 2005

• Question 6.02

Law 16/1985 of 25th June, on Spain's Historical Heritage.

Law 2/1999 , 29th March, on the Historical and Cultural Heritage of Extremadura.

The Monastery of Guadalupe enjoys the maximum protection established under the law, since it has been declared an official Cultural Property (also known by its Spanish initials, BIC). These properties have a buffer zone sufficient for their protection. The affected zone includes the space—whether built up or no—that gives environmental support to the property, and whose alteration could affect its values, its contemplation, or its study. Moreover, city planning regulations are also applied, protection mechanism protecting the monument from damage through human actions, and updating its security measures, and regulations regarding architectural barriers.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Law 2/1999, 9 March, of Historical and Cultural Heritage of Extremadura, determines the need of a protection Special Plan for all assets declared of Cultural Interest in the category of Monument, as well as for the Historic Ensemble of Guadalupe Town, which includes the Monastery. This is compulsory for local authorities.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Overall management of the Monastery is the responsibility of the Government of the Autonomous Community of Extremadura acting on behalf of the Spanish Ministry of Culture and in association with the Franciscan community of Guadalupe. Management is in conformity with the General Management Plan for the Monastery of Santa Maria de Guadalupe, prepared for the Ministry of Culture, and covers all the restoration work currently in progress. The installation of a modern security system forms part of the present phase of works.

A formally constituted steering group or similar management committee has been set up in 1908 to guide the management of the site. The management of the Ensemble of the Royal Monastery is the responsibility of the Franciscan community. The mandate is under Royal Ordinance of 20th May 1908 and 22nd May 1915, as gen as the Canonical Decree of 8th August 1909. The management of the site is under traditional protective measures or customary law and under the responsibility of the Franciscan community.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 8, 2005

• Question 5.02

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• Question 5.03

Set up date: 1908

Function: The management of the Ensemble of the Royal Monastery is the responsibility of the Franciscan community.

Mandate: Legally: Royal Ordinance of 20th May 1908 and 22nd May 1915, as gen as the Canonical Decree of 8th August 1909

Constituted: formal

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management under protective legislation
- Management under traditional protective measures or customary law
- Other effective management system

The management is the responsibility of the Franciscan community

Comment

Since 2006, the management system has a “Draft Steering Plan of the Royal Monastery of Santa Maria de Guadalupe”, promoted by the regional government and the collaboration of

the municipality and the Franciscan Community, owner of the building.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

In 2006 the “Draft Steering Plan of the Royal Monastery of Santa Maria de Guadalupe” was approved. It was promoted by the Junta de Extremadura (regional government). This document contains a deep analysis of the site state of conservation, it states its deficiencies and it foresees the measures to take in order to correct them, establishing priorities and making an economic valuation.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The "Draft Steering Plan of the Royal Monastery of Guadalupe" is a suitable base for the management of the World Heritage Property, although it is necessary to go forward in a better coordination between organizations in charge of it, in order to accomplish the objectives defined by the aforementioned Plan and to guarantee a better preservation and administration of the World Heritage Property.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There were not significant changes since the last Periodic Report.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	40%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	20%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	24%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	16%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

None.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Other income corresponds to the contribution of the Franciscan Community.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	90%
Part-time	10%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Non-existent
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Non-existent
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Should be noted the current economic crisis has affected the contributions of Government of Extremadura and Ministry of Education and Culture. However, it is expected the recovery of these contributions to the extent possible and based on the improved economic expectations.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

SALCEDO (2011):Urbanismo y arquitectura de la Puebla de Guadalupe Libro de los oficios del Monasterio de Nuestra Señora de Guadalupe (2007) CAÑAS (2012): Devoción mariana y poder regio: las visitas reales al Monasterio de Guadalupe durante los siglos XIV y XV (1330-1472) Different

publications about Royal Monastery Library, Archive, Music, restorations, and historical episodes Monastery

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The University of Extremadura is working on investigation projects, for example “Musical Heritage and Education”, where Guadalupe is a reference. There are also doctoral thesis regarding the property from different points of view: as an integrated landscape, its international projection from its origins, urban and architectural analysis of the Puebla de Guadalupe, where the property is located.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location, but **not easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Non-existent
Local Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor

Other	Not provided but needed
-------	-------------------------

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

* Visitor facilities today: reception, information, ticket office ,snack bar, gift shop, toilets (for handicapped visitors), medicine cabinet * Facilities and Visitor services are insufficient: proposal is to reduce the number of visitors in each group for the visit and improve the quality of the information provided

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Four years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

There is a Tourist Office in charge of the general information of the Monastery, the town and the region. It depends on the Municipality and its maintenance is guaranteed by the Regional Government.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or

improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

There is a monitoring and continuous care for the royal Monastery of Santa María de Guadalupe in order to guarantee its maintenance and the permanency of the values of the site that are extremely relevant for the local inhabitants. Nevertheless, there is no planning on this issue.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

There is a clear need of promoting a better planning of actions on the property, based on the "Draft Steering Plan". There should also be a greater involvement of the public administrations involved in its protection in order to reinforce the knowledge of the Monastery Outstanding Universal Value, both in educational programs and the tourist promotion.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.5	Dust	Criterion IV	General removal of dirt deposited on the surface of the portable goods: altarpieces, niches, external imagery, etc..	Continuous monitoring	Continuous	Regional Administration of Extremadura, Franciscan community, Removing the dirt of portable goods its a common task in the Monastery which is done continuously.
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criterion IV	Roof restoration in the Basilica,Chapels and annex rooms;Cloisters and San Gregorio Towers, Entrance and Bells. Adequacy of drainage system and drain Restoration of the terraces Restoration south transept rose window	Continuous monitoring	Actions aimed at the renovation of the Basilica roofs are in the process of contracting, therefore, implementation is expected immediately. Other planned actions will be addressed according to their urgency.	Ministry of Culture, Regional Administration of Extremadura, Franciscan community, Rainwater and groundwater are the factors that most affect the structure of the monastery.
3.7.7	Pests	Criterion IV	Repair existing wood paneling in the Clock Tower affected by termites. Review and restoration of all portable goods affected by xylophagous insects (doors, altarpieces, imagery ...)	Continuous monitoring	All planned actions will be addressed according to its urgency.	Ministry of Culture, Regional Administration of Extremadura, Franciscan community, It is imperative the monitoring, review and ongoing treatment of altarpieces, imagery, doors, pavements and other wood elements in order to ensure their preservation.
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	Criterion IV	Review and restoration of existing defects and damage to the central shrine of the Mudejar cloister, since it presents general dirt, plus lichens and algae.	Continuous monitoring	Such action is planned and will be addressed according to the availability of funds.	Ministry of Culture, Regional Administration of Extremadura, Franciscan community. Along with the removal of algae and lichens, repairing ceramic tiles detached from various areas of the shrine it is necessary.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	No actions planned for the moment on this issue.	To be determined.	* Regional Administration of Extremadura, Franciscan community,	Actions aimed at understanding the site boundaries are not yet determined. They were not provided in the approved Management Plan in 2006 which is prior to approva of the boundaries.	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.15	No capacity development plan or programme is in place	No actions planned for the moment on this issue.	To be determined.	* Regional Administration of Extremadura, Franciscan community,	Actions were not provided in the approved Management Plan in 2006.	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.1	World Heritage emblem not easily visible	* An adequate number of signs referring to World Heritage site. * World Heritage Convention Emblem used on publications.	Such actions are planned and will be addressed according to the availability of funds.	* Regional Administration of Extremadura, Franciscan community,	Annually, from the regional government a financial contribution is provided for education and outreach of the World Heritage Property.	
4.7 Visitor Management						

4.7.5	Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	*Editing visitant material aimed at the public: brochures, maps, thematic guides (food, arts, Heritage, Nature, Hiking trails...) *Production of graphic and audiovisual material: traveling exhibition, the Monastery Guide, activity booklet.	Depending on the needs	* Regional Administration of Extremadura, Franciscan community, Diputación de Cáceres,	The Franciscan community is in continuous contact with the public authorities in the region to jointly develop plans for promoting the Monastery.
-------	--	--	------------------------	--	---

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

From the analysis in this report we confirm that the state of preservation of the World Heritage Property is excellent, although there are some conditions detected in the building. As we have seen, these have been studied and are being resolved. Thus, we can conclude that the outstanding universal value remains intact to preserve its architectural and cultural significance.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The World Heritage status has meant a certain impact on the development of actions aimed at the conservation and recognition of good and, therefore, greater cooperation between institutions responsible for it. However, that status

had no influence on important issues related with a better legal management of the World Heritage Property and its environment. This is an unresolved issue.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Boxes for "comments, conclusions and/or recommendations" are sometimes very limited in characters. It should be advisable to increase them. In other questions, the only possible answers are very positive or very negative and there are no intermediate answers so the answer is not easy to fill.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	None
State Party	None
Site Managers	None
Advisory Bodies	None

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Approved in Decision 38 COM.8E (2014).

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

The property has not important problems of conservation. Nevertheless, the Periodic Report allowed us to deepen into the Outstanding Universal Value and its effects. It is possible to improve the transmission of this concept. Even if it is

assumed by the local community, institutions in charge of its protection, visitors and managers, this exceptional value must be more visible and understandable.