

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Ibiza, Biodiversity and Culture

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

Spain Europe and North America mixte Marine & coastal Cities 417 rev 1999

Comment

There is an informatic error in this question but the information provided in the WHC webpage is correct.
<http://whc.unesco.org/en/list/417>

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Ibiza, Biodiversity and Culture	38.911 / 1.435	8564	0	8564	1999
Total (ha)		8564	0	8564	

Comment

Surface data incorrect and incomplete. The real surface of the site declared in 1999, has been completed and corrected in the maps made in 2010 that were sent to the World Heritage Centre. Property: 9.020ha Buffer zone: 7.568ha

1.4 - Map(s)

Comment

An updated map of the property was sent to the World Heritage Centre in 2010.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Laura de Miguel Riera
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Esther Rodríguez
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Rosa Gurrea
Ajuntament d'Eivissa
Técnico Patrimonio Cultural
Patrimonio

Comment

Telephone: 0034 971303009

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Exposició Eivissa Formentera \(Universitat Oberta de Catalunya\)](#)
2. [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)
3. [Ibiza](#)
4. [Eivissa](#)

5. [Patrimonio de la Humanidad en España \(in Spanish only\)](#)

6. [Ciudades Patrimonio de la Humanidad de España](#)

Comment

<http://www.mecd.gob.es/cultura-mecd/areas-cultura/patrimonio/mc/patrimoniomundial/bienes-declarados/por-ano-de-inscripcion/ibiza.html>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Ramsar Convention 1981

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The SOUV is currently under revision by the Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)(ix)(x)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures							
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities							
3.4	Pollution							
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.1	Wind							
3.7.2	Relative humidity							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.6	Temperature change							
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species							
3.12.4	Invasive / alien marine species							
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	low capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

All the cultural properties in question are placed under the protection of various laws and regulations concerning monuments, historic centres, archaeological sites, and the natural environment.

National Decree No 309/1969 gives Dalt Vila and Es Soto the status of Historic Complex. The salt-pans of Ibiza and Formentera are natural reserves protected by Law No 26/95. The archaeological ruins are protected by national, regional, and local measures. In 1997, the Autonomous Community of the Balearic Islands adopted a Partial Regional Plan for Ibiza and Formentera with a view to protecting the salt-pans. There is also a Special Protection Plan and an urban development plan.

Comment

Legal protection should be completed with the following: Renaissance Walls is a National Monument since 1942. The Puig des Molins necropolis since 1931; Sa Caleta since 1997. Dalt Vila Special Protection Plan: 1997

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

In the last years, the public authorities of Ibiza have implemented a programme of major works which have had a decisive impact on the cultural management of the island and have also enhanced the monuments of Dalt Vila.

The perimeter of the bastions has been freed of ancillary buildings which used to mask them. Water tanks and other additions which disfigured the top of the fortifications have been removed to restore the beauty of the landscape. The dwelling houses located within the walls have been equipped with modern facilities (water supply, sewerage). Electric wires have been buried. Many buildings have been restored using historic documents as a guide, and respecting the requirements of authenticity. The curators and experts are highly qualified and well supported by the administrative authorities. The town council is determined to resist the pressure of speculation, which could affect the area of Ses Feixes.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

In 1999 a management plan was prepared for the natural site and that this was adopted in 2005. Concerning a coherent management system and framework, the links between the cultural heritage and the natural heritage need to be further explored. Currently no links exist and the delineation of the property does not facilitate the links between the different portions.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The Management Plan for the site "Ibiza, Biodiversity and Culture" is currently being drafted.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

Section II-Ibiza, Biodiversity and Culture

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The protection of the natural components of the site is complemented by the protection under the Natural Park since 2001 (Law 17/2001, 19 December), that has a Planning of Natural Resources (PORN) and a Steering Plan for its use and management (PRUG). It is a Community Interest area (LIC). In the present moment, there is a draft Management Plan to become an Area of Special Conservation (ZEC).

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	50%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	50%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

None.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Algunos de los bienes declarados (yacimientos arqueológicos de sa Caleta y Parque Natural de ses Salines) no cuentan con equipamientos e instalaciones para visitantes, pero existe la voluntad de crearlos.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	80%
Seasonal	20%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Good
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low

Section II-Ibiza, Biodiversity and Culture

Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

AAVV (2003): Eivissa Patrimoni de la Humanitat. Colegio Oficial de Arquitectos de las Islas Baleares. COBOS,F; CAMARA, A (2008): La Fortificación de Yviça. Ayuntamiento de Eivissa. RAMON, J (2007): Excavaciones arqueológicas en el asentamiento fenicio de sa Caleta (Ibiza). Cuadernos de arqueología Mediterránea, 16. Universidad Pompeu Frabra de Barcelona.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Facilities and services of some of the components of the site will be improved at the time of the opening of the interpretation centres in places such as Sant Francesc de s'Estany and sa Caleta.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

There are visitors registration in all the interpretation centres and musums that are used to make annual reports and studies of visitors. The majority of the WH sites are owned by public administrations and are visited by a large number of persons. it is not possible to have a very precise counting, but statistics made by the tourist services can be a reference.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined **but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average

NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	-	-	-	-	-	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure	Activity on the harbour infrastructure in Ibiza and Formentera Islands could affect values on criteria (ix) and (x): posidonia meadows and marine communities.	Control of the dredging discharges produced for the conditioning of the commercial and leisure harbours. Areas of deposition of discharges far from the property.	Environment Survey Plan required for this type of works.	Dredging works during the harbour reorganization in Ibiza is terminated. There is a possibility of a future enlargement project in the harbour of la Sabina (Formentera).	Balearic Government. Environment Area. General Directorate of Natural Environment. Port Authority. Spanish Government.	Strong social awareness for the protection of the Posidonia and natural sites. The groups for the protection of environment are usually very active when there are projects that could affect these areas.
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	criteria (ix) and (x): posidonia meadows and marine communities.	Legislatives: the Natural Resources Organization Plan of the Parque de ses salines d'Eivissa i Formentera (PORN), forbids the anchor on the Posidonia meadows.	Survey network of the Posidonia meadows of the General Directorate of the Rural and Marine Environment, through the project life-Posidonia (protection of the Posidonia in Balearic LICs) .	Permanent survey.	Balearic Government, Environment Area. General Directorate of Environment.	The maritime traffic is highly seasonal, due to the increase of leisure boats in summer.
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters	criteria (ix) and (x): Posidonia meadows and marine communities.	There are treatment systems for sewage. Improvement of sewage treatment infrastructures.	There are periodic controls, requested by the specific legislation, for spills in the sea and efficiency of the treatment systems.	As stated in regulations.	Balearic Water and Environment Quality Agency. Area of Agriculture, Environment and Territory. Balearic Government.	None.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	Criteria (ii) and (iv): High levels of humidity and salinity affect negatively buildings in the old town and the walls.	There are periodic works of inspection and restoration. Public grants for facade and building restoration.	Conservation and protection of the protected heritage as stated in heritage regulations.	Inspection must be continuous, no subject to timeframe. nevertheless, there can be work planning in order to correct the most negative effects.	Public administrations with responsibilities in historic heritage. Owners of the protected heritage.	This is a factor that has scarce effects on the conservation of the heritage.
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Criteria (ii) and (iv): Graffiti in localized areas of the historic town and its walls.	Municipality services are in charge of the elimination of the graffiti and/or eliminate the damages.	Continuous survey.	This is usually made immediately. There is no need for a planned intervention.	Ibiza Municipality.	Vandalism has a scarce effect on the values of the heritage.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.6	Few of the work plan activities implemented	Drafting of the Walls steering Plan. A large amount of rehabilitation works in buildings and urban areas. Currently, drafting of the Management Plan of the Natural Park of ses Salines and anchor regulation in the marine part of the site.	2009-2015: Program of rehabilitation interventions in the site by the Consorcio Ibiza Patrimonio de la Humanidad.	Ibiza Municipality. Balearic Government. Environment Area. General Directorate of Environment.	None.	

4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	In the cultural components there are no industry activity. In natural components, the Salinera Española, exploits the salt resources in the area.	What is needed for the salt extraction.	Salinera española, SA Balearic Government, Environamnet Arera. General Directorate of Environment.	None.
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	-	-	-	-
4.4.13	Promotion	Creation of a post for an expert in heritage in 1999. Creation of the Consorcio Ibiza Patrimonio de la Humanidad (2002) Opening of three interpretation centres about the town and its walls, with , 4 permanent posts (2007/2009)	These resources are still in place. Financial resources of the Consorcio are distributed in two periods: 2002-2009 (21 million €), 2009-2015 (21 million €).	Ibiza Municipality Ibiza Island Government (Consel) balearic Islands Government Environement Area of the General Directorate of Environment of the Balearic Government.	There are many publications for the promotion of the Wolrd heritage property. Specifically, there were some actions for the commemoration of the of the 10th anniversary of the inscription on the WH List.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Negative
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The SOUV is currently under revision by the Advisory Bodies.

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Surface data incorrect and incomplete. The real surface of the site declared in 1999, has been completed and corrected in the maps made in 2010 that were sent to the World Heritage Centre. Property: 9.020ha Buffer zone: 7.568ha

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: An updated map of the property was sent to the World Heritage Centre in 2010.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise