

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Cordoba

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Spain

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

313bis

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1984, 1994


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Cordoba	37.879 / -4.78	0	0	0	1984
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

• Long. 4° 47' 37" - 4° 48' 11" West • Lat. 37° 52' 22" - 37° 53' 9" North

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Cordoba - Map of the inscribed property	20/10/1993	

Comment

A new street-map is being drawn up identifying the property, since the current plan is out of date, and also does not include the latest requested data (linear scale, orientation, grid, etc.)

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Elisa de Cabo de la Vega
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Laura de Miguel Riera
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte

Subdirección General de Protección de Patrimonio Histórico
- Esther Rodríguez
Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte
Subdirectora General Adjunta de Protección del Patrimonio Histórico

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Lara Rosa
Ayuntamiento de Córdoba
Oficina del Centro Histórico de Córdoba

Comment

PLEASE INCLUDE:: María Rosa Lara Jiménez Ayuntamiento de Córdoba Arquitecta del Servicio de Proyectos de la Gerencia Municipal de Urbanismo Colaboradora con la

Delegación de Patrimonio, Casco Histórico y Naturaleza
Email: rlara@ayuncordoba.es

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Córdoba](#)
- [Patrimonio de la Humanidad en España \(in Spanish only\)](#)
- [Ciudades Patrimonio de la Humanidad de España](#)

Comment

<http://whc.unesco.org/en/list/313>(english and français)
<http://www.mcu.es/patrimonio/MC/PatrimonioMundial/BienesD ec/ListadoBienes/Cordoba.html>
<http://www.gmucordoba.es/patrimonio-de-la-humanidad> (spanish only)
<http://www.turismodecordoba.org/patrimonio-humanidad.cfm> (spanish, english and français)
<http://www.cordobapatrimoniodelahumanidad.com/index.php?> (spanish only)
<http://www.ciudadespatrimonio.org/ciudades/index.php?cd=4> (spanish and english)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Approved in Decision 38 COM.8E (2014).

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.2	Commercial development								
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.5	Biological resource use/modification								
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.7	Pests								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community								
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species								
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species								
3.13	Management and institutional factors								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	restricted	frequent	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

As stated in the previous periodic report, the protected boundaries need to be reviewed in accordance with scientific criteria and with those criteria which governed its inclusion in the List.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The historic centre of Córdoba is protected under the provisions of Law No. 16 on the Spanish Historic Heritage (1985) and Law No. 1 on the Historic Heritage of Andalusia (1991). It is also regulated by the statutory General Urban Plan of Córdoba (1984) and Royal Decree No. 1191 on town and country planning. Ownership in the property comprising the proposed extension is vested in private individuals, the Catholic Church, and public authorities.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, November 11, 2005

• Question 6.02

THE SPECIAL PLAN FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE HISTORIC ENSEMBLE

Comment

National Artistic Heritage Act of 1933. Spanish Historic Heritage Act 16/85 of 24 June. Law 1/1991 on Andalusian Historic Heritage. Special Plan for the Protection for the Historic Centre of Córdoba, March, 2003. The Historical Centre of Córdoba was declared a "Historical and Artistic Complex, Old Quarter of the City" on 26 June 1929, as published in the State Gazette on 9 August 1929. Registration of the "Historic Centre of Córdoba" as a Property of Cultural Interest was published in State Gazette No. 11 of 9 May 2003. At the time the declaration was extended, the area around the Córdoba Mosque-Cathedral enjoyed the maximum protection status available under Spanish Historical Heritage legislation, since the whole area forms part of the Historic Centre of Córdoba, registered as a Property of Cultural Interest (BIC) under Additional Provision I of Act 16/85. Law 1/1991 on Andalusian Historic Heritage contains similar provisions. The Special Protection Plan is a modern town-planning document serving as the basis for the main aspects of the protection and management of the historic complex, and thus of the whole area included in the World Heritage List.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Overall supervision is the responsibility of the Spanish Ministry of Culture, the Junta de Andalucía, and the Ayuntamiento of Córdoba. The Plan General de Ordenación Urbana (PGOU) was approved in 1984. It is based upon a detailed analysis of the area. It sets out proposals for the overall urban structure, zones for different uses, proposals for open spaces, communications and transport, building controls and regulations, and the urban landscape. The area within the proposed extension of the World Heritage Monument is the subject of special attention. This forms the basis for the Plan Especial de Protección del Conjunto Histórico de Córdoba, which is currently being completed and which contains detailed specifications and regulations pertaining to conservation within the designated area. Work has begun to constitute the Management trust to run the site. Creation of a Historic Centre Office with an urban remit, to direct, coordinate and promote public and private urban activities connected with the Córdoba Historic Centre (resolution of the Municipal Urban Management, 22/12/2004). The management of the site is under protective legislation.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, November 11, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group:
Work has begun to constitute the Management trust to run the site. Creation of a Historic Centre Office with an urban remit, to direct, coordinate and promote public and private urban activities connected with the Córdoba Historic Centre (resolution of the Municipal Urban Management, 22/12/2004).
- **Question 5.05**
Overall management system of the site
 - Management under protective legislation

Comment

In 2011, the City Council established the Heritage, Historic Centre and Nature Department, charged with the management and coordination—in conjunction with the Municipal Urban Management department – of the city’s Archaeological and Historic Heritage, and of the historic complex as a whole, through a Work Programme drawn up in accordance with the provisions of the amended Article 25 of the Local Government Regulatory Act contained in Law 27/2013, on the Rationalisation and Sustainability of Local Government. Several special plans have been implemented since 2006

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Special Protection Plan for the Historic Centre and Catalogue, 2003. Municipal Charter on Archaeological Risk 2001/2005/2010. Historic Centre of Córdoba Accessibility Plan 2003-2007. Córdoba Sustainable Urban Mobility Plan 2013. Bye-laws: Special Plan AU2 (Alcázar-Caballerizas Reales (Initial approval) 2011. Special Plan for the River Guadalquivir 1999

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /

municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	5%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	22%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	17%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	12%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	38%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	6%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

None.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	60%
Part-time	40%

Section II-Historic Centre of Cordoba

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	75%
Seasonal	25%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	90%
Volunteer	10%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage

property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

PLAN DE EXCELENCIA TURÍSTICA. 2009. CONSORCIO TURISMO. <http://www.turismodecordoba.org/cms10/index.php>
 PLAN ESTRATÉGICO DE TURISMO. MEMORIA EJECUTIVA. 2009. CONSORCIO TURISMO. <http://www.turismodecordoba.org/cms10/index.php> PUERTA DEL PUENTE <http://www.turismodecordoba.org/cms10/index.php>. These are too numerous to be listed; many can be consulted online at: <http://www.gruposiffo.com/investigacion/publicaciones.html>

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

A well-equipped Visitors' Centre is shortly to be opened beside the Mosque-Cathedral

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor management documents are available, but focus on tourist visits; they can be consulted at: <http://www.turismodecordoba.org/observatorio-turistico.cfm>

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	WH criteria and attributes aren't specially affected.	Local and regional administrations take actions for pigeon population control.	Permanent monitoring of species carried out by regional administration.	-	Local and regional public administration.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	The City Council is working to define a buffer zone.	2014/2015	Collaboration between the City Council Heritage, Historic Centre and Nature Department, the Municipal Urban Management department and the Andalusian Regional Government	-	
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	The City Council is working to define boundaries.	2014/2015	Collaboration between the City Council Heritage, Historic Centre and Nature Department, the Municipal Urban Management department and the Andalusian Regional Government	-	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	Relations need to be improved with the livestock industry which uses the banks of the River Guadalquivir close to the World Heritage area for grazing purposes	2014/2015	Collaboration between the City Council Heritage, Historic Centre and Nature Department, the Municipal Urban Management department and the Andalusian Regional Government	-	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.13	Promotion	The coordination, qualifications and dedication of the professionals responsible for the management and preservation of the property could be improved	2015-2016	Collaboration between the City Council Heritage, Historic Centre and Nature Department, the Municipal Urban Management department, the Andalusian Regional Government, and the Bishopric of Córdoba	-	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	The education and awareness programme needs to be improved	2014-2015	Collaboration between the City Council Heritage, Historic Centre and Nature Department, the Municipal Urban Management department, the Andalusian Regional Government, and the Bishopric of Córdoba	-	
4.8 Monitoring						
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	A complete, integrated monitoring programme needs to be drawn up	2014-2015	Collaboration between the City Council Heritage, Historic Centre and Nature Department, the Municipal Urban Management department, the Andalusian Regional Government, and the Bishopric of Córdoba	-	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

This report was drawn up with input from various Council departments and the Córdoba Cathedral Chapter, as well as from the architects responsible for the conservation of the Mosque-Cathedral

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

Non Governmental Organization
Others

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Approved in Decision 38 COM.8E (2014).

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: • Long. 4° 47' 37'' - 4° 48'11'' West • Lat. 37° 52' 22'' - 37° 53' 9'' North

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: A new street-map is being drawn up identifying the property, since the current plan is out of date, and also does not include the latest requested data (linear scale, orientation, grid, etc.)

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise