

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic and Architectural Complex of the Kazan Kremlin

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Russian Federation

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

980


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2000

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic and Architectural Complex of the Kazan Kremlin	55.791 / 49.095	13.45	115	128.45	2000
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>13.45</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>128.45</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Site Map - Historic and Architectural Complex of the Kazan Kremlin	01/01/2000	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Grigory E. Ordzhonikidze  
Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO  
Executive Secretary

#### Comment

Replace for: Mr Vladimir Tsvetnov Head of the Department for Control, Supervision and Licensing Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation (Department of Cultural Heritage ) M. Gnezdnikovskiy per., 7/6, bld. 1,2 125993 Moscow Russian Federation Olga Dergunova, Rosimuschestvo?

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Zilya Valeeva  
State Historical Architectural and Art Museum-Reserve  
"Kazanskiy Kremlin"  
Director

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

#### Comment

<http://www.kazan-kremlin.ru>

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

UNESCO Convention on Cultural and Natural Heritage (1972)  
Federal law of 25.06.2002 № 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation" Regulations on the Protection Zones

of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation (enacted by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation, 2008)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The OUV is transmitted to WHC for consideration in 2014

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(ii) unique evidence of historical continuity and cultural diversity which resulted in the important exchange of different cultures" values (iii) outstanding example of integration between Russian and Tartarian architectural traditions with great influence of both Islam and Christianity (iv) ensemble of historical citadel is the only remaining Tartarian fortress in its integrity, spatial composition, functional design and scenery links with surrounding landscape

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Characteristic features of the Kazan Kremlin include shape and design, materials and fabrics, use and function, traditions, methods and management system, location and surroundings, language and other forms of intangible heritage.

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

no comments

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>								
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.6</b>	<b>Water (rain/water table)</b>	widespread	frequent	minor	high capacity	static
<b>3.7.8</b>	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Underground is planned to be constructed near the property (100 m from the Kremlin wall) in the city master plan, the tentative impact is still under evaluation. Theft by visitors is the typical illegal activity in the Museum-reserve, but it is under decline now.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

It is necessary to educate local population on rules and requirements inside the Kremlin and in its buffer zone through the publications and advertisements in the mass media

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Kazan Kremlin complex is the property of the Republic of Tatarstan. It is protected by law as a historic and cultural monument, pursuant to the Council of Ministers' Decree of 1960. The protected zone and the maintenance are regulated by the Project for Protected Zones of the Historic and Cultural Monuments of the City of Kazan, confirmed by the Ministerial

Decree of 1988. This protection is complemented by other decrees dating from 1994 and 1995. The complex includes the residence of the President of the Republic of Tatarstan, other government buildings, a museum reserve, workshops, religious buildings, offices, a cafeteria, and a post office.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

it is necessary to introduce an amendment to the Federal Law 73 on approval of any construction plans in the property buffer zone

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

The Academic Council of the Museum reviews all works on the complex. The Scientific Restoration Board and the Main Administration for State Supervision of the Protection and Utilization of Historic and Cultural Monuments of the Ministry of Culture review all research and projects relating to restoration and development. The Office for State Architectural and Building Supervision controls the observance of renovation regulations and standards, as well as project execution. Only licensed institutions can undertake projects and a specialized restoration department of the State Historical, Architectural, and Artistic Preserve of the Kazan Kremlin is responsible for the supervision. There is a series of approved programmes concerning the conservation of the complex, including the

Federal Programme "Heritage" (1991), the Republic Programme "Miras" (1989), the programme for the development of culture of Kazan (1998), engineering and economic development of Kazan(1999), as well as framework plans for the conservation and development of the Kazan Kremlin complex (1994). The site has a capacity of some 100,000 visitors per year. A tourist infrastructure is being developed. Current visitor facilities include a bus parking area, an excursion bureau, and a cafeteria. There is a monitoring system to measure (gravimetric) movements of buildings. There is some pollution and the area is vulnerable to floods, but preventive actions are taken every year. All buildings have automatic fire-fighting facilities and the personnel is instructed accordingly.

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

##### Comment

Management Plan is under development. Following documents are available: strategic plan (concept) for Kazan Museum-reserve development, Annual workplan, Permissions from the Ministry of Culture for restoration and adaptation of the property. Additional documentation: Compendium of Practices and Rules designed by experts and specific working groups, Agreements with the landlords and for rent.

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

#### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have

#### input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

#### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

#### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

#### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

There is need to increase cooperation with the local and municipal management bodies, in particular on the rules of use of the property and requirements for its conservaiton

#### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Order of the Ministry of Culture dated 20.12.2012, No.1628 "On approval of the borders of protection zones of the cultural heritage site of federal significance "Ensemble of Kazan Kremlin", included into the UNESCO World Heritage List, as well as requirements for land use and town planning regulations within the borders of these zones"

### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

#### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	81%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	2%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	17%

#### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

##### Comment

No international assistance from the WHF has been rendered.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Kazan Kremlin has received since 1994 funding app. 4 billion Rub. For the property monuments conservation and adaptation (total number 41 buildings) additional funding is needed.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair

Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Low
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Management could be significantly improved through capacity building and trainings for personnel and education for visitors

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Electronic and English language publications are not available, but papers and books in Russian are abundant. See www.kazan-kremlin.ru

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

Presently new encyclopedic Russian language edition on Kazan Kremlin property is being prepared.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Not provided but needed

Information materials	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

Three museums were open since property has received the WHS status.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Other

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

Annually structured and detailed visitation plan is adopted by the Museum-reserve administration for each of the monument in the Kremlin. Count-Box, a universal video-registration system is available at the museum entrance. Infotek, an electronic system for visitation control and tickets issue is installed.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

Annual visitation of the property is on increase. Number of visitors is counted by Count-Box system installed at the entrance of the Museum-reserve

#### 4.8. Monitoring

##### 4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

##### 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

##### 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

##### 4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

##### 4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Major recommendations from the national bodies of heritage preservation are under scrutiny with the plan for their implementation

##### 4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Monitoring system needs to be improved, in particular for the conservation needs

#### 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

##### 4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.7	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.6	<b>Water (rain/water table)</b>	Atmospheric precipitation (rain, snow) have a destructive impact on criterion ii and iv	-Lagging on the Kremlin rooftops; - substituting the Kremlin walls coating by the 'breathing' cover; - clearing off snow from 80% of all surfaces. -use of the snow-melting equipment	Monitoring of wall humidity, destruction of the paint layer; roof leakages, drainage and sewage systems.	On a regular basis in 2014-2020	Museum-Reserve "Kazan Kremlin"	Precipitation damages divided parts of the Kremlin wall that are not covered by thin planks, in particular during frosts and snow melting . Icicles on the eaves endanger visitors' safety.
3.7.8	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	Impact on criterion ii and iv. Fungi,mildew,algae cause the destruction of the stone and brick constructions. Sporules are unhealthy and harmful.	Lagging on the Kremlin rooftops. During restoration works apply various hydro-proofing ( vertical, horizontal and isolation) for different sites and ensure water proofing of the rooftops.	Monitoring of contamination of the buildings and constructions by fungi, mould and microorganisms.	On a regular basis in 2014-2020	Government Investment and Construction Authority, other licensed restoration organizations, Museum-Reserve "Kazan Kremlin"	Eliminate impact of atmospheric precipitation and capillary absorption with the hydro-proofing measures.

## 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	<b>The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners</b>	Buffer zone map shall be uploaded on three websites: Russian Ministry of Culture, Ministry of Culture of Tatarstan republic and Museum Reserve of Kazan Kremlin	June 10, 2014	Museum Reserve of Kazan Kremlin	Data and map of the buffer zone shall be approved by the Russian Ministry of Culture and the Ministry of Culture of Tatarstan republic	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
4.4.13	<b>Promotion</b>	Staff of Kazan Kremlin Museum Reserve shall disseminate knowledge and promote its OUV as the UNESCO site and the cultural tourism attraction through the federal and republican mass media. Professional training on interpretation of the OUV is in need	In 2014-2018	Museum Reserve of Kazan Kremlin, Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, Ministry of Culture of the Tatarstan republic and relevant educational institutions	Information on OUV of the Kazan Kremlin shall be published in the newspapers, magazines and journals, during public events and museum actions. Additional funding for this work is needed.	



### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

Conservation is adequate and property is in good status

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	No impact

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Interaction with the city administration can be improved through local advisory bodies, but the overall status of the property is high, it is well respected by the regional government thus ensuring its conservation and management

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization
External experts

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Fair
Advisory Body	Fair

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Unsatisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

##### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The OUV is transmitted to WHC for consideration in 2014

#### 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

Periodic Reporting exercise was useful for the property management staff and helped to improve the understanding of WHP management and other related aspects