1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups of Monuments

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details State(s) Party(ies)

Russian Federation

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 1990

Comment

Property was assigned Identification Number 540bis after approval of minor boundary modification by 37th Session of World Heritage Committee.

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Historic Centre of St. Petersburg , Russian Federation	59.95 / 30.318	?	?	0	1990
Historical Part of the Town of Kronstadt , Russian Federation	59.994 / 29.774	?	?	0	1990
Fortress of Kronstadt , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Forts of the Island Kotlin , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1990
Redoubts Dena (Fort Den) , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Fort Shanz , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Fort Catherine , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1990
Fort Rift , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Fort Constantin , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1990
Tolbukhin Signal Tower on Tolbukhin Island , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Forts of the Gulf of Finland , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Obrutchev Fort , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Totleben Fort , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1990
North Forts Nos. 1- 7 , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Paul Fort (Riesbank) , Russian Federation	Paul Fort 0 / 0 (Riesbank) ,				1990

Kronshlot Fort , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Alexander Fort ("Tchumny") , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Peter Fort , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
South Forts Nos. 1-3, Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Forts of the Coast of the Gulf of Finland , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Fort Lissy Noss , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Fort Inno , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Green Horse Fort (Seraya Lochad) , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Krasnay Gorka Fort (Red Hill) , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Civil Engineering , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
the Barrier of Cribwork , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
the Barrier of Pile, Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
the Barrier of Stone , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Historical Centre of the Town of Petrokrepost (Schlisselburg) , Russian Federation	59.95 / 31.033	?	?	0	1990
Fortress "Oreshek" on the Island Orekhovy at the Source of the Neve , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Palaces and Park Ensembles of the Town of Pushkin and its Historical Centre, Russian Federation	59.717 / 30.417	?	?	0	1990
Palaces and Parks of the town of Pavlosk and its Historical Centre, Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Pulkovskaya Observatory , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensemble of the Village of Ropsha, Russian Federation	59.676 / 29.848	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensemble of the Village of Gostilitsy, Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensemble of the Village of Taytsy , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990

Section II-Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups

Palace and Park Ensemble of the Town of Gatchina and its Historical Centre , Russian Federation	59.567 / 30.133	?	?	0	1990
Ensemble of Troise-Sergieva (a small monastery), Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensemble of the Town of Strelna and its Historical Centre, Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensemble "Mikhailovka" , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensemble "Znamenka", Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensemble of the Town of Petrodvorets and its Historical Centre, Russian Federation	59.885 / 29.896	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensemble "Sobstvennaya Datcha", Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensemble "Sergeevka", Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Palace and Park Ensembles of the Town of Lomonosov and its Historical Centre, Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Pavlovo-Koltushi , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Zinoviev"s Estate , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1990
Shuvalov"s Estate , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Viazemsky"s Estate , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Sestroretsky Razliv , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
I. Repin Estate "The Penates" , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1990
Cemetery of the Village of Komarovo , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Lindulovskaya Rotcsha , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
River Neva with Banks and Embankments , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Izhorsky Bench (Glint) , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Dudergofskie Elevations , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990

Comment					
Total (ha)	-		0		
Oranienbaumsky Spring-Board , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
the Road of Life , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
the Blockade Ring , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Glory , Russian Federation					
Russian Federation The Green Belt of	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Russian Federation Zelenogorsky,	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Petrovsky , Russian Federation Kronstadsky ,	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Channel , Russian Federation			?		
Russian Federation the Maritime	0/0	?	?	0	1990
the Ligovsky Canal , Russian Federation The Fairways ,	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Koltushskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Vyborgskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Primorskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Gostilitskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Ropshinskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Peterhofskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Tallinskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1990
Volkhovskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
the Highway Pushkin-Gatchina , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Railway Leningrad- Pavlovsk , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1990
Kievskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Muskovskoe Highway , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
The Roads , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Yukkovskaya Elevation , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
Koltushskaya Elevation , Russian Federation	0/0	?	?	0	1990
	1- /-	I.	1_	Ι_	

Comment

According to plan approved by WHC International Working Group has finished retrospective inventory of Property. Cadastral maps and set-up of Site have been considered by

Section II-Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups

38-th Session of World Heritage Committee in June 2014. Work on forming buffer zone and management plan shall be done from now on.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title		Link to source
Component N°. 540-001 Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg	23/07/2013	a
Component N°. 540-002 Historical Part of Kronstadt	23/07/2013	

Comment

According to plan approved by WHC International Working Group has finished retrospective inventory of Property. Cadastral maps and set-up of Site have been submitted to WHC before 01/12/2013. The results of retrospective inventory process were considered and approved by 38-th Session of World Heritage Committee. The work on forming buffer zone and management plan shall be carried on.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Grigory E. Ordzhonikidze
 Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO
 Executive Secretary

Comment

replace for: Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation Vladimir Tsvetnov Director of the Department for Control, Supervision and Licensing Malyi Gnezdnikovskiy per,7/6, str.1,2 125993 Moscow Tel: +7 495 625 07 08 e-mail: tsvetnov@mkrf.ru, depkontr@mkrf.ru

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Alexander Leontiev

The Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of monuments of History and Culture of the Government of St.Petersburg

The first deputy of chairman

Comment

Add: Government of Leningrad Oblast Galina Lazareva Deputy chief of department Suvorov avenue, 67 193311, Saint Petersburg Telephone: +7 812 576 41 38 Email: galina.okn@lenreg.ru

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1. <u>UNESCO and the State Hermitage Museum in</u> St. Petersburg (UNESCO)
- 2. Agate Pavilion of the Catherine Palace and Alexander Palace (World Monuments Watch)
- 3. <u>St. Petersburg (State of the Art Conservation</u> Projects - ARCH Foundation)
- 4. Exploring St. Petersburg (Russian National Tourist Office)

Comment

Add: Information on the Property can be found within official Internet portal of the Government of Saint Petersburg: www.gov.spb.ru/gov/otrasl/c-govcontrol/sovet

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

As matter of first priority World Heritage Sites are awarded status of cultural heritage sites of outstanding value of peoples of Russian Federation (Federal Law of 25.06.2002 No. 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of Peoples of Russian Federation", Executive Order of President of Russia of 30.11.1992 No.1487 "On Cultural Heritage Sites of Outstanding Value of Peoples of Russian Federation").

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Commen

Draft Retrospective Statement on Outstanding Universal Value of Property has been developed by International Working Group, submitted to WHC in 2012.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (i) Saint Petersburg is one of a kind, and the perfect embodiment - on a vast scale - of the European ideal of an orderly city surrounded by palaces and parks, all harmonized with the natural landscape. The city, built according to a precise plan in a very short time, was brought into being despite extremely adverse natural conditions. Criterion (ii) Saint Petersburg became the visual embodiment of the innovations of the Petrine era. It was founded as the capital of a new state and as a model city for the whole of a Russia under renewal. The normative value of the capital was increased from the beginning by the establishment of the Academy of Sciences followed by that of the Academy of Fine Arts. The urban model of Saint Petersburg was finally completed in the period of the reigns of Catherine the Second (Catherine the Great), Alexander the First, and Nicholas the First and was used during the reconstruction of Moscow (after the fire of 1812). The Saint Petersburg blueprint influenced the planning of many Russian cities (such as Odessa, Sevastopol, and others). The former capital of the Russian Empire continued to play a substantial role in the evolution of architecture in 20th century city planning. Criterion (iv) The cultural landscape of Saint Petersburg and its environs is unique. Various city design epochs and periods of artistic styles (baroque, classicism, historicism, Art Nouveau, Constructivism, neoclassicism) gave the city and its suburbs variety and diversity. Even so, the architectural appearance of the "northern capital" remains undivided. Its primary value lies within its unity of natural foundation and architectural medium. Criterion (vi) Saint Petersburg has repeatedly been tied directly and tangibly to events of international importance. The new capital of Russia, conceived as a "window to Europe", played a decisive role in the country's modernization during the 18th century and in turning it into a great European and world power. The city bore witness to and participated in both majestic and tragic events such as the 1917 February and October Revolutions and the heroic blockade of 1941-1944, in which some million human lives were lost. Having survived the unprecedented trials of the 20th century, the city continues to

Section II-Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups

be a symbol and base of Russian culture for new times, one of its centers of science, culture and education tied eternally to the names of such scholars, architects, writers, musicians, and ballet dancers as Lomonosov, Rastrelli, Pushkin, Rossi, Gogol, Dostoevsky, Tchaikovsky, Petipa, Pavlov, Mendeleev, Vavilov, Shostakovich, Ulanova, and others.

- 2.4 If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised
- 2.5 Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3. Factors Affecting the Property
- 3.14. Other factor(s)
- 3.14.1 Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Orig	in
3.1	Buildings and Development	•					
3.1.1	Housing				A	•	
3.1.2	Commercial development				A	•	
3.1.3	Industrial areas				A	•	
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	0		9		•	
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	0		9		•	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure			A		①	
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure			A		•	
3.2.5	Underground transport infrastructure			A		•	
3.3	Services Infrastructures	٠			-		
3.3.1	Water infrastructure	0		A		①	
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities	0		Ą		①	
3.3.4	Localised utilities			Ť	A	<u>•</u>	
3.4	Pollution	1			_		
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters				A		CE
3.4.2	Ground water pollution				ġ	(3
3.4.3	Surface water pollution				ġ	<u>•</u>	
3.4.4	Air pollution			9	ľ	<u>•</u>	
3.4.6	Input of excess energy				A	<u>•</u>	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric	1			-		
3.7.1	Wind			Ŋ			(TEP
3.7.2	Relative humidity						1
3.7.3	Temperature			a			3
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)			Ĭ	A	()	
3.7.8	Micro-organisms			A	ľ	<u>(1)</u>	
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage			_			
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	0		A			F
3.9	Other human activities	-					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage				A	()	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms				A		F
3.10.2	Flooding				A		F
3.10.6	Temperature change				A		F
Legend	Current Potential Negative Positive Inside	'	70	Outs			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	•	Management response	Trend		
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing		
	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing		

Section II-Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	· ·	Management response	Trend
3.2.5	Underground transport infrastructure	localised	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fall	oric				
3.7.1	Wind	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

International Working Group has finished retrospective inventory. Cadastral maps and set-up of Site have been submitted to WHC, considered and adopted by 38-th Session of World Heritage Committee in 2014

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

At federal level, the property is treated as national heritage, although there is no specific legislation for World Heritage. The Federal Law "On Cultural Heritage of the Peoples of Russian Federation" is applicable to certain individual structures within the property. The Master Plan of St. Petersburg, approved in 2005, adopted areas of protection of cultural heritage sites in accordance with the established zone mode. These include historic buildings, skyline, panoramas and views. Parameters for construction and reconstruction are regulated throughout the whole property and in the proposed buffer zone. In 2009, on the basis of the Master Plan, Land

Use and Development Rules were adopted, including territorial zoning and city planning regulations which prohibit new construction in the protected areas except for the regeneration of the historical landscape. However this Plan regulates protection only on the portion of the property located within the boundaries of St. Petersburg. Other parts, located on the territory of the Leningrad district, have no protected areas

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2 Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006)

Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

Question 6.02

The Law of Saint Petersburg of 30.12.2003 "On Regulation of urban building activity in Saint Petersburg"; The Federal Purposive Program "Protection and Development of Historical Center of Saint Petersburg"; Federal Law "On Objects of Cultural Heritage (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Russian Federation Peoples"; "The Petersburg"s Heritage Protection Strategy" (Project. 2005);

Comment

Federal law of 25.06.2002 № 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of Peoples of Russian Federation"; Regulations on Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of Nations of Russian Federation (enacted by Decree of Government of Russian Federation, 2008). Laws of St,Petersburg:№820-7 (24/12/2008) "On Protection Zones Boundaries of Cultural Heritage Sites...", №333-64 (12/07/2007) "On Protection of Cultural Heritage Sites...

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The management of the property is shared between the two Federal districts: Saint-Petersburg and the Leningrad District. They are significantly uneven as regards their staff (150 persons on one side, 18 people on the other side). This arrangement means that there is no single entity with responsibility for the World Heritage property. There is no management plan for the property, which might cover stakeholders, activities and resources.

The supervision over the status of the property is carried out by KGIOP and the Department for State Protection, Preservation and Use of the Cultural Heritage Properties of the Committee for Culture of the Government of Leningrad Region, within the limits of their authority.

A national working group has been formed in 2010 by the Committee on State Control, Use and Protection of Historical and Cultural Landmarks of St. Petersburg (KGIOP), with the objective of clarifying the components of the serial property and its boundaries.

In 2013, the World Heritage Committee approved the proposed minor boundary modification concerning the major component- the Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg (component 540-001) - of the serial nomination of the Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups of Monuments.

The Government of St. Petersburg has established preservation of the historic centre as a priority which prevails over the concept of compromise and so-called rational balance between preservation and development. The development of a long-term programme for the conservation and restoration of the Historic Center of St. Petersburg (2012-2018) has been started in order to provide comprehensive rehabilitation of the historic environment, taking into account social interests and interests of private investors.

The Governor of St. Petersburg has engaged in a dialogue with the public city-protection movement, through the Committee on Protection of Landmarks. Representatives of social organizations and movements are members of the Council for the Conservation of Cultural Heritage of the Government of St. Petersburg.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

• Question 5.02

Stering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• Question 5.03

Set up date: 1997

Function: Actualization of the State policy and realization of the State management in fields of protection and use of monuments of history and culture along with coordination of activity of territorial and other sectors of management bodies of the Saint Petersburg Administration. Control, use and protection of monuments of history and culture of the Saint Petersburg

Mandate: The Saint Petersburg Administration''s Committee for State Control, Use and Protection Monuments of History and Culture

Constituted: legal

Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- o Management under protective legislation
- Management under traditional protective measures or customary law
- Consensual management

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Currently World Heritage Sites do not possess any special legal status in Russia. Property can be managed by means of setting protection zones. Saint Petersburg Law No.820-7 dated 24/12/2008 "On Boundaries of Protection Zones..." introduced boundaries and regimes for protection zones of Saint Petersburg monuments.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Not applicable
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

Section II-Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	51%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	45%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	4%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

Saint Petersburg International Conference, January 2007, 17,620 USD. Film on Saint Petersburg on occasion of 300th anniversary of its foundation, March 2002, 5,000 USD.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the longterm

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

There is no special funding of this WH Property. Currently maintenance, repair and preservation work, as well as territorial development have no federal funding. They are done by both administrations on their own and separately. Private funding is used for individual objects within site. Special funds and target off-budget programmes are non-existent. Target funding is needed which would include federal, regional, municipal and private sources for preservation of heritage along UNESCO standards

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

	_	-	-		•	
Permanent						100%
Seasonal						0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Poor
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared with local partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

- 4.5.4 Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report
- 4.5.5 Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

data off visitor statistics?	
Tourism industry	İ

Section II-Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor management documents are non-existent.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being** actively managed despite an indentified need

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Inventory survey of set-up and boundaries of property has been performed, preparatory work on forming buffer zone and management plan is underway.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation	Infrastructure		•	•	1	•
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Inadequacy of ground infrastructure makes exuberant traffic pressure on state of conservation of property.	Reconstruction programme of city road net 2) Restriction of traffic stream in historic centre of city 3) Public transportation increase 4) Parking facilities outside centre	Every year	Short- and long-term programmes for 1 year and 3 to 5 years	Committee for development of transport infrastructure of Saint Petersburg Government	Development of ground transport infrastructure in historic centre is carried out in conformity with city planning
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Use of transportation infrastructure deteriorates state of conservation of historic buildings and environment	Cleaning and restauration of facades Improvement of ground transport infrastructure	Sporadic	As after-effects develop	Ministry of Culture of Russia, Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg, Department of Culture of Goverment of Leningrad Oblast, Stakeholders	Cleaning and restoration are done on regular basis
3.2.5	Underground transport infrastructure	Underground transport infrastructure affects geological characteristics of property.	Condition of buildings and structures is regularly checked	Visual control	Permanently	Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg	Underground transport is unavoidable in historic centre of Saint Petersburg
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	Deterioration of environment affects state of conservation of historic buildings and quality of life for community.	Restriction of traffic stream in historic centre of city	Visual and instrumental methods of control	Annually	Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg	Level of air pollution is static
3.7	Local condition	s affecting physical fal	oric				
3.7.2	Relative humidity	Humidity deteriorates state of conservation of historic buildings.	Usage of protective materials and special technologies in restoration, control over their condition	Humidity monitoring instrumentally and visually	Permanently	Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg, Department of Culture of Goverment of Leningrad Oblast	Humidity is permanent due to climate
3.7.8	Micro- organisms	Micro-organisms deteriorate state of conservation of historic buildings	Protective materials and specal treatment	Visual and instrumental methods of control	Permanently	Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg, Department of Culture of Goverment of Leningrad Oblast	Due to humidity micro- organisms develop quickly

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buf	.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
	Actions		Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	

Section II-Historic Centre of Saint Petersburg and Related Groups

4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	International Working Group has clarified boundary and buffer zone problems and submitted results to WHC which approved them on the 38-th Session of World Heritage Committee, 2014.	2015-2017	Ministry of Culture of Russia, Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg, Department of Culture of Government of Leningrad Oblast	State Party can work out the buffer zone for some of the WHP components in case of need.
4.4 Fin	ancial and Huma	n Resources			
4.4.3		Budget questions will be considered while working on management plan for property	After 2014	Ministry of Culture of Russia, Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg, Department of Culture of Goverment of Leningrad Oblast	State Party is awaiting approval by 38-th session of World Heritage Committee
4.6 Ed	ucation, Informat	ion and Awareness Building			
4.6.1	World Heritage emblem not displayed	Decision on display of emblem will be considered after approval of boundaries and buffer zones	After 2014	Ministry of Culture of Russia, Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg, Department of Culture of Government of Leningrad Oblast	Currently display of World Heritage emblem is not legally provided for
4.6.3	There is no education and awareness programme	No education and awareness programme has been launched	There is no plan for launching programme	Ministry of Culture of Russia, Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg, Department of Culture of Government of Leningrad Oblast	No programme is planned
4.7 Vis	itor Management			1	<u></u>
4.7.4	Visitor use of the property is not being actively managed	Visitor management will be part of management plan for property	After 2014	Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of	State Party is planning to work on the management plan for the WHP and include the visitor management programm into it.
4.8 Mo	nitoring				
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Monitoring is localized, sporadic and done on certain properties	There is no timeframe	Ministry of Culture of Russia, Committee for State Control, Use and Protection of Monuments of History and Culture of Government of St.Petersburg, Department of Culture of Government of Leningrad Oblast	No changes are planned for the moment

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

the property in relation to the following areas				
Conservation	Positive			
Research and monitoring	No impact			
Management effectiveness	No impact			
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive			
Recognition	No impact			
Education	No impact			
Infrastructure development	Positive			
Funding for the property	No impact			
International cooperation	Positive			
Political support for conservation	Positive			
Legal / Policy framework	No impact			
Lobbying	No impact			
Institutional coordination	No impact			
Security	No impact			
Other (please specify)	Not applicable			

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property			
Site Ma	Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff		
Local co	ommunity		

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Translation quality, inadequate explanations and lack of examples make understanding of questionaire difficult.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

	_	
UNESCO	Very poor	
State Party Representative	Good	
Advisory Body	Very poor	

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Not all of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable		
State Party	Satisfactory		
Site Managers	Satisfactory		
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable		

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: Draft Retrospective Statement on Outstanding Universal Value of Property has been developed by International Working Group, submitted to WHC in 2012.

Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: According to plan approved by WHC International Working Group has finished retrospective inventory of Property. Cadastral maps and set-up of Site have been considered by 38-th Session of World Heritage Committee in June 2014. Work on forming buffer zone and management plan shall be done from now on.

Map(s

Reason for update: According to plan approved by WHC International Working Group has finished retrospective inventory of Property. Cadastral maps and set-up of Site have been submitted to WHC before 01/12/2013. The results of retrospective inventory process were considered and approved by 38-th Session of World Heritage Committee. The work on forming buffer zone and management plan shall be carried on.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise