

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Évora

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Portugal

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

361

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1986

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Évora	38.573 / -7.908	0	0	0	1986
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property area: 104ha. General protection zone: 24ha.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Centro Historico de Évora – Area Classificada como Patrimonio Mundial e respectiva Zona de Protecção, scale 1:5,000.	02/02/2006	

Comment

Historic Centre of Évora - World Heritage Site and general Protection Zone, scale 1:5,000

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Luís Pinho Lopes
Direção-Geral do Património Cultural
Architecte

Comment

Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Nuno Vassallo e Silva, PhD Director General Direção-Geral do Património Cultural Palácio Nacional da Ajuda 1349-021Lisboa Portugal Telephone: +351213614221 Fax: +351213637047 Email: nvsilva@dgpc.pt rectify: Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Luiz de Pinho Lopes Architect Direção-Geral do Património Cultural Palácio Nacional da Ajuda (...)

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Nuno Domingos
Évora City Council (Câmara Municipal de Évora)
Director
Historical Centre Department

Comment

Évora City Council (Câmara Municipal de Évora) Historic Centre, Heritage, Culture and Tourism Division (DCHPCT) Nuno Domingos Head of Division Câmara Municipal de Évora Divisão do Centro Histórico, Património, Cultura e Turismo Praça de Sertório s/n 7004-506 Évora Portugal

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Direcção Geral dos Edifícios e Monumentos Nacionais](#)
2. [Câmara municipal de Évora](#)

Comment

Add: a) Direção-Geral do Património Cultural - www.patrimoniocultural.pt b) Comissão Nacional da UNESCO - www.unescoportugal.mne.pt/ c) Sistema de Informação do Património Cultural - www.monumentos.pt Please remove 1 as it no longer exists.

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

European Cultural Convention (1976); Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (1991); Council of Europe Framework Convention on the Value of Cultural Heritage for Society (2005)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted to the World Heritage Committee and is awaiting approval.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion IV. Evora is the finest example of a city of the golden age of Portugal after the destruction of Lisbon by the earthquake of 1755. Criterion II. The cityscape of Evora alone can enable us to understand the influence exerted by Portuguese architecture in Brazil, in sites such as Salvador de Bahia (included on the World Heritage List in 1985).

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted to The World Heritage Committee and is awaiting approval.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Urban dynamics - that drove economic activities and people to new neighbourhoods of the city.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Factors listed above relate to all types of properties. However, factors affecting a Historic Centre are different in nature from factors affecting others properties, so the topic could be more specific.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Inadequacies in the boundaries make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

A proposal for extension of the general protection zone has already been drafted and put under discussion. At its 32nd session, the World Heritage Committee determined that a buffer zone is created to protect the site's outstanding universal values.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

• Question 6.02

-«Regulamento do Plano de Urbanização da Cidade»
(Règlement du Plan d' Urbanisation de la Ville): l'on y trouve

des chapitres spécifiquement concernant le centre urbain classé, la protection du patrimoine (altérations des bâtiments et des matériaux, etc.) et son utilisation (surveillance du changement de l'utilisation) ; -«Lei de Bases do Património Cultural » (Loi de Base du Patrimoine Culturel) : elle contrôle notamment les altérations introduites dans les bâtiments classés, aussi bien que les fouilles archéologiques quand elles sont nécessaires, etc. -Zones de protection du patrimoine architectural et urbain; -Règlement municipal sur la publicité et la propagande ; -Règlement sur l'occupation des aires publiques (esplanades, etc.).

Comment

Municipal level: Regulamento do Plano de Urbanização de Évora, Aviso n.º 12113/2011 de 2 de Junho (Regulation of the Urbanization Plan, the town pan, with specific standards concerning the classified old city, the protected heritage values, building construction and materials, functions); Regulamento Municipal de Publicidade e Ocupação do Espaço Público, Aviso n.º 9515/2013 de 24 de Julho (regulations of publicity and public space occupation); National level: Bases da Política e do Regime de Protecção do Património Cultural, Lei 107/2001 de 8 de Setembro (Basic law concerning cultural heritage, general rules, classified monuments, archaeology); Regime Jurídico relativo aos Estudos, projetos, obras ou intervenções em bens culturais classificados, DL 140/2009 (legal standards concerning studies, projects, works and interventions in classified heritage); Regime Jurídico das Zonas de Protecção e do Plano de Pormenor de Salvaguarda, DL 309/2009, de 23 de Outubro (Legal standards concerning protection zones and safeguard detail plans); Regime Excecional e Temporário aplicável à Reabilitação de Edifícios ou Frações, DL 53/2014 de 8 de Abril (legal standards concerning rehabilitation of buildings); Regulamento de Trabalhos Arqueológicos, DL n.º 270/99 de 15 de Junho (Archaeological Works Regulation).

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

• Question 5.02

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• Question 5.03

Set up date: 1982

Function: Dans l'exercice de ses compétences, le Département du Centre Historique, Patrimoine et Culture veille l'observation des normes de l'UNESCO et de la Loi n°107/2001 (Loi du Patrimoine).

Mandate: Coordonner toutes les actions tendantes à la conservation du Centre Historique

Constituted: formal

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party

Comment

Function: In the exercise of its duties, the Historic Centre, Heritage, Culture and Tourism Division ensures compliance with the UNESCO Operational Guidelines of the World Heritage Convention and Law no. 107/2001 of 8 September (Portuguese Basic law concerning cultural heritage). Mandate: To coordinate all the actions involved in the conservation of the property.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Plano de Gestão do Centro Histórico de Évora (2013) - Historic Centre Management Plan; Plano de Urbanização de Évora, Aviso n.º 12113/2011 de 2 de Junho (Urbanization Plan, town plan).

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

Section II-Historic Centre of Évora

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Non-existent
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No significant changes in the legal status and in protective measures and management arrangements occurred in the property.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	13%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Governmental (Local / Municipal)	87%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Technical cooperation for the town of Evora	1998	15000.00	
Total		15000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The financial and human resources considered are those of the public institutions responsible for the management of the property under Portuguese law. It is impossible to account all the resources affected to the management of the Historical Centre by land owners and non public institutions, like the Catholic Church and private foundations.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
------	------

Section II-Historic Centre of Évora

Volunteer	0%
-----------	----

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Employees involved in the management of the world heritage property are also involved in the management of the built heritage and other conservation areas in the municipality.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

ALMEIDA, Carmen – *Objetos Melancólicos (Évora)*, Caleidoscópio Edição, Casal de Cambra, 2005; BILLOU, Francisco - *A Refundação do Aqueduto da Água da Prata*, em Évora, 1533-1537, Edições Colibri, Lisboa, 2010; Câmara Municipal de Évora – *Boletim de Cultura da Câmara Municipal de Évora*, A Cidade de Évora, Boletim n.º 6, 2006; Câmara Municipal de Évora – *Boletim de Cultura da Câmara Municipal de Évora*, A Cidade de Évora, Boletim n.º 7, 2009; Câmara Municipal de Évora – *Boletim de Cultura da Câmara Municipal de Évora*, A Cidade de Évora, Boletim n.º 8, 2009; Câmara Municipal de Évora – *Évora ao Espelho*, 2007; Direcção Geral dos Edifícios e Monumentos Nacionais – *Revista Monumentos* n.º 26, Abril 2007; VAL-FLORES, Gustavo Silva – *Evolução Urbana do Centro Histórico de Évora*. Vol. I – *Ebora Liberalitas Julia – Território e Cidade*, sec I DC/sec. IV DC, Edição CME 2011.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations**, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Tourism industry
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor statistics are collected by the tourism office.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to

present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

The Public Use Plan (PUP) is being drafted.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

A proposal for extension of the general protection zone has already been drafted and put under discussion. At its 32nd session, the World Heritage Committee determined that a buffer zone is created to protect the site's outstanding universal values.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	None of the world heritage criteria is affected.	To minimize traffic impact with some traffic restrictions and improved monitoring.	Monitoring needs to be improved.	Continuous	Évora City Council There is a need for improved monitoring and preventive actions.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.2	Inadequacies in the boundaries	A buffer zone needs to be created and submitted to the WHC.	Two years	Évora City Council	A proposal for creation of a general protection zone is under discussion.	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	There is ongoing contact with the tourist industry.	There is no timeframe in place.	Évora City Council	No comments	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs	Financial support from national government to local authority is needed. Support to landowners is also needed to improve building conservation and housing conditions.	There is no timeframe in place.	Évora City Council.	Funds come from the municipal budget..	
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	A strong commitment to sustainable financial support is necessary	There is no timeframe in place.	Évora City Council	Funds come from the municipal budget	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	An education program is underway.	There is no timeframe in place.	Évora City Council	No comments	
4.6.4	World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities	Education and training programs concerning the built heritage, awareness of the outstanding value, traditional knowledge and craftsmanship should be implemented.	There is no timeframe in place	Évora City Council and Directorate General for Cultural Heritage/Cultural Regional Directorate (government bodies).	No comments	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The property encompasses more than 3200 buildings. So the evaluation of its state of conservation is based on surveys and other data.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Not applicable
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The main positive impact was an increase in international visibility and in tourism. The community was also more aware of the heritage values of the old city.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Unsatisfactory
Site Managers	Unsatisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was submitted to the World Heritage Committee and is awaiting approval.

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Property area: 104ha. General protection zone: 24ha.

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: Historic Centre of Évora - World Heritage Site and general Protection Zone, scale 1:5,000

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise