

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Monastery of Batalha

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Portugal

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

264


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1983

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Monastery of Batalha	39.658 / -8.827	0.98	85.78	86.76	1983
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>0.98</b>	<b>85.78</b>	<b>86.76</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Monastery of Batalha, scale 1:2,000	21/12/2007	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Luís Pinho Lopes  
Direção-Geral do Património Cultural  
Architecte

#### Comment

Add: Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Nuno Vassallo e Silva, PhD Director General Direção-Geral do Património Cultural Palácio Nacional da Ajuda 1349-021 Lisboa Portugal Telephone: +351213614221 Fax: +351213637047 Email: nvsilva@dgpc.pt rectify: Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Luiz de Pinho Lopes Architect Direção-Geral do Património Cultural Palácio Nacional da Ajuda (...)

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Joaquim Ruivo  
General Directorate for Cultural Heritage  
Director  
Monastery of Batalha

#### Comment

Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Monastery of Batalha Joaquim Ruivo Director Mosteiro da Batalha 2440-109 Batalha Portugal Telephone: +351 244 765 497 Fax: +351 244 767 184 Email: jruivo@mbatalha.dgpc.pt

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Batalha Monastery \(Direção Geral dos Edifícios e Monumentos Nacionais\)](http://www.patrimoniocultural.pt)

#### Comment

a. Direção-Geral Património Cultural - <http://www.patrimoniocultural.pt> b. Comissão Nacional da UNESCO - <http://www.unescoportugal.pt> c. Monastery of Batalha (Direção-Geral do Património Cultural) -

<http://www.mosteirobatalha.pt> d. Sistema de Inventário para o Património Arquitetónico - <http://www.monumentos.pt> Please remove 1 as it no longer exists.

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (1991); Council of Europe Framework Convention on the Value of Cultural Heritage for Society (2005);

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is waiting for Committee approval, after the Advisory Bodies advice.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(i) As a monument charged with a symbolic value from its foundation, the monastery of Batalha was, for more than two centuries, the great workshop of the Portuguese monarchy. (ii) The most characteristic features of a national art were determined there, both during the Gothic and the Renaissance periods. Batalha is, thus, the conservatory of several privileged expressions of Portuguese art that exerted great influence over a span of time or within a cultural area of the world.

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.1	Wind							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>							
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
<b>3.2.4</b>	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.1</b>	Wind	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.7.8</b>	Micro-organisms	widespread	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>					
<b>3.12.2</b>	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

##### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

##### • Question 6.02

- «Regulamento do Plano Director Municipal»(Règlement du Plan Directeur Municipal): l'on y trouve des chapitres spécifiquement concernant le patrimoine classé, la protection du patrimoine (altération des bâtiments et des matériaux, etc.) - « Resolução de Conselho de Ministros n.º 136/95, de 11 de Novembro » (Résolution du Conseil de Ministres n.º 136/95, du 11 novembre); - «Lei de Bases do Património Cultural» (Loi de Base du Patrimoine Culturel): elle contrôle notamment les altérations introduites dans les bâtiments classés, aussi bien que les fouilles archéologiques quand elles sont nécessaires, etc.« Lei n.º 107/2001, de 8

de Setembro » (Loi n.º 107/2001, du 8 septembre); - Zones de protection du patrimoine architectural «DG 223, de 23 de Setembro de 1967; DR 268, de 19 de Novembro de 1977» (J.O. 223, du 23 septembre 1967 ; J.O. 268, du 19 novembre 1977)

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

##### • Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party

### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

#### Comment

Being under the tutelage of the central government, the monastery's current management documents are activity plans and annual budget reports.

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /

**municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World**

**Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	25%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	75%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

No international assistance was received.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	61%
Seasonal	39%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	85%
Volunteer	15%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Poor
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

A school for stonemasons was located on the monastery's premises until 2007. It enabled workers to be trained so as to maintain or replace any missing stones. This school is still operating elsewhere in Batalha.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

ALHO, Patrícia; 2010. "As Gárgulas no Mosteiro de Santa Maria da Vitória. Função e Forma", CMB ALVES, Alice N; FERREIRA, Paulo. 2009. "Debaixo do chão, Novos dados sobre o sistema hidráulico do Mosteiro de Santa Maria da Vitória – Batalha", Artis – Revista do Instituto de História da Arte da Faculdade de Letras de Lisboa, 7-8: 437 - 456.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

EALO DE SÁ, Maria; 2009. "El Arquitecto Juan de Castillo el Constructor del Mundo", Luna Samperio A. GOTTSCHLICH, Ralf; 2012. "Das Kloster Santa Maria da Vitória in Batalha. Hildesheim: Georg Olms Verlag. GUILLOUËT, Jean-Marie; 2011. O Portal de Santa Maria da Vitória e a arte europeia do seu tempo; Textiverso. MACHADO, Andreia Sofia; 2010." Estudo de um vitral quatrocentista do Most. de Batalha

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
------------------------------

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	No criteria have been affected	A motorway was built in 2011 to divert the traffic from the monument.	Traffic monitoring has been planned	10 years	Directorate General for Cultural Heritage	Even though alternative roads were created, there isn't yet significant decrease in traffic on the A1, so that more studies will have to be conducted.
<b>3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.1	Wind	No criteria have been directly affected.	Elements affected by wind erosion have been treated with sacrificial renderings. A curtain of trees was planted along the A1 that will have beneficial effects on winds and other polluting agents.	Monitoring has still not begun	10 years	DGPC services	No comments yet.
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	No criteria have been directly affected.	Whenever stone treatments are undertaken, cleaning of microorganisms is carried out.	Continuous	6 years	DGPC services	no comments
<b>3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>							
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	No criteria have been directly affected.	Procreation of pigeons is seasonally controlled	No monitoring is done	Continuous	Services of the Monastery of Batalha	No comments

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	Contacts with industry are planned to ensure its involvement in management	10 years	services of the Monastery of Batalha	no comments	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
4.4.15	Capacity development plan not implemented	The capacity development plan will be implemented upon approval of the annual budget	Annual	services of the Monastery of Batalha	no comments	
<b>4.7 Visitor Management</b>						
4.7.5	Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	Contacts with the tourism industry are meant to find solutions for any potential problems requiring an integrated solution.	6 years	Services of the Monastery of Batalha	No comments	

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Local community

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

**Most** of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is waiting for Committee approval, after the Advisory Bodies advice.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**