

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Central Zone of the Town of Angra do Heroísmo in the Azores

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Portugal

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

206

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1983


### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Central Zone of the Town of Angra do Heroísmo in the Azores	38.655 / -27.22	0	0	0	1983
<b>Total (ha)</b>			<b>0</b>		

#### Comment

The map was submitted in the retrospective inventory:  
Boundary of the world heritage property, including Landscape Reserve 212,40ha Buffer zone of the world heritage property 223,85ha Total area 436,25ha

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Central Zone of the Town of Angra do Heroísmo in the Azores-Map of the inscribed property	08/06/1983	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Luís Pinho Lopes  
Direção-Geral do Património Cultural  
Architecte

#### Comment

Add: Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Nuno Vassallo e Silva, PhD Director General Direção-Geral do Património Cultural Palácio Nacional da Ajuda 1349-021Lisboa Portugal Telephone: +351213614221 Fax: +351213637047 Email: nvsilva@dGPC.pt rectify: Directorate General for Cultural Heritage Luiz de Pinho Lopes Architect Direção-Geral do Património Cultural Palácio Nacional da Ajuda (...)

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Nuno Ribeiro Lopes  
Regional Government of Azores / Regional Secretariat of Education, Science and Culture  
Regional Director for Culture

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Direcção Geral dos Edifícios e Monumentos Nacionais](#)

2. [Classified Zone of ANGRA DO HEROÍSMO \(Gabinete da Zona Classificada de Angra do Heroísmo\)](#)

#### Comment

a). Direção-Geral Património Cultural: <http://www.patrimoniocultural.pt> b). Comissão Nacional da UNESCO - <http://www.unescoportugal.mne.pt> c). Direção Regional da Cultura - <http://www.culturacores.azores.gov.pt> d). Sistema de Inventário para o Património Arquitectónico - <http://www.monumentos.pt> Please remove 1 and 2 as they no longer exist.

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

European Cultural Convention (1976); Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (1991); Council of Europe Framework Convention on the Value of Cultural Heritage for Society (2005)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

Under appreciation

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)(vi)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Under appreciation

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

Under appreciation

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Under appreciation

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	Housing						
3.1.2	Commercial development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.4	Localised utilities						
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>						
3.5.3	Land conversion						
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.1	Wind						
3.7.2	Relative humidity						
3.7.3	Temperature						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)						
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
3.10.1	Storms						
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>						
3.11.1	Volcanic eruption						
3.11.2	Earthquake						
3.11.3	Tsunami/tidal wave						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)						
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric				

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7.1	Wind	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

3.7.1. Occasionally we have winds up to 140km/h, which cause problems in buildings, specially affecting the roofs, as well as erosion. 3.12. Termites are increasing in wood structures and heat-treated wood does not show a significant resistance to termite attacks, thus being currently substituted for other materials.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

**The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

##### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

##### • Question 6.02

Law Nr. 107/2001, which establishes the political bases and the regime for protection and valorisation of cultural heritage and several Regional Decrees were approved by the

Regional Assembly. The last one, the Regional Legislative Decree Nr. 15/2004/A, submits all planning instruments to the Detail Plan of Safeguard and Valuation, under the responsibility of the City Hall. Through this instrument, each building is under direct supervision of the respective authorities, in what concerns its preservation.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property is **inadequate**

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Protective measures have not recognized until now the existing threat of a natural pest (termites) that has gradually increased since the reconstruction and inscription of the site. In order to overcome this problem currently affecting 25% of the buildings, legislation will have to be amended and concrete measures taken to combat termite infestation.

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

##### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

##### • Question 5.02

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

##### • Question 5.03

**Set up date:** 1984

**Function:** The entities competent for ordering the prosecution of a contra-ordination process and for the application of the corresponding sanctions are: a) The member of the Regional Government with competency in cultural matter, or the administrative director of the autonomous region in whom this competency was delegated; b) The president of the "Câmara Municipal de Angra do Heroísmo".

**Mandate:** Always and when one of the authorities mentioned on the previous number has promoted the prosecution of a process, this impairs the other of doing the same, needing thus the entity starting the process to notify the other.

**Constituted:** legal

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

Regional Legislative Decree no. 15/2004/A, 6 April, Regional Legislative Decree no. 43/2008/A, 8 October, Regional Regulatory Decree no. 14/2000/A, 23 May and Regional Regulatory Decree no.16/2008/A, 17 July are being improved by new legislation that was submitted to the Legislative Assembly after public discussion.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Proposals for a liaison between the Regional Administration and the Local Municipal authorities are being considered to provide technical support for the development and implementation of the Safeguarding Detail Plan and its specific strategy.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**



Regional Legislative Decree no. 15/2004/A, 6 April, Regional Legislative Decree no. 43/2008/A, 8 October, Regional Regulatory Decree no. 14/2000/A, 23 May and Regional Regulatory Decree no.16/2008/A, 17 July are being improved by new legislation and were submitted to the Legislative Assembly after public discussion.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	80%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	20%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Provision of one consultant to advise on the creation of a regional office for the preservation of the Historic Centre of Angra do Heroismo (Azores)	1984	5290.00	
Assistance to the Office for the conservation and restoration of Angra do Heroismo, Azores	1988	20000.00	
<b>Total</b>		25290	

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Considering the complexity of the site, which includes buildings and public spaces with several owners and with management responsibilities divided among several entities, data related to financial resources is only indicative and doesn't represent the entire reality. However, this data is sufficient for evaluating the current site.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	90%
Part-time	10%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Considering the complexity of the site, which includes buildings and public spaces with several owners and with management responsibilities divided among several entities, data related to financial resources is only indicative and doesn't represent the entire reality. However, this data is sufficient for evaluating the current site.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

'Açores, Cidade e Território, Quatro Vilas Estruturantes', Antonieta Reis Leite, Coimbra 2012; Inventário do património imóvel dos Açores, Angra do Heroísmo, IAC, Angra do Heroísmo 2013; 'Angra do Heroísmo, Arquitetura do Século XX e memória colectiva, Paulo Duarte de Melo Gouveia, IAC. Angra do Heroísmo 2009; 'Livro: térmitas dos Açores', Universidade dos Açores, Depart. de Ciências Agrárias.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

Termites in the Azores: since the diagnosis was confirmed, several reports (risk analysis, intervention impact with emphasis on dry wood cryptoterms brevis termite) have been carried out by the University of the Azores, the Azorean Biodiversity Group, Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center, University of Florida, LNEC, Timber Structures Division.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

During 2014 and taking into account the commemoration of the 30th anniversary of inscription, courses concerning systems and the detailed framework of the buildings" characteristics, destined for architects, will be carried out, and a Manual of Intervention in world heritage published so that the information can be available for free to the general public.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Major Increase (100%+)

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

The information is now available, it was provided by the Regional Directorate for Tourism

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

No fees are collected

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

The site is a city, so there aren't any fees charged for entry. Considering its scale and specific geographical situation, the main promotion factor as well as the tourist attraction of this region is basically nature. Tourism promotes the diversity of the nine islands; therefore, the world heritage property is included in a global package. Guided visits and information in general are available at the tourism agencies or the Tourism Department.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.1	<b>Wind</b>	iv) Set in the mid-Atlantic, the port of Angra, obligatory port-of-call for fleets from Africa and the Indies, is the eminent example of a creation linked to the marine world, within the framework of the great explorations.	Financial support was granted to the owners of the buildings by legislative decree no.14/2000/A, 23 May.	The Regional Directorate for Culture is the government department responsible for the site.	It depends on the dimension and difficulty of the repairs; the contract is valid for one year.	The Regional Directorate for Culture, Regional Directorate for Public Works, Technology and Communications and City Hall of Angra of Heroísmo.	Frequent winds provoke erosion in stone masonry structures because they carry volcanic sands. And in the case of the buildings, they affect the roof.
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
3.10.1	<b>Storms</b>	iv) Set in the mid-Atlantic, the port of Angra, obligatory port-of-call for fleets from Africa and the Indies, is the eminent example for a creation linked to the marine world, within the framework of the great explorations.	Financial support was granted to the owners of the buildings by legislative decree no. 14/2000/A, 23 May.	The Regional Directorate for Culture is the government department responsible for the site.	It depends on the dimension and difficulty of the repairs; the contract is valid for one year.	The Regional Directorate for Culture, Regional Directorate for Public Works, Technology and Communications and City Hall of Angra of Heroísmo	As a result of its location, the site is particularly affected by strong winds causing considerable damage to building structures such as roofs, doors, windows or balconies.
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>						
3.12.2	<b>Invasive/alien terrestrial species</b>	iv) Set in the mid-Atlantic, the port of Angra, obligatory port-of-call for fleets from Africa and the Indies, is the eminent example for a creation linked to the marine world, within the framework of the great explorations.	Replacement of wood structures for similar materials or for steel structures	The Regional Directorate for Culture is the government department responsible for the site.	It depends on the dimension and difficulty of the repairs; the contract is valid for one year.	The Regional Directorate for Culture, Regional Directorate for Public Works, Technology and Communications and City Hall of Angra of Heroísmo	Termites affect the wood structures of the buildings, especially the floor and roofing

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.2 Protective Measures</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.2.3	<b>The legal framework in the buffer zone is inadequate</b>	Protective measures for the buffer zone are identical to those applied to the designated site, being manifestly excessive. Legislative amendments are underway.	During 2014	Regional Directorate for Culture	no comment	
<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>						
4.3.10	<b>There is little or no contact with industry regarding management</b>	The activities connected with the preservation and safeguard of the site are integrated in an extended industrial process. There are no small businesses or craft trades associated to this process.	no timeframe	not applicable	no comment	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
4.4.15	<b>Capacity development plan not implemented</b>	A liaison between the Regional Administration and local Municipal authorities has been proposed to provide technical support for the development and implementation of the Safeguarding Detail Plan and its specific strategy	During 2014	City Hall of Angra do Heroísmo	no comment	
<b>4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>						

4.5.2	<b>Research in the property is not planned</b>	Existing historic research is due to personal initiative. In the case of termite, a number of studies has been conducted but not for practical application. A reserach project was initiated in 2013.	During 2014	Regional Directorate for Culture	no comment
<b>4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>					
4.6.3	<b>There is no education and awareness programme</b>	For the 30th anniversary of the site's inscription, in 2014, there are plans to pursue courses on building structures for architects, as well as to develop operational guidelines for world heritage sites to be made available to the public for fre	On going 2014/2015	Regional Directorate for Culture	no comment
<b>4.7 Visitor Management</b>					
4.7.5	<b>Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters</b>	Considering the scale and specific geographical situation of the site, the promotion factor and tourist attraction have to do with nature. Tourism promotion extends to the nine islands including the world heritage property.	no timeframe	Regional Secretariat of Transport and Tourism	no comment

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

Measures to reduce termite infestation are being carried out.

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Under appreciation

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: The map was submitted in the retrospective inventory: Boundary of the world heritage property, including Landscape Reserve 212,40ha Buffer zone of the world heritage property 223,85ha Total area 436,25ha

#### 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise