

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**  
Wooden Churches of Southern Małopolska

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**  
**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Poland

**Type of Property**  
cultural

**Identification Number**  
1053rev

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**  
2003









**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Binarowa , Poland	0 / 0	1.8	40.4	42.2	2003
Blizne , Poland	0 / 0	2.2	46.7	48.9	2003
Debno , Poland	0 / 0	0.14	64	64.14	2003
Haczow , Poland	0 / 0	1.3	38.2	39.5	2003
Lachowice , Poland	0 / 0	1.6	10.5	12.1	2003
Lipnica Murowana , Poland	0 / 0	1.1	16.5	17.6	2003
Orawka , Poland	0 / 0	0.78	62	62.78	2003
Sekowa , Poland	0 / 0	1.72	36.4	38.12	2003
Szalowa , Poland	0 / 0	4.6	98	102.6	2003
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>15.24</b>	<b>412.7</b>	<b>427.94</b>	

**Comment**

Nomination regarding the churches in Lachowice, Orawka, Szalowa were submitted but they were not entered on the World Heritage List – please remove them from the list above.

**1.4 - Map(s)**

Title	Date	Link to source
Site Map - Binarowa	05/06/2003	
Site Map - Blizne	05/07/2003	
Site Map - Debno	05/07/2003	
Site Map - Haczow	05/07/2003	
Site Map - Lachowice	05/07/2003	
Site Map - Lipnica Murowana	05/07/2003	
Site Map - Orawka	05/07/2003	
Site Map - Sekowa	05/07/2003	

**Comment**

Nomination regarding the churches in Lachowice, Orawka, Szalowa were submitted but they were not entered on the World Heritage List – please remove them from the list above.

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Bogdan Zdrojewski  
Ministry of Culture and National Heritage  
Minister of Culture and National Heritage

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Anna Fortuna-Marek  
National Heritage Board of Poland  
Head of Local Division of the National Heritage Board of Poland in Rzeszów

**Comment**

Parish priests of respective churches are the manages of the sites, i.e. 1. ks. Bogusław Jurczak, Binarowa, +48 13 4476396 2. ks. Marek Grzebień, Blizne, +48 13 4305600 3. ks. Józef Milan, Dębno Podhalańskie, +48 18 2751797 4. ks. Adam Zaremba, Haczów, +48 13 4391012 5. ks. Zbigniew Kras, Lipnica Murowana, +48 14 6852601 6. ks. Janusz Kurasz, Sękowa, +48 18 3518169 Anna Fortuna-Marek was selected by the managers of the churches to coordinate the interim report.

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Szlak Architektury Drewnianej](#)

**Comment**

[http://www.nid.pl/pl/Informacje\\_ogolne/Zabytki\\_w\\_Polsce/Miej\\_sca\\_na\\_liscie/Lista\\_miejsc/miejsce.php?ID=164](http://www.nid.pl/pl/Informacje_ogolne/Zabytki_w_Polsce/Miej_sca_na_liscie/Lista_miejsc/miejsce.php?ID=164) Binarowa: [http://www.binarowa.wiara.org.pl/zycie\\_parafii,i3.html](http://www.binarowa.wiara.org.pl/zycie_parafii,i3.html) Blizne: <http://www.blizne.wiara.org.pl/> Haczów: <http://www.parafiahaczow.boop.pl/> Sękowa: <http://www.sekowa.rzeszow.opoka.org.pl/> Dębno Podhalańskie: <http://www.debno.diecezja.pl/> Lipnica Murowana: <http://www.parlipnicam.tarnow.opoka.org.pl/news.php>

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Comment**

The Draft of Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is being assessed.

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(iii)(iv)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

The Draft of Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is being assessed. The attributes will be defined after adoption of the RSoUV.

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

The Draft of Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is being assessed.

### **3. Factors Affecting the Property**

#### **3.14. Other factor(s)**

##### **3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

Fire protection and anti-theft system - a positive, current, inside factor

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.2	Relative humidity							
3.7.7	Pests							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>							
3.10.1	Storms							
3.10.2	Flooding							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.2	Flooding	localised	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

3.10.2. - The church in Binarowa was flooded by local river. Therefore, the protective and renovation works have been undertaken.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

re: 4.1.3. corrections of the boundaries of the buffer zone are being considered - an optimization related to the applicable forms of protection.

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The monuments are the physical and legal property of the Roman Catholic Church, represented by Church authorities (Bishops) and parochial administration. The monuments are inscribed in the Register of Monuments and are protected on the basis of the requirements of Polish law. They are also

included in conservation zones, which are defined in the land-use master plans of each of the communes. All the churches are provided with three levels of protected areas: a direct protection in the indicated core area, a buffer zone including the immediate surroundings, and a landscape protection zone, where the main viewpoints from the surrounding hills indicate the limits of the restricted area.

#### Comment

Property components are protected by an entry to the register of monuments, whereas not all 6 churches have valid local spatial development plans with appropriate provisions concerning protection of the buffer zones and landscape protection areas.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures


re: 4.2.3.3 and 4.2.4.3 – Legal framework is sufficient to preserve OUV as well as the integrity and authenticity, but the buffer zones and their setting have not been covered by legal protection yet (applies to churches in: Blizne, Haczów, Sękowa, Binarowa, Dębno)

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

Direct care of the monument remains in the hands of the owner (the Church). Monitoring and control over the conservation work are the responsibility of the Provincial Commissioner for Historical Monuments and of the Historic Monuments Protection Service. The administration of some communes also includes a Department of Architecture, which is responsible for the protection of the church. The buffer zones defined in the nomination document are divided into two categories: a) the traditional enlarged conservation or protection area, and b) the long-distance protection, including the surrounding cultural landscape and marking on the map different long-distance viewpoints to be included and protected by the spatial planning. The buffer zones around the nominated properties and conservation areas are mostly defined in accordance with the borders of the historic settlement. An exception is the buffer zone surrounding the conservation area at Lipnica Murowana, where the church is situated outside the walls of the medieval settlement and is used nowadays as a cemetery chapel. Here the buffer zone includes the free territory of meadows and pastureland on the east side, which was also used in the past by the small villages of Lipnica Murowana Gorna and Dolna.

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan for Wooden Churches of Southern Little Poland	N/A	Available	01/01/2002	

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

#### 4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

#### 4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

#### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

#### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

#### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

#### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Each parish has its own budget and action plan.

#### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

-

### 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

#### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	40%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	25%

Governmental (Local / Municipal)	25%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	10%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

-

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	75%
Part-time	25%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	70%
Volunteer	30%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

-

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

1. B. Szmygin, Indicators in the Monitoring of World Heritage, in: Outstanding Universal Value and Monitoring of World Heritage Properties, ed. B. Szmygin, Warsaw 2011 2. A. Fortuna-Marek, Wooden Churches of Southern Małopolska Region Inscribed on the UNESCO World Heritage List: Statement of Outstanding Universal Value, and a Proposal for their Monitoring, in: Outstanding Universal Value and Monitoring of World Heritage Properties, ed. B. Szmygin, Warsaw 2011 3. Z. Myczkowski, Protection and Management of Monumental Wooden Churches of Małopolska District Inscribed on the UNESCO World Heritage List, in: Management of UNESCO World Heritage Sites in Poland and Norway, ed. Jacek Purchla, Cracow 2011

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

1. It is necessary to prepare a monitoring project and implementation of the monitoring project. 2. The need to prepare a model management plan related to cultural sites from the UNESCO World Heritage List.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

-

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Other
-------

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

-

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

-

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

-

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
<b>3.10.2</b>	<b>Flooding</b>	current – criteria III and IV; potential – criteria III and IV	current – preservation works and flood protection works, potential – flood protection works	current – determination of the scope of damages caused by a flood, potential – monitoring of water level during flood risk	current – 2010-2012, potential - occasional and continuous actions	site managers, monuments protection services, local governments	During the reporting period, the flood posed a threat to the church in Binarowa which was reported in the current impact of this factor.

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>4.1.5</b>	<b>The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners</b>	Information and education actions among the local community.	a long-term, continuous task	various kinds of authorities at the regional and local administration level, site managers, monument's protection services	-	
<b>4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>						
<b>4.6.3</b>	<b>There is a limited education and awareness programme</b>	Activities of informative and educational character: dedicated courses, publications	a long-term, continuous task	Site Managers in cooperation with other institutions i.e. National Heritage Board of Poland.	-	

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

-

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

A World Heritage status of the property influenced the safety of the place in a very positive way – all the churches have been equipped with modern fire protection and anti-theft systems. The churches are subject to necessary maintenance and repair works, for which financial support is being provided (mainly state and local government subsidies).

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

-

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Draft of Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is being assessed.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Nomination regarding the churches in Lachowice, Orawka, Szalowa were submitted but they were not entered on the World Heritage List – please remove them from the list above.

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: Nomination regarding the churches in Lachowice, Orawka, Szalowa were submitted but they were not entered on the World Heritage List – please remove them from the list above.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations  
related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting  
exercise**

re: 6.9 - marked, DOES NOT APPLY for Wooden Churches of  
Southern Małopolska, this is the first periodic report